



The EU Framework Programme
for Research and Innovation

HORIZON 2020




H2020 Programme

AGA – Annotated Model Grant Agreement



Version 5.2
26 June 2019

Disclaimer

This guide is aimed at assisting beneficiaries. It is provided for information purposes only and is not intended to replace consultation of any applicable legal sources. Neither the Commission nor the Executive Agencies (or any person acting on their behalf) can be held responsible for the use made of this guidance document.



HISTORY OF CHANGES		
Version	Publication date	Changes
1.0	20.12.2013	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial version following adoption of the grant agreement v.1.0. Article 6.
1.2	17.02.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articles 7 to 14, 17 to 19, 23a to 25, 35, 52 to 54, 56 and 58 added
1.3	26.03.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articles 16, 32 to 34 and 41 to 44 added
1.4	16.04.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articles 4 to 6, 9 to 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, 39, 40, 45 to 52 and 57 added or revised
1.5	25.04.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articles 22, 26 to 31, 36 to 38, and 55 added
1.6	02.05.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New annotations for: ERC, SME Instrument, ERA-NET COFUND, PCP-PPI COFUND, EJP COFUND, Framework Partnership and Specific Agreements
1.6.1	16.06.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clickable table of contents added
1.6.2	17.07.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Links to time-sheet model and declaration model for personnel costs
1.6.3	20.08.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed link to H2020 Work Programme (section 3 MSCA) (page 55)
1.7	19.12.2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updates due to the amendment of the model grant agreement v.2.0, in particular Articles 3, 9, 20.6 and 38.1.2 New annotations on Articles 6.2.A, 6.2.E, 6.2.F, 22.4, 22.5 New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Articles 2, 6.1, 6.2.D.2, 6.2.D.3, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 10, 13, 14, 18, 20.4, 34, 39, 41.5, 43, 49.1, 50.3, 55 and 57; Updates in the derived model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ERC: Articles 2, 4, 6.2, 8, 32, 56.1 and 56.a - SME: Articles 2, 5.4, 8, 21, 41, 42, 43, 44, 50 Ph1 and Article 2, 4 and 6 Ph2 - ERA-NET Co-fund: Article 4, 6.2.B and 13 (option for PCP) - EJP Co-fund: Article 4, 6.2 New Annotations for the MSCA Other minor corrections and clarifications Improved clickable table of contents including Articles
2.0	30.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reformatting into the style of the Online AGA. Streamlined approach to information with exclamation mark, and info sign; presentation in yellow and blue text boxes. Clickable cross-references (Articles) New table explaining the treatment of the different types of work contracts (under Article 6.2.A). New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Articles 2, 3, 6.2.A, 6.2.D, 6.2.E, 6.2.F, 13, 18.2, 21, 27, 28.3, 29, 30, 38, 41, 47 and substantial updates in Article 55. Updates in the derived model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ERC: ERC GA preamble, Article 56a - MSCA: ITN Articles 6.2, 19.2, 32; IF Articles 6.2, 8, 32; RISE Articles 6.2, 19; Co-fund Articles 6.2, 15, 19 - FPA: Article 13; SGA: Article 17 Other minor corrections and clarifications
2.0.1	18.05.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corrigendum of annotations on Article 4 of the MSCA-ITN and the MSCA-RISE grants

2.1	30.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Articles 5.2, 6.1, 6.2.A, 6.2.D, 6.5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22.2, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31, 34, 40, 43, 47, 50, 55 and 56. ▪ New Section I.3 'List of issues applicable to particular countries' added — explanations on eligibility of national taxes, bonuses ▪ New annotations and updates in the derived model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – ERC MGA: Preamble, Articles 6, 8, 18, 29 and 56a – MSCA: ITN Articles 4, 6.2, 8, 31; 32, IF Articles 4, 6.1, 6.2, 8, 31, 32; 56a RISE Articles 4, 6.2, 8, 18; 31 Co-fund Articles 4, 6.2, 8, 15 – SME Instrument Ph1 Lump Sum: Article 5; – SME Instrument Ph2: Article 13; – ERA-NET Co-fund: Articles 5.2, 6.2.A and 20; – FPA: Article 5; – MGA Lump sum: new annotations. ▪ Other minor corrections and clarifications.
2.1.1	01.07.2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Update of the explanations on Article 18 regarding time records; including a model of time-sheet with the minimum requirements.
2.2	25.11.2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates due to the amendment of the model grant agreement v.3.0, in particular Articles 4.2, 5, 6.2.A, 10, 13, 16, 20, 34, 37, 41, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50 and 55 ▪ New annotations on Articles 29.2 and 29.3. ▪ New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Article 6, 6.1, 6.2, 6.5 and 18. ▪ Updates in the annotations to specific model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ERC: Preamble, Articles 6, 29 and 56a - MSCA: Preamble ITN, Articles 4, 5, 6, 8, 18, 19 and 32; IF Articles 2, 4, 6, 8, 18, 32 and 56a; RISE Articles 4, 6, 8, 18, 19, 31 and 32; COFUND Articles 4, 6, 8, 15 and 18. - ERA-NET Co-fund: Articles 2, 19 and 21 - PCP-PPI: Articles 5 and 13 - EJP Co-fund: Articles 5, 6.F and 20 ▪ Synchronised presentation for grounds for Chapter 6 measures, security obligations and information obligations. ▪ Other minor corrections and clarifications ▪ Update in Section I.3 'List of issues applicable to particular countries' <p>New rules that apply in principle only for grant agreements signed with version 3.0 are marked with </p> <p>(This version refers to MGA v3.0 to align it no AGA v3.0 was created)</p>
4.0	21.04.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates due to the amendment of the model grant agreement v.4.0, in particular Articles 5, 6 and 52 ▪ New annotations on Article 14a following the introduction of this Article in the revised model grant agreements v.4.0 ▪ New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Article 6 and 18. ▪ Updates in the annotations to specific model grant agreements: ERC, MSCA, PCP-PPI and FPA/SGA ▪ Other minor corrections and clarifications <p>New rules that apply in principle only for grant agreements signed with version 4.0 are marked with </p>
4.0.1	20.06.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Addition of index at the bottom of the pages
4.1	26.10.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ New explanations and examples, in particular in Articles, 6.2.F, 18,

		<p>50 and 55 of the general MGA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Corrigendum of annotations on Article 6 of the MSCA-ITN, IF, Cofund and the MSCA-RISE grants ▪ Corrigendum of annotation on Article 6.2.F of the EJP Cofund grant ▪ Update in Section I.3 'List of issues applicable to particular countries' ▪ Other minor corrections and clarifications
5.0	03.07.2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates due to the amendment of the model grant agreement v.5.0, in particular Articles 29.3 and 34 ▪ New presentation of calculations for payments and recoveries, now compiled under Article 21 ▪ Updates due to the adoption of the Guide on grant reductions ▪ New explanations and examples resulting from frequently asked questions, in particular in Articles 6.2.A, 6.2.D, 11, 14a, 43, 44, 48, 49, 50.3, 51, 55 and 57.2. ▪ Annotations on the new Lump Sum Pilot model grant agreement ▪ Annotations on the new MSCA Special Needs model grant agreement ▪ New annotations and updates in the specific model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – ERC: Articles 18, 29 and 32 – MSCA: ITN Articles 6.2.a, 6.2.B, 32; IF Articles 6.2.A, 6.2.B, 18, 32, 55.1, 56a; RISE Articles 6.2.A, 32 and Co-fund Articles 6.2.A and 15 – SME Phase 1: Article 8 – PCP/PPI: Article 8 – SGA: Article 3 – General lump sum: preamble, Articles 8 and 18 ▪ Other minor corrections and clarifications
5.1	06.12.2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates in the annotations of Articles 6.1, 8, 20, 22.1.3, 41.4 and 55 ▪ Annotations on the new ERC Lump Sum Pilot PoC model grant agreement ▪ New annotations and updates in the specific model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ERC: Article 56a - MSCA: IF Articles 38, 49.1.1 and 56a, ITN Article 8, RISE Article 8 - Lump sum pilot: Article 4
5.2	26.06.2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates in the annotations of Articles 6.2.D.5, 6.2.F, 16.2, 20, 29, 31, 37, 55 ▪ New annotations and updates in the specific model grant agreements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MSCA: IF Article 6.2.B, ITN Articles 6.2.B and 32, RISE Article 6.2.A - ERA-NET Cofund: Preamble and Articles 5, 8, 15 - EJP Cofund: Articles 3, 6.2.F <p>For easy identification, paragraphs, indents or sections with new explanations or changes are marked with the symbol ■ on the left</p>

IMPORTANT NOTICE

The **AGA — Annotated Model Grant Agreement** is a **user guide** that aims to explain to **applicants** and **beneficiaries** the General Model Grant Agreement (General MGA) and the different specific Model Grant Agreements ('Specific MGAs') for the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for 2014-2020 (H2020).

The purpose of this document is to help users understand and interpret the GAs, by avoiding technical vocabulary, legal references and jargon, and seeking to help readers find answers to any practical questions they may have about particular parts of the GAs.

In the same spirit, the document's structure mirrors that of the GAs. It explains each GA Article and includes examples where appropriate.

Since the Specific MGAs have a similar set-up and provisions as the General MGA (i.e. they are all derived from the General MGA), the annotations will focus mainly on the General MGA (and the annotations of the other MGAs will be limited to major differences from that MGA). The key provisions on the amount, cost forms and eligibility conditions of your grant are however explained for all MGAs (see *Articles 4-6 of each MGA*).

The annotations are — with some exceptions — done on the multi-beneficiary versions. The multi- and mono-beneficiary versions are largely identical.

Our approach

1. The **text** of the **article** appears in a grey text box — to differentiate it from the annotations.

The **concepts** that are annotated are in bold and underlined.

The annotations to the article are immediately underneath.

Long articles are split into different parts, so the annotations can be placed below the relevant parts.

Examples, best practices are in bold and green.

Lists and **procedures** are in bold and red.

Specific cases and **exceptions** are in bold and orange.

New explanations (compared to the last update) will be marked with: ■

New rules that do not apply to all signed grant agreements (but instead only to those signed after a certain version, e.g. version 3.0), will be marked with: **3.0 ▶** and **3.0 ▶**

2. As the AGA intends to be comprehensive, it will cover all possible **options** envisaged in the different GA articles.

Many of these options may not be relevant to your grant (and will not appear in the grant agreement you sign, or will be marked 'not applicable').

The chosen options will appear in italics (without brackets and without the option title), to allow you to easily spot that a specific rule applies.

Updates

With version 2.0, the AGA was reformatted into a clickable online version.

It will be periodically updated with new examples and explanations, based on practical experience and on-going developments.

Other information

The AGA is limited to annotations to the provisions of the H2020 MGAs. For a more general overview of how H2020 grants work, see *the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#)*.

A comprehensive list of all H2020 reference documents (including legislation, work programme and templates) can be found on [Participant Portal Reference Documents](#).

H2020 terms are explained in the [Glossary](#).

If necessary, you can also contact the [Research Enquiry Service](#).

Legislation


H2020 Framework Programme — Regulation (EU) No [1291/2013](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 establishing Horizon 2020 - The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 104).

Euratom Research and Training Programme (2014-2018) — Council Regulation (Euratom) No [1314/2013](#) of 16 December 2013 on the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-2018) complementing the Horizon 2020 - The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 948).

H2020 Specific Programme — Council Decision [2013/743/EU](#) of 3 December 2013 establishing the Specific Programme Implementing Horizon 2020 - The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 965).

Rules for Participation (RfP) — Regulation (EU) No [1290/2013](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 of December 2013 laying down the rules for the participation and dissemination in Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p.81).

Financial Regulation (FR) — Regulation (EC, Euratom) No [966/2012](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the European Union (OJ L 298, 26.10.2012, p.1).

 Please note that Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 966/2012 has been replaced by Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 (with effect from 2 August 2018).

Rules of Application (RAP) — Commission Regulation (EC, Euratom) No [1268/2012](#) of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of I Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (OJ L 298, 26.10.2012, p.1).


 Please note that Delegated Regulation (EC,Euratom) No 1268/2012 has been replaced by Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 (with effect from 2 August 2018). The rules of the RAP are now included in the new Financial Regulation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. GENERAL MODEL GRANT AGREEMENT (H2020 GENERAL MGA)	15
I.1 Background information	15
I.2 H2020 General MGA: Annotations	16
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL	20
ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT	20
CHAPTER 2 ACTION	21
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [<i>— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT</i>] [<i>— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION</i>]	21
ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION	23
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	25
CHAPTER 3 GRANT	28
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS	28
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS	36
CHAPTER 4 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTIES	125
SECTION 1 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTING THE ACTION	125
ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION	125
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION	126
ARTICLE 9 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY BENEFICIARIES NOT RECEIVING EU FUNDING	131
ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES	134
ARTICLE 11 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES AGAINST PAYMENT	137
ARTICLE 12 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES FREE OF CHARGE	141
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	144
ARTICLE 14 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY LINKED THIRD PARTIES	150
ARTICLE 14a — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS	156
ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES	158
ARTICLE 16 — PROVISION OF TRANS-NATIONAL OR VIRTUAL ACCESS TO RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE	163
SECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION	170
ARTICLE 17 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO INFORM	170
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	173
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES	181
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	182
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS	193
ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS	214
ARTICLE 23 — EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE ACTION	230
SECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND AND RESULTS	231
ARTICLE 23a — MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	231
ARTICLE 24 — AGREEMENT ON BACKGROUND	232
ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND	234
ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS	237
ARTICLE 27 — PROTECTION OF RESULTS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	241
ARTICLE 28 — EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS	243
ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	245

ARTICLE 30 — TRANSFER AND LICENSING OF RESULTS.....	255
ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS	260
<u>SECTION 4 OTHER RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u>	<u>265</u>
ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RESEARCHERS.....	265
ARTICLE 33 — GENDER EQUALITY	268
ARTICLE 34 — ETHICS AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY	269
ARTICLE 35 — CONFLICT OF INTERESTS.....	274
ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY.....	276
ARTICLE 37 — SECURITY-RELATED OBLIGATIONS.....	278
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	280
ARTICLE 39 — PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA	285
ARTICLE 40 — ASSIGNMENTS OF CLAIMS FOR PAYMENT AGAINST THE [COMMISSION][AGENCY].....	289
<u>CHAPTER 5 DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION</u>	<u>290</u>
ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION.....	290
<u>CHAPTER 6 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS — DAMAGES — SUSPENSION — TERMINATION — FORCE MAJEURE</u>	<u>300</u>
<u>SECTION 1 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS</u>	<u>300</u>
ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS	300
ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT.....	304
ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS	308
ARTICLE 45 — ADMINISTRATIVE AND FINANCIAL PENALTIES	315
<u>SECTION 2 LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES</u>	<u>317</u>
ARTICLE 46 — LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES.....	317
<u>SECTION 3 SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION</u>	<u>319</u>
ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE	319
ARTICLE 48 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENTS.....	321
ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION.....	324
ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES	330
<u>SECTION 4 FORCE MAJEURE</u>	<u>347</u>
ARTICLE 51 — FORCE MAJEURE.....	347
<u>CHAPTER 7 FINAL PROVISIONS</u>	<u>349</u>
ARTICLE 52 — COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES	349
ARTICLE 53 — INTERPRETATION OF THE AGREEMENT	352
ARTICLE 54 — CALCULATION OF PERIODS, DATES AND DEADLINES.....	353
ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT.....	354
ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT	367
ARTICLE 57 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES.....	369
ARTICLE 58 — ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE AGREEMENT	373
<u>I.3 Issues applicable to particular countries.....</u>	<u>374</u>
II. ERC MGAS	375
<u>II.1 Background information and approach.....</u>	<u>375</u>
<u>II.2 H2020 ERC MGA: Annotations</u>	<u>378</u>
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	381
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	382

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	383
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	385
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	388
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.....	389
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS.....	390
ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS.....	394
ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING.....	395
ARTICLE 32 — WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR[S] AND [HIS/HER][THEIR] TEAM.....	398
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING.....	403
ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT.....	404
ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY — PORTABILITY OF THE GRANT.....	405
II.3 H2020 ERC PoC MGA: Annotations.....	408
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	409
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS.....	410
II.4 H2020 ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA: Annotations.....	414
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	415
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS.....	416
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT.....	417
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	420
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS.....	421
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.....	422
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS.....	423
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	426
ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS.....	432
ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS.....	433
ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE.....	436
ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES.....	437
ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT.....	441
III. MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS (MSCA) MGAS.....	443
III.1 Background information and approach.....	443
III.2 H2020 MSCA-ITN MGA: Annotations.....	446
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	446
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	447
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	449
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	452
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	464
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.....	467
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	468
ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND.....	469
ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS.....	469
ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RECRUITED RESEARCHERS.....	470

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	474
III.3 H2020 MSCA-IF MGA: Annotations	476
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	476
ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION	477
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	478
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	479
ARTICLE 6 – ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS	481
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	491
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	493
ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND	494
ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS	494
ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE RECRUITED RESEARCHER.....	495
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	499
ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION.....	501
ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT.....	503
ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY	504
III.4 H2020 MSCA-RISE MGA: Annotations	507
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	507
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	508
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	510
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	512
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	521
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	524
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	525
ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND	527
ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS	527
ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR SECONDED STAFF MEMBERS.....	528
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	531
III.5 H2020 MSCA-COFUND MGA: Annotations	533
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	533
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	534
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	535
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	537
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	543
ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF A [DOCTORAL] [FELLOWSHIP] PROGRAMME.....	545
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	554
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	555
ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND	556
ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS	556
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	557
III.6 H2020 MSCA-SNLS MGA: Annotations.....	559
ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT OF THE GRANT AGREEMENT	559

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	560
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS	561
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT	562
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	565
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	566
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	567
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	569
ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS	572
ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS	573
ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE	576
ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION.....	577
ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT.....	580
ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT.....	582
ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY	583
IV. SME INSTRUMENT MGAS	586
IV.1 Background information and approach.....	586
IV.2 H2020 SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA: Annotations	588
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	588
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	589
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS	590
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	593
ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION.....	595
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	596
ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES	597
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	598
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	600
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	601
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	603
ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY.....	606
ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	607
ARTICLE 41 —BENEFICIARY'S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION.....	609
ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS	611
ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT	612
ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS	613
ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES	617
IV.3 H2020 SME Ph2 MGA: Annotations	624
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED.....	624
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS.....	625
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS.....	626
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	627
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION – THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	628
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	629
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	633

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS	634
V. ERA-NET COFUND MGA	635
V.1 Background information and approach	635
V.2 H2020 ERA-NET Cofund MGA: Annotations	636
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION].....	638
ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION	640
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	641
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS	643
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	645
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	654
ARTICLE 15 — SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANS-NATIONAL PROJECTS	656
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	660
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	662
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	666
VI. PCP/PPI MGA	668
VI.1 Background information and approach	668
VI.2 H2020 PCP/PPI MGA: Annotations	669
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION].....	671
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	673
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS	675
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	677
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	687
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	688
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	707
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	709
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	713
ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS	715
VII. EJP COFUND MGA	716
VII.1 Background information and approach	716
VII.2 H2020 EJP Cofund MGA: Annotations	717
ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION].....	717
ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION	718
ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	719
ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS	720
ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS.....	722
ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	737
ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES.....	738
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	740
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	741
VIII. FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIPS AND SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	743
VIII.1 Background information and approach	743
VIII.2 H2020 FPA: Annotations	747

CHAPTER 1	GENERAL	750
	ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT MATTER OF THE AGREEMENT	750
CHAPTER 2	FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP	751
	ARTICLE 2 — ACTION PLAN — AWARD OF SPECIFIC GRANTS — SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	751
	ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP	754
	ARTICLE 4 — RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP	755
	ARTICLE 5 — SUSPENSION OF FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP IMPLEMENTATION	756
	ARTICLE 6 — TERMINATION OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE PARTNERS	757
	ARTICLE 9 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	759
	ARTICLE 10 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS	760
	ARTICLE 11 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS	763
	ARTICLE 13 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS	764
	ARTICLE 62 — ACCESSION TO THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	765
	ARTICLE 63 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES	767
VIII.3 H2020 SGA: Annotations		768
	ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION	771
	ARTICLE 17 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS	772
IX. LUMP SUM MGAS		773
IX.1 Background information and approach		773
IX.2 H2020 Lump Sum MGA: Annotations		775
	ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [<i>— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT</i>] [<i>— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION</i>]	775
	ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	776
	ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS	777
	ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS	780
	ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION	782
	ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION	783
	ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES	784
	ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	785
	ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	786
	ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	788
	ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS	791
	ARTICLE 41 — BENEFICIARY'S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION	795
	ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS	797
	ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT	798
	ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS	800
	ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION	805
	ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES	807
IX.3 H2020 Lump Sum Pilot MGA: Annotations		815
	ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED	815
	ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS	816
	ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT	818

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION.....	821
ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS.....	822
ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES.....	823
ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.....	824
ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS.....	825
ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS.....	829
ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS.....	836
ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS.....	837
ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE.....	840
ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION.....	841
ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES.....	843

I. GENERAL MODEL GRANT AGREEMENT (H2020 GENERAL MGA)

I.1 Background information

The General Model Grant Agreement (H2020 General MGA) is used for grants for 'research and innovation actions (RIA)', 'innovation actions (IA)' and 'coordination and support actions (CSA)'.

***Examples:** actions under Part III, Societal challenge 3 'Secure, clean and efficient energy' (see a Smart Cities and Communities call); actions under Part I, 'Research infrastructures' (see a Research Infrastructure call); actions under Part III, Societal challenge 1 'Health, Demographic Change and Wellbeing', etc.*

 **Specific MGAs** — The General MGA is NOT used for actions that fall under one of the Specific MGAs (i.e. Lump sum MGA, ERC MGAs, MSCA MGAs, SME Instrument MGAs, ERA-NET Cofund MGA, PCP/PPI Cofund MGA, EJP Cofund MGA or Framework Partnerships).

I.2 H2020 General MGA: Annotations

GRANT AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This Agreement ('the Agreement') is **between** the following parties:

on the one part,

[*OPTION 1: the European Union ('the EU'), represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')*²,]

[*OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community ('Euratom'), represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')*³,]

[*OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] ('the Agency'), under the powers delegated by the European Commission ('the Commission')*⁴,]

represented for the purposes of signature of this Agreement by [[function, [Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname],⁵

and

on the other part,

1. 'the **coordinator**':

[full official name (short name)] established in [official address in full], [*OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number], [OPTION for coordinators not receiving EU funding: as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),*] represented for the purposes of signing the Agreement by [function, forename and surname]

and the following other **beneficiaries**, if they sign their 'Accession Form' (see Annex 3 and Article 56):

2. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [*OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number],*]

[*OPTION for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding: X. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full] [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number],*] as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),]

[same for each beneficiary]

[*OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: and X. the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the 'Administrative Arrangement' (see Annex 3b).*]

Unless otherwise specified, references to 'beneficiary' or 'beneficiaries' include the coordinator [*OPTION if the JRC participates: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC).*]

The parties referred to above have agreed to enter into the Agreement under the terms and conditions below.

By signing the Agreement or the Accession Form [*OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: or the Administrative Arrangement*], the beneficiaries accept the grant and agree to implement it under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

The Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1 Description of the action

Annex 2 Estimated budget for the action

2a Additional information on the estimated budget

Annex 3 Accession Forms

[OPTION to be used if Article 14 applies and if joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: 3a Declaration on joint and several liability of linked third parties]

[OPTION if the JRC participates: 3b Administrative Arrangement]

Annex 4 Model for the financial statements

Annex 5 Model for the certificate on the financial statements

Annex 6 Model for the certificate on the methodology

² Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

³ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.


⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ The person representing the Commission/Agency must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation), designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.



1. Participants: Coordinator — Beneficiaries — Linked third parties — Third parties involved in the action

Beneficiaries means the legal entities who have signed the grant agreement (GA) with the Commission/Agency (i.e. participate in a project supported by an EU grant).

 The term **participant** is used in this document in a wider meaning than in the definition of Article 1.1(15) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013. It covers normally beneficiaries and linked third parties (and sometimes also other third parties involved in the action).

The **coordinator** is the beneficiary which is the central contact point for the Commission/Agency and represents the consortium (towards the Commission/Agency).

The **division of roles and responsibilities within the consortium** is explained in [Article 41.2](#).

Generally speaking:

- the coordinator must coordinate and manage the grant and is the central contact point for the Commission/Agency
- the beneficiaries must all together contribute to a smooth and successful implementation of the grant (i.e. contribute to the proper implementation of the

action, comply with their own obligations under the GA and support the coordinator in his obligations).

The **signature arrangements** are the following:

- the coordinator directly signs the GA
- the other beneficiaries sign the GA by signing the Accession Form (see [Article 56](#)).

Amendments to the GA, if any, will be signed by the coordinator on their behalf.

Applicants who accept the grant (by signing the GA) become beneficiaries of the grant and are **bound by the entirety of its terms and conditions**.


This means that the beneficiaries must:

- carry out the action (and especially the research work) as detailed in Annex 1 (technical implementation) and
- comply with all the other provisions of the GA and all the applicable provisions of EU, international and national law.

Other entities which participate in the action but do not sign the GA (including **linked third parties**, international partners, subcontractors, third parties giving in-kind contributions, etc.) are considered as **third parties involved in the action** (for the definitions and conditions, see [Articles 8 and 9-14a](#)).

Their involvement in the action varies depending on the role.


Since there is no formal contractual link with them, they are formally speaking not bound by the terms and conditions of the GA. However, by participating in the action and via the obligations on their beneficiary, they are indirectly bound to most of the GA obligations.

 The consortium set-up must follow the roles of the GA. Participants should be attributed their roles according to their real contribution to the project. The main actors should be the beneficiaries. All other roles (third parties) should perform secondary tasks.

This means for instance that:

- linked third parties — are allowed to *fully* participate in the action, like the beneficiary they are linked to; they will therefore be treated for many issues (including cost eligibility; see [Article 6.3](#)) like beneficiaries
- subcontracting — beneficiaries/linked third parties may NOT subcontract tasks to other beneficiaries/linked third parties (see [Article 13](#))
- coordinator tasks — the key coordinator tasks listed in the GA may NOT be subcontracted or delegated to any other beneficiary or linked third party (except, under certain circumstances, to an entity with 'authorisation to administer'; see [Article 41.2](#))
- in-kind contributions between beneficiaries/linked third parties — if beneficiaries/linked third parties give in-kind contributions to other beneficiaries/linked third parties, their cost should normally simply be declared by the contributing beneficiary/linked third party (if the contributions are particularly important for the project, it may however be advisable to specifically mention them in the description of the action).


For an overview on the different types of third parties and their GA roles, rights and obligations, see the table in [Article 8](#).

 If you are a 'sole beneficiary' (Article 187(2) [Financial Regulation No 2018/1046](#), e.g. *European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG) or joint venture*) or a 'beneficiary without legal personality' (Article 197(2)(c) [Financial Regulation No 2018/1046](#); e.g. *association*) and will implement the project with the help of your members, you should make sure that the members participate as linked third parties, so that they will be able to charge their costs to the project.

2. Name and address — Legal entity data

The legal entity data (legal name, address, legal representatives, etc.) of the beneficiaries comes from the Funding & Tenders Portal [Participant Register](#) (former Beneficiary Register).

This data will be automatically used for all communications concerning this grant (see [Article 52](#)) and other H2020 grants.

 The beneficiaries (via their **legal entity appointed representative (LEAR)**) must keep their **data** in the Funding & Tenders Portal up-to-date at all times including after the end of the grant (see [Articles 17 and 52](#)).

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL

ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL

ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT

This Agreement sets out the rights and obligations and the terms and conditions applicable to the grant awarded to the beneficiaries for implementing the action set out in Chapter 2.

CHAPTER 2 ACTION

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [~~— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT~~] [~~— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION~~]

CHAPTER 2 ACTION

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [~~— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT~~] [~~— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION~~]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

*[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a '**complementary grant**' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):*

- *[insert number] [insert acronym]*
- *[insert number] [insert acronym]].]*

*[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a '**jointly funded action**' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called [insert the name of the third country or international organisation action], as described in Annex 1.]*



1. RIA, IA and CSA actions


What? The RIA, IA and CSA grants of the General MGA fund¹:

- for **research and innovation actions (RIA)**: R&D aiming to establish new knowledge or explore the feasibility of a new technology, product, process, service or solution (including basic and applied research, technology development and integration, testing and validation on a small-scale prototype in a laboratory or simulated environment)
- for **innovation actions (IA)**: innovation activities directly aiming at producing plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services (including prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication)²
- for **coordination and support actions (CSA)**: accompanying measures (*such as standardisation, dissemination, awareness-raising and communication, networking, coordination or support services, policy dialogues and mutual learning exercises and studies*)³.

¹ More information on the types of action can be found on the [General Annexes to the Work Programme](#).


² For the definition, see Article 2.1(6) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: '**innovation action**' means an action primarily consisting of activities directly aiming at producing plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services. For this purpose they may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.

³ For the definition, see Article 2.1(7) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: '**coordination and support action**' means an action consisting primarily of accompanying measures such as standardisation, dissemination, awareness-raising, and communication, networking, coordination or support services, policy dialogues and mutual learning exercises and studies, including design studies for new

 The term **action** comes from the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#) and **means project**. (Previous EU Research Framework Programmes used project.)

RIA, IA and CSA actions are mono- or multi-beneficiary actions.

They are funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020 (e.g. [H2020-FETOPEN-2014-2015-RIA](#); [H2020-WIDESPREAD-2014-3](#)).

 For more information on RIA, IA and CSA actions, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and [the H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

2. Complementary grants

'Complementary grants' are different EU grants which are linked by the work programme/calls by identifying them as complementary actions.

The beneficiaries and those of the complementary grants must cooperate and provide access to their results.

They must conclude a written **collaboration agreement** regarding the coordination of the complementary grants and the work of the action (see [Article 41.4](#)). (It covers the case included under Special Clause 41 in FP7.)

3. Jointly funded actions ('joint actions')


'Joint actions' are the result of **joint** or **coordinated calls** for proposals, launched in parallel by the EU and a non-associated third country (i.e. a third country not associated to Horizon 2020) or international organisation (in policy areas of common interest and expected mutual benefit where there is a clear added value for the EU).⁴

For *joint* calls: the applicants prepare a joint proposal which is submitted to *both* the EU and the third country/IO; the proposals are evaluated and selected through joint evaluation and selection procedures, involving a balanced group of independent experts appointed by each party.

For *coordinated* calls: the applicants submit separate proposals (to the EU and the third country/IO), together with a summary of the work to be done under the coordinated proposal. The Commission/Agency will only evaluate the proposals for the EU action; however, the evaluation may be coordinated (i.e. include experts from the third country/IO use the same expert panels; apply the same evaluation criteria, etc.).

For both types of calls, the EU participants sign a GA with the EU, while the third country participants sign one with their funding agency/IO. The description of the action (Annex 1 of the GA) contains the research carried out under the EU action (but will also include detailed explanations about the research to be carried out under the third country/IO action).

To ensure coordination, the participants of the two actions must conclude a **coordination agreement** (see [Article 41.5](#)) to link the actions (although legally separate) and ensure a smooth and successful project implementation.

 For guidance on coordination agreements, see [How to draw up your coordination agreement](#).

infrastructure, and may also include complementary activities of networking and coordination between programmes in different countries.

⁴ See [Article 12 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013](#).

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

The duration of the action will be **[insert number]** months as of *[OPTION 1 by default: the first day of the month following the date the Agreement enters into force (see Article 58)] [OPTION 2 if needed for the action: [insert date]]*⁶ (**‘starting date of the action’**).

⁶ This date must be the first day of a month and it must be later than the date of entry into force of the agreement, unless authorised otherwise by the authorising officer, if the applicant can demonstrate the need to start the action before the entry into force of the grant agreement or the need to start the action on another day than the first day of the month. In any case, the starting date should not be earlier than the date of the submission of the grant application (Article 130 FR).



1. Action starting date

The action starting date is fixed by the Commission/Agency in the GA.

It is usually the first day of the month following the date when the GA enters into force. The GA enters into force when the last party signs it (see [Article 58](#)).

A fixed starting date may also be agreed between the Commission/Agency and the consortium.


Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may agree that the action starts on another day than the first day of the month, if the consortium requests it (usually in its proposal) and can show that there is a need to start the action at that moment (*e.g. an action that is dependent on environmental conditions*).

That starting date can be either:

- **before the entry into force** of the GA, i.e. before the grant agreement is signed by both parties (retroactive)

***Example:** GA signed by the coordinator on 30.12.2014. Commission signs on 5.1.2015. The action starting date would normally be the 1.2.2015, but the consortium has requested a fixed starting date of 1.9.2014 in its proposal (submitted by the consortium on 15.5.2014), since the action funded is the continuation of a previous FP7 project. Upon consideration of the reasons, this fixed starting date is approved.*

If the consortium requests a fixed starting date before the entry into force of the GA, it assumes the risks implied by starting the action before the GA is signed (in particular not being reimbursed for the costs incurred, *e.g. if the proposal is not successful or that the GA is not signed*).

 The action starting date can **NEVER** be **before** the **submission** of the proposal.


- **after the entry into force of the GA**, i.e. after the grant agreement is signed by both parties, but on another day than the first day of the following month

A starting date fixed much later in time (*e.g. 2-3 months after the signature of the GA*) will have an impact on the timing of the pre-financing payment and will delay it.

2. Action duration

The action duration is fixed by the Commission/Agency in the GA.

It is expressed as a number of months, running from the action starting date until the end date of the action.

 The action duration relates only to the period during which the **action tasks** (set out in Annex 1) are implemented.

The end date of the action is therefore NOT the same as project closure or the end of the GA.

After the end date of the action, the beneficiaries still have to submit their final report and the Commission/Agency has to make the payment of the balance. Moreover, certain obligations under the GA continue even afterwards.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see [Articles 5, 6, \[and 14\]](#)). [OPTION to be used if Article 9 or 14a applies: It also shows the estimated costs of the beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see [Article 9](#))] [and] [international partners (see [Article 14a](#))].

4.2 Budget transfers

The estimated budget breakdown indicated in Annex 2 may be adjusted — without an amendment (see [Article 55](#)) — by transfers of amounts between beneficiaries, budget categories and/or forms of costs set out in Annex 2, if the action is implemented as described in Annex 1.

However, the beneficiaries may not add costs relating to subcontracts not provided for in Annex 1, unless such additional subcontracts are approved by an amendment or in accordance with [Article 13](#).

[OPTION if lump sum foreseen in [Article 5.2](#): Moreover, lump sums set out in Annex 2 can never be adjusted.]



1. Estimated budget

The estimated budget of the action is calculated on the basis of the estimated eligible costs submitted by the consortium and is annexed to the GA ([Annex 2](#)).

These estimated eligible costs are used to determine the ‘maximum grant amount’ of the action (called ‘EU/Euratom financial contribution’ in FP7 projects; see [Article 5.1](#)).

Costs of beneficiaries not receiving EU funding and costs of international partners will be indicated in Annex 2, but will not be included in the total eligible costs and will not count for the maximum amount of the grant (see [Article 9](#) and [Article 14a](#)).

2. Budget categories


The budget categories are listed in [Article 6.2](#) and reflected in the table in Annex 2.


Budget categories of the General MGA:

- direct personnel costs
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs for SME owners without salary
 - costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary
 - personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure
- direct costs of subcontracting

- direct costs of providing financial support to third parties (if option applies)
- other direct costs
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
- indirect costs
- specific cost categories (if option applies).


This budget category (specific cost categories) applies ONLY where specific activities are reimbursed by unit costs or lump sum costs. For the General MGA, this is currently the case for 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure', 'costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings' and 'costs for clinical studies'.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

 Costs for personnel, equipment, goods or services that are in-kind contributions by a third party (for free or against payment) can in practice simply be added to the budget category they correspond to (i.e. personnel costs or other direct costs) — if they comply with the eligibility rules set out in Articles 6 and 11 and 12.

3. Budget transfers (budget flexibility)

The budget in Annex 2 is an estimation.

 **Budget flexibility** — The budget is in principle flexible (with the exceptions set out below).

Be however aware that the budget table will be used for the calculation of grant reductions (see Article 43).

Beneficiaries may transfer budget among themselves, between linked third parties or between budget categories (without requesting an amendment; see Article 55) and — at the time of reporting — declare costs that are different from the estimated budget.

 The maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1) can however **NOT** be increased.

What? If the incurred eligible costs are lower than the estimated eligible costs, the difference can be allocated to another beneficiary or another budget category. The amount reimbursed for the other beneficiary (by application of its reimbursement rate) or for the other budget category (to which the budget transfer is intended) may thus be higher than planned.

***Example:** The estimated budget includes personnel costs of EUR 60 000 for beneficiary A and EUR 75 000 for beneficiary B. However, at the end of the action, the actual personnel costs of beneficiary A are EUR 75 000 due to an increase in salaries or to the need to employ additional personnel to carry out the tasks mentioned in Annex 1 while the actual personnel costs of beneficiary B are EUR 60 000. This may be acceptable provided the additional costs of beneficiary A fulfil the eligibility requirements of Article 6 and up to the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1 (at the level of the action).*

Unit costs — If the GA foresees unit costs, transferring amounts declared as unit costs to other categories or other beneficiaries is possible if the actual number of units used (or produced) by the beneficiary is less than the number estimated in Annex 2. The cost per unit cannot be changed.

Example:

Total estimated unit costs for beneficiary A: EUR 10 000 (100 units x 100 EUR/unit)

Total actual unit costs used (or produced) by beneficiary A: EUR 8 000 (80 units x 100 EUR/unit)

Total possible transfer to another budget category: EUR 2 000

What not? The GA allows transfers of budget, NOT of **tasks**.

Moreover, a beneficiary can NOT transfer budget to a **form of costs** that it did not set out **in its estimated budget** — except within the personnel costs category and to costs of internally invoiced goods and services ([Article 6.2.D.5](#)).

Example (allowed transfer): A beneficiary budgets all its direct personnel costs as 'actual costs' in the estimated budget (column A (a) of Annex 2). However, at the end of the first reporting period, the beneficiary declares its direct personnel costs as 'unit costs determined according to its usual cost accounting practices' (average personnel costs, in column A (b) of Annex 2). This is acceptable without an amendment of the GA.

Example (not allowed transfer): A beneficiary budgets all its costs as 'actual costs' in the estimated budget. However, at the end of the first reporting period, the beneficiary wants to declare part of the costs by using a specific unit cost allowed in the call (e.g. unit cost for clinical studies). This is NOT possible without an amendment of the GA.

If the budget transfer is due to a **significant change in Annex 1**, an amendment to the GA is needed. A significant change is a change that affects the technical work ('action tasks') of Annex 1.

Best practice: The coordinator can contact the Commission/Agency to ask whether the transfer of budget reflects a significant change in Annex 1 which requires an amendment.

Lump sums — If the GA provides for a lump sum, the lump sum set out in Annex 2 cannot be transferred to another category or to another beneficiary.

Furthermore, the amount of the lump sum can never be increased, decreased or split.

Example: EUR 30 000 lump sum foreseen for travel in Annex 2 (under 'other direct costs') cannot be turned into a EUR 15 000 lump sum for travel and EUR 15 000 for personnel costs

New subcontracts — The transfer of budget intended to increase the eligible costs for 'subcontracting' is considered to reflect a significant change of Annex 1 normally requires an amendment (unless the beneficiary uses the simplified approval procedure without formal amendment provided for in [Article 13](#)).

Example (amendment): Beneficiary A subcontracts an action task during the action implementation, because it decided not to recruit additional personnel as initially foreseen, but to use a subcontractor. It requests an ex-ante approval via an amendment (see [Article 55](#))

Example (simplified approval procedure): A beneficiary wants to subcontract a task that originally it was going to carry out by itself. It wants to transfer EUR 100 000 from personnel costs to subcontracting. In order to make sure that this new subcontracting is possible and its cost is eligible, this will require an amendment to the GA before the subcontracting takes place. However, the beneficiary doesn't request an amendment, but declares the change only with the next periodic technical report (at its own risk). Since the Commission approves the report, the costs of the additional subcontract are eligible.



Beneficiaries that rely on the simplified approval procedure bear the **full risk** of non-approval and rejection by the Commission/Agency (see [Article 13](#)).

CHAPTER 3 GRANT

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

CHAPTER 3 GRANT

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The ‘maximum grant amount’ is EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs

The grant reimburses [OPTION 1 for research and innovation actions (RIA) and coordination and support actions (CSA): 100 % of the action’s eligible costs] [OPTION 2 for innovation actions (IA)⁷: 100% of the eligible costs for beneficiaries [and linked third parties] that are non-profit legal entities⁸ and 70% of the eligible costs for beneficiaries [and linked third parties] that are profit legal entities] [OPTION 3 for exceptional cases if foreseen in the work programme: [OPTION A for RIA and CSA: [...%] of the action’s eligible costs][OPTION B for IA: [...%] of the eligible costs for beneficiaries [and linked third parties] that are non-profit legal entities⁹ and [...%] of the eligible costs for beneficiaries [and linked third parties] that are profit legal entities]] (see Article 6) (‘reimbursement of eligible costs grant’) (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms (‘forms of costs’):

(a) for **direct personnel costs** [(excluding direct personnel costs covered by the unit cost[/lump sum] under Point (f))]¹⁰:

- as actually incurred costs (‘actual costs’) or
- on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (‘unit costs’).

Personnel costs for SME owners or beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary (see Article 6.2, Points A.4 and A.5) must be declared on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (unit costs);

(b) for **direct costs of subcontracting** [(excluding subcontracting costs covered by the unit cost[/lump sum] under Point (f))]¹⁰: as actually incurred costs (actual costs);

(c) for **direct costs of providing financial support to third parties** [(excluding costs of financial support covered by the unit cost[/lump sum] under Point (f))]¹²: [OPTION 1 to be used if Article 15 applies: as actually incurred costs (actual costs);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]

(d) for **other direct costs** [(excluding other direct costs covered by the unit cost[/lump sum] under Point (f))]¹³:

- for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (unit costs);
- for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (actual costs);

(e) for **indirect costs** [(excluding indirect costs covered by the unit cost[/lump sum] under Point (f))]¹⁴: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Article 6.2, Point E (‘flat-rate costs’);

(f) **[OPTION 1a for specific unit costs (if unit cost foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): for [insert name of specific cost category(ies)]¹⁵: on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2a¹⁶ ('unit costs').]**

[OPTION 1b for specific lump sum costs (if lump sum foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): for [insert name of specific cost category(ies)]: as the lump sum set out in Annex 2 ('lump sum costs').]

[OPTION 2: specific cost category(ies): not applicable.]

⁷ For the definition, see Article 2.1(6) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in "Horizon 2020 – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)" ('**Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013**') (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p.81): '**innovation action**' means an action primarily consisting of activities directly aiming at producing plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services. For this purpose they may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.

⁸ For the definition, see Article 2.1(14) of the Rules for Participation Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013: '**non-profit legal entity**' means a legal entity which by its legal form is non-profit-making or which has a legal or statutory obligation not to distribute profits to its shareholders or individual members.

⁹ For the definition, see Article 2.1(14) of the Rules for Participation Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013: '**non-profit legal entity**' means a legal entity which by its legal form is non-profit-making or which has a legal or statutory obligation not to distribute profits to its shareholders or individual members.

¹⁰ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹¹ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹² To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹³ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹⁴ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹⁵ Insert precise name of the costs category (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/lump-sum). For example: 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructures'; costs for 'clinical studies'; costs for 'energy efficiency measures in buildings'.

¹⁶ Annex 2a must clearly show, for each beneficiary (and linked third party) concerned, all the parameters for the unit cost (i.e. the unit(s), the amount(s) per unit, the research installation/infrastructure for which it is used, the clinical study for which it is used, etc.).



1. Maximum grant amount

The maximum grant amount set out in this Article can NOT be exceeded.

⚠ The maximum grant amount will **NOT** be **increased** — even if the eligible costs of the action are higher than planned.

The maximum grant amount is not the 'final grant amount' and is not a 'price' due to the beneficiaries.

2. Reimbursement rates

How much? The 'reimbursement rate' for RIA and CSA actions is normally **100%** of the total eligible costs⁵; for IA actions it is normally **70%** of the total eligible costs⁶.

The eligible costs of **non-profit** beneficiaries/linked third parties participating in innovation actions may be reimbursed at **100%**⁷.

⁵ See Article 28(4) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

⁶ See Article 28(5) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

In exceptional cases fixed in the work programme/call, **lower reimbursement rates** may apply.


As a general principle there is only one funding (reimbursement) rate per action, the same for all activities and all beneficiaries of the action (**one project — one funding rate**).

However, if non-profit beneficiaries/linked third parties are in the same innovation action together with profit beneficiaries/linked third parties, their eligible costs will be reimbursed according to their different reimbursement rates.

The reimbursement rate of a beneficiary does NOT condition the reimbursement rate of its linked third parties.

***Example:** The beneficiary is entitled to 70 % but it has a linked third party entitled to 100 %. The linked third party will have a reimbursement rate of 100 % — despite the lower reimbursement rate of the beneficiary to which it is linked.*

The reimbursement rates apply to all forms of costs (actual, unit, lump sums and flat-rates costs)⁸ and all budget categories.

 The grant can **NEVER** reimburse **more** than the maximum grant amount fixed in Article 5.1.

3. Cost forms

The General MGA foresees options for all four cost forms (i.e. actual, unit, flat-rate and lump sum costs)⁹. In practice, they are currently all used, except for lump sums.

Cost forms of the General MGA:

- **actual costs** (i.e. costs which are real and not estimated or budgeted) for:
 - **personnel costs** (— unless declared as unit cost; see below)
 - Example:* EUR 62 500 actual yearly salary for senior researcher A
 - **subcontracting costs**
 - Example:* The actual price paid for the subcontracting of a clinical study
 - costs of providing **financial support** to third parties (if option applies)
 - Example:* The financial support actually paid to third parties
 - **other direct costs** (— unless declared as unit cost; see below)
 - Example:* EUR 2 000 actual price for a computer
- **unit costs** (i.e. an amount per unit) for:
 - personnel costs of **SME owners/natural persons** not receiving a salary¹⁰
 - personnel costs calculated by the beneficiaries in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices (**average personnel costs**)¹¹
 - costs of **internally invoiced goods and services** calculated by the beneficiaries in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices

⁷ See Article 28(5) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

⁸ See Article 28(6) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

⁹ See Articles 123, 124 of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

¹⁰ Commission Decision C(2013) 8197 of 10 December 2013 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for the personnel costs of the owners of small and medium-sized enterprises and beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation and under the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-2018). Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf

¹¹ See Article 33(2) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

- specific unit costs for:
 - costs for **energy efficiency measures** in buildings¹²
 - **access costs** for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure¹³
 - **access costs** for providing *virtual* access to research infrastructure¹⁴
 - costs for **clinical studies**¹⁵
- **flat-rate costs** (i.e. costs calculated by applying a percentage fixed in advance to other types of eligible costs) for:
 - indirect costs (25% flat-rate for indirect costs; ⚠ **new in Horizon 2020**)¹⁶
- **lump sum costs** (i.e. a global amount deemed to cover all costs of the action or a specific category of costs).

Example: EUR 150 000 to carry out a study within an action

The General MGA currently does NOT use any lump sum costs.

Within a grant, different forms of costs can be used.

Example: a budget category (e.g. personnel) covered by unit costs and another (e.g. equipment) by actual costs.

The table below summarises the different budget categories and forms of costs that may be used in H2020 actions under the General MGA:

Forms of costs	Budget categories					
	Direct personnel costs	Direct costs of sub-contracting	Direct costs of financial support to third parties (option used if Article 15 applies)	Other direct costs	Indirect costs	Specific cost categories (option used if Article 6.2 (F) applies)
Actual costs	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Unit costs	YES, only for: - costs established according to the usual cost accounting	NO	NO	YES, only for: costs of internally invoice	NO	YES, only if foreseen by Commission Decision

¹² Commission Decision C(2013) 8196 of 10 December 2013 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings under the Energy Challenge actions of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit_costs_energy_en.pdf.

¹³ Commission Decision C(2013) 8199 of 10 December 2013 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for actions involving trans-national access under the Research Infrastructures Part of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_tna-infra_en.pdf

¹⁴ Decision of 6 February 2019 authorising the use of unit costs for the actions involving virtual access under the Research Infrastructures Part of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_virtual-access_infra.pdf

¹⁵ Commission Decision C(2014) 1393 of 7 March 2014 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for actions requiring the conduct of clinical studies under 'Societal Challenge 1: Health, Demographic Change and Wellbeing' of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit%20costs_clinical_studies.pdf

¹⁶ See Article 29(1) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

	practices of the beneficiary - costs of SME owners and natural persons not receiving a salary			goods and services		
Flat-rate costs	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO
Lump sum costs	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES, only if foreseen by Commission Decision

5.3 **Final grant amount — Calculation**

The ‘**final grant amount**’ depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement’s terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* — when the payment of the balance is made (see [Article 21.4](#)) — in the following steps:

- Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs
- Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount
- Step 3 — Reduction due to the no-profit rule
- Step 4 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 **Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs**

The reimbursement rate(s) (see [Article 5.2](#)) are applied to the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs *[and lump sum costs]*; see [Article 6](#)) declared by the beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* (see [Article 20](#)) and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Article 21](#)).

5.3.2 **Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount**

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1, it will be limited to the latter.

5.3.3 **Step 3 — Reduction due to the no-profit rule**

The grant must not produce a profit.

‘**Profit**’ means the surplus of the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 plus the action’s total receipts, over the action’s total eligible costs.

The ‘**action’s total eligible costs**’ are the consolidated total eligible costs approved by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The ‘**action’s total receipts**’ are the consolidated total receipts generated during its duration (see [Article 3](#)).

The following are considered **receipts**:

- (a) income generated by the action; if the income is generated from selling equipment or other assets purchased under the Agreement, the receipt is up to the amount declared as eligible under the Agreement;
- (b) financial contributions given by third parties to the beneficiary *[or to a linked third party]* specifically to be used for the action, and
- (c) in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge and specifically to be used for the action, if they have been declared as eligible costs.

The following are however not considered receipts:

- (a) income generated by exploiting the action’s results (see [Article 28](#));
- (b) financial contributions by third parties, if they may be used to cover costs other than the eligible costs (see [Article 6](#));

- (c) financial contributions by third parties with no obligation to repay any amount unused at the end of the period set out in Article 3.

If there is a profit, it will be deducted from the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2.

5.3.4 Step 4 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see [Article 43](#)), the [Commission][Agency] will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the **errors, irregularities or fraud** or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 to 3 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 4.



1. Final grant amount

The final grant amount will be calculated by the Commission/Agency — at the end of the action— as part of the calculation of the payment of the balance.

The final grant amount will depend on two types of criteria:

- **work implementation criteria**, i.e. was the work carried out as described in Annex 1
This is a technical analysis by the Commission/Agency of the work performed during the action, as compared with the activities set out in Annex 1 of the GA.
- **financial criteria**, including:
 - the amount of eligible costs
 - the reimbursement rates
 - the maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)).

The procedure for the final payment and detailed calculations are described in [Article 21](#).

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see [Article 22](#)) — the *[Commission][Agency]* rejects costs (see [Article 42](#)) or reduces the grant (see [Article 43](#)), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’ for the beneficiary concerned by the findings.

This amount is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* on the basis of the findings, as follows:

- in case of **rejection of costs**: by applying the reimbursement rate to the revised eligible costs approved by the *[Commission][Agency]* for the beneficiary concerned;
- in case of **reduction of the grant**: by calculating the concerned beneficiary’s share in the grant amount reduced in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see [Article 43.2](#)).

In case of **rejection of costs and reduction of the grant**, the revised final grant amount for the beneficiary concerned will be the lower of the two amounts above.



1. Revised final grant amount

If the Commission/Agency finds — after the payment of the balance — ineligible costs, substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations (and therefore **rejects costs** and/or **reduces the grant**), it will revise the final grant amount, for the beneficiary concerned and, if needed, make a recovery.

The detailed calculations are described in [Article 21](#).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 General conditions for costs to be eligible

‘**Eligible costs**’ are costs that meet the following criteria:

(a) **for actual costs:**

- (i) they must be actually incurred by the beneficiary;
- (ii) they must be incurred in the period set out in Article 3, with the exception of costs relating to the submission of the periodic report for the last reporting period and the final report (see [Article 20](#));
- (iii) they must be indicated in the estimated budget set out in Annex 2;
- (iv) they must be incurred in connection with the action as described in Annex 1 and necessary for its implementation;
- (v) they must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular recorded in the beneficiary’s accounts in accordance with the accounting standards applicable in the country where the beneficiary is established and with the beneficiary’s usual cost accounting practices;
- (vi) they must comply with the applicable national law on taxes, labour and social security, and
- (vii) they must be reasonable, justified and must comply with the principle of sound financial management, in particular regarding economy and efficiency;

(b) **for unit costs:**

- (i) they must be calculated as follows:

{amounts per unit set out in Annex 2a or calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (see [Article 6.2, Point A and Article 6.2.D.5](#))

multiplied by

the number of actual units};

- (ii) the number of actual units must comply with the following conditions:
 - the units must be actually used or produced in the period set out in Article 3;
 - the units must be necessary for implementing the action or produced by it, and
 - the number of units must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular supported by records and documentation (see [Article 18](#));

(c) **for flat-rate costs:**

- (i) they must be calculated by applying the flat-rate set out in Annex 2, and
- (ii) the costs (actual costs or unit costs [*or lump sum costs*]) to which the flat-rate is applied must comply with the conditions for eligibility set out in this Article [;].]

(d) [*OPTION if lump sum foreseen in Article 5.2: **for lump sum costs:***

- (i) *the eligible amount is equal to the amount set out in Annex 2, and*
- (ii) *the corresponding tasks or parts of the action must have been properly implemented in accordance with Annex 1.]*



1. Eligible costs

The grant can **only** reimburse **eligible costs** (i.e. costs that comply with the general and specific conditions set out in this Article) (**'reimbursement of eligible costs grant'**).

⚠ ONLY **eligible costs** may be entered into the estimated budget for the action (see [Article 4](#)) and declared in the financial statements (see [Article 20](#)).

Record-keeping & burden of proof — The **burden on proof** for eligibility is on the beneficiaries (and linked third parties). They must keep sufficient supporting documents (see [Article 18](#)) to show that the costs they declare are eligible.

Compliance with eligibility rules may be subject to a **check or audit** by the Commission/Agency. Any ineligible costs found will be **rejected** (see [Article 42](#)).

Cost eligibility is NOT the same as beneficiary/action eligibility. The latter are normally checked upstream (before Grant Agreement/Amendment signature) in order to make sure that only eligible beneficiaries/actions are selected for a grant. Loss of eligibility during an ongoing grant normally leads to termination or change of status (see [Articles 50 and 55](#)); costs become automatically ineligible as from the date of loss of eligibility.

If a beneficiary **declares ineligible costs**, the ineligible costs will be rejected and, if needed, other measures specified in Chapter 6 (e.g. *suspension, termination, grant reduction, etc.*) may be taken.

Article 6.1 refers to general eligibility conditions, applicable per cost form (see [Article 5](#)).

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category (see [Article 4](#)).

i For a consolidated list of eligibility issues relating to specific situations/legal framework in individual countries, see the [List of issues applicable to particular countries](#).

2. General eligibility conditions for actual costs

In order to be **eligible**, actual costs must be:

- **actually incurred by the beneficiary** (i.e.:
 - real and not estimated, budgeted or imputed and
 - definitively and genuinely borne by the beneficiary (not by any other entity))
- **incurred during the action duration** (i.e. the generating event that triggers the costs must take place during the action duration)

The 'action duration' is the period running from the action starting date to the end date of the action (see [Article 3](#)).

If costs are invoiced or paid later than the end date, they are eligible only if the debt existed already during the action duration (supported by documentary evidence) and the final cost was known at the moment of the financial report.

Costs of services or equipment supplied to a beneficiary (or to its linked third party) may be invoiced and paid after the end date of the action if the services or equipment were used by the beneficiary (or to its linked third party) during the action

duration. By contrast, costs of services or equipment supplied after the end of the action (or after GA termination) are not eligible.

Example: A conference for which costs are claimed must take place during the action duration.


- **entered as eligible costs in the estimated budget of the action**, under the relevant budget category (see Annex 2)

When the final amount of the grant is calculated, the eligible costs cannot include costs under budget categories that did not appear in the action estimated budget, unless the initial estimated budget was amended or if these additional costs were approved in accordance with Articles 11 to 13.

Costs included in the estimated budget may be transferred between beneficiaries and budget categories without amending the GA under the conditions set out in Article 4.2.

- **connected to the action as described in Annex 1** (i.e. necessary to achieve the action's objectives)

The EU/Euratom grant cannot be used to finance activities other than those approved by the Commission.

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

- **identifiable and verifiable** (i.e. come directly from the beneficiary's accounts (be directly reconcilable with them) and supported by documentation)


The beneficiaries must be able to show (with records and supporting documents; see Article 18) the actual costs of the work, i.e. what was actually paid for the work (and for depreciation costs: what is actually recorded in the beneficiary's profit and loss accounts).

Costs must be calculated according to the applicable accounting rules of the country in which the beneficiary is established and according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices.

Example: if a beneficiary always charges a particular cost as an indirect cost, it must do so also for H2020 actions, and should not charge it as a direct cost.

This may NOT be used as an excuse for non-compliance with other GA provisions. A beneficiary must make any changes needed to bring its usual cost accounting practices in line with all GA provisions.

Examples: conditions for calculation of productive hours (see below); conditions for the eligibility of depreciation costs (in line with the international accounting standards, which may deviate from the accounting rules of the country)

 **new in Horizon 2020:** Accounting documentation is necessary only for direct costs. Indirect costs do not need supporting evidence because they are declared using a flat-rate.

- in **compliance with applicable national laws on taxes, labour and social security**

AND

- **reasonable, justified and must comply with the principles of sound financial management, in particular regarding economy and efficiency** (i.e. be in line with good housekeeping practice when spending public money and not be excessive)

'Economy' means minimising the costs of resources used for an activity (input), while maximising quality; 'efficiency' is the relationship between outputs and the resources used to produce them.

Examples:

1. The beneficiary may NOT increase the remuneration of its personnel, upgrade its travel policy or its purchasing rules because of the Commission/Agency support.
2. Entertainment or hospitality expenses (including gifts, special meals and dinners) are generally not eligible.
3. Tips which are not obligatory are not eligible. By contrast, in some countries the invoice of the restaurant includes a certain mandatory amount as payment for the 'service'. In this case, the amount may be considered eligible — if the other eligibility conditions are fulfilled.

Specific cases (actual costs):

In-kind contributions free of charge and costs of linked third parties — For in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge and costs of linked third parties, the eligibility rules apply *mutatis mutandis* (see [Article 6.3](#) and [6.4](#)).

Thus, the costs must be:

- actually incurred by the third party
- recorded in the third party's accounting records
- calculated in accordance with the accounting standards applicable in the country in which the third party is established
- calculated according to the third party's usual cost accounting practices.

Depreciation costs for equipment used for the action, but bought before the action's start — If the equipment has not yet been fully depreciated according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices, the remaining depreciation costs may be eligible (only for the portion corresponding to the action duration and for the rate of actual use for the action; see [Article 6.2.D.2](#)).

Costs related to preparing, submitting and negotiating the proposals — Cannot be declared as eligible for the action (they are incurred before the action starts).

Costs related to drafting the consortium agreement — Are not eligible because the consortium agreement should be signed before the action starts. However, costs related to updating the consortium agreement are eligible if incurred during the action duration.

Travel costs for the kick-off meeting — Even if the first leg of the journey takes place before the action starting date (*e.g. the day before the kick-off meeting*), the costs may be eligible, if the meeting is held during the action duration.

Costs for reporting at end of the action — Costs related to drafting and submitting the periodic report for the last reporting period and the final report are eligible even if they are incurred after the action duration.

Those costs include the cost of certificates on the financial statements (CFS) required by the GA and the cost of participating in a final review carried out by the Commission/Agency before the submission of the final reports. They may also include the cost of personnel necessary to prepare the periodic report for the last reporting period and the final report. However, they do NOT include research or innovation activities undertaken after the end date of the action.

Personnel costs for ERA chairs — Are eligible even if the salary is above national salaries, provided that it does not go beyond normal EU/international salaries for similar positions (since the ERA chairs aim to incentivise international mobility for internationally outstanding researchers and research managers. When the level of remuneration of the ERA Chair is indicated in Annex 1, this will be duly taken into account in case of checks, audits or reviews.

Costs to allow for the participation of disabled people (*e.g. costs for sign language interpreters required for dissemination events organised under the action*) — May be eligible if they fulfil the general and specific eligibility conditions listed under [Articles 6.1](#) and [6.2](#). The beneficiaries must keep records (see [Article 18](#)) to prove in case of an audit, check or review the actual costs incurred and that they were necessary for the implementation of the action.

3. General eligibility conditions for unit costs

In order to be **eligible**, unit costs must be:

- calculated by **multiplying the number of actual units** used to carry out the work (*e.g. number of hours worked on the action, number of tests performed, etc.*) or produced

(e.g. number of square meters for energy efficiency in buildings) **by the amount per unit**

Example: A Commission decision that sets the amount per unit for laboratory analyses at EUR 300 per test. This amount per unit is also set out in Annex 2a.

- the **number of units** must be **necessary** for the action
- the units must be **used or produced during the action duration**

AND

- the beneficiaries must be able to **show the link** between the number of units declared and the work on the action.

The beneficiaries must be able to show (with records and supporting evidence; see [Article 18](#)) that the number of units declared was actually used for the action. (The actual costs of the work are not relevant.)

Example: A beneficiary which is a SME declares for its owner who does not receive a salary 300 hours worked for an action in 2014. If there is an audit, the SME beneficiary must be able to show a record of the number of hours worked by the owner for the action.

Specific cases (unit costs):

Costs declared on the basis of the usual accounting practices— For two budget categories (A. personnel costs and D.5 costs of internally invoiced goods and services), costs may be declared not as a fixed unit cost, but on the basis of the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices. In this case,:

- the beneficiary:
 - must calculate the costs according to its usual accounting practices
 - must budget and declare a total amount (not the units used)
- the amount per unit is not fixed in Annex 2a.

Other costs may NOT be declared according to the usual cost accounting practices. For them, the amounts per unit will be fixed by the Commission/Agency and set out in Annex 2a of the GA (see [Article 5.2](#)).

4. General eligibility conditions for flat-rate costs

In order to be **eligible**, flat-rate costs must be:

- calculated by **applying a flat rate** to certain **costs** (whether actual, unit or lump sum costs).

Example (25 % flat rate for indirect costs):

A SME beneficiary that charges costs of its owner without a salary is working on an innovation action and uses the EUR 30 per hour unit cost fixed in Annex 2 for personnel costs. The SME beneficiary declares as eligible 300 hours of direct personnel costs for its owner + EUR 1 400 for other direct costs + EUR 1 500 for subcontracting for work in an innovation action during the first reporting period.

Eligible direct costs: $(30 \times 300 = 9\,000) + 1\,400 + 1\,500 = 11\,900$

Eligible indirect cost: 25 % flat-rate of 9 000 + 1 400 (not the 1 500 for subcontracting) = EUR 2 600

Total eligible costs: $11\,900 + 2\,600 = \text{EUR } 14\,500$.

Reimbursement rate of 70 % (innovation action, 'for profit' beneficiary) = EUR 10 150.

The beneficiaries must be able to show (with records and supporting evidence; see [Article 18](#)) that the costs to which the flat-rate is applied are eligible. (The actual indirect costs are not relevant.)

5. General eligibility conditions for lump sum costs

In order to be **eligible**:

- the lump sum costs must **correspond** to the amount of **lump sum** costs set out in Annex 2 and
- the **work** must have been **carried out** in accordance to Annex 1 of the GA.

The beneficiaries must be able to show (with records and supporting evidence; see [Article 18](#)) that the action tasks have been carried out as described in Annex 1. (The actual costs of the work are not relevant).

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. direct personnel costs;
- B. direct costs of subcontracting;
- C. *[OPTION 1 to be used if Article 15 applies: direct costs of providing financial support to third parties;] [OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- D. other direct costs;
- E. indirect costs;
- F. *[OPTION 1 for specific unit/lump sum] costs: [insert name(s) of specific cost category(ies)]¹⁶ [OPTION 2: not applicable].*

‘**Direct costs**’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see [Point E](#) below).

‘**Indirect costs**’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

¹⁶ Insert precise name of the cost category (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/lump sum. For example: ‘access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure’, costs for ‘clinical studies’, costs for ‘energy efficiency measures in buildings’.



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to **specific eligibility** conditions, applicable per budget category.

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

1. types of costs covered by the budget category
2. cost form under which the costs must be declared (i.e. actual costs, unit costs, flat rate)
3. eligibility conditions
4. how the costs must be calculated.

i For a consolidated list of eligibility issues relating to specific situations/legal framework in individual countries, see [the List of issues applicable to particular countries](#).

2. Direct costs

‘Direct costs’ are specific costs directly linked to the performance of the action and which can therefore be directly booked to it.

They are:

- either costs that have been caused in full by the activities of the action

- or costs that have been caused in full by the activities of several actions (projects), the attribution of which to a single action can, and has been, directly measured (i.e. not attributed indirectly via an allocation key, a cost driver or a proxy).

The beneficiaries must be able to show (with records and supporting evidence) the link to the action.

3. Indirect costs

'Indirect costs' are costs that cannot be identified as specific costs directly linked to the performance of the action.

In practice, they are costs whose link to the action can NOT be (or has not been) measured directly, but only by means of cost drivers or a proxy (i.e. parameters that apportion the total indirect costs (overheads) among the different activities of the beneficiary).

 In Horizon 2020, indirect costs are declared as a **25% fixed flat-rate** of the eligible direct costs (minus certain direct eligible costs; see [Article 6.2.E](#)).

A. Direct personnel costs [(not covered by Point F)]**Types of eligible personnel costs**

A.1 **Personnel costs** are eligible, if they are related to personnel working for the beneficiary under an employment contract (or equivalent appointing act) and assigned to the action (**‘costs for employees (or equivalent)’**). They must be limited to salaries (including during parental leave), social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the **remuneration**, if they arise from national law or the employment contract (or equivalent appointing act).

Beneficiaries that are non-profit legal entities¹⁷ may also declare as personnel costs **additional remuneration** for personnel assigned to the action (including payments on the basis of supplementary contracts regardless of their nature), if:

- (a) it is part of the beneficiary’s usual remuneration practices and is paid in a consistent manner whenever the same kind of work or expertise is required;
- (b) the criteria used to calculate the supplementary payments are objective and generally applied by the beneficiary, regardless of the source of funding used.

‘Additional remuneration’ means any part of the remuneration which exceeds what the person would be paid for time worked in projects funded by national schemes.

Additional remuneration for personnel assigned to the action is eligible up to the following amount:

- (a) if the person works full time and exclusively on the action during the full year: up to EUR 8 000;
- (b) if the person works exclusively on the action but not full-time or not for the full year: up to the corresponding pro-rata amount of EUR 8 000, or
- (c) if the person does not work exclusively on the action: up to a pro-rata amount calculated as follows:
 - {EUR 8 000
 - divided by
 - the number of annual productive hours (see below)},
 - multiplied by
 - the number of hours that the person has worked on the action during the year}.

A.2 The **costs for natural persons working under a direct contract** with the beneficiary other than an employment contract are eligible personnel costs, if:

- (a) the person works under conditions similar to those of an employee (in particular regarding the way the work is organised, the tasks that are performed and the premises where they are performed);
- (b) the result of the work carried out belongs to the beneficiary (unless exceptionally agreed otherwise), and
- (c) the costs are not significantly different from those for personnel performing similar tasks under an employment contract with the beneficiary.

A.3 The **costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment** are eligible personnel costs if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.

¹⁷ For the definition, see Article 2.1(14) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: ‘non-profit legal entity’ means a legal entity which by its legal form is non-profit-making or which has a legal or statutory obligation not to distribute profits to its shareholders or individual members.

A.4 **Costs of owners** of beneficiaries that are small and medium-sized enterprises (**‘SME owners’**), who are working on the action and who do not receive a salary are eligible personnel costs, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual hours worked on the action.

A.5 **Costs of ‘beneficiaries that are natural persons’** not receiving a salary are eligible personnel costs, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual hours worked on the action.

[A.6 [OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.] [OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Personnel costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]]

Calculation

Personnel costs must be calculated by the beneficiaries as follows:

{hourly rate

multiplied by

number of actual hours worked on the action},

plus

for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration to personnel assigned to the action under the conditions set out above (Point A.1)}.

The number of actual hours declared for a person must be identifiable and verifiable (see [Article 18](#)).

The total number of hours declared in EU or Euratom grants, for a person for a year, cannot be higher than the annual productive hours used for the calculations of the hourly rate. Therefore, the maximum number of hours that can be declared for the grant are:

{number of annual productive hours for the year (see below)

minus

total number of hours declared by the beneficiary, for that person for that year, for other EU or Euratom grants}.

The **‘hourly rate’** is one of the following:

- (a) for personnel costs declared as **actual** costs (i.e. budget categories A.1, A.2, A.3 [*and A.6*]): the hourly rate is calculated per full financial year, as follows:

{actual annual personnel costs (excluding additional remuneration) for the person

divided by

number of annual productive hours}

using the personnel costs and the number of productive hours for each full financial year covered by the reporting period concerned. If a financial year is not closed at the end of the reporting period, the beneficiaries must use the hourly rate of the last closed financial year available.

For the ‘number of annual productive hours’, the beneficiaries may choose one of the following:

- (i) ‘fixed number of hours’: 1 720 hours for persons working full time (or corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time);
- (ii) ‘individual annual productive hours’: the total number of hours worked by the person in the year for the beneficiary, calculated as follows:

{annual workable hours of the person (according to the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national law)

plus

overtime worked

minus

absences (such as sick leave and special leave)).

‘Annual workable hours’ means the period during which the personnel must be working, at the employer’s disposal and carrying out his/her activity or duties under the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation.

If the contract (or applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation) does not allow to determine the annual workable hours, this option cannot be used;

- (iii) ‘standard annual productive hours’: the standard number of annual hours generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices. This number must be at least 90% of the ‘standard annual workable hours’.

If there is no applicable reference for the standard annual workable hours, this option cannot be used.

For all options, the actual time spent on **parental leave** by a person assigned to the action may be deducted from the number of annual productive hours.

As an alternative, beneficiaries may calculate the hourly rate *per month*, as follows:

{actual monthly personnel cost (excluding additional remuneration) for the person

divided by

{number of annual productive hours / 12}}

using the personnel costs for each month and (one twelfth of) the annual productive hours calculated according to either option (i) or (iii) above, i.e.:

- fixed number of hours or
- standard annual productive hours.

Time spent on **parental leave** may not be deducted when calculating the hourly rate per month. However, beneficiaries may declare personnel costs incurred in periods of parental leave in proportion to the time the person worked on the action in that financial year.

If parts of a basic remuneration are generated over a period longer than a month, the beneficiaries may include only the share which is generated in the month (irrespective of the amount actually paid for that month).

Each beneficiary must use only one option (per full financial year or per month) for each full financial year;

- (b) for personnel costs declared on the basis of **unit** costs (i.e. budget categories A.1, A.2, A.4, A.5 [*and* A.6]): the hourly rate is one of the following:

- (ii) for SME owners or beneficiaries that are natural persons: the hourly rate set out in Annex 2a (see Points A.4 and A.5 above), or

(ii) for personnel costs declared on the basis of the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices: the hourly rate calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices, if:

- the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
- the hourly rate is calculated using the actual personnel costs recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories.

The actual personnel costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the personnel costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information;

and

- the hourly rate is calculated using the number of annual productive hours (see above).



1. Direct personnel costs (A.): Types of costs — Forms — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

An additional option for access to research infrastructure (together with the corresponding [Article 16](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action also involves access to research infrastructure.


The beneficiaries may declare the following **types of costs** as 'direct personnel costs':

- costs for **employees** (or equivalent):
- costs for **natural persons working** under a direct contract
- costs for **personnel seconded by a third party**
- costs for **beneficiaries that are SMEs for their owners not receiving a salary**
- costs for **beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary**
- personnel **costs for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure** (if option applies).

1.1 Direct personnel costs: Employees (or equivalent) (A.1)

1.1.1 What? Personnel costs for employees or equivalent (i.e. persons working for the beneficiary on the basis of an employment contract or equivalent appointing act) that worked in the action.

⚠ 'Equivalent appointing act' means the appointing acts of civil servants (who do not sign employment contracts but receive official nominations for their posts).


 ONLY costs for **personnel assigned to the action** (i.e. working for the action according to internal written instructions, organisation chart or other documented management decision) can be eligible. Reliable time records will normally be sufficient proof of the assignment to the action — unless there is other contradicting evidence (e.g. the employment contract indicates that the person was hired to work on another project)

It covers (and is limited to):

- **basic remuneration** (i.e. the payments for the employee's normal work and participation in projects — up to what is the beneficiary's usual remuneration practice for national projects)

and, in addition:

- for non-profit legal entities: **additional remuneration** (i.e. extra payments made for the participation in projects — above the beneficiary's usual remuneration practice for national projects).

 **National projects** are to be understood in the large sense, meaning all types of projects funded under any type of national (public or private) funding scheme (including projects co-financed by EU funds that are managed by the Member States, e.g. regional funds, agricultural and fisheries funds).

Projects from EU programmes directly managed by the Commission/Agencies or their funding bodies (e.g. LIFE, ERASMUS, Health, etc.) do not, however, qualify as national projects (but as EU/international projects).

Both basic remuneration and additional remuneration do not only cover the payment itself (salary or bonus), but also the social security contributions (*mandatory employer and employee contributions*), taxes included in the remuneration (e.g. *income tax*) and other costs and payments included in the remuneration (e.g. *a fee paid by the beneficiary for a complementary health insurance scheme for the employee*).

What not? Cost of persons who work for the beneficiary, but NOT with an employment contract or equivalent appointing act (e.g. *staff provided by a temporary work agency, seconded staff, self-employed persons with a direct contract with the beneficiary*).

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — A contract with a temporary work agency qualifies typically as a purchase of services (unless the temporary work agency carries out directly some task of the action — in which case it would be considered as subcontracting). Thus, although NOT eligible as personnel costs, the costs can be charged under other budget categories (i.e. D.3 other goods and services or B. subcontracting), if they comply with the eligibility conditions (e.g. *best value for money and no conflict of interest*; see [Articles 10 and 13](#)).

Seconded staff and **self-employed persons with a direct contract** — Can also be declared under other categories within the personnel costs (see *below, points 1.2 and 1.3*).

1.1.2 Costs for employees (or equivalent) must be **declared** as:

- **actual personnel costs** (most common case)

OR

- **unit costs** in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices (average personnel costs; see [Article 5.2\(a\)](#)).

1.1.3 The costs for employees (or equivalent) must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

For basic remuneration:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))

Payments of dividends to employees (profit distribution) are NOT eligible under [Article 6.5\(a\)\(i\)](#). However, bonuses based on the overall financial performance of the organisation (e.g. *profitability or surplus*) may be accepted, if they fulfil the conditions set out below, i.e. as part of the usual remuneration practices for national projects and thus eligible as basic remuneration.

Examples (positive):

If the profit of the company at the end of the year is more than x € (or more than x %), each employee will receive a bonus of z % of his/her basic remuneration (or a fixed bonus of x € more as part of the gross salary).

Examples (negative):

If the profit of the company at the end of the year is more than X € (or more than X %), z % of that profit will be distributed to employees through extra remuneration.

Any part of the remuneration which is based on commercial targets or fund raising targets is NOT eligible (because neither incurred in connection with the work described in Annex 1 of the action nor necessary for its implementation).

Examples: x € for reaching a sales target; x % on sales; x € premium per externally funded project gained; x % of the external funding gained

- be paid in accordance with national law, the collective labour agreement and the employment contract/equivalent appointing act

Examples:

1. *The collective labour agreement establishes that all researchers may receive a bonus between EUR 100 and EUR 200 per month based on their seniority.*

2. *The national law authorises public universities to pay a bonus based on merit of the employees.*

3. *The contract fixes a premium of EUR 1 000 for each research paper published in peer-reviewed international research journals.*

- **exclude arbitrary bonuses** (i.e. bonuses which are not part of the beneficiary's usual remuneration practices and/or which are not based on objective conditions).

For additional remuneration (in addition to the conditions above):

- be a **non-profit legal entity**
- be paid for the performance of **additional work** or **different expertise** than his/her usual tasks

This is usually the case, since additional remuneration aims precisely to reimburse the additional work/expertise that is required for EU and international projects.

- be **consistently applied** whenever the same kind of work or expertise is required and **regardless of the source of funding** used

The additional remuneration may not differ according to *where* the funds come from. In particular, it cannot be set up for actions funded by a specific donor; additional remuneration schemes that are applicable only to EU projects are not acceptable.

Example (not acceptable): *All professors carrying out research will be paid 10 % more when they work on EU-funded actions.*

Also, they may not be subject to budget availability (i.e. only to be paid if there is remaining funds in the project budget).

However, the additional remuneration does not have to be the *same* for all persons working in the same project (provided that objective criteria are used to determine the differences).

⚠ 8000 EUR ceiling — Additional remuneration is eligible **ONLY up to** a ceiling of **8000 EUR** annual costs; see point 1.1.4).

Specific cases (direct personnel costs for employees (or equivalent) (A.1)):

Teleworking — Remuneration costs of personnel not working on the premises of the beneficiary (i.e. teleworking) may be accepted as eligible, if it is the beneficiary's usual practice (i.e. if clear rules are available). The system in place must make it possible to both identify and record the hours worked for the action.

Benefits in kind — Costs of benefits in-kind provided by the beneficiary to its personnel (e.g. costs of a company car made available to certain categories of employees for their own use) or of quasi-financial benefits (e.g. costs of lunch vouchers) may be accepted as eligible, if they are justified and registered as personnel costs in conformity with the beneficiary's usual remuneration practices. Like all costs, they must fulfil the eligibility conditions set out in Article 6.

Recruitment costs — Recruitment costs are generally NOT eligible as direct costs, because the beneficiary is required to have the necessary human resources to implement the action. If a beneficiary needs to recruit additional personnel during the action, the related costs would be considered part of the entity's normal indirect costs, which are covered by the 25 % flat-rate.

Costs for students, PhDs and other researchers under scholarship, internship or similar agreements (not employees) — Costs of students that work for the beneficiary can be accepted, if the agreement is work-oriented (not training-oriented: i.e. not aimed at helping the student to acquire professional skills).

PhD agreements will be considered work-oriented. However, time for training, if any, may NOT be charged to the action.

Fellowships/scholarships/stipends — Can be charged to the action (as personnel costs), if they fulfil the conditions set out Article 6.1 and 6.2.A.2, and in particular:

- the remuneration complies with the application national law on taxes, labour and social security
- the assignment of tasks respects the laws in force in the country of the beneficiary
- the students have the necessary qualifications to carry out the tasks allocated to them under the action.

Cost for exemptions from academic fees — The fees (or part of them) are eligible as personnel cost, if the student's contract includes the amount of waived fees as part of his/her remuneration. The other conditions set out in Article 6 have to be fulfilled as well (e.g. the full remuneration, included the value of the waived fees, must be recorded in the university's accounts).

Parental leave — Salaries and social security contributions paid during parental leave (either maternity leave or parental leave) are eligible as part of the basic remuneration only if:

- they are mandatory under national law, under the relevant collective labour agreement (e.g. statutory maternity pay) or under the employment contract
- the beneficiary has actually incurred them
- they are not reimbursed by national (central, regional or local) authorities (i.e. only the net amounts paid by the beneficiary are eligible).


Costs related to public officials — For public bodies, the costs related to public officials paid directly from central, regional or local government budgets may be considered eligible, if they fulfil the conditions set out in Article 6 (applied to the central, regional or local government employing the public officials). In this case, the public officials will be considered as in-kind contributions (resources made available) provided by a third party (the government) free of charge (see Article 12).

Supplementary contracts — Supplementary contracts for participation in projects (whatever their form) are acceptable if it is the beneficiary's usual practice and compatible with national law. The remuneration will be qualified as basic or additional remuneration, depending on the scope (national

OR EU/international) and amount (above, equal or below usual remuneration practices for national projects).

1.1.4 The costs must be calculated as follows:

In general, personnel costs for H2020 actions are calculated (for each person assigned to the action, including persons working exclusively on the action) as follows:



In practice, the calculation will differ (per type of beneficiary/employee) depending on the remuneration practices of the beneficiary:

Case 1: beneficiaries declaring personnel costs as actual costs

Case 1A: employee whose remuneration is not project-based (i.e. same remuneration, regardless if they are involved or not in specific projects)

Case 1B: employee whose remuneration is project-based (i.e. different remuneration levels, depending on whether they work in specific projects or not)

Examples:

1. The beneficiary and the researcher sign a separate employment contract for the work of the person in the Horizon 2020 action. The hourly rate resulting from that additional employment contract is different from the hourly rate of the other employment contract of the person with the beneficiary.

2. The beneficiary has a remuneration scheme which includes the payment of a bonus for work in specific projects. The bonus is paid as part of the total salary of the person in addition to the basic salary and other general bonuses.

3. The employment contract of the person fixes a specific hourly rate to be paid for work in specific projects. This hourly rate replaces the standard salary of the person while it works in those projects.

Case 2: beneficiaries declaring personnel costs as unit costs in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices (average personnel costs).

Additional remuneration is in practice an issue ONLY for case 1B beneficiaries. All other beneficiaries will automatically fall under 'basic remuneration only' and therefore are not concerned by the provisions on additional remuneration.

Case 1B beneficiaries need to differentiate between payments that are basic remuneration and payments that are additional remuneration. For them, the hourly rate charged to the action must be calculated using only basic remuneration (i.e. excluding any part that qualifies as additional remuneration). Additional remuneration may be charged (separately and on top), if the beneficiary is a non-profit entity, if that remuneration is eligible and only up to a ceiling of 8000 EUR per year.

⚠ ONLY hours actually worked on the action can be counted. Such hours must be registered via time records or, if the person works exclusively in the action, via a declaration (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

⚠ Double ceiling — Beneficiaries must ensure that:

- the **total number of hours worked declared** in EU and Euratom grants for a person for a year is NOT higher than the number of **annual productive hours** used for the calculation of the hourly rate (see below)

Example: Beneficiary using 1 720 as annual productive hours. According to the time-records, during the financial year 2017 employee Z worked 900 hours in a H2020 action and 900 hours in another EU project. The total hours worked for EU projects by employee Z would therefore be 1 800 (900 + 900). However, the beneficiary cannot declare more than 1 720 hours, since this is the number of annual productive hours it used for the calculation of the hourly rate. Therefore, for 2017, the beneficiary can only charge 820 hours to the H2020 action (i.e. 1 720 – 900 hours declared to other EU grant), even if the person worked 900 hours in the action.

- the **total amount of personnel costs declared** (for reimbursement as actual costs) in EU and Euratom grants for a person for a year is NOT higher than the total personnel costs recorded in the **beneficiary's accounts** (for that person for that year).

Example: The total personnel costs recorded in the 2017 accounts of the beneficiary for employee Z is EUR 18 000. The beneficiary already declared EUR 9 000 to the other EU grant. The hourly rate for employee Z according to the formula in the H2020 Grant Agreement is 11 EUR/hour and employee Z worked 900 hours for the action in 2017. The eligible personnel costs for the H2020 action would therefore be: 11 EUR/hour x 900 hours = EUR 9 900. However, since the beneficiary already declared EUR 9 000 to the other EU grant, the maximum that it can declare to the H2020 action is: EUR 18 000 (total personnel costs for employee Z) – EUR 9 000 (already declared to other EU grant) = EUR 9 000. The beneficiary can therefore declare only EUR 9 000, even if the result of the formula for personnel costs would be EUR 9 900.

How to calculate direct personnel costs for employees (or equivalent):

For case 1A beneficiaries (actual costs, not project-based remuneration): 2 steps

Step 1 — Calculation of the hourly rate (for each employee)

The beneficiary must calculate, for each employee, the hourly rate to be charged to the action by using one of the following methods:

- **per full financial year** (main method): single hourly rate for each person for each full financial year
- **per month** (alternative method): one hourly rate for each person for each month (i.e. 12 hourly rates per person for each financial year).

Each beneficiary may choose any of the two options. However, the option chosen must be applied during the full financial year to all its personnel in all H2020 grants. If the beneficiary wants to change option, it can do so for the next financial year (— again for all its personnel in all its H2020 grants).

⚠ Recalculations & adjustments of financial statements (exceptional) — To benefit from this new option (i.e. hourly rate per month) beneficiaries may apply it retroactively for all grants on-going (i.e. for which the final report has not been submitted) on 20 July 2016 (i.e. date of adoption of the H2020 MGA version 3.0). The beneficiary may re-calculate costs already declared on the basis the full financial year by using the monthly calculation method. However, in that case it must do the recalculation for ALL personnel costs declared for that/those financial year(s) in ALL on-going H2020 grants. The beneficiary must declare the differences, either positive or negative, as adjustments in the next reporting period.

⚠ The grant agreement **formulas for the hourly rates are mandatory**. Those formulas may lead to minor/temporary differences with the personnel cost recorded in the accounts. Those differences have no impact on the eligibility of the costs, provided that the formula has been correctly applied and that the **double ceiling** explained above has been respected.

Calculation of the hourly rate per full financial year

Annual hourly rates must be calculated as follows:

for each person:

{actual **annual personnel costs** for the person
divided by
number of **annual productive hours**}.

The rate must be calculated by **full financial year** (i.e. the 12-month period covered by the annual accounts of the entity).

Example: When the financial year matches the **calendar year**, i.e. 1st January – 31st December, the hourly rate for the hours worked in 2014 will be calculated using the personnel costs and the productive hours from January to December 2014.

When at the end of the reporting period there are months for which the financial year is not closed yet (e.g. the financial year is still on-going; necessary information for that year is not yet available, etc.), the beneficiary must use the figures of the last closed financial year available to declare the costs for those months (i.e. use the hourly rate of the last closed financial year available also to calculate the personnel costs for the hours worked on those months).

Example: Action with 1 reporting period of 18 months from 1.10.2015 to 31.3.2017. The beneficiary's financial year closes on 31 December of every year.

Calculation of the hourly rate:

For hours worked on the action from 1.10.2015 - 31.12.2015: the hourly rate of 2015 must be used; i.e. hourly rate calculated on the basis of the annual personnel costs and annual productive hours of the closed financial year 2015.

For hours worked on the action from 1.1.2016 – 31.12.2016: the hourly rate of 2016 must be used; i.e. hourly rate calculated on the basis of the annual personnel costs and annual productive hours of the closed financial year 2016.

For hours worked on the action from 1.1.2017 – 31.3.2017: the hourly rate calculated for the last closed financial year available must be used, e.g. the one of the year 2016. Therefore, the beneficiary will not calculate another hourly rate for the period from 1.1.2017 - 31.3.2017. Instead, it will simply continue applying the hourly rate calculated for 2016 for the hours worked in 2017 until 31.03.

Last closed financial year available refers to the most recent *full* financial year for which all information necessary to calculate the hourly rates in accordance with the GA is available at the end of the reporting period. Therefore, it is NOT necessary to wait until the annual accounts have been audited.

Financial year different from fiscal year — If the financial year is different from the fiscal year (i.e. the 12-month period used to calculate the income taxes), the entity may use the **fiscal year** instead of the financial year for calculating the hourly rate. The method must however be consistently applied and can NOT be changed within the same grant.

⚠ Recalculations & adjustments of financial statements (exceptional) — Adjustments to the hourly rate are normally allowed ONLY for mistakes (*e.g. incorrect accounting information; error in the calculation; etc.*) and must be done using the hourly rate which would have had to be used at the end of the reporting period concerned.

Examples:

1. An internal audit on the annual accounts of the beneficiary finds later errors in the accounting information used to calculate the hourly rates.

2. Reporting period 1 runs from 1 January 2016 to 30 June 2017. The beneficiary used the hourly rate 2016 for the entire period (*i.e. also for the part from 01/01/2017 – 30/06/2017*) because the financial year 2017 was still on-going at the end of the reporting period. In 2018, the beneficiary realises that the 2016 hourly rate was incorrectly calculated and that it has to declare an adjustment for reporting period 1. To calculate the adjustment, the beneficiary must use the correct hourly rate for 2016 for the whole reporting period 1, including the period from January to June 2017.

Otherwise, costs that have already been declared can normally NOT be adjusted/changed (even if a recalculation of the hourly rate after the closure of the financial year would give another result).

The **annual personnel costs** may include only eligible personnel costs.

Any part of the remuneration which is paid once a year (*e.g. holiday pay*) will be taken into consideration for the hourly rate of the year in which it was accrued in the accounts.

For calculating the **annual productive hours**, the beneficiary must use one of the following three options:

- **option 1:** 1 720 hours for persons working full time (or corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time) (**'1720 fixed hours'**)
- **option 2:** the total number of hours worked by the person in the year for the beneficiary (**'individual annual productive hours'**)
- **option 3:** the 'standard number of annual hours' generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**'standard annual productive hours'**).

In principle, the same option (1, 2 or 3) must be applied to *all personnel* working in H2020 actions. However, the beneficiary may use different options for different *types* of personnel, if:

- the same option is applied at least per group of personnel employed under similar conditions (*e.g. same staff category, same type of contract, same cost-centre, etc.*) and
- the options are applied consistently (*e.g. the choice of the option is not changed ad-hoc for specific employees*).

The beneficiary must keep the same option(s) for *the full financial year*. It can only change its option(s) for the next financial year.

⚠ Absences & overtime —

Absences: the three options treat absences (leave) in different ways:

- under option 1 (1720 fixed): ONLY actual time spent on parental leave (maternity and parental leave) may be deducted from the 1720 fixed hours. NO other leave (e.g. sick leave, special leave, annual leave, etc.) can be deducted.
- under option 2 (individual): the beneficiary must deduct all leaves (i.e. actual time on sick leave, parental leave or special leave, etc.) to calculate the annual productive hours.

⚠ In order to calculate the annual workable hours, the beneficiary has to deduct the annual leave entitlement (see example in the [table of options](#)). However, if the employment contract allows end-of-year transfers for leave entitlements (i.e. the days not taken one year can be taken the next one; days not taken are not paid out), the beneficiary may opt for deducting instead actual time of annual leave (if this is done consistently throughout the years).

- under option 3 (standard): the standard annual productive hours defined by the beneficiary normally take into account the standard time of absence in the organisation. However, the beneficiary may deduct on top the actual time spent on parental leave (maternity and parental leave) by the individual employee.

Overtime: all three options include potential overtime in the annual productive hours, either implicitly (options 1 and 3) or explicitly (option 2):

- under option 1 (1720 fixed): NO overtime may be added to the 1720 fixed hours
- under option 2 (individual): all overtime worked (paid or unpaid) must be added to the annual workable hours to calculate the annual productive hours
- under option 3 (standard): the standard annual productive hours defined by the beneficiary normally take into account the standard overtime hours in the organisation (if any).

⚠ No impact on the hours worked — The rules on the calculation of the *hourly rate* have no impact on the hours that can be declared as *hours worked on the action*. ALL hours worked on the action can be declared (normal hours and overtime) and all at the same hourly rate.

However, beneficiaries must make sure that they don't reach the double ceiling (i.e. number of annual productive hours used and costs recorded in accounts; see above). If the overtime results in more hours worked/more costs declared over the ceiling, the exceeding can NOT be charged to the action (they must be capped at the ceilings).

Calculation of the hourly rate per month

Monthly hourly rates must be calculated as follows:

for each person:

{actual **monthly personnel costs**
divided by
{number of **annual productive hours/12}}**}.
}

The rate must be calculated **for each month**.

The **monthly personnel costs** may include only eligible personnel costs.

If a part of the remuneration is generated over a period longer than a month (e.g. *the thirteenth salary*), only the entitlement generated in the month can be included in the monthly personnel costs (— irrespectively of how much is actually paid in that month).

Example:

In accordance with the collective labour agreement, Ms T is entitled to a thirteenth salary (another full month salary in addition to her 12 monthly salaries) to be paid in July each year. The monthly salary of MS T is EUR 3 000.

The monthly personnel costs in July will be:

$$EUR\ 3\ 000 + \{EUR\ 3\ 000 / 12\} = 3\ 000 + 250 = EUR\ 3\ 250$$

The beneficiary can therefore NOT include the total of the thirteenth salary in the personnel costs for July, but must distribute it over the months in which it was generated (in this example 1/12 per month). Otherwise, the hours of July would be incorrectly priced at the double of the hours worked in normal months.

⚠ Recalculations & adjustments of financial statements (exceptional) — If a later event (*e.g. the unexpected leave of the employee*) means that the payments made for the employee are actually lower (i.e. that the monthly hourly rates were overstated) the beneficiary must recalculate the hourly rates with the correct amount. If some of the related personnel costs were already declared, the beneficiary must declare the difference as adjustment in the next reporting period.

If the monthly entitlement of an extra salary is not known in advance (*for example for an annual merit pay whose amount is decided in December — if eligible as basic remuneration —*) the beneficiary cannot take into account the extra salary until the amount is known. It may therefore recalculate the hourly rates at that moment and, if needed, declare the difference as adjustment in the next reporting period.

For calculating the **annual productive hours**, the beneficiary must use one of the following two options (*see the table of options above for the details on each option*):

- **option 1:** 1 720 hours for persons working full time (or corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time) (**'1720 fixed hours'**)
- **option 3:** the 'standard number of annual hours' generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**'standard annual productive hours'**).

⚠ Option 2 can NOT be used for monthly hourly rates because the individual annual productive hours — and thus also the monthly hours, i.e. 1/12 of the annual — are known only at the end of the financial year. It would be therefore impossible to calculate the hourly rates at the end of each month.

In principle, the same option (1 or 3) must be applied to *all personnel* working in H2020 actions. However, the beneficiary may use different options for different *types* of personnel, if:

- the same option is applied at least per group of personnel employed under similar conditions (*e.g. same staff category, same type of contract, etc.*) and
- the options are applied consistently (*e.g. the choice of the option is not changed at-hoc for specific employees*).

The beneficiary must keep the same option(s) *during the entire financial year*. It can only change its option(s) for the next financial year.

⚠ Absences & overtime —

The same rules on the treatment of absences and overtime apply as for annual hourly rates, except that time spent on parental leave can NOT be deducted from the annual productive hours.

However, beneficiaries may charge to the action the costs incurred for parental leave (as personnel costs) in proportion to the time the person worked for the action during the financial year (time worked on the action over total productive hours for the year). This must be done separately and on top of the personnel costs declared by multiplying the hours worked for the action by the monthly hourly rates.

Example:

In 2015 Ms T has been five months in parental leave. During that time the social security reimbursed to the beneficiary 50 % of her salary. Her monthly salary was EUR 3 000 (reimbursement EUR 1 500). The beneficiary used option 1 (1720 fixed hours) for the annual productive hours and Ms T worked in 2015 320 hours on the H2020 action.

Personnel costs incurred during parental leave = {EUR 3 000 x 5} - {EUR 1 500 x 5} = 15 000 - 7 500 = EUR 7 500

(Note: amounts reimbursed to the beneficiary by the social security are not actual costs for it and, therefore, must be deducted)

Proportion of time worked for the action in 2015 = Time worked for the action / Total productive hours excluding the parental leave = 320 / {1720 - {1 720 x 5/12}} = 320 / {1 720 - 716,7} = 320 / 1 003,3 = 31,89 %

Cost of parental leave for the H2020 action = EUR 7 500 x 31,89 % = EUR 2 391,75

⚠ **No impact on the hours worked** — The rules on the calculation of the *hourly rate* have no impact on the hours that can be declared as *hours worked on the action*. ALL hours worked on the action can be declared (normal hours and overtime) and all at the same hourly rate.

However, beneficiaries must make sure that they don't reach the double ceiling (i.e. number of annual productive hours used and costs recorded in accounts; see above). If the overtime results in more hours worked/more costs declared over the ceiling, the exceeding can NOT be charged to the action (they must be capped at the ceilings). The ceilings apply per full financial year, not per month.

However, do not forget that your personnel costs must comply with national labour law.

Example:

If the national law provides for a prohibition for employees to work more than 48 hours per week, it is not possible to charge to the H2020 action 250 hours in a month for an employee.

Step 2 — Multiplying the hourly rates by the hours worked on the action

The hourly rate for the employee must be multiplied by the number of hours s/he actually worked on the action and this gives the amount that can be charged to the action.

For case 1B beneficiaries (actual costs, project-based remuneration): 4 steps

Step 1 — Checking the nature of the remuneration (basic or additional) for each employee)

The beneficiary must first find out, for each employee, if the remuneration it is paying is all basic remuneration or contains also additional remuneration.

To do this, the beneficiary must calculate **two reference hourly rates**:

- reference rate for the work on the H2020 action ('**action reference**')
- and
- reference rate for the usual remuneration practice for national projects ('**national projects reference**').

If the action reference is *equal or below* the national projects reference, the remuneration is all basic remuneration. If it is *higher*, the exceeding part is additional

remuneration and must be taken out for the calculation of the hourly rate to be charged to the action.

Step 1a — Calculation of the reference hourly rates

For the **action reference**: the beneficiary must calculate an hourly rate (either *annual* or *monthly*) based on the remuneration paid to the employee for his/her work in the H2020 action.

For *annual* reference rates:

{annual personnel costs for the person for his/her work in the action
divided by
number of hours worked for the action in the financial year}.

If the reporting period ends before the end of the financial year, the beneficiary must use the personnel costs and the hours from the beginning of the year until the end of the reporting period.

For *monthly* reference rates:

{monthly personnel costs for the person for his/her work on the action
divided by
number of hours worked for the action in the month}.

For the **national projects reference**: the beneficiary must calculate an hourly rate based on either:

- regulatory requirements (*e.g. national law or collective labour agreements*) setting up the level of remuneration to be paid for work in projects funded under national schemes — if those amounts were actually paid at least once before the submission of the H2020 proposal for work in a national project

Example: *The national law establishes that the maximum monthly salary of engineers acceptable for national grants is EUR 4 000. Beneficiary A has paid that salary to its engineers while working in national grants. Beneficiary B has signed a collective labour agreement whereby the monthly salary to be paid for work under national grants is fixed at EUR 3 500. Beneficiary B has paid that salary to its engineers working in national grants.*

Maximum amount acceptable as basic remuneration for beneficiary A = EUR 4 000/month for a person working full-time. If the remuneration of the person for the work in the H2020 action is more than this ceiling, the excess will qualify as additional remuneration.

Maximum amount acceptable as basic remuneration for beneficiary B = EUR 3 500/month for a person working full-time. If the remuneration of the person for the work in the H2020 action was more than this ceiling, the excess will qualify as additional remuneration.

- internal rules setting up the level of remuneration to be paid for work in projects funded under national schemes — if those amounts were actually paid at least once before the submission of the H2020 proposal for work in a national project

Example: *The internal rules of University Z provide that a professor working in externally-funded projects may get an extra salary of up to 50 % for the time its works for those projects. The maximum extra salary University Z has actually paid for work in national projects was 30 %.*

Maximum amount acceptable as basic remuneration = salary of the person + 30 % (for the time worked in the H2020 action). If the remuneration of the person for the work in the H2020 action is more than this ceiling, the excess will qualify as additional remuneration.

or

- if none of these are available or if the beneficiary never had any national projects before the submission of the H2020 proposal: the average remuneration of the employee in the previous financial year.

The hourly rate of the national project reference must be calculated using 1720 fixed annual productive hours (unless there is an hourly rate directly set in the law, collective labour agreement or internal rules).

For the average remuneration of the employee, the beneficiary must moreover exclude remuneration that came from H2020 actions; i.e.:

{total annual personnel costs* for the person for year n-1 excluding remuneration paid for work in H2020 actions

divided by

1720** minus hours worked in H2020 actions in year n-1}

* The total annual personnel costs include all remuneration paid by the beneficiary to the person for work, irrespectively of the tasks of the person and the projects for which the person was working; but excludes all remuneration paid for work in H2020 actions. If the remuneration included ineligible items (e.g. profit distribution) those must also be removed.

** 1720 is the fixed number of annual productive hours. The beneficiary must remove from those annual productive hours the hours worked in H2020 actions. If in year n-1 the person worked exclusively in H2020 actions, the beneficiary must use the average salary of the last year in which the person did not work exclusively in H2020 actions (e.g. year n-2 or year n-3). If in year n-1 the person was on long-term sick leave, the beneficiary may use the average of year n-2 (instead of n-1).

If the national law or the internal rules set up different levels of remuneration for different categories of staff, the reference will be the one of the category to which the person belongs.

If the national law or the internal rules set up different remuneration levels for different types of national projects (or for different work within the projects), the reference will be the one applicable to the type of project/work that is closest to the H2020 action.

Example: The internal rules of University Z provide that a professor working in basic research projects will receive 10 % extra salary; while a professor working in applied research projects will receive 15 % extra salary. Both extra salaries are systematically paid also for projects funded by national schemes. The H2020 action is an innovation action. The reference that would apply in this case is the extra salary for applied research projects (15 %) as the type of project is closer to the H2020 innovation action than basic research projects.

The beneficiary must keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to support the action and national project references used (see [Article 18](#)).

Example: If the beneficiary uses the internal rules it must keep documental evidence of the internal rules applied, the category of the person (if applicable), and the payslip and payment documents proving that such remuneration was paid at least once prior to the submission of the proposal.

Step 1b — Comparing the action reference with the national project reference

If the action reference is *higher* than the national project reference, the exceeding part is additional remuneration:

{total additional remuneration = difference between the two reference rates * number of hours worked on the action}

{total basic remuneration = national project reference rate * number of hours worked on the action}

If the action reference is *equal or lower* than the national project reference, there is no additional remuneration; the remuneration paid to the employee is all basic remuneration.

Example personnel costs with additional remuneration (Part 1 of 3):

1. Ms R is employed full-time as professor at University X. She has a basic annual gross salary of EUR 24 000. The internal rules of the university provide that professors involved in research projects financed by external funders can get up to EUR 6 000 per month of full dedication to the project. However, due to budgetary restrictions the maximum that has been paid to any professor in a national project was EUR 4 800. Ms R worked in 2017 for the H2020 action 200 hours. For those hours she received an extra salary of EUR 6 500. She also participated in other projects

generating other extras for her. So, her total gross annual salary was EUR 36 000. The hourly rate for the H2020 action is as follows:

The salary of Ms R varies depending on her participation in certain projects. Therefore, the beneficiary must assess if any part of that salary qualifies as additional remuneration. For that, it must compare the action reference with the national project reference:

Action reference rate = basic salary corresponding to the 200 hours worked for the action ($(24\ 000 / 1720) \times 200 = 2\ 790,70\ \text{€}$) + bonus paid for the action (6 500 €) = 9 290,70 € / 200 (hours worked in the action) = 46,45 €/hour (hourly rate)

National project reference rate (in this example based on the internal rules of the university applicable to national projects) = maximum salary paid for any professor working in a national project in accordance with the internal rules = 4 800 € / (1720 annual productive hours / 12 months) = 4 800 € / 143,33 hours = 33,49 €/hour

The Action reference (i.e. 46,45) is higher than the national project reference (i.e. 33,49). The excess (46,45 - 33,49 = 12,96 €/hour) qualifies as additional remuneration. Therefore:

The total basic remuneration = 33,49 €/hour x 200 (hours worked in the action) = 6 698 €

The total additional remuneration = 12,96 €/hour x 200 (hours worked in the action) = 2 592 €

(This example continues [here](#) for the calculation of the hourly rate)

2. Same situation as above, but in this case the work in the action has been done under a supplementary employment contract covering additional working hours (on top of the full-time contract of the professor).

Action reference rate = 6 500 € (remuneration of the supplementary employment contract) / 200 hours (covered by that supplementary contract) = 32,50 €/hour

National project reference rate = 4 800 € / (1720 annual productive hours / 12 months) = 4 800 € / 143,33 hours = 33,49 €/hour

In this case, the action reference (i.e. 32,50) is lower than the national project reference (i.e. 33,49). Therefore, the beneficiary is NOT paying additional remuneration.

The total basic remuneration = 32,50 €/hour x 200 (hours worked in the action) = 6 500 €.

Step 2 — Calculation of the hourly rate (for each employee; on the basis of basic remuneration)

The beneficiary must then calculate the hourly rate to be charged to the action for the employee:

- **If there is additional remuneration**, the beneficiary must calculate the hourly rate using only the basic remuneration. In practice, this will be the action reference, capped at the national project reference (i.e. the hourly rate will normally be equal to the national project reference rate).

Example personnel costs with additional remuneration (Part 2 of 3):

1. Ms R worked 200 hours over the financial year in the action. For that time she received a remuneration of 9 290,70 €. In the calculations made in part 1 of the example we identified that 2 592 € qualify as additional remuneration.

The hourly rate applicable to the action will be, therefore:

(Actual annual personnel costs for the action - additional remuneration) / hours worked in the action over the year = $(9\ 290,70\ \text{€} - 2\ 592\ \text{€}) / 200 = 33,49\ \text{€/hour}$.

Personnel costs resulting from the hourly rate = 33,49 €/hour x 200 = 6 698 €.

(This example continues [here](#) for the eligibility of additional remuneration)

- **If there is NO additional remuneration** (i.e. all is basic remuneration), the beneficiary can simply use the action reference as hourly rate to charge to the H2020 action.

Example personnel costs without additional remuneration (Part 2 of 3):

Ms R worked 200 hours over the financial year in the action. For that time she received a remuneration of EUR 6 500 through an additional contract.

Action reference rate = 6 500 € (remuneration of the supplementary employment contract) / 200 hours (covered by that supplementary contract) = 32,50 €/hour

National project reference rate = 4 800 € / (1720 annual productive hours / 12 months) = 4 800 € / 143,33 hours = 33,49 €/hour

In this case, the action reference (i.e. 32,50) is lower than the national project reference (i.e. 33,49). Therefore, the beneficiary must use the action reference as hourly rate to charge to the H2020 action:

Total personnel costs to be declared = 32,50 €/hour x 200 (hours worked in the action) = 6 500 €.

Step 3 — Multiplying the hourly rate by the hours worked on the action

After that, the hourly rate for the employee must be multiplied by the number of hours s/he actually worked on the action and this gives the amount of basic remuneration that can be charged to the action (*see examples in Step 2 above*).

Step 4 — For non-profit legal entities: addition of the additional remuneration (if any)

If the beneficiary is a non-profit entity and the payments that are additional remuneration are eligible, it may also declare them to the action.

If the resulting amount (for the year) is above the **8000 EUR ceiling**, it must be capped:

Occupation	Contract	
	hired full time during the entire year	NOT hired full time during the entire year
working exclusively for the EU action during the full financial year	EUR 8 000	pro-rata amount of EUR 8 000
NOT working exclusively for the EU action during the full financial year	{8 000 / annual productive hours FTE} * hours worked for the action over the year	

The ceiling is *fixed* at EUR 8 000 per year for each full time equivalent (FTE), i.e. EUR 8 000 for a **full-time employee working exclusively for the action during the entire year**.

This ceiling covers not only the additional payment (bonus) but also the additional taxes, costs and social security contributions triggered by the additional payment.

For an **employee working exclusively for the action but not hired full time during the entire year**, the ceiling is reduced pro-rata.

Examples:

1. A researcher employed part time by the beneficiary to work four days a week during the entire year would correspond to 0.8 FTE → the ceiling would be fixed at EUR 8 000 * 0,8 = EUR 6 400 per year.

2. A researcher employed full time to work for the action from January to March (i.e. for three months) would correspond to 0.25 FTE (3 out of 12 months) → the ceiling would be fixed at EUR 8 000 * 0,25 = EUR 2 000.

If the researcher was employed part time (e.g. 80%) → the ceiling would be adjusted as follows: 8 000 * 0,25 * 0,80 = EUR 1 600.

For an **employee not working exclusively for the action**, the ceiling is *calculated pro-rata*, based on the hours worked for the action. Therefore, additional remuneration is eligible up to a maximum of:

$$\frac{\text{EUR 8 000}}{\text{annual productive hours of an FTE}} \times \text{hours worked by the employee for the action over the year}$$

Example personnel costs with additional remuneration (Part 3 of 3):

1. Ms R worked 200 hours over the financial year. For that work she received 6 698 € of basic remuneration and 2 592 € of additional remuneration.

If the beneficiary is a for-profit legal entity, the 2 592 € of additional remuneration would be automatically ineligible. The personnel costs which the beneficiary can charge to the action would be therefore 6 698 €.

If the beneficiary is a non-profit legal entity, additional remuneration may be eligible up to the ceiling. To calculate the eligible part, you need to determine the pro-rata of the cap which corresponds to the 200 hours worked for the action:

$$(8\,000 / 1\,720) \times 200 = 930,23 \text{ €}$$

Although the beneficiary paid 2 592 € of additional remuneration, only 930,23 € are eligible. The difference (2 592 – 930,23 = 1 661,77 €) is ineligible, since it exceeds the ceiling.

Therefore, the personnel costs which the beneficiary could charge to the action would be: 6 698 € of basic remuneration + 930,23 € of additional remuneration = 7 628,23 €.

For case 2 beneficiaries (unit costs in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices): 2 steps**Step 1 — Calculation of the hourly rate (for each employee)**

The beneficiary can use the hourly rate(s) that it uses normally (usual cost accounting practices) as average personnel costs, provided that:

- the cost accounting practices are applied in a **consistent** manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding
- the hourly rate is calculated using the **actual personnel costs recorded** in the beneficiary's **accounts**, excluding any ineligible cost or costs already included in other budget categories and
- the hourly rate is calculated using one of the **three options** provided in the MGA for the number of **annual productive hours** (e.g. option 3 'standard annual productive hours').

The beneficiary must consistently apply its usual cost accounting practices, based on objective criteria that must be verifiable if there is an audit. It must do this no matter who is funding the action.

This does not mean that cost accounting practices must be the *same* for all types of employees, departments or cost centres of the beneficiary. If, for instance, the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices include different calculation methods for permanent personnel and temporary personnel, this is acceptable. However, the beneficiary cannot use different methods for specific research actions or projects on an ad-hoc basis.

Example (acceptable usual cost accounting practices): Individual (actual) personnel costs are used for researchers, average personnel costs (unit costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices) are used for technical support staff.

Example (unacceptable usual cost accounting practices): Average personnel costs are used to calculate costs in externally-funded projects only.

If necessary, the hourly rate must be adjusted to remove:

- cost elements that are ineligible under the GA (even if they are part of the beneficiary's usual methodology for determining the hourly rate).

Example: A beneficiary calculates the hourly rate in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices and includes taxes not included in remuneration. These are ineligible and must therefore be removed from the hourly rate declared for personnel working on the action.

- costs that are already included in other budget categories (double funding of the same costs);

Example: Beneficiaries whose cost accounting practices include for the calculation of the hourly rate indirect costs under Article 6.2. These indirect costs must be removed from the pool of costs used to calculate the hourly rate charged to H2020 actions. In H2020

actions, indirect costs must be declared using a flat rate of 25 %, so personnel costs cannot include any indirect costs.

Budgeted or estimated elements used to calculate the hourly rate can only be accepted if they:

- are relevant, i.e. clearly related to personnel costs
- are used in a reasonable way (i.e. do not play a major role in calculating the hourly rate)
- correspond to objective and verifiable information (i.e. their basis is clearly defined and the beneficiary can show how they were calculated)

Example: Calculating average 2014 hourly rates by using 2013 payroll data and increasing them by adding the CPI (consumer price index) on which the basic salaries are indexed.

Beneficiaries may request the **approval of the methodology** used by them, by submitting (via the following address: EC-H2020-UNIT-COST-METHODOLOGY-CERTIFICATION@ec.europa.eu) an audit certificate on their usual cost accounting practices (see [Article 18.1.2\(b\)](#) and Annex 6). Costs declared in line with an approved methodology will not be challenged subsequently (unless the beneficiaries concealed information for the purpose of the approval).

Step 2 — Multiplying the hourly rate by the hours worked on the action

After that, the hourly rate for the employee must be multiplied by the number of hours s/he actually worked on the action and this gives the amount that can be charged to the action.

Specific cases (direct personnel costs for employees (or equivalent) (A.1)):

Persons working exclusively on the action — There is NO different calculation method for staff working 100 % on the action. (The percentage of time dedicated to the action does NOT make a difference for the way how personnel costs must be calculated; it matters only for the types of records that must be kept (see [Article 18.1.2](#))). Therefore, the calculation method for personnel costs of [Article 6.2.A](#) (as chosen by the beneficiary) applies.

Since there is no obligation to keep time-sheets for periods covered by a declaration on exclusive work, beneficiaries should report:

- for annual hourly rates: a pro-rata of the annual productive hours corresponding to the period covered by the declaration (at the annual hourly rate)
- for monthly hourly rates: 1/12 of the annual productive hours for each month actually worked for the action in the period covered by the declaration (at the monthly hourly rate).

Example:

1a Researcher Y worked exclusively in the action during the reporting period running from 1.10.2014 to 31.03.2016. Its actual annual personnel costs were EUR 32 000 for 2014 and EUR 34 400 for 2015. The beneficiary applies 1720 as annual productive hours and calculates annual hourly rates.

The personnel cost to be reported would be calculated as follows:

	Hourly rate	Hours worked for the project	
2014 →	$(32\ 000 / 1720) \times [(1720 / 12\ \text{months}) \times 3\ (\text{Oct, Nov, Dec})]$		
	18,60	x 430	= 8 000
2015 →	$(34\ 400 / 1720) \times 1720$		
	20	x 1720	= 34 400
2016 →	The financial year is not completed so we use the 2015 hourly rate		
	20	x $[(1720 / 12\ \text{months}) \times 3\ (\text{Jan, Feb, Mar})]$	= 8 600
			TOTAL = 51 000

1b Researcher Y worked exclusively in the action during the reporting period running from 1.10.2014 to 31.03.2016. Its monthly personnel cost were 2 666,66 EUR in 2014, 2 860 EUR from January 2015 until October 2015 and 2 900 EUR from then on. The beneficiary applies 1720 as annual productive hours and calculates monthly hourly rates.

The personnel cost to be reported would be calculated as follows:

	Hourly rate	x	Hours worked for the project	=	Total
2014 →					
October	{[2666 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 666
November	{[2666 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 666
December	{[2666 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 666
			Total	=	8 000*
2015 →					
January	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
February	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
March	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
April	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
Mai	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
June	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
July	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
August	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
September	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
October	{[2860 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 860
November	{[2900 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 900
December	{[2900 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 900
			Total	=	34 400
2016 →					
January	{[2900 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 900
February	{[2900 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 900
March	{[2900 EUR / (1720/12)]}	x	(1720/12)}	=	2 900
			Total	=	8 700
			TOTAL	=	51 100

* Decimals have been rounded

If the declaration covers months in which the person was **absent** for more than half of the working days those months can NOT be taken into account to calculate the hours worked in the action (— unless the absence is linked to annual leave AND the duration does not exceed the pro-rata of annual leave entitlements corresponding to the period covered by the declaration).

Example:

1. Researcher Y worked exclusively in the action from 1 July 2014 to 31 October 2014. In August it took three weeks of holidays and in September it was 10 days on sick leave. The beneficiary applies 1720 as annual productive hours

Number of hours that can be charged to the action based on the declaration = 3 months (July, September and October) x (1720 / 12) = 3 x 143,33 = 430 hours

August is not taken into account because the person was absent more than half of the working days, since s/he took three weeks of holidays.

2. The person has the right to two working days of annual leave per month worked. The period covered by the declaration is from January to September (i.e. 9 months). Therefore, the leave entitlement of the person for that period is 9 x 2 = 18 working days. The person takes 3 weeks of annual leave in August. August could also be counted because the leave taken (i.e. 15 working days) is less than the leave entitlement for that period (i.e. 18 working days).

Employees hired during on-going financial year (at the end of the reporting period) — Since these employees did not work for the beneficiary during the last financial year, the annual hourly rate can only be calculated on the basis of the personnel costs incurred during the ongoing financial year.

Example: The reporting period runs from 1.10.2014 to 31.03.2016. The beneficiary hires a new employee on 1.2.2016 → the hourly rate would be calculated taking into account his/her personnel costs for February and March 2016.

In order to avoid calculation errors, it is particularly important to determine the pro-rata of the annual productive hours correctly (e.g. if option 1 - 1 720 fixed hours are used, the productive hours for the period February-March would be 1 720 / 12 * 2 = 286,66; if option 2 -individual annual productive hours- is used, the productive hours for the period February-March would be the actual productive hours for the period February-March; if option 3 - standard annual productive hours - is used, the productive hours for the period February-March would be the standard annual productive hours/12*2).

End-of-contract indemnities — Since the entitlement to end-of-contract indemnities is most often generated over a period of time longer than a financial year, the beneficiary may charge the indemnity in the reporting period in which the employee's contract ends — but outside the hourly rate (i.e. separately and on top of the personnel costs declared on the basis of the hourly rate) AND only for the part that corresponds to the time worked by the person on the action (i.e. pro-rata of the total time during which the entitlement was generated).

Moreover, the indemnity can be accepted ONLY if it:

- arises from the applicable national labour law and
- is recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary and
- is incurred during the action duration (although the actual payment may take place latter).

Employment contract remunerated per hour — For employment contracts that do not establish a fixed salary and a number of hours to be worked but only an amount to be paid for each hour worked by the employee, individual annual productive hours (i.e. option 2) can only be applied if all of the following conditions are fulfilled:


- the employment contract explicitly fixes the hourly rate to be paid
- the employment contract established that the salary is the result of multiplying the hourly rate by the number of hours worked
- the total salary paid under the employment contract is identifiable and supported by auditable documents (*e.g. payslips and declarations to the tax authorities*)
- the employment contract is the only contract between the person and the entity (i.e. there is no other parallel contract).

If those conditions are fulfilled, the individual annual productive hours can be calculated as follows:

{total salary paid to the employee in the financial year
divided by
hourly rate fixed in the employment contract}.


This summary table explains the three **different options** for **annual productive hours** (for **case 1A** and **case 2 beneficiaries**):

Options	What does it mean?	When can it be used? How should it be used?	What happens if you make a mistake?
Option 1 1 720 fixed hours	The number of hours is fixed for full-time employees (and it is pro-rata for employees working part-time or working only part of the year for the beneficiary).	<p>Can be used in all cases; any beneficiary can use this option.</p> <p>A pro-rata of 1720 hours can be used if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the employee has not worked the full year for the beneficiary or – the employee’s contract explicitly states (or allows to determine) a precise percentage of a full time equivalent (FTE) covered by such contract. <p>Examples (pro-rata calculations):</p> <p>1. <i>Researcher X worked for Beneficiary Z from 1 October to 31 December; i.e. 3 full months. The pro-rata of the annual productive hours would be calculated as follows:</i></p> $1720 / 12 \text{ (months)} * 3 \text{ (October, November, December)} = 430 \text{ productive hours}$ <p>2. <i>The contract of Researcher Y with Beneficiary Z establishes that it must work 20 hours per week, while a full-time employee at Beneficiary Z works 40 hours per week. Working days and leave entitlements are the same. The annual productive hours would be calculated as follows:</i></p> $1720 * [20 \text{ hours (Researcher Y)} / 40 \text{ hours (full-time employee)}] = 1720 * 50 \% \text{ (part-time percentage)} = 860 \text{ productive hours}$	
Option 2 Individual annual productive hours	The number of hours is calculated on the basis of the ‘annual workable hours’ of the employee (i.e. the total number of hours for which a employee is working for the beneficiary, including the overtime worked and absences <i>(such as sick leave or other types of special leave)</i>).	<p>This option can be used, if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the number of ‘individual annual productive hours’ is calculated according to the formula specified in the GA $\{ \text{annual workable hours of the person (according to the employment contract, applicable labour agreement or national law)} \\ \text{plus} \\ \text{overtime worked}$	<p>If our the auditors find that a beneficiary made a mistake, the Commission/Agency will recalculate the eligible costs as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – if the calculation method was not consistently applied <i>(e.g. the beneficiary used option 2 for one employee and option 3 for another employee employed under similar conditions)</i>: the auditors will adjust the number of annual productive hours by

		<p>minus absences (such as sick leave and special leave)}</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the ‘annual workable hours’ are established according to one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – employment contract of the person concerned – applicable collective labour agreement – national law on working time. <p>Example: <i>contract stipulating 35 hours of work per week</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – this calculation method is consistently applied (per group of personnel under similar conditions). <p>Example for calculation of individual annual workable hours: <i>X is a full-time researcher (working eight hours per day, from Monday to Friday) at Research Centre Z. X’s contract includes 22 working days of annual leave, plus 8 days of public holidays. In the financial year covered by the reporting period in question, X worked 29 hours of overtime and was on sick leave for 5 days.</i></p> <p><i>The individual annual workable hours would therefore be:</i></p> <p><i>365 days — 104 days (Saturdays and Sundays) — 22 days (annual leave) — 8 days (public holidays) = 231 days x 8 hours per day = 1 848 hours</i></p> <p><i>Individual annual productive hours for Researcher X:</i></p> <p><i>Annual workable hours = 1 848</i></p> <p><i>+ overtime (hours) = 29</i></p> <p><i>- annual sick leave (5 days x 8 hours) = 40</i></p> <p><i>→ individual annual productive hours for Researcher X = 1 837</i></p> <p><i>Research Centre Z may use 1 837 as individual annual productive hours for this researcher.</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; border-radius: 10px; padding: 5px; background-color: #fff9c4; margin-top: 10px;"> <p> This option can NOT be used if the employment contract, collective labour agreement or national law does not allow to determine the number of individual annual workable hours.</p> </div>	<p>applying option 2 to all persons concerned, where possible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – if the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation does not allow to determine the number of individual annual workable hours: the auditors will apply option 1. – if not <i>all</i> annual workable hours were included, the auditors will recalculate the productive hours to include all workable hours.
--	--	--	--

<p>Option 3</p> <p>Standard annual productive hours</p>	<p>The number of hours is calculated on the basis of the ‘standard annual productive hours’ generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel, in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices.</p> <p>The standard annual productive hours may be calculated for the entity as a whole, per category of personnel, per cost centre, etc.</p> <p>The beneficiary may include or exclude certain activities (<i>e.g. general training, general meetings etc.</i>) when calculating the standard annual productive hours, if this is in line with its usual cost accounting practices.</p>	<p>This option can be used if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the number of standard annual productive hours is calculated in accordance with the beneficiary’s usual cost accounting practices – this calculation method is consistently applied (per group of personnel under similar conditions) – the number of standard annual productive hours is at least 90% of ‘standard annual workable hours’ <p>The standard annual workable hours is the standard number of hours that a full time employee of the group having the same standard productive hours (‘reference group’, <i>e.g. a category of employees, employees of a cost centre, etc.</i>) must be present at work under normal circumstances, as defined in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the employment contracts of the reference group – an applicable collective labour agreement or – the national law on working time. <p>If its number of standard annual productive hours is higher than 90%, the beneficiary must use the number of standard annual productive hours.</p> <p>If its number of standard annual productive hours is lower than 90%, the beneficiary must use the 90% or choose one of the other options.</p> <p>Example for calculation of standard annual workable hours:</p> <p><i>Full-time researchers hired by Research Centre Z have an employment contract that states that they must work eight hours per day, from Monday to Friday. National legislation provides for 22 working days of annual leave, plus eight days of public holidays. The applicable collective labour agreement adds three extra days of annual leave.</i></p> <p><i>The standard annual workable hours for Research Centre Z would</i></p>	<p>If our the auditors find that a beneficiary made a mistake, the Commission/Agency will recalculate the eligible costs as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – if the standard annual productive hours were calculated not in accordance with the beneficiary’s usual cost accounting practices, the auditors will adjust the number of annual productive hours by applying option 2, if possible; – if the calculation method was not applied consistently, the auditor will adjust the number of annual productive hours by applying option 2, if possible; – if there is no applicable reference for the standard annual workable hours, the auditors will apply option 1; – if the number of standard annual productive hours used by the beneficiary was lower than 90% of standard annual workable hours, the auditor will use either the 90% of workable hours or option 1, whichever is more favourable for the beneficiary. – if the two first indents in the middle column (number calculated in accordance with usual accounting practices AND calculation method consistently applied) are fulfilled but the beneficiary uses 90% of standard annual workable hours instead of the number of annual productive hours arrived at by using its usual accounting practices (higher than 90%), the auditor will adjust the number of productive
---	--	--	---

		<p><i>therefore be:</i></p> <p><i>365 days — 104 days (Saturdays and Sundays) — 22 days (annual leave) — 8 days (public holidays) — 3 days (collective agreement) = 228 days * 8 hours per day = 1 824 hours</i></p> <p><i>Standard annual productive hours for Research Centre Z:</i></p> <p><i>Research Centre Z would like to use its usual cost accounting practices to calculate the hourly rates for EU actions. It calculates the number of standard annual productive hours as follows:</i></p> <p><i>Annual working days = 228</i></p> <p><i>- average annual sick leave (days) = 3</i></p> <p><i>- days of general training = 4</i></p> <p><i>- other unproductive activities (days) = 9</i></p> <p><i>→ productive days = 212</i></p> <p><i>Multiplied by 8 working hours per day</i></p> <p><i>→ standard annual productive hours = 1 696</i></p> <p><i>This number of standard annual productive hours must then be compared with 90% of standard annual workable hours (in this example 1 824).</i></p> <p><i>90% of 1824 = 1 642</i></p> <p><i>1 696 hours (usual cost accounting practice) > 1 642 hours (90% annual workable hours)</i></p> <p><i>Research Centre Z may apply its number of standard annual productive hours (i.e. 1 696) to EU actions since the number is higher than 90% of annual workable hours.</i></p> <p><i>If its number of standard annual hours is lower than 1 642 (e.g. 20 days of other unproductive tasks instead of 9 → 1 608 annual productive hours), Research Centre Z must apply 1 642 hours (90% of the annual workable hours).</i></p> <p><i>If its number of standard annual productive hours is higher than 90% (in our example it is 93%: 1 696/1 824), Research Centre Z must use this number (and not 90% of annual workable hours).</i></p>	<p>hours to the higher number.</p> <p>– If the standard annual productive hours is 90 % of the standard annual workable hours the auditor will not challenge that amount if the amount is in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices of the beneficiary and the calculation method is consistently applied.</p>
--	--	---	---

		<p> This option can NOT be used if the employment contract, collective labour agreement or national law does not allow to determine the number of annual workable hours for the group of personnel.</p> <p>Example (no applicable reference for standard annual workable hours):</p> <p><i>A researcher carries out research for the beneficiary for a fixed salary per month. However, the employment contract does not allow to determine the number of hours to be worked. There is no applicable collective agreement and national legislation does not regulate the number of workable hours per year for this type of labour agreement.</i></p> <p><i>In this case, there is no applicable reference for standard annual workable hours. Therefore, the beneficiary must use option 1 (1 720 fixed hours).</i></p>	
--	--	--	--

1.2 Direct personnel costs: Natural persons with direct contract (A.2)

1.2.1 What? This budget category **covers** typically the costs of **in-house consultants** and similar persons (i.e. **self-employed natural persons**) that worked on the action for the beneficiary under conditions similar to those of an employee, but under a contract which is NOT legally the same as for employees. It regards, in particular, contracts qualified as quasi-subordinate work contracts under national law when the conditions under which the work is carried out are similar to those of an employee.

What not? Staff provided by another entity against a price, including staff provided by a temporary work agency (because in this case there is no direct contract between the person and the beneficiary; the contract is not with the beneficiary but with the entity hiring the person).

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — A contract with a temporary work agency qualifies typically as purchase of services (unless the temporary work agency carries out directly some task of the action — in which case it would be considered as subcontracting). Thus, although NOT eligible as personnel costs, the costs can be charged under other budget categories (i.e. D.3 other goods and services or B. subcontracting), if they comply with the eligibility conditions (especially best value for money and no conflict of interest; see [Articles 10 and 13](#)).

1.2.2 Costs for natural persons working under a direct contract may be **declared as:**

- **actual costs** (most common case)

OR


- **unit costs** in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices (average personnel costs; see [Article 5.2\(a\)](#)).

1.2.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions:**

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred/used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))
- there must be a **direct contract** between the natural person (individual) and the beneficiary

The contract cannot be with a third party legal entity (e.g. a temporary work agency), even if that third party is a one-person company.

- the person must work **under conditions similar to those of an employee**, in particular:

 **Similar does not mean equal** — The working conditions of the person do NOT have to be exactly the same that those of an employee, but overall similar.

- the beneficiary must organise and supervise the work of the person in a way similar to that of its employees

Example (acceptable):

The beneficiary's project leader and the person discuss regularly the work to be carried out for the action. The project leader decides the tasks and timing of the work and instructs the person accordingly.

Example (not acceptable):

The beneficiary's project leader and the person meet only once a month or irregularly, for updates on the state of play of the entrusted work. If changes are needed, they have to be agreed by the person and may lead to a change of the amount charged to the beneficiary.

- the beneficiary must be able to ensure reliable time recording (for the hours that the person works for the action)

⚠ Record-keeping — The beneficiary must keep records of the hours which the person worked for the action (e.g. time-sheets etc.; see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

- the person is subject to similar presence requirements as the employees.

Examples (acceptable):

The person works physically at the beneficiary's premises, following a time schedule similar to that of the employees (e.g. the beneficiary authorises up to two days of teleworking per week to its personnel and the person has chosen to benefit from this regime, i.e. works 2 days in teleworking and 3 days physically at the beneficiary's premises).

Example (not acceptable):

The entity authorises up to two days of teleworking per week. However, the person works four days in teleworking and only one day at the beneficiary's premises.

- the **result** of the work carried out (including patents or copyright) must in principle **belong to the beneficiary**

If (exceptionally) they belong to the person, the beneficiary must (just like for employees) obtain the necessary rights from the person (transfer, licences or other), in order to be able to respect its obligations under the GA (see [Article 26.3](#)).

- **not be significantly different** from costs for employees performing similar tasks

The remuneration must be based on working time, rather than on delivering specific outputs/products.

1.2.4 Costs of natural persons working under a direct contract for a beneficiary must be **calculated** according to the same **rules** as explained in point [1.1.4](#) (i.e. hourly rate multiplied by the number of actual hours worked on the action).

However, for the **actual costs hourly rate**, the beneficiaries must use one of the following options:

- if the contract specifies an hourly rate: this hourly rate must be used
- if the contract states a fixed amount for the work and the number of hours to be worked: the global amount for the work must be divided by the number of hours to be worked
- if the contract states a fixed amount for the work but does not specify the number of hours to be worked: the global amount for the work must be divided by the pro-rata of 1 720 annual productive hours which corresponds to the duration of the contract over the financial year.

Example: *The contract provides that the person will work at the beneficiary's premises for assisting in research tasks. The contract is for 6 months starting on 1 January 2017 and ending on 30 June 2017. According to its time records, the person worked 500 hours in the H2020 action over that period. The contract sets a monthly payment of EUR 3 000 but does not explicitly establish the number of hours to be worked.*

Personnel costs for the action = hourly rate x 500 (hours worked in the action)


Hourly rate = annual personnel costs / annual productive hours = (3 000 € x 6 months) / (1 720 hours x (6 months/12 months)) = 18 000 € / (1 720 x 0,5) = 18 000 € / 860 hours = 20,93 €/hour

Personnel costs for the action = 20,93 x 500 = 10 465 €.

Social security contributions which are mandatory under national law may be included in the calculation of the hourly rate.

For the **unit costs** hourly rate (average personnel costs), the rules in point [1.1.4](#) apply without any changes.

This summary table explains how to treat **different types of work contracts** for declaring costs under H2020¹⁷:

Characteristics of the contract	Contract remunerating time <i>(e.g. the contract establishes that the person must work for the beneficiary 40 hours per week)</i>	Contract not specifying the working time conditions <i>(e.g. the contract establishes the tasks, but the time to be worked (hours) is <u>not defined</u> in the contract, collective labour agreement or national law)</i>
<p>The contract is an employment contract, i.e.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – recognized as such by the national labour law <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the hiring entity pays social security contributions for the hired person 	<p>CASE A</p> <p>This is the standard situation.</p> <p>The costs may be declared in category A.1 ‘employees (or equivalent)’ (Article 6.2.A.1).</p> <p>They must be calculated as described in Article 6.2.A. Calculation (point 1.1.4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ The beneficiary may choose among the three options for annual productive hours to calculate the hourly rate. 	<p>CASE B</p> <p>The costs may be declared in category A.1 ‘employees (or equivalent)’ (Article 6.2.A.1).</p> <p>They must be calculated as described in Article 6.2.A. Calculation (point 1.1.4); however:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ Only option 1 (i.e. 1720 fixed annual productive hours) can be used to calculate the hourly rate. ➔ If the employment contract does not cover the full financial year, the annual productive hours must be calculated as a pro-rata of 1720. <p><i>Example: Contract from 01.01.2015 to 31.03.2015. Annual productive hours = (1720/12) x 3 (Jan, Feb, Mar) = 430</i></p>
<p>Other contract (i.e. not an employment contract)</p>	<p>CASE C1: the costs fulfil the conditions of Article 6.2.A.2.</p> <p>The costs may be declared in category A.2 ‘natural persons with direct contract’ (Article 6.2.A.2).</p> <p>The costs must be calculated as described in point 1.2.4.</p> <p>CASE C2: the costs do NOT fulfil the conditions of Article 6.2.A.2.</p> <p>The costs can NOT be declared in category A. ‘personnel costs’. They must be treated like CASE D1.</p>	<p>CASE D1: the costs do NOT fulfil the conditions of Article 6.2.A.2.</p> <p>The costs can NOT be declared in category A ‘personnel costs’.</p> <p>However, they may be eligible under another budget category:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – if the contract covers tasks described in Annex 1: in category B ‘subcontracting’ (Articles 6.2.B and 13) or – in category D.3 ‘other goods and services’ (Articles 6.2.D.3 and 10). <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 15px; background-color: #fff9c4; padding: 10px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p> The beneficiary must award the contracts ensuring best value for money and avoiding any conflict of interests (see Articles 10 and 13).</p> </div>

¹⁷ These explanations do NOT apply to **SME owners** or **beneficiaries that are natural persons** not receiving a salary (see [Article 6.2.A.4](#) and [6.2.A.5](#)).

		<p>CASE D2: the costs fulfil the conditions of Article 6.2.A.2.</p> <p>The costs can be declared in category A ‘personnel costs’.</p> <p>They must be calculated as described in Article 6.2.A. Calculation (point 1.2.4); however:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➔ Only option 1 (i.e. 1720 fixed annual productive hours) can be used to calculate the hourly rate.➔ If the contract does not cover the full financial year, the annual productive hours must be calculated as a pro-rata of 1720.
--	--	--

1.3 Direct personnel costs: Seconded personnel (against payment) (A.3)

1.3.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs of persons that worked on the action and that were **seconded** by a third party as an in-kind contribution **against payment** (see [Article 11](#)).

What not? Persons provided by a temporary work agency, secondment of staff between beneficiaries (or linked third parties) in the same grant or secondment by a third party free of charge.

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — A contract with a temporary work agency qualifies typically as a purchase of services (unless the temporary work agency carries out directly some task of the action — in which case it can be considered as subcontracting). Thus, although NOT eligible as personnel costs, the costs can be charged under other budget categories (i.e. D.3 other goods and services or B. subcontracting), if they comply with the eligibility conditions (especially best value for money and no conflict of interest; see [Articles 10 and 13](#)).

Secondment of staff between beneficiaries (or linked third parties) — Is allowed, but it is the beneficiary/third party who employs the person who has to declare its costs (NOT the beneficiary/third party to whom the person has been seconded). The costs declared must be supported by reliable time records of the number of hours the person worked for the action. Those time records must be produced under the responsibility of the beneficiary/third party to whom the person is seconded

Persons seconded free of charge — Costs for persons seconded free of charge (i.e. the third party's costs) can be declared as personnel costs by the beneficiary, if they fulfil the conditions of [Articles 6.4 and 12](#).

 For information on in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge, see [Articles 6.4 and 12](#).

1.3.2 Costs for persons seconded by a third party may be **declared ONLY** as **actual costs**.

1.3.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred/used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))
- the person must be **seconded**

'Seconded' means the temporary transfer of personnel from a third party to the beneficiary. The seconded person is still paid and employed by the third party, but works for the beneficiary. S/he is at the disposal of the beneficiary.

***Example:** A researcher in a public research centre is seconded to work in a university that is a beneficiary in a GA.*

Best practice: Secondments should be formalized via a secondment agreement. The secondment agreement has to detail the conditions of secondment (*tasks, payment (or not) from one entity to the other, duration of the secondment, location, etc.*).

A secondment normally requires the seconded person to work at the beneficiary's premises, although in specific cases it may be agreed otherwise in the secondment agreement.

- the beneficiary must **reimburse the costs** to the third party (i.e. not for free)
- fulfil the **additional cost eligibility conditions** set out in [Article 11.1](#).

1.3.4 There is no specific **calculation** method; the costs must correspond to the price paid by the beneficiary — up to the costs actually incurred by the third party (see [Article 11.1](#)).

For the calculation of the upper limit (i.e. the third party's actual costs), the same calculation rules apply as in point [1.1.4](#).

⚠ Record-keeping — The beneficiary must keep records of the hours which the seconded person worked for the action (e.g. *time-sheets* etc.; see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

1.4 Direct personnel costs: SMEs owners & natural persons not receiving a salary (A.4 & A.5)

1.4.1 What? These budget categories **cover** the costs of SME owners (direct owners or co-owners of the SME which is a beneficiary of the grant) and beneficiaries that are natural persons which work on the action, but **don't receive a salary**.

This includes SME owners who are remunerated/compensated for their work for the SME by any other means than a salary (*for example, dividends, service contracts/other non-employment contracts between the company and the owner, etc.*).

What not? SME owners who receive a salary (registered as such in the accounts of the SME) cannot declare personnel costs under this budget category, unless s/he can show that this salary corresponds exclusively to the management of the SME (and is therefore not linked to the action). (In this case, the salary for the management of the SME cannot be declared.)

1.4.2 These costs must be **declared as** the unit cost (hourly rate) fixed by Commission [Decision C\(2013\) 8197](#)¹⁸ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

The precise unit cost is not pre-fixed by the Decision; the 'amount per unit' (hourly rate) must be calculated for each individual — before signature of the GA — according to the following formula:

$$\text{Amount per unit} = \{ \{ \text{EUR 4 650 or 4 880} * /143 \text{ hours} \} \\ \text{multiplied by} \\ \{ \text{country-specific correction coefficient of the country where the beneficiary is established} \} \}$$

The country-specific correction coefficient is the one set out in the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#)¹⁹ in force at the time of the call.

*EUR 4 650 for calls under Work Programmes 2014-2015 and 2016-2017 and EUR 4 880 for calls under Work Programme 2018-2020.

Example: A German SME owner not receiving a salary will calculate the hourly rate as follows:

$$\text{EUR } 4\,650 / 143 * 98.8\% = \text{EUR } 32,13 / \text{hour}$$

In practice, the declaration of costs for SME owners and beneficiaries that are natural persons is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiaries must only indicate the number of hours worked on the action and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

1.4.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation etc.; see [Article 6.1\(b\)](#))
- be declared for an **SME owner/beneficiary that is natural person**, who works on the action but does not receive a salary.

The owner may be compensated by means such as dividends, service contracts between the company and the owner, etc.

¹⁸ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf

¹⁹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/funding/reference_docs.html

The Commission/Agency may verify that the beneficiary fulfils the conditions for using this unit cost.

1.4.4 The **cost** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:

amount per unit (hourly rate; see [Annex 2a GA](#)) x number of actual hours worked on the action

⚠ Ceiling – The **total number of hours** declared in EU and Euratom grants **for an SME owner for a year** (i.e. a financial year) can NOT be higher than 1 720.

1.5 Direct personnel costs: Access to research infrastructure personnel costs (A.6)

1.5.1 What? This budget category **covers** the personnel costs for access to infrastructure activities, i.e. normally:

- costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - basic remuneration and
 - for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration
- costs for natural persons with direct contract and
- costs of seconded personnel (against payment).

1.5.2 Personnel costs for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure may be **declared as:**

- **actual costs** (most common case)

OR

- **unit costs** in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices (average personnel costs) (see [Article 5.2\(a\)](#)).

1.5.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions:**

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred/used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))
- fulfil the specific conditions for costs for employees (or equivalent), costs for natural persons working under a direct contract or costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
- be incurred for providing **trans-national** or **virtual access** to research infrastructure
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#) or [16.2](#).

1.5.4 The same **calculation rules** apply as in points [1.1.4](#), [1.2.4](#) and [1.3.4](#).

B. Direct costs of subcontracting [(not covered by Point F)] (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if the conditions in Article 13.1.1 are met.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Subcontracting costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]

[OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Subcontracting costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]



1. Direct costs of subcontracting (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

The additional options for access to research infrastructure (together with the corresponding [Article 16](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action also involves access to research infrastructure.

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** (and is limited to) the price paid for subcontracts and related taxes (*for VAT, see [Article 6.5](#)*).

1.2 Direct costs of subcontracting must be **declared as** actual costs (i.e. on the basis of the prices actually paid) (*see [Article 5.2\(b\)](#)*).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; *see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#)*)
- be incurred for the **subcontracting of action tasks** described in Annex 1 (*see [Article 13](#)*)
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 13.1.1](#)
- for subcontracting costs incurred for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure: fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#) or [16.2](#).

1.4 There is no specific **calculation** method; the costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred.

Specific cases (subcontracting costs (B.)):

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — Costs for staff provided by a temporary work agency may exceptionally have to be declared under category B 'subcontracting' (instead of category D.3 'other goods and services') if the temporary work agency carries out directly some task of the action (provided they comply with the eligibility conditions).

C. Direct costs of providing financial support to third parties [(not covered by Point F)]

[OPTION 1a to be used if Article 15.1 applies: C.1 Direct costs of providing financial support are eligible if the conditions set out in Article 15.1.1 are met.]

[OPTION 1b to be used if Article 15.2 applies: C.2 Direct costs of providing financial support in the form of prizes are eligible if the conditions set out in Article 15.2.1 are met.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

**1. Direct costs of providing financial support to third parties (C.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation**

This optional budget category (together with the corresponding [Article 15](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action also involves financial support to third parties.

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for the financial support given to third parties.

1.2 Direct costs of providing financial support to third parties must be **declared as** actual costs (i.e. on the basis of the financial support actually paid) (see [Article 5.2\(c\)](#)).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 15.1.1](#).

1.4 There is no specific **calculation** method; the costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred.

D. Other direct costs [(not covered by Point F)]

D.1 **Travel costs and related subsistence allowances** (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if they are in line with the beneficiary's usual practices on travel.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Travel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]



1. Travel costs and related subsistence allowances (D.1): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

The additional option for access to trans-national research infrastructure (together with the corresponding [Article 16](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action also involves access to research infrastructure.

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the travel costs and related subsistence allowances (including all related duties, taxes and charges that the beneficiary has paid, if including them is part of the usual practices for travel, *e.g. non-deductible VAT; see [Article 6.5](#)*) spent for the action.

Best practice: Beneficiaries may contact the Commission/Agency to ask whether a particularly expensive travel plan would be accepted or not.

Travel and subsistence costs relate to the personnel of the beneficiaries. They may also relate to experts that participate in the action on an ad hoc basis (*e.g. attending specific meetings*), if:

- the experts' participation is foreseen in Annex 1 or
- their participation is specifically justified in the periodic technical report and the Commission/Agency approved it without formally amending the GA (simplified approval procedure).

The beneficiary may reimburse the experts or handle the travel arrangements itself (and be invoiced directly). There is no distinction between travelling in- or outside Europe.

1.2 Travel and subsistence costs must be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))

The travel for which costs are claimed must be necessary for the action (*e.g. to present a paper explaining the results of a conference*). Travel costs related to an event at which the beneficiary carried out work that was not specifically related to the action are NOT eligible.

All travel costs must be limited to the needs of the action; costs related to extensions (for other professional or private reasons) are NOT eligible.

Moreover, they must be adequately recorded.

- be in line with the beneficiary's **usual practices on travel**

Example:

Beneficiary A declares the cost of a business class airplane ticket for one of its employees.

If the beneficiary usually pays for staff in this category to travel in business class, then the cost of the business class ticket is eligible.

If the beneficiary's usual practice is to only pay for economy class tickets for staff in this category, then the cost of the business class ticket is not eligible.

If the beneficiary reimburses travel and/or subsistence allowances as a lump sum/*per diem* payment, it is the lump sum/*per diem* amount that is considered an eligible cost, NOT the actual prices paid by the person receiving the lump sum or *per diem*. (For the purposes of the grant, these lump sum/*per diem* costs remain actual costs, NOT unit or lump sum costs under [Article 5.2](#). They must be recorded in the beneficiary's accounts and will be checked if there is an audit.)

- for travel and subsistence costs incurred for providing **trans-national access to research infrastructure**: fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#).

1.4 There is no specific **calculation** method; the costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred.

Specific cases (travel costs (D.1)):

Combination with personal travels or travels for other purposes — The costs of a combined travel can be charged to the action — but ONLY up to the cost that would have been incurred if the travel would have been made exclusively for the action AND if:

- it is the usual practice of the beneficiary to pay for such travels (*e.g. travels combining professional and personal reasons*)
- it has been an actual cost for the beneficiary.

Example:

The researcher flies from Madrid, where the beneficiary is established, to Prague for a project meeting. After the meeting, instead of flying back to Madrid, the researcher flies directly to New York to participate in an event not related to the action.

The beneficiary may charge to the action:

- *the cost of the flight from Madrid to Prague, and*
- *the part of the flight back from New York to Madrid up to the cost that it would have incurred for a flight back from Prague to Madrid after the end of the project meeting.*

⚠ Record-keeping — The beneficiary must keep evidence not only of the actual cost of the flight back, but also of the cost of the flight that the person would have taken if it would have returned directly after the end of the work for the action.

D.2 [OPTION 1 by default: The **depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets** (new or second-hand) as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts are eligible, if they were purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1 and written off in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practices.

The **costs of renting or leasing** equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

The **costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.

The only portion of the costs that will be taken into account is that which corresponds to the duration of the action and rate of actual use for the purposes of the action.]

[OPTION 2 (alternative to option above) to be used if foreseen in the work programme¹⁸: The cost of **purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets** (new or second-hand) (as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts) are eligible if the equipment, infrastructure or other assets was purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1.

The **costs of renting or leasing** equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.]

[OPTION (in addition to one of the two first options above) for **trans-national access to research infrastructure**: As an exception, the beneficiaries must not declare such costs (i.e. costs of renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure and other assets) for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure (see Article 16.1).]

[OPTION (in addition to one of the two first options above) for **virtual access to research infrastructure, unless the work programme explicitly allows capital investments for virtual access to research infrastructure**: As an exception, the beneficiaries must not declare such costs (i.e. costs of renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure and other assets) for providing virtual access to research infrastructure (see Article 16.2).]

¹⁸ To be used as an exception, only if justified by the nature of the action and the context of the use of the equipment or assets, if provided for in the work programme.



1. Equipment costs (D.2): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

An option for full purchase costs will be inserted into the GA, if explicitly provided in the work programme/call.

The beneficiaries may declare the following **types of equipment costs** as 'other direct costs – equipment costs':

one of the following:

- either **depreciation costs** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets
- or **full purchase costs** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (if option applies)

AND:

- costs of **renting or leasing** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets
- costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment**.

1.1 Equipment costs (D.2): Depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets

1.1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets used for the action.

In some cases (*e.g. infrastructure*), equipment costs may also include the costs necessary to ensure that the asset is in good condition for its intended use (*e.g. site preparation, delivery and handling, installation, etc.*).

What not? If the beneficiary's usual practice is to consider durable equipment costs (or some of them) as indirect costs, these can NOT be declared as direct costs, but are covered by the 25 % flat rate for indirect costs (see [Article 6.2.E](#)). Any depreciation declared as a direct cost under a H2020 action must be a direct cost under the beneficiary's cost accounting practices (see [Article 6.2.](#))

1.1.2 Equipment costs must be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- have been **purchased** in **accordance with Article 10.1.1**
- be **written off** in accordance with the beneficiary's **usual accounting practices** and with **international accounting standards**.

'International accounting standards' are an internationally recognised set of rules for maintaining books and reporting company accounts, designed to be compared and understood across countries.

***Example:** The IAS 16 (International Accounting Standards) or the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), originally created by the EU and now in common international use.*

1.1.4 They must be **calculated** according to the following principles:

- the depreciable amount (purchase price) of the equipment must be allocated on a systematic basis over its useful life (i.e. the period during which the equipment is expected to be usable). If the equipment's useful life is more than a year, the beneficiary can NOT charge the total cost of the item in a single year (see also 'cash-based accounting' below)
- depreciated equipment costs can NOT exceed the equipment's purchase price
- depreciation can NOT be spread over a period longer than the equipment's useful life

- if the beneficiary does not use the equipment exclusively for the action, only the portion used on the action may be charged. The amount of use must be auditable

Example: A microscope was bought before the action started and was not fully depreciated. For 6 months in reporting period 1 it was used for the action for 50 % of the time and for other activities for the other 50 % of the time. Linear depreciation is applied according to the beneficiary's usual practices (depreciation over the expected period of use of the microscope): EUR 100 000 per year (EUR 50 000 for 6 months).

Costs declared for the project: EUR 50 000 (6 months of use) multiplied by 50 % of use for the action during those 6 months = EUR 25 000.

- the beneficiary can NOT charge depreciation for periods before the purchase of the equipment

Example: A microscope was bought on 1 December. The reporting period ends on 31 December and the financial year also ends on 31 December. The maximum depreciation that the beneficiary may charge is 1 month (from 1 to 31 December); i.e. 1/12 of the annual depreciation. This applies even if the beneficiary recorded in its accounts at 31 December a full year of depreciation for the item.

The depreciation costs must be calculated for each reporting period.

Specific cases (equipment costs (D.2)):

Low-value assets — The full cost of a low value asset may be eligible in the year when it is purchased if:

- the full cost is recorded in the accounts of the entity as expenditure of that year (i.e. NOT recorded as an asset subject to depreciation)

and

- the cost of the asset is below the low-value ceiling as defined under national law (e.g. *national tax legislation*) or other objective reference compatible with the materiality principle

and

- the item is used exclusively for the action in the year of purchase.

If the item is not used exclusively for the action in the year of purchase, only the portion used on the action may be charged.

Full price of an asset in one single year — As a general rule, beneficiaries cannot charge the total purchase price of equipment to the action, unless the GA explicitly foresees that option. The beneficiaries may therefore normally only charge the annual depreciation costs that correspond to the part of the equipment's use for the action. Declaring its *full* price in one single year would be considered either as not compliant with the international accounting standards or as an excessive cost — and therefore in both cases ineligible; see '*cash-based accounting*' below).

Equipment bought before the action start — Depreciation costs for equipment used for the action, but bought before the action start are eligible if they fulfil the general eligibility conditions of [Article 6.1\(a\)](#). These remaining depreciation costs (the equipment has not been fully depreciated before the action's start) may be eligible only for the portion corresponding to the action duration and to the rate of actual use for the purposes of the action.

Example:

According to the beneficiary's accounting practices, a piece of equipment bought in January 2013 has a depreciation period of 48 months.

If the GA is signed in January 2015 (when 24 months of depreciation have already passed) and the equipment is used for this action, the beneficiary can declare the depreciation costs incurred for the remaining 24 months, in proportion to the equipment's use for the action.

Cash-based accounting — As a general rule, beneficiaries cannot charge the total purchase price of equipment to the action, unless the GA explicitly foresees that option. The beneficiaries may therefore normally only charge the annual depreciation costs that corresponds to the part of the equipment's use for the action. This depreciation must be calculated in accordance with *international accounting standards* (i.e. notably spread over the equipment's useful life).

'Useful life' means the time during which the equipment is useful for the beneficiary. If the beneficiary does not normally calculate depreciation it may refer to its national tax regulations to define the useful life of the equipment.

Therefore, if the equipment's useful life is more than a year, the beneficiary can NOT charge the full price in one single year (— even if the beneficiary's usual accounting practice is to record the equipment's *total* purchase cost as an expense in one year).

Example:

A beneficiary that uses cash-based accounting buys a machine for EUR 100 000 in March 2015. According to the logbook of the machine, it is used for the action 50 % of the time from 1 July 2015 until the end of the action. The action started in January 2015 and runs for three years with two reporting periods. The machine's useful life is six years.

In the reporting period ending in June 2016, the beneficiary must declare depreciation costs taking into account the percentage of use, the time used for the action and the machine's useful life:

EUR 100 000 x (12/72 months) x 50 % (used for the action) = amount declared for the machine in the first reporting period

In the reporting period ending in December 2017, the beneficiary must declare:

EUR 100 000 x (18/72 months) x 50 % (used for the action) = amount declared for the machine in the second reporting period

Prototype or pilot plants constructed by the beneficiary as part of the action tasks — Normally beneficiaries may only declare the depreciation costs for equipment, infrastructure or other assets that are used for the project.

However, (full) direct costs for construction of a prototype or pilot plant may exceptionally be eligible, if all of the following apply:

- building the prototype or pilot plant is (one of) the main action task(s) as described in Annex 1 of the GA.
- the costs are foreseen in the estimated budget (Annex 2 of the GA)
- the eligibility conditions of [Articles 6.1](#) and [6.2](#) are met.

If the beneficiary records the construction costs directly under a fixed assets account in the balance sheet rather than as expenses of the year, those costs will normally be considered as compliant with Article 6.1(a)(v) provided that:

- it is in accordance with the national accounting standards and with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices,
- there is no double charging of costs (in particular, no charging of depreciation costs for the prototype or pilot plant to another EU or Euratom grant).

1.2 Equipment costs (D.2): Full cost of purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets

This budget category **covers** the *full* purchase costs of capitalised equipment, infrastructure or other assets used for the action (not only the depreciation costs for the relevant periodic report).

'Capitalised' means recorded as assets in the beneficiary's balance sheet.

1.3 Equipment costs (D.2): Costs of renting or leasing equipment

1.3.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs of renting or leasing equipment used for the action (i.e. finance leasing, renting and operational leasing).

1.3.2 Equipment costs must be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.3.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- **not exceed** the depreciation **costs of similar equipment**, infrastructure or assets
- **not** include any **financing fees**.

1.3.4 They must be **calculated** according to the following principles:

- for leasing (finance leasing) with the option to buy the durable equipment:

- the equipment leased by the beneficiary must be recorded as an asset of the beneficiary and the depreciation costs may be declared in accordance with the beneficiary's usual accounting practices
 - the costs declared can NOT exceed the costs that would have been incurred if the equipment had been purchased and depreciated under normal accounting practices
- The finance charges included in the finance lease payments are therefore NOT eligible.
- the costs declared can NOT include any interest on loans taken to finance the purchase, or any other type of financing fee
 - for renting and operational leasing: the equipment rented or leased by the beneficiary is not recorded as an asset of the beneficiary: There is no depreciation involved (since the item is still the property of the renting or leasing firm), but the rental or lease costs of the beneficiary (i.e. its periodic payments to the renting or leasing firm) are eligible, if they follow the beneficiary's usual practices and do not exceed the costs of purchasing the equipment (i.e. are not higher than the depreciation costs of similar equipment).

1.4 Equipment costs (D.2): Costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets contributed in-kind against payment

1.4.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets that were used for the action and 'contributed in-kind against payment'.

1.4.2 Equipment costs must be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.4.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- **not exceed** the depreciation **costs of similar equipment**, infrastructure or assets
- **not** include any **financing fees**
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 11.1](#).

1.4.4 The costs must correspond to the amount paid by the beneficiary and must not exceed the depreciation cost of the third party.

D.3 **Costs of other goods and services** (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible, if they are:

- (a) purchased specifically for the action and in accordance with Article 10.1.1 or
- (b) contributed in kind against payment and in accordance with Article 11.1.

Such goods and services include, for instance, consumables and supplies, dissemination (including open access), protection of results, certificates on the financial statements (if they are required by the Agreement), certificates on the methodology, translations and publications.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Costs of other goods and services for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]

[OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Costs of other goods and services for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]



1. Costs of other goods and services (D.3): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

The budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

The additional options for access to research infrastructure (together with the corresponding [Article 16](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action also involves access to research infrastructure.

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for goods and services that were purchased for the action (or contributed in-kind against payment), including:

- costs for consumables and supplies (*e.g. raw materials etc.*)
- dissemination costs (including regarding open access to peer-reviewed scientific publications, *e.g. article processing or equivalent charges*, costs related to open access to research data and related costs, *such as data maintenance or storage and conference fees for presenting project-related research*)
- costs related to intellectual property rights (IPR) (including costs to protect the results or royalties paid for access rights needed to implement the action)
- costs for certificates on financial statements (CFS) and certificates on methodology (unless unnecessary, *for instance because the EU or Euratom contribution is below the threshold of [Article 20.4](#) or the certificate was submitted not for the final report but before*).
- translation costs (*if translation is necessary for the action's implementation, is justified, etc.*).

Best practice: If there is any doubt about whether a cost is eligible, the beneficiaries should contact the Commission/Agency.

What not? If it is the beneficiary's usual accounting practice to consider some of these costs (or all of them) as *indirect* costs, they cannot be declared as direct costs (since they will already be covered by the 25 % flat rate).

1.2 Costs of other goods and services must be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))

AND

- be either **purchased specifically** for the action and in **accordance with Article 10.1.1**

OR

- **contributed in kind against payment** and in **accordance with Article 11.1**
- for costs of other goods and services incurred for providing **trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure**: fulfil the **additional cost eligibility conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#) or [16.2](#).

1.4. There is no specific **calculation** method. The costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred (i.e. the amount paid by the beneficiary for the supply of the goods or services).

Specific cases (costs for other goods and services (D.3)):

IPR access rights — Royalties paid for IPR access rights (and by extension any lump sum payments) are normally eligible, if all the eligibility conditions are fulfilled (*e.g. necessary for the implementation of the action, incurred during the action, reasonable, etc.*).

The following are however NOT eligible (or eligible only within certain limits):

- royalties for an exclusive licence: are eligible only if it can be shown that the exclusivity (and thus the higher royalties) is absolutely necessary for the implementation of the action
- royalties for licensing agreements which were already in force before the start of the action: only the part of the licence fee that can be linked to the action is eligible (since the licence presumably goes beyond the action implementation)
- royalties for access rights to background granted by other beneficiaries under [Article 25.2](#): Since the default rule is that access rights are granted on a royalty-free basis and beneficiaries may deviate only if agreed before GA signature, royalties are eligible only if explicitly agreed by all beneficiaries before GA signature and all the other eligibility conditions are fulfilled (*e.g. necessary for the implementation of the action, reasonable, etc.*)
Best practice: If beneficiaries intend to deviate from the default rule, it is recommended that this is explained in detail in their proposal.
- royalties for access rights to background granted by other beneficiaries under [Article 25.3](#) (and by extension royalties paid to third parties for exploitation of the results): are NOT eligible.

Protection of results — Costs related to the protection of the actions results (*e.g. consulting fees, fees paid to the patent office for patent registration; see Article 27*) are eligible if the eligibility conditions are fulfilled. Costs related to protection of other intellectual property (*e.g. background patents*) are NOT eligible.

Plan for the exploitation and dissemination of results — Costs for drawing up the plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results are normally NOT eligible since they will have been incurred before the start of the action, to prepare the proposal. Costs that occur when revising or implementing this plan may be eligible.

Open access — Costs related to open access to peer-reviewed scientific publications and research data are eligible, if the eligibility conditions are fulfilled. With explicit agreement by the Commission/Agency, it can also include fees levied for a membership scheme (if this is a requirement for publishing in open access or if membership is a pre-condition for significantly lower article processing charges).

Supplies in stock — Supplies and consumables which were already in the stock of the beneficiary may be eligible as a direct cost, if they are used for the action and fit the definition of direct costs under [Article 6.2](#).

Self-produced consumables with an accounting value in the inventory of the beneficiary (i.e. not internally invoiced costs for goods and services) — Consumables that are manufactured (produced) by the beneficiary itself do not have a purchase price; the cost of production for the beneficiary is however normally recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary (as part of the *inventory*). Therefore, the eligible costs of self-produced consumables must be determined on the basis of its accounting value under the following conditions:

- only the *direct* costs within the accounting value of the consumable (cost of production) may be charged. If it is not possible to accurately determine the part of the accounting value corresponding to the direct costs then the beneficiary may charge the accounting value divided by 1,25 (in order to remove the H2020 flat-rate for indirect costs)

 Beneficiaries can **NOT** charge the **commercial price** for self-produced consumables.

AND

- the amount resulting from the indent above may not be significantly higher than the market price of the consumable.

Example:

In an action in the field of agro-forestry research the beneficiary needs 100 plants to carry out tests. The beneficiary has a greenhouse where it keeps a stock of those plants. The market price of those plants (e.g. checked with offers or prices published in internet) is around EUR 10 per plant. The beneficiary has 150 plants in stock with a global accounting value of EUR 1 200 (= EUR 8 per plant). It is not possible to identify what part of that accounting value corresponds to direct costs of production of those plants. The beneficiary may use the self-produced plants for the action and charge EUR 6,4 per plant (8/1,25).

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — Costs for staff provided by a temporary work agency are eligible normally under category D.3 'other goods and services' if they comply with the eligibility conditions (and unless the temporary work agency carries out directly some task of the action, in which case it can be considered as subcontracting and should be declared under category B 'subcontracting').

D.4 Capitalised and operating costs of ‘large research infrastructure’¹⁹ [OPTION 1 by default: directly used for the action are eligible, if:

- (a) the value of the **large research infrastructure** represents at least 75% of the total fixed assets (at historical value in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure²⁰);
- (b) the beneficiary’s methodology for declaring the costs for large research infrastructure has been positively assessed by the Commission (‘**ex-ante assessment**’);
- (c) the beneficiary declares as direct eligible costs only the portion which corresponds to the duration of the action and the rate of actual use for the purposes of the action, and
- (d) they comply with the **conditions** as further detailed in the annotations to the H2020 grant agreements.]

OPTION 2 for all topics within calls under Part ‘Research Infrastructure’ (except for e-Infrastructure topics): Not applicable.]

[OPTION 3 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: Not applicable.]

¹⁹ ‘**Large research infrastructure**’ means research infrastructure of a total value of at least EUR 20 million, for a beneficiary, calculated as the sum of historical asset values of each individual research infrastructure of that beneficiary, as they appear in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure.

²⁰ For the definition see Article 2(6) of the ‘H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013’: ‘**Research infrastructure**’ are facilities, resources and services that are used by the research communities to conduct research and foster innovation in their fields. Where relevant, they may be used beyond research, e.g. for education or public services. They include: major scientific equipment (or sets of instruments); knowledge-based resources such as collections, archives or scientific data; e-infrastructures such as data and computing systems and communication networks; and any other infrastructure of a unique nature essential to achieve excellence in research and innovation. Such infrastructures may be ‘single-sited’, ‘virtual’ or ‘distributed’.



1. Capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure (D.4): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This optional budget category will be inserted into RIA, IA and CSA GAs, unless:

- it is excluded by the work programme or
- for calls under Part I of Horizon 2020 ‘Research Infrastructures’ (except for e-Infrastructure topics).

1.1. What? It covers **capitalised costs** and **operating costs** of research infrastructure used for the action.

‘Capitalised costs’ are:

- all costs incurred in setting up and/or renewing the research infrastructure and
- some costs of specific repair and maintenance of the research infrastructure and parts or essential integral components.²⁰

These costs are recorded as an asset in the balance sheet and expensed over the years. They can be claimed as direct costs through depreciation costs. The capitalised costs of the research infrastructure must be depreciated in line with international accounting standards (in


²⁰ See also *International Financial Reporting Standard No 16*.

particular, based on the useful economic life of the infrastructure) and with the beneficiary's usual accounting practices.²¹ Only the depreciation costs of the research infrastructure corresponding to actual use may be declared as eligible direct costs.

Methods and national reporting practices may differ, but, for the declaration of costs under H2020 grants, it is these guidelines that must be followed for the financial reporting.

Beneficiaries must use their 'usual accounting principles', i.e. the general and cost accounting principles, standards and procedures that they use to compile their legal/statutory financial accounts (i.e. balance sheet, profit and loss accounts, etc.) together with their analytical management information. These standards and principles must not be set up specifically for declaring costs under EU-funded actions. They should be changed/adapted only where strictly necessary to comply with the EU cost eligibility conditions. Ad hoc accounting and/or management schemes will not be accepted.

The costs of renting and/or leasing (excluding any finance fee/interest) of a research infrastructure may also be declared as eligible direct costs. As regards depreciation, only leasing costs of the research infrastructure corresponding to actual use may be declared as eligible direct costs.

 If a (tenant) beneficiary uses the values of contracts of renting or leasing of a research infrastructure to calculate the EUR 20 million threshold (see below) and therefore declares costs for this infrastructure, these costs cannot be considered nor declared by any other beneficiary under any other H2020 grant (in particular not by the owner of the research infrastructure).

'Operating costs' are costs:

- which the beneficiary incurs specifically (i.e. directly for the research infrastructure that is used for the action) for running the research infrastructure (including scientific, technical and administrative personnel) and
- are directly linked to the research infrastructure.

In the statutory accounting, these are recorded in the beneficiary's statement of comprehensive income (profit and loss account).

Only the following operating costs can be claimed as direct costs:

- personnel cost of administrative and support staff directly assigned to the functioning of the research infrastructure;
- rental/lease of the research infrastructure (for the period of its actual use for the action);
- maintenance and repair contracts (including calibrating and testing) specifically awarded for the functioning of the research infrastructure;
- consumables, materials and spare parts specifically used for the research infrastructure;
- facility management contracts including security fees, insurance costs, quality control and certification, upgrading to national and/or EU quality, safety or security standards (if not capitalised), specifically awarded for the functioning of the research infrastructure;
- energy and water specifically supplied for the research infrastructure.

²¹ See Article 126 of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#).

What not? The following costs can NOT be declared as direct costs (non-exhaustive list):


- rental, lease or depreciation of buildings or plants not directly used for the action (e.g. administrative buildings, headquarters)
- statutory audit and legal fees (not including costs of certificates required under the GA)
- office supplies and petty office equipment (purchased in bulk)
- other general services (cleaning, medical, library, services for publication, communication and connection, postage, dues and subscriptions, clothing, literature, transport, catering and similar items (i.e. items recorded by the beneficiary under the same account in the general ledger)
- management tasks and horizontal services (accounting and controlling, head office, corporate communications, HR and training, internal audit, management, quality management, strategic development, etc.)
- non-specific, non-activity-related or non-project-related costs (general): consumables, maintenance, general facilities management, conferences, hosted activities, security fees, insurance costs, general utilities, energy and water, and similar (i.e. items recorded by the beneficiary under the same account in the general ledger).

These costs are reimbursed through the flat-rate for indirect costs (see [Article 6.2.E](#)).

1.2 Costs of capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure must be **declared as actual costs** (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- they fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- the **sum of historical asset values of each individual research infrastructure** of the beneficiary, as they appear in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the GA or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure, represents a total value of at least EUR 20 million for that beneficiary
- the **value of large research infrastructures** of the beneficiary represents at least 75% of the total fixed assets (at historical value in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the GA or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure)
- the beneficiary's methodology for declaring the costs for large research infrastructure has been positively assessed by the Commission ('**ex-ante assessment**');
- the beneficiary declares as direct eligible costs only the **portion** which corresponds to the **action duration** and to the rate of **actual use** for the purposes of the action, and
- they comply with the **conditions** set out below.

 The beneficiary must operate research infrastructure that falls under the definition of large research infrastructure (i.e. a total value of at least EUR 20 million and representing at least 75% of the total fixed assets). If this is the case, the **value of the specific research infrastructure used for the action** (and for which costs are declared) is **irrelevant** (i.e. it can be lower or higher than EUR 20 million) (see *also below point 2*).

1.4 The **calculation** is explained in point 4.4.

2. Large research infrastructure (LRI)

Beneficiaries can declare capitalised and operating costs for **large research infrastructure**, if they comply with the definition and conditions listed in [Article 6.2.D.4](#) (in particular if the large research infrastructure has a total value of at least EUR 20 million²² and if the value of the large research infrastructure represents at least 75% of the beneficiary's total fixed assets, at historical value).

The values of contracts of renting or leasing of research infrastructure may be taken into account for the calculation of the EUR 20 million threshold of the (tenant) beneficiary (see *above*).

The infrastructure used for the action must be a **research infrastructure** in technical terms, i.e. a facility, resource or service that is used by the research communities to conduct research and foster innovation in their fields; it may be used beyond research, e.g. for education or public services²³.

This covers for instance:

- major scientific equipment (or sets of instruments);
- knowledge-based resources such as collections, archives or scientific data;
- e-infrastructures, such as data, and computing systems, and communication networks.

The infrastructure may be 'single-sited', 'virtual' or 'distributed'.

Moreover, it must be a research infrastructure in accounting terms, i.e. recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary on the basis of a **grouping of costs** (comprising a wide range of items: buildings, machinery, equipment, IT, staff, repair and maintenance, specific security fees, etc.), specifically dedicated to the research infrastructure and necessary for it/them to function, and excluding costs that are incidental to the research infrastructure or necessary for accessing it, such as a car parks, conference and teaching rooms.

In analytical (cost) accounting, this grouping of costs can be recorded in many different ways.

Best practice: Recording them with a specific code for the research infrastructure or under a cost centre.

3. Ex-ante assessment

Only beneficiaries that have obtained a positive ex-ante assessment of their costing methodology may declare capitalised and operating costs for large research infrastructure under this budget category.

⚠ Recalculations & adjustments of financial statements (exceptional) — Costs can be declared under this budget category **ONLY** after having obtained the positive ex-ante assessment from the Commission. Once obtained, the beneficiaries may, however, exceptionally adjust previous financial statements.

⚠ Costs declared in accordance with a positive ex-ante assessment will not be challenged during audits, except in case of irregularity or fraud. The auditors will only:

- ensure that the methodology used is the one that was submitted for ex-ante assessment and
- verify that the calculations (applying the methodology) are correct.

²² Calculated as the sum of the historical asset values of the individual research infrastructures as they appear in the beneficiary's last closed balance sheet before the date on which the grant agreement is signed, or determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the infrastructures.

²³ See *Article 2(6) of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme*.

When? Applications for ex-ante assessment can be submitted at any time during grant preparation for any GA (by ticking the LRI box either in the [Participant Register](#) or during grant preparation).

How? The **ex-ante assessment** is composed of two steps: Status validation and methodology compliance.

Procedure for ex-ante assessment:

Step 1 — Status validation (i.e. if the beneficiary qualifies with definition and conditions for declaring costs under [Article 6.2.D.4](#)).

The beneficiary must self-declare whether it complies with the conditions set out above (in particular the EUR 20 million and the 75% thresholds), by filling the appropriate field in the [Participant Register](#). The beneficiary must then provide the relevant supporting documents to the Commission within one month.

The Commission will confirm or — after a contradictory procedure — refuse the status and inform the beneficiary accordingly.

Step 2 — Methodology compliance (i.e. if the beneficiary's methodology complies with the conditions set out below).

Following an in-depth (in principle on-the-spot) assessment, the Commission will issue a draft report and submit it to a contradictory procedure with the beneficiary. During this phase the beneficiary has the possibility to amend its methodology by removing any non-compliant component of it. Thereafter, a final (negative or positive) ex-ante assessment report will be issued.



Although the ex-ante assessment is granted at entity level, it does not necessarily mean that it covers the entire legal entity from the beginning of H2020. It may cover only some departments, cost centres or even individual infrastructures of the beneficiary, possibly with different starting dates.

In that case, the beneficiary may use budget category D.4 only for cost items that are covered by the positive assessment (i.e. that use the methodology and comply with the conditions of the approval).

4. Other conditions

4.1 Costs must be identifiable and verifiable

All declared costs must be **identifiable and verifiable**, i.e. supported by persuasive evidence allowing for a sufficient audit trail.

The sufficiency and the persuasiveness of the evidence provided, as well as the audit trail, will be assessed against the [International Standards on Auditing](#).

Capitalised costs claimed as depreciation costs must be supported by:

- proper registration in the assets' register
- evidence of actual use for the action, *e.g. through time registration*
- adequate calculation of potential use (total productive time)
- adequate calculation of the useful economic life of the asset
- evidence that depreciation is calculated in line with the beneficiary's usual accounting principles and the applicable accounting standards.

4.2 Costs must be incurred in direct relationship with the research infrastructure and with the action

Beneficiaries cannot declare their full organisational or general operating costs, even if they are fully research-oriented (e.g. a research organisation, technical university, research enterprise). These costs are covered by the flat-rate for indirect costs (see [Article 6.2.E](#)).

Only costs that have been incurred in **direct relationship** with the research infrastructure and that are necessary for the implementation of the action can be claimed as direct costs in category D.4 'large research infrastructure'.

This applies if:

- for capitalised costs: the implementation of the action specifically requires the use of the research infrastructure
- for operating costs: the functioning of the research infrastructure specifically requires the assignment of administrative and support staff, or the award of service or supply contracts.

Beneficiaries must be able to demonstrate eligibility by means of an audit trail and sufficient evidence, such as:

- their usual management practices and procedures

Only written and consigned practices and procedures which are part of the beneficiary's internal control framework will be accepted. Oral statements will not be accepted.

- internal management exchanges necessary for the approval of an underlying transaction
- purchase orders, delivery notes, invoices, proof of payment or any other evidence of exchanges between the client and the provider(s) before signature of the contract or agreement

The beneficiary must prove the reality of the underlying transaction (including the absence of a credit note or back-payment offsetting the transaction). Gathering such evidence may require a comprehensive analysis of the beneficiary's general ledger.

- for works contracts, any statement of work in progress, delivery status or assembling overview.

The evidence mentioned in the last three points must be explicitly linked to the specific research infrastructure and/or action, and to the specific cost item.

Beneficiaries may prove the direct link through alternative persuasive evidence. The sufficiency and the persuasiveness of the alternative evidence provided, as well as the audit trail, will be assessed against the International Standards on Auditing.

Example 1 (link between research infrastructure and action(s)):


A beneficiary involved in different research areas owns several research infrastructures: an oceanographic vessel and a laboratory for microbiological analysis.

Costs relating to the oceanographic vessel cannot be claimed as direct costs for an action for which it is not used (e.g. an action on eradicating malaria in Africa or on setting up a network for social intelligence).

*Part of the **costs of the vessel** can however be claimed where the vessel is used for an action (e.g. an action on seismic oceanography or on the oceanic ecosystem), provided the beneficiary demonstrates the extent of use (e.g. through a board-book).*

*Similarly, part of the **laboratory costs** can be claimed for an action on eradicating malaria in Africa or on the oceanic ecosystem, provided the beneficiary demonstrates the extent to which the laboratory is used for **the** action.*

*The costs of the two research infrastructures cannot be declared for the action on setting up the network for **social** intelligence.*



4.3 Costs must not be included as direct costs in any other category

Costs may not be declared twice (see [Article 6.5](#))²⁴.

Thus:

- any (part of a) cost item that has been capitalised and recorded as an asset is *de facto* included in the depreciation costs of the infrastructure and cannot be declared in another cost category (e.g. if the capitalised costs of installing a large telescope are depreciated, they cannot be declared as operating costs)
- (part of) the costs of an infrastructure that have already been declared in respect of another EU/Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State, or by bodies other than the Commission for the purpose of implementing the EU/Euratom budget) cannot be declared again (e.g. costs already declared in an FP7 action to set up or renew an infrastructure; costs already declared in an action under the 'Research Infrastructures' Part of Horizon 2020 with (i.e. providing of trans-national access to research infrastructure; see [Article 16](#)); costs already declared in a grant co-financed by the Structural Funds or the ESIF Funds)

This means that a *cost item already declared* under a Structural Funds or ESIF grant cannot be declared again under the H2020 grant. However, *cost items that have not already been declared* under a Structural Funds or ESIF grant, may be declared under the H2020 grant, even if they belong to the same action.

⚠ Combining H2020 & other EU grants — The terminologies under the different EU funds/funding programmes may differ (e.g. 'capitalised costs' under Horizon 2020 versus 'investment costs' under the Structural Funds, etc.).

4.4 Costs must be directly measured

Costs can be claimed as direct costs in category D.4 'Large research infrastructure' only if they are **directly measured** (i.e. directly quantified by a monetary value assigned to a given cost item, or a share of it). The measurement system used by the beneficiary must accurately quantify the cost (i.e. reflect its actual true value), be supported by sufficient persuasive evidence and be auditable.

This is considered to be the case if the unit of measurement (generally obtained from the supplier's invoice) is that used to measure the direct consumption of the item.

This measurement must be accurate (i.e. show the *real* consumption and/or use of a cost item on the action), therefore only actual elements of cost and consumption or use are accepted.

Direct measurement of costs does NOT mean 'fair apportionment' through proxies or cost drivers — which was standard for declaring real indirect costs under FP7. (Fair apportionment is not a measurement but an attempt to estimate the costs that were incurred for an action. Direct measurement implies that a cost cannot be attributed to projects via an allocation key, a cost driver or a proxy.)

²⁴ See [Article 129\(1\) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#).

Example 2 (comparison between fair apportionment and direct measurement):

Under the fair apportionment method, the general electricity costs of a beneficiary operating a laboratory used for an action are allocated to the pool of indirect cost and then apportioned fairly via an absorption method (e.g. m^2).

Under the direct measurement method, the cost is claimed as direct cost; however the beneficiary must ensure that the electricity invoice specifies the electricity costs for the research infrastructure (explicitly labelled invoice or separate invoice). Moreover, the cost must then be measured with respect to the actual time of use of the research infrastructure for the action.



While accurate measurement systems may differ depending on the nature of the cost, they will normally involve time actually used for the action (**project time**) and therefore require reliable time recording (through time-sheets, logbooks, counters, etc.). The measurement system must be justifiable through sufficient persuasive evidence and auditable.

Project time must correspond:

- for the costs of administrative and support staff directly assigned to the functioning of the research infrastructure: to the number of hours actually worked for the action, measured and documented in accordance with Article 31 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#)
- for the other costs of the research infrastructure: to the number of hours/days/months of actual use of the research infrastructure for the action as a part of full capacity (i.e. the number of productive hours/days/months corresponding to the full potential use of the infrastructure)


This includes any time during which the research infrastructure is usable but not used, but take due account of real constraints such as the opening hours of the entity, repair and maintenance time (including calibrating and testing) due to research activities.

If a cost can be directly measured to the research infrastructure but — because of technical constraints — cannot be measured directly to the action, beneficiaries may measure costs by means of '**units of actual usage**' for the action, supported by accurate technical specifications and actual data and determined on the basis of the beneficiary's analytical cost accounting system. This data must be regularly updated.

Example 3 (costs of administrative and support staff directly assigned to the functioning of the research infrastructure):

If an employee of the administration and finance department is employed to carry out specific tasks necessary for the operation of a research infrastructure specifically used for an action (e.g. assigning time-slots between users, monitoring actual use, managing security contracts), the personnel costs can be declared as direct costs of the action, in proportion to

the time the employee actually spent on the action and provided that this is recorded reliably.



Example 4 (part-time use of the research infrastructure for the action):



An oceanographic vessel is used full-time for a period of two months for an EU- funded action and three months for a non-EU research project (or for a non-research activity (commercial, industrial, etc.) of the beneficiary) and stays idle for seven months.

If the annual costs of the vessel (i.e. capitalised and operating costs) amount to EUR 120 000, the part that can be declared for the EU funded action is:

$$(EUR\ 120\ 000 / 12\ months) \times 2\ months = EUR\ 20\ 000$$

AND NOT:

$$(EUR\ 120\ 000 / 5\ months^{25}) \times 2\ months = EUR\ 48\ 000$$

Renting or leasing costs can be directly measured to an action as follows:

Example 5 (rental/leasing costs):

The surface area of the premises rented/leased by a beneficiary is occupied as follows:

- * 50 % by a research infrastructure;
- * 50 % by conference rooms and offices.

The overall rental/leasing costs are EUR 100 000 per year, split (according to the rental/leasing agreement) as follows:

- * EUR 80 000 for the research infrastructure;
- * EUR 20 000 for the conference rooms and offices.

The rental costs that can be claimed as direct costs for an action using this research infrastructure are determined as follows:

Step 1 Calculation of the rental costs that can be directly attributed to the research infrastructure

On the basis of the rental/leasing agreement, the invoice must refer to separate amounts for the research infrastructure and the conference rooms/offices (the rental/leasing costs cannot be directly allocated on the basis of the respective surface areas).

²⁵ 5 months = 2 months for an EU funded action + 3 months for non-EU research or other activities.

The basis for the calculation must be EUR 80 000 and not EUR 50 000 (EUR 100 000 x 50 % of m²).

*Step 2 The rental/leasing amount invoiced for the research infrastructure (i.e. EUR 80 000) is precisely distributed between the activity(ies) and project(s) that make use of it (**best practice**: time of actual use). Installed usage capacity is taken (so as not to exclude idle time) and the resulting cost per unit of usage is multiplied by actual usage on the action (which thus absorbs its share of the overall renting/leasing cost).*

Best practices (for direct measurement):

- Depreciation (for capitalised costs): accounting statements accompanied by the beneficiary's depreciation policy (under its usual accounting principles), to show adequate calculation of the potential use of the asset (total productive time based on full capacity) + calculation of the useful economic life of the asset, evidence of project time (or units of actual usage for the action) and evidence of the actual use of the asset for the action
- Rental or lease of the research infrastructure: specific explicitly labelled rental or lease invoice/contract; adequate calculation of the potential use of the asset (total productive time based on full capacity) + calculation of the useful economic life of the asset, evidence of project time (or units of actual usage for the action) and evidence of the actual use of the asset for the action
- Personnel (administrative and support staff): time recording (without prejudice to the need for persuasive evidence of actual involvement in the action)
- Maintenance and repair (including calibrating and testing): specific explicitly labelled invoice relating to the research infrastructure + project time (or units of actual usage for the action)
- Consumables, materials and spare parts: specific explicitly labelled invoice relating to the research infrastructure, if available, or stocktaking; actual consumption for the action (based on analytical cost accounting) or project time (or units of actual usage for the action)
- Facilities management, including security fees, insurance costs, quality control and certification, upgrading to national and/or EU quality, safety or security standards: specific explicitly labelled invoice relating to the research infrastructure + project time (or units of actual usage for the action)
- Energy and water: specific explicitly labelled invoice relating to the research infrastructure + project time (or units of actual usage for the action).

The energy consumption of a specific research infrastructure can be obtained from the measured consumption (*e.g. number of kilowatts per hour of use*), as stated in its technical specifications or provided by the supplier or an independent body. These specifications must be identifiable and verifiable.

Alternative to best practices: The beneficiaries may determine eligible direct costs on the basis of an aggregate of the capitalised and operating direct costs of each research infrastructure.

Direct measurement allows to determine a '**cost per unit of use**' covering all the actual direct costs relating to the operation of the research infrastructure being used for the action, i.e. depreciation costs plus necessary operating costs of the research infrastructure.

This cost remains however an actual cost, NOT a unit cost under [Article 5.2](#).

The cost per unit of use must be **calculated** as follows:

$$\frac{\{\text{all capitalised costs of the research infrastructure} + \text{all operating costs of the research infrastructure}\}}{\text{total annual capacity}}$$

The unit of use must correspond to:

- (i) the time of use expressed in hours, days or months and supported by evidence or
- (ii) the number of accesses, for which supporting evidence may take the form of records or electronic log of units-of-access provision.

The calculation must take due account of real constraints (*e.g. opening hours*), but must reflect the research infrastructure's full capacity and include any time during which the research infrastructure is usable but not used or any unit of access available but not used.

The **direct costs** that can be claimed are **calculated** as follows:

actual eligible costs per unit of use **x** actual number of units of use used on the action.

The calculation must be verifiable, i.e. allow for a sufficient audit trail reconciling it to the beneficiary's statutory accounts.

D.5 Costs of internally invoiced goods and services directly used for the action are eligible, if:

- (a) they are declared on the basis of a unit cost calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices;
- (b) the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
- (c) the unit cost is calculated using the actual costs for the good or service recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories.

The actual costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information;

- (d) the unit cost excludes any costs of items which are not directly linked to the production of the invoiced goods or service.

'Internally invoiced goods and services' means goods or services which are provided by the beneficiary directly for the action and which the beneficiary values on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices.



1. Costs of internally invoiced goods and services (D.5): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

The budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

1.1 What? This budget category covers the costs for goods and services which the beneficiary itself produced or provided for the action. They include (non-exhaustive list):


- self-produced consumables (*e.g. electronic wafers, chemicals*)
- use of specific research devices or research facilities (*e.g. clean room, wind tunnel, supercomputer facilities, electronic microscope*)
- specialised premises for hosting the research specimens used for the action (*e.g. animal house, greenhouse, aquarium*)
- standardised testing or research processes (*e.g. genomic test, mass spectrometry analysis*)
- hosting services for visiting researchers participating in the action (*e.g. housing, canteen*).

What not? Costs of goods or services purchased and costs of goods or services internally invoiced which are not directly used for the action (*e.g. supporting services like cleaning, general accountancy, administrative support, etc.*).

1.2 Costs of internally invoiced goods and services must be **declared as** unit costs (*see Article 5.2(d)*).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following cumulative **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. used during the action duration, necessary for the action, identifiable and verifiable, etc.; *see Article 6.1(b)*)

■  It is NOT necessary to have a document called 'internal invoice' to support these costs, but the beneficiary should have a documented methodology how to determine them (such a methodology must be part of its usual costs accounting practices). The beneficiary must also keep supporting evidence of the use of the good or service for the action.

- be in line with the beneficiary's **usual cost accounting practices**

It must be the usual practice of the beneficiary to calculate a unit cost for that good or service (i.e. an internal cost per unit invoiced to other departments of the same entity – not prices charged in the context of commercial sales or grants from other fund providers).

- the cost accounting practices must have been applied in a **consistent** manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding

The beneficiary must consistently apply its usual cost accounting practices, based on objective criteria that must be verifiable if there is an audit. It must do this no matter who is funding the action.


Example: if the beneficiary sets up a new unit cost which applies only to EU actions, the internally invoiced costs based on that new unit cost would be ineligible.

- the amount per unit must have been **calculated** using the actual costs recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, excluding any ineligible costs or costs already included in other budget categories

If necessary, the unit cost must be adjusted to remove:

- cost elements that are ineligible under the GA (even if they are part of the beneficiary's usual methodology for determining the unit cost for its internal invoices)

Example: The beneficiary uses internal invoices for the use of an electronic microscope based on a unit cost per hour of use. The methodology to calculate the unit cost includes costs of capital (e.g. interest charged by the bank for a loan used to buy the microscope). Those costs are ineligible under the GA (see [Article 6.5](#)) and must therefore be removed. The unit cost must be recalculated without them.

 A beneficiary can NOT simply apply an estimated percentage of deduction on the amount of its internal invoices to remove ineligible costs. It has to ensure that the internal invoice does not include any ineligible costs under the GA.

- costs of resources that do not belong to the beneficiary and which it uses free of charge (e.g. personnel or equipment of a third party provided free of charge), because they are not in its accounts (see [Article 6.1\(a\)\(v\)](#))

As an exception, if the resource for which the unit cost is calculated is co-owned by the beneficiary and a third party, the costs registered in the accounts of the third party for the co-owned resource do not need to be removed if:

- the third party is mentioned in the grant (e.g. as third party providing in-kind contributions in Annex 1) and
- the costs fulfil the other eligibility conditions of this Article (e.g. directly linked to the resource, exclude ineligible costs, etc.).
- costs that are already included in other budget categories (double funding of the same costs, see [Article 6.1\(a\)\(i\)](#)).

Example: The beneficiary uses internal invoices for water chemistry analyses, based on a unit cost per water sample analysed. The methodology to calculate the unit cost includes the cost of the staff carrying out the analysis. However, the costs for those persons are already charged to the

action under direct personnel costs (category A.1). The cost of the staff must therefore be removed and the unit cost must be recalculated without them.

- if budgeted or estimated elements were used to calculate the amount per unit, those elements must:
 - be relevant (i.e. clearly related to invoiced item)
 - be used in a reasonable way (i.e. do not play major role in calculating the unit cost)
 - correspond to objective and verifiable information (i.e. their basis is clearly defined and the beneficiary can show how they were calculated)
- the amount per unit must have been calculated using only costs of items which were **directly linked** to the production of the internally invoiced goods or services (e.g. staff, equipment, raw materials, etc.)

If a cost item is not used exclusively for the internally invoiced good or service, only the share used for the good or service may be counted (the percentage taken must be substantiated by persuasive evidence).

Example: Shared staff — Among the persons operating the beneficiary's wind tunnel, there is one person that is assigned only part-time to that wind tunnel; the rest of the time it works in another department. If the beneficiary includes the costs of this person at the rate of 50 %, it must be able to justify the reality of that percentage, for example, by any of the following:

- reliable time recording system
- a statistic survey performed in the past based on actual data
- detailed calculations based on technical specifications or other scientific and technical requirements of the equipment or infrastructure allowing to reconcile the time spent by the person for the functioning of the wind tunnel

or

- a combination of these methods.

⚠ Ceiling — The (share of the) working time of a person which is included in the calculation of the unit cost reduces the number of hours that the person can charge over the year to EU/Euratom grants (see Article 6.2.A. Calculation). The same hours of the person cannot be charged twice to the grant, once embedded in the unit cost and again as direct personnel costs.

If necessary, the unit cost must be adjusted to remove costs elements allocated to the unit cost indirectly; i.e. through cost drivers/allocation keys based on other cost items included in the unit cost (e.g. for a clean room: the costs of the of the human resources department charged to the unit cost as a fixed amount per employee assigned to the clean room; or power supply costs allocated to the clean room on the basis of the square meters it occupies).

Example: Costs for an animal housing facility

Examples of costs generally eligible as part of the unit cost	Examples of costs ineligible as part of the unit cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – staff working for the facility (e.g. keepers, veterinarians and other persons directly assigned to run the animal house) – consumables used for the animal housing (e.g. animal food, bedding) – depreciation of cages and other equipment directly linked to the housing of the animals – generic supplies like electricity or water — BUT only if the consumption of the facility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – cost of central services (e.g. accounting department, human resources department) – shared infrastructures (e.g. central heating, air-conditioning) and their maintenance – shared services with no differentiation of the costs incurred for the animal house facility (e.g. cleaning services) – depreciation of shared buildings (e.g. the animal housing is part of a main building of the beneficiary)

<p><i>has been directly measured (so that the cost can be accurately determined)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>maintenance and cleaning of the animal house facility — BUT only if the cost is directly identifiable (e.g. a separate invoice for the cleaning of the cages)</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>bank interests, provisions for future expenses and any other ineligible costs listed in Article 6.5</i>
---	--

Example: Wind tunnel

Examples of costs generally eligible as part of the unit cost	Examples of costs ineligible as part of the unit cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>staff working for the facility (e.g. technicians, engineers and other persons directly assigned to the functioning of the wind tunnel)</i> – <i>depreciation of the equipment, including specific software and hardware necessary for the functioning of the wind tunnel</i> – <i>generic supplies like electricity — BUT only if the consumption of the facility has been directly measured (so the cost can be accurately determined)</i> – <i>insurance — BUT only if the cost is directly identifiable (e.g. a separate invoice for the insurance of the facility)</i> – <i>maintenance and cleaning of the wind tunnel equipment (e.g. air cooling system) — BUT only if the cost is directly identifiable (e.g. a separate invoice for the services)</i> – <i>calibration/metrology tests — BUT only if the cost is directly identifiable (e.g. a separate invoice for the services)</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>cost of central services (e.g. accounting department, human resources department)</i> – <i>shared infrastructures (e.g. central heating, air-conditioning) and their maintenance</i> – <i>shared services with no differentiation of the costs incurred for the facility (e.g. cleaning services)</i> – <i>depreciation of shared buildings (e.g. the building where the installation is located is part of a main building of the beneficiary)</i> – <i>bank interests, provisions for future expenses and any other ineligible costs listed in Article 6.5</i>

1.1.4 They must be **calculated** according to the rules set out above (point 1.1.3).

E. Indirect costs [(not covered by Point F)]

Indirect costs are eligible if they are declared on the basis of the flat-rate of 25% of the eligible direct costs (see [Article 5.2](#) and [Article 6.2](#). Points A to D above), from which are excluded:

- (a) costs of subcontracting [and][;]
- (b) costs of in-kind contributions provided by third parties which are not used on the beneficiary's premises [and][;]
- (c) [OPTION 1 to be used if Article 15 applies: costs of providing financial support to third parties[and][;]][OPTION 2: not applicable;]
- (d) [OPTION 1 if Article 6.2.F applies and the specific unit cost[lump sum] cost covers indirect costs: [unit costs under Articles 5.2(f) and 6.2.F.][lump sum costs under Articles 5.2(f) and 6.2.F.]] [OPTION 2: not applicable.]

Beneficiaries receiving an operating grant²¹ financed by the EU or Euratom budget cannot declare indirect costs for the period covered by the operating grant, unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.


²¹ For the definition, see Article 121(1)(b) of the Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 ('**Financial Regulation No 966/2012**') (OJ L 218, 26.10.2012, p.1): 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting an EU policy.




1. Indirect costs (E.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all RIA, IA and CSA grants under the General MGA.

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** all costs for the action that are not *directly* linked to it (see [Article 6.2](#)).

1.2 Indirect costs must be **declared as** a fixed flat-rate (see [Article 5.2\(e\)](#);  **new in Horizon 2020**).

In practice, the declaration is completely automatized: The indirect costs are automatically calculated by the IT system (on the basis of the direct costs).

 The pre-filled amount may NOT be changed (except in case of a parallel operating grant, see *below*).

1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for flat-rate costs to be eligible (i.e. costs to which the flat-rate is applied must be eligible, correct calculation, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(c\)](#))

1.4 They must be **calculated** by applying the 25 % flat-rate to the beneficiary's eligible direct costs, minus:

- subcontracting costs (see [Article 13](#))

Example: subcontracting of opinion surveys

The purchase of goods, work or services that are not action tasks described in Annex 1 (see [Article 10](#)) is NOT considered subcontracting, and are therefore not subtracted when calculating the 25 % flat rate.

- costs of in-kind contributions incurred by third parties outside of the beneficiary's premises
- costs of providing financial support to third parties (if option applies)
- costs from specific cost categories (unit or lump sum costs) that include indirect costs (e.g. 'costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings', 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure' and 'costs for clinical studies').

Example for calculating indirect costs:

A public university is a beneficiary under a GA and has incurred the following costs:

- EUR 100 000 personnel costs (EUR 7 000 of which are an in-kind contribution in the form of a laboratory technician who carries out work for the action from his/her laboratory in a public research centre)
- EUR 20 000 subcontracting costs,
- EUR 10 000 other direct costs (consumables).

Eligible direct costs: $100\ 000 + 20\ 000 + 10\ 000 = \text{EUR } 130\ 000$

Eligible indirect costs: $25\% \text{ of } (100\ 000 - 7\ 000 + 10\ 000) = \text{EUR } 25\ 750$

Total eligible costs: EUR 155 750

⚠ Combining different EU grants — Beneficiaries that have **action grants** and **operating grants** may claim indirect costs in their action grants ONLY if they are able to demonstrate cost separation (i.e. that their operating grants do not cover any costs which are covered by their action grants).

Operating grants are annual grants to finance the operation and running costs of an entity (e.g. call [COMM-C2/01-2013](#) under the Europe for Citizens programme).

Example: Entity A receives an operating grant under the Europe for Citizens programme for the financial year 2016 (i.e. from 01.01.2016 until 31.12.2016) The operating grant is not renewed in 2017. On 01.04.2016, entity A signs an H2020 action grant with one reporting period of 18 months (01.04.2016 – 31.12.2017). Beneficiary A may declare the indirect costs in the H2020 action grant as follows:

- from 01.04.2016 to 31.12.2016 ONLY IF it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action (see below);
- from 01.01.2017 – 31.12.2017 under the normal H2020 rules (i.e. 25% of the direct eligible costs since there is no operating grant covering the 2017 financial year).

To **demonstrate cost separation**, the following conditions must be fulfilled:

- the operating grants may NOT cover 100% of the beneficiary's annual budget (i.e. it may not be full operating grants)
- the beneficiary must use analytical accounting which allows for a cost accounting management with cost allocation keys and cost accounting codes AND must apply these keys and codes to identify and separate the costs (i.e. to allocate them to either the action grant activities or the operating grant activities)
- the beneficiary must record:
 - all real costs incurred for the activities that are covered by their operating grants (i.e. personnel, general running costs and other operating costs linked to the WP) and
 - all real costs incurred for the activities that are covered by their action grants (including the indirect costs linked to the action)
- the allocation of the costs must be done in a way that leads to a fair, objective and realistic result.

Beneficiaries that **cannot fulfil these conditions** must EITHER:

- not sign/terminate the operating grants, in order to be able to claim indirect costs in the action grants
- keep the operating grants, but sign the action grants *without* indirect costs or request no indirect costs at reporting stage (i.e. lower the pre-filled amount in the indirect cost column of the financial statement).

4.0 »

The possibility to combine operating grants with action grants if costs are separated was introduced with GA version 4.0. It applies also to older grant agreements, if the beneficiaries can demonstrate that there is no double funding, in accordance with the above-listed principles (analytical accounting tools, full cost recording, fair allocation).

Best practice: In case of overlapping EU action and operating grants, the beneficiary should immediately contact the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)). Be aware that operating grants are not always easy to identify. They exist under various labels (operating grants; financial contributions/support to the functioning/operation of entities; etc.). Check your call text.

H2020 > Chapter 3 > Article 6.2.F

F. [OPTION 1: [Insert name of specific cost category(ies)²²]][OPTION 2 if no specific cost categories applicable to the grant: Specific cost category(ies)]

[OPTION 1a for specific unit costs (if unit cost foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): [insert name of specific cost category] are eligible if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual units [and if [insert eligibility conditions, if any]].]

[OPTION 1b for specific lump sum costs (if lump sum foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): [Insert name of specific cost category] are eligible, if they correspond to the lump sum set out in Annex 2 and the corresponding tasks or parts of the action have been properly implemented in accordance with Annex 1.]

[same for each specific cost category]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

²² Insert precise name of the cost category (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/lump sum). For example: 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure', 'costs for clinical studies', 'costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings'.



1. Specific cost categories (F.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This optional budget category will be inserted into RIA, IA and CSA GAs, if the action involves activities for which the beneficiaries may declare specific unit/lump sum costs, i.e. currently:

- actions under Part III of Horizon 2020, Societal Challenge 3 'Secure, clean and efficient energy' involving energy efficiency measures in buildings: **costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings**

This unit cost applies ONLY to Smart Cities and Communities calls (e.g. [SCC-01-2014](#) for the Work Programme 2014-2015) and ONLY to the building-related demonstration activities.

- actions under Part I, 'Research infrastructures': **access costs for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure**

The unit cost applies ONLY to actions with 'provision of access activities'.

Examples: [INFRAIA-1-2014-2015](#), [INFRADEV-3-2015](#) and [INFRADEV-4-2014-2015](#)

- actions under Part III, Societal Challenge 1 'Health, demographic change and wellbeing': **costs for clinical studies**

The unit cost applies ONLY to actions with clinical studies.

⚠ Double funding risk — Costs that are declared as a specific unit or lump sum cost may NOT be declared (a second time) under another budget category (for the costs that are covered, see below).

Example: The unit cost for efficiency energy measures in buildings covers subcontracting costs related to the energy efficiency measures. These cannot be declared as subcontracting costs. By contrast, costs for subcontracts not included in the unit cost (e.g. subcontracts required by the implementation of the action but not related to the efficiency energy measures) can be declared.

1.1 Specific cost category — costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings (F.2)

1.1.1 What? This budget category **covers** three categories of direct and indirect costs:

- costs of purchasing equipment, infrastructures and other assets directly necessary for additional energy efficiency measures in buildings
- costs of subcontracting the works necessary for those measures
- indirect costs for those measures.

Examples: the costs of building elements (such as new insulation, new ventilation system, window, door, heating elements, control system) and the man-days for installing the building elements will be covered.

What not? Fluids.

1.1.2 Costs of additional energy efficiency measures must be **declared as** the unit cost set out in Commission [Decision C\(2013\) 8196](#)²⁶ and set out in Annexes 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

The precise unit cost ('amount per unit', i.e. EUR/m² of eligible conditioned gross floor area) is not pre-fixed by the Decision; it must be calculated for each beneficiary/linked third party — before signature of the GA — using the following table:

Short name beneficiary/linked third party	BEST No	Amount per unit	Estimated No of units	Total unit cost (cost per unit x estimated no of units)

The formula for calculating the 'amount per unit' (EUR/m² of eligible conditioned gross floor area) is:

$$\text{Amount per unit} = \{\text{EUR } 0,1 \times \text{estimated total kWh saved per m}^2 \text{ per year} \times 10\}$$

Beneficiaries may however submit a proposal requesting funding for a number of kWh saved per m² per year *lower* than the estimated one. But this will not be evaluated as an advantage against other proposals.

The energy efficiency measures and the amount per unit must already be part of the proposal (see the [proposal templates](#) and the [BEST table](#) provided on the call pages) and be included in Annexes 1 and [2a](#).

The use of the unit cost is mandatory. The beneficiaries/linked third parties cannot choose between unit and actual costs.

1.1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(b\)](#))
- be incurred for energy efficiency measures in buildings that go beyond the national regulation or, if there is no national regulation applicable, beyond the market practice (e.g. for refurbishment of buildings)

²⁶ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_energy_en.pdf.

1.1.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

amount per unit (see [Annex 2a](#) GA) x number of actual m² of surface area built or refurbished ('conditioned')

The 'm² of eligible conditioned gross floor area' may NOT include parts of the buildings which are not affected by the measures, e.g. garages.

Example for calculating unit costs for energy efficiency measures:

Assuming that the refurbishment of a building results in energy savings of 100 kWh/m²/year

Payback period (standard figure to be used in the calculation) = 10 years

Standard cost in euro to save 1 kWh (standard figure to be used in the calculation) = 0,1 EUR/kWh

The formula gives:


{standard cost in euro to save 1 kWh * estimated total kWh saved per m² per year * standard payback period in years} =

0,1 EUR/kWh * 100kWh/m²/year * 10 years = 100 EUR/m²

After application of the 70% funding rate for innovation actions (or for non-profit beneficiaries/linked third parties: 100%), it will give an indicative EU contribution of 70 EUR/m² (or 100 EUR/m² if the funding rate is 100%).

1.2 Specific cost category — access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure (F.2)

1.2.1 What? This budget category **covers** direct and indirect access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure (i.e. the installation's operating costs and costs related to logistical, technological and scientific support for users, including ad-hoc user training and the preparatory and closing activities needed to use the installation).

 This specific budget category must be distinguished from the general budget category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure' (see [Article 6.2.D.4](#)).

Beneficiaries that use budget category F.2 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure' can NOT — under the same grant — declare costs under budget category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure'.

What not? Travel and subsistence costs for users to get access are not included in the access costs. (These costs can be reimbursed separately, in category D.1 'travel'; see [Article 6.2.D.1](#) and [Article 16](#).)

1.2.2 If they are declared under this budget category (i.e. F.2 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure'), the costs must be **declared as** the unit cost calculated in accordance with Commission [Decision C\(2013\) 8199](#)²⁷ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

The precise unit cost (amount per unit, i.e. EUR/unit of access) is not pre-fixed by the Decision; it must be calculated for each access provider and installation, using the [calculation table](#) provided on the call page and then summarised in the following table:

Short name access provider	Short name infrastructure	Installation		Unit of access	Amount per unit	Estimated No of units	Total unit cost (cost per unit x estimated no of units)
		No	Short name				

²⁷ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_tna-infra_en.pdf.

The 'unit of access' to the installation must be identified (i.e. the unit used to measure the total quantity of access that the installation provides to its users)

The formula for calculating the 'amount per unit of access' (EUR/unit of access) is:

$$\text{Amount per unit} = \frac{\text{average annual total access cost (over past two years)}^{28}}{\text{average annual total quantity of access (over past two years)}^{29}}$$

The averages must be based on:

- the beneficiary's certified or auditable historical data
- costs allocated to the installation according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices (including where the installation has been in operation for less than two years) and
- a period excluding times when the installation was not usable (out of order, under repair or undergoing long-term maintenance).

Access providers may however submit a proposal with a unit cost calculated on the basis of average costs lower than their historical costs (in Part B of their proposal).

The 'total quantity of access' means all the units of access annually provided by the installation, included access financed under previous EU grants, if any.

The 'annual total access costs to the installation' is calculated on the basis of the following categories of eligible costs:

- the direct costs incurred by the access provider for the 'annual total quantity of access to the installation', as recorded in the certified or auditable profit-and-loss accounts of the reference period (years N-1 and N-2) for:
 - personnel costs of administrative, technical and scientific staff directly assigned to the functioning of the installation and to the support of the users
 - costs of contracts for maintenance and repair (including specific cleaning, calibrating and testing) specifically awarded for the functioning of the installation (if not capitalised)
 - costs of consumables specifically used for the installation and the user's research work
 - costs of contracts for installation management, including security fees, insurance costs, quality control and certification, upgrading to national and/or EU quality, safety or security standards (if not capitalised) specifically incurred for the functioning of the installation
 - costs of energy power and water supplied for the installation
 - costs of general services when they are specifically included in the provided access services (library costs, shipping costs, transport costs)
 - costs of software licence, internet connection or other electronic services for data management and computing when they are needed to provide access services
 - costs of specific scientific services included in the access provided or needed for the provision of access

Costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices are acceptable for personnel (average personnel costs) and internally invoiced goods and services — if this is the normal practice of the beneficiary.

- the indirect costs for providing access to the installation, equal to 25% of the eligible direct costs, minus any costs of subcontracting (i.e. costs of contracts for maintenance and repair, installation management, scientific services and other electronic services)

AND excluding:

²⁸ In exceptional and duly justified cases, the Commission may agree to a different reference period.

²⁹ In exceptional and duly justified cases, the Commission may agree to a different reference period.

- all contributions to the capital investments of the installation (including costs of renting or leasing or depreciation costs of buildings as well as depreciation and leasing of instrumentation); those costs are not eligible; see *also* [Article 6.2.D.2](#)
- travel and subsistence costs for users
- ineligible costs as referred to in [Article 6.5](#).

Example (amount per unit):

Assuming that a telescope provided 6 100 hours of access in year N-1 and 5 900 hours of access in year N-2 and that the total access costs (for the provision of these total quantities of access) in the two years calculated on the basis of the categories of costs indicated above (with the exclusion of any contribution to capital investment and of travel and subsistence costs of users) is respectively EUR 3 200 000 and EUR 2 800 000, then the unit cost is

Average costs = average (3 200 000, 2 800 000) = 3 000 000

Average hours = average (6 100, 5 900) = 6 000

Unit cost = average (3 200 000, 2 800 000) / average (6 100, 5 900) = 3 000 000 / 6 000 = 500 €

The detailed calculation tables (one for each installation) must already be part of the proposal (see [proposal templates](#) and the [calculation table](#) provided on the call pages) and be included in Annex 1; the summary table must be included in Annex 2a.

The proposal and Annex 1 should describe both the access services provided and the logistical, technological and scientific support users need in order to make use of the installation (including ad-hoc training and preparatory and closing activities necessary to use the installation).

 The Commission/Agency may verify that the proposed unit costs comply with the prescribed calculation method (and correct them, if needed).

As mentioned above, *before* GA signature, the beneficiaries can still opt for declaring the costs not as unit cost, but as actual costs under other budget categories (see [Article 6.2.A-E](#)). One cost form per installation.

The use of unit costs for trans-national access activities is optional, i.e. each beneficiary/linked third party can decide independently whether to be reimbursed on the basis of unit costs, actual costs or a combination of the two.

Afterwards (once the GA is signed), the choice can be changed only via an amendment (because it is necessary to determine the unit cost; see [Articles 4.2 and 55](#)).

1.2.3 The costs must comply with the following eligibility conditions:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred/used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))
- be incurred for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure to scientific communities
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#)

1.2.4 They must be calculated as follows:

amount per unit (see [Annex 2a](#) GA) x number of actual units of access provided

1.3 Specific cost category — costs for clinical studies (F.2)

1.3.1 What? This budget category **covers** the following direct and indirect costs for 'clinical studies' (i.e. any clinical research involving a substantial amount of work related to the observation of, data collection from, or diagnostic or therapeutic intervention on multiple or individual patients):

- direct personnel costs of the doctors and other medical personnel and technical personnel (including data managers) that conducted the study
- direct costs of consumables used for the study
- direct costs of the medical equipment used for the study, including:
 - depreciation costs
 - costs of service contracts necessary for their functioning (including specific cleaning, maintenance and repair)
- costs of other specific service contracts necessary for the study (including data analysis, if subcontracted)
- indirect costs for the study.

What not? Travel and subsistence costs of study participants and horizontal tasks (e.g. study monitoring, study coordination) are not covered by the clinical studies unit cost. (These costs can be reimbursed separately, e.g. in categories A. 'personnel', D.1 'travel' and D.3 'other goods and services'; see Articles 6.2.A, 6.2.D.1, 6.2.D.3.)

1.3.2 If they are declared under this budget category (i.e. F.2 'costs for clinical studies'), the costs must be **declared as** the unit cost set out in Commission Decision C(2016) 7553³⁰ and set out in Annex 2 and 2a of the GA.


The precise unit cost (amount per unit, i.e. EUR/study participant) is not pre-fixed by the Decision; it must be calculated for each study/study sequence (see below) and beneficiary/linked third party/third party providing in-kind contributions — before signature of the GA — using the following table:

Task, Direct cost categories	Resource per patient	Costs year N-1 Beneficiary 1 [short name]	Costs year N-1 Linked third party 1a [short name]	Costs year N-1 Beneficiary 2 [short name]	Costs year N-1 Linked third party 2a [short name]	Costs year N-1 Third party giving in-kind contributions 1 [short name]
Sequence No. 1						
Task No. 1						
Blood sample						
(a) Personnel costs:						
- Doctors	n/a					
- Other Medical Personnel	Phlebotomy (nurse), 10 minutes	8,33 EUR	11,59 EUR	10,30 EUR	11,00 EUR	9,49 EUR
- Technical Personnel	Sample Processing (lab)	9,51 EUR	15,68 EUR	14,60 EUR	15,23 EUR	10,78 EUR

³⁰ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit%20costs_clinical_studies.pdf

	technician), 15 minutes					
(b) Costs of consumables:	Syringe	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	Cannula	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	Blood container	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(c) Costs of medical equipment:	Use of -80° deep freezer, 60 days	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	Use of centrifuge, 15 minutes	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(d) Costs of services	Cleaning of XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(e) Indirect costs (25% flat-rate)		XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
Task No. 2						
...						
Amount per unit (unit cost sequence 1):		XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
<u>Sequence No. 2</u>						
Task No. 1						
XXX						
(a) Personnel costs:						
- Doctors	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
- Other Medical Personnel	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
- Technical Personnel	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(b) Costs of consumables:	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(c) Costs of medical equipment:	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(d) Costs of services	XXX	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
(e) Indirect costs (25% flat-rate)		XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
Task No. 2						

...						
Amount per unit (unit cost sequence 2):	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR
...						
Amount per unit (unit cost entire study):	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR	XX EUR

 Where the nature of the clinical study allows it, it can be split into sequences, e.g. *treatment cycles*. The number of sequences should be limited to the necessary minimum (e.g. *3 sequences*).

Separating the unit cost table into sequences will allow you to declare unit costs for study participants that dropped out during the study, but finalised the tasks of a sequence. Thus, the sequence unit cost can be charged for the participant, for the completed sequence(s).

Each beneficiary/linked third party/third party must calculate its unit cost on the basis of its historical data (estimated costs of the components per task and study participant). Historical cost reference data should be taken from the last closed financial year at the time of submission of the grant proposal (certified or auditable profit and loss account for 'year N-1').

Beneficiaries can choose whether to include *all* the cost components in their unit cost or only *some*, but they may NOT add any other cost categories.

They may choose whether to include personnel costs or not, but if they do, *all* the study-related personnel costs must be included (they cannot choose for individual persons/types of personnel). Moreover, this choice must be applied to all the tasks and sequences of the study. If personnel costs are included, they must moreover use one of the three available categories: doctors, other medical personnel or technical personnel (no other categories, e.g. *nurses must be included in 'other medical personnel'*).

For consumables, medical equipment and services, there is more flexibility. They can choose for each individual cost items, if they would like to include it in their unit cost (or declare them as actual costs).

The choice (whether to include a cost component in the unit cost or not) is made by adding the costs into the table (or leaving it blank). All blank cost components are outside the unit cost (and can normally be declared as actual costs, if all the eligibility conditions are met).

The beneficiaries/linked third parties/third parties may therefore (for the same study/sequence) not only obtain completely different amounts per unit, but also include different components into their calculation.

Example:

Beneficiary No 1 decided to claim:

- *personnel costs as unit costs*
- *all consumables for sequence 1 as actual costs, all consumables in sequence 2 as unit cost and consumables in sequence 3 partially as actual, partially as unit costs*
- *medical equipment partially as actual, partially as unit cost (e.g. cost of a standard MRI scan — part of the study protocol sequence 2 task 3 — as unit costs and the costs for the use of a novel imaging tool — also sequence 2 task 3 — as actual costs, for instance because the historical data is not fully available)*
- *all services as unit costs.*

Beneficiary No 2 decided to claim all study costs as unit costs.

Linked third party 2a decided not to use the unit cost for any of the study costs (all costs as actual costs).

The formulas for calculating the individual cost components are:

For **personnel costs**:

For personnel costs of doctors: 'average hourly cost for doctors', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total personnel costs for doctors for year N-1}}{\{1720 * \text{number of full time equivalent for doctors for year N-1}\}} \right\}$$

multiplied by
estimated number of hours to be worked by doctors for the task (per participant)}

For personnel costs of other medical personnel: 'average hourly cost for other medical personnel', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total personnel costs for other medical personnel for year N-1}}{\{1720 * \text{number of full time equivalent for other medical personnel for year N-1}\}} \right\}$$

multiplied by
estimated number of hours to be worked by other medical personnel for the task (per participant)}

For personnel costs of technical personnel: 'average hourly cost for technical personnel', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total personnel costs for technical personnel for year N-1}}{\{1720 * \text{number of full time equivalent for technical personnel for year N-1}\}} \right\}$$

multiplied by
estimated number of hours to be worked by technical personnel for the task (per participant)}

'total personnel costs' means actual salaries + actual social security contributions + actual taxes and other costs included in the remuneration, provided they arise from national law or the employment contract/equivalent appointing act

For **consumables**:

For each cost item: 'average price of the consumable', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total costs of purchase of the consumable in year N-1}}{\text{total number of items purchased in year N-1}} \right\}$$

multiplied by
estimated number of items to be used for the task (per participant)}

'total costs of purchase of the consumable' means total value of the supply contracts (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible VAT) concluded by the beneficiary for the consumable delivered in year N-1, provided the contracts were awarded according to the principle of best value- for-money and without any conflict of interests

For **medical equipment**:

For each cost item: 'average cost of depreciation and directly related services per unit of use', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total depreciation costs in year N-1} + \text{certified or auditable total costs of purchase of services in year N-1 for the category of equipment concerned}}{\text{total capacity in year N-1}} \right\}$$

multiplied by
estimated number of units of use of the equipment for the task (per participant)}

'total depreciation costs' means total depreciation allowances as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts of year N-1 for the category of equipment concerned, provided the equipment was purchased according to the principle of best value for money and without any conflict of interests + total costs of renting or leasing contracts (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible VAT) in year N-1 for the category of equipment concerned, provided they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment and do not include finance fees

For **services**:

For each cost item: 'average cost of the service per study participant', i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\text{certified or auditable total costs of purchase of the service in year N-1}}{\text{total number of patients or subjects included in the clinical studies for which the service was delivered in year N-1}} \right\}$$

'total costs of purchase of the service' means total value of the contracts concluded by the beneficiary (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible VAT) for the specific service delivered in year N-1 for the conduct of clinical studies, provided the contracts were awarded according to the principle of best value for money and without any conflict of interests

For **indirect costs**:

{ {cost component 'personnel costs' + cost component 'consumables' + cost component 'medical equipment' }
 minus
 {costs of in-kind contributions provided by third parties which are not used on the beneficiary's premises + costs of providing financial support to third parties (if any)} }
 multiplied by
 25% }

The estimation of the resources to be used must be done on the basis of the study protocol and must be the same for all beneficiaries/linked third parties/third parties involved.

The year N-1 to be used is the last closed financial year at the time of submission of the grant application.


This table must already be part of the proposal (see the [proposal templates](#) and the [template for essential information to be provided for proposals including clinical studies provided on the call pages](#)) and be included in Annex 1 and [2a](#) of the GA.

 The Commission/Agency may verify that the proposed unit costs comply with the prescribed calculation method (and correct them, if needed).


As mentioned above, *before* GA signature, the beneficiaries can still opt for declaring the costs components not as unit cost, but as actual costs under other budget categories (see [Article 6.2.A-E](#)).

The use of unit costs for clinical studies is optional, i.e. each beneficiary/linked third party can decide independently (and for the different cost components/cost items) whether to be reimbursed on the basis of unit costs or of costs actually incurred.

Afterwards (once the GA is signed), the choice can be changed only via an amendment (because it is necessary to determine the unit cost; see [Articles 4.2 and 55](#)).

 Amendment requests to change the unit cost calculation will be accepted ONLY if there was a change in the study protocol (e.g. due to requests from competent authorities, regulatory agencies or ethics committees) or if there was an error in the calculation.

 The maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)) will NOT be increased.

 In case of an amendment, it is still the historical costs of the last closed financial year at the time of submission of the grant proposal that must be used to re-calculate the unit cost.

1.3.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(b\)](#))
- be incurred for carrying out clinical studies.

1.3.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

- amount per unit (see [Annex 2a GA](#)) x the number of study participants

1.4 Specific cost category — access costs for providing *virtual* access to research infrastructure (F.2)

1.2.1 What? This budget category **covers** direct and indirect costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure (i.e. the installation's operating costs and costs related to logistical, technological and scientific support for users, including costs for preparatory and closing activities that may be necessary to provide the requested access services).

⚠ This specific budget category must be distinguished from the general budget category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure' (see [Article 6.2.D.4](#)).

Beneficiaries that use budget category F.2 'access costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure' can NOT — under the same grant — declare costs under budget category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure'.

What not? Contributions to the capital investment of the infrastructure (including rental, lease or depreciation costs of buildings, as well as depreciation and lease of instrumentation), unless otherwise specified in the work programme/call. In the latter case, only the portion used to provide virtual access under the action can be eligible.

1.2.2 If they are declared under this budget category (i.e. F.2 'access costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure'), the costs must be **declared as** the unit cost calculated in accordance with [Decision of 6 February 2019](#)³¹ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

The precise unit cost (amount per unit, i.e. EUR/unit of access) is not pre-fixed by the Decision; it must be calculated for each access provider and installation, using the [calculation table](#) provided on the call page and then summarised in the following table:

Short name access provider	Short name infrastructure	Installation		Unit of access	Amount per unit	Estimated No of units	Total unit cost (cost per unit x estimated no of units)
		No	Short name				

The 'unit of access' to the installation must be identified (i.e. the unit used to measure the total quantity of access that the installation provides to its users)

The formula for calculating the 'amount per unit of access' (EUR/unit of access) is:

$$\text{Amount per unit} = \frac{\text{total virtual access costs to the installation over last year}}{\text{total quantity of virtual access to the installation provided over the last year}}$$

The total virtual access costs must be based on:

- the beneficiary's certified or auditable historical data
- costs allocated to the installation according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices, and
- excluding periods when the installation was not usable (out of order, under repair or undergoing long-term maintenance).

Access providers may however submit a proposal with a unit cost lower than the one calculated on the basis of their historical costs (in Part B of their proposal).

³¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_virtual-access_infra.pdf

The 'total quantity of access' means all the units of access annually provided by the installation, included access financed under previous EU grants, if any.

The 'total virtual access costs to the installation' is calculated on the basis of the following categories of eligible costs:

- the direct costs incurred by the access provider for the 'total quantity of virtual access to the installation provided over the last year', as recorded in the certified or auditable profit-and-loss accounts of the reference period (year N-1) for:
 - personnel costs of administrative, technical and scientific staff directly assigned to the functioning of the installation and to the support of the users
 - costs of contracts for maintenance and repair (including specific cleaning, calibrating and testing) specifically awarded for the functioning of the installation (if not capitalised)
 - costs of consumables specifically used for the installation
 - costs of contracts for installation management, including security fees, insurance costs, quality control and certification, upgrading to national and/or EU quality, safety or security standards (if not capitalised) specifically incurred for the functioning of the installation
 - costs of energy power and water specifically supplied for the installation
 - costs of software licence, internet connection or other electronic services for data management and computing supplied specifically for the installation when they are needed to provide virtual access services
 - costs of specific scientific services included in the access provided or needed for the provision of virtual access by the installation.

Costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices are acceptable for personnel (average personnel costs) and internally invoiced goods and services — if this is the normal practice of the beneficiary.

- the indirect costs for providing access to the installation, equal to 25% of the eligible direct costs, minus any costs of subcontracting (i.e. costs of contracts for maintenance and repair, installation management, scientific services and other electronic services)

AND excluding:

- all contributions to the capital investments of the installation (including costs of renting or leasing or depreciation costs of buildings as well as depreciation and leasing of instrumentation) unless otherwise specified in the Work Programme.
- ineligible costs as referred to in [Article 6.5](#).

The detailed calculation tables (one for each installation) must already be part of the proposal (see [proposal templates](#) and the [calculation table provided on the call pages](#)) and be included in Annex 1; the summary table must be included in Annex [2a](#).

The proposal and Annex 1 should describe both the access services provided and the logistical, technological and scientific support needed to enable the virtual use of the installation by users, as well as any conditions that users must meet to have virtual access to the installation.

 The Commission/Agency may verify that the proposed unit costs comply with the prescribed calculation method (and correct them, if needed).

As mentioned above, *before* GA signature, the beneficiaries can still opt for declaring the costs not as unit cost, but as actual costs under other budget categories (see [Article 6.2.A-E](#)). One cost form per installation.

The use of unit costs for virtual access activities is optional, i.e. each virtual access provider (beneficiary/third party) can decide independently whether to be reimbursed on the basis of unit costs, actual costs or a combination of the two.

Afterwards (once the GA is signed), the choice can be changed only via an amendment (because it is necessary to determine the unit cost; see [Articles 4.2 and 55](#)).

1.2.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred/used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\) and \(b\)](#))
- be incurred for providing virtual access to research infrastructure to scientific communities
- fulfil the **additional cost eligibility conditions** set out in [Article 16.1](#)

1.2.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

amount per unit (see [Annex 2a GA](#)) x number of actual units of access provided

6.3 Conditions for costs of linked third parties to be eligible

[OPTION 1 to be used if Article 14 applies: Costs incurred by linked third parties are eligible if they fulfil — mutatis mutandis — the general and specific conditions for eligibility set out in this Article (Article 6.1 and 6.2) and Article 14.1.1.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Costs of linked third parties (A-F)

This option (together with the corresponding [Article 14](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action is implemented with linked third parties.

⚠ Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in the action, like the beneficiary they are linked to. They will therefore be treated for many issues (including cost eligibility) like beneficiaries.

The same rules apply, but with the changes needed to render them applicable to linked third parties.

Examples:

1. 'Incurred by the beneficiary' should be read as 'incurred by the linked third party'.
2. 'On the beneficiary's payroll' should be read as 'on the linked third party's payroll'.

The costs of linked third parties are **eligible**, if:

- they fulfil — *mutatis mutandis* — the **general conditions** and **specific conditions** for costs to be eligible (see [Article 6.1](#) and [6.2](#)) and
- they fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 14.1.1](#).

Depending on the type of cost, they must be **declared** by the linked third party under the corresponding budget category (as the beneficiary would use for its own costs).

Examples:

1. Remuneration of employees must be declared in category A.1 'personnel costs' ([Article 6.2.A.1](#)).
2. Depreciation costs of equipment must be declared in category D.2 'equipment' ([Article 6.2.D.2](#)).

6.4 Conditions for in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge to be eligible

In-kind contributions provided free of charge are eligible direct costs (for the beneficiary [*or linked third party*]), if the costs incurred by the third party fulfil — *mutatis mutandis* — the general and specific conditions for eligibility set out in this Article (Article 6.1 and 6.2) and Article 12.1.



1. In-kind contributions by third parties free of charge (A-F)

Contributions provided by third parties *free of charge* are **eligible** if:

- they fulfil — *mutatis mutandis* — the **general conditions** and **specific conditions** for costs to be eligible (see [Article 6.1](#) and [6.2](#)) and
- they fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 12.1](#).

Depending on the type of cost, they must be **declared** (by the beneficiary/linked third party) under the budget category they would use for their own costs.

Example: *Depreciation costs of equipment contributed free of charge must be declared in category D.2 'equipment' ([Article 6.2.D.2](#)).*

⚠ In-kind contributions *free of charge* must be **distinguished** from **in-kind contributions against payment** (see [Article 11](#)).

In-kind contributions *against payment* are costs of the beneficiary (because they have to pay) and are therefore already explicitly mentioned in the different budget categories (see [Article 6.2.A.3](#), [6.2.D.2](#), [6.2.D.3](#)). Their costs (i.e. the payment) must be declared under those specific budget categories.

Examples:

1. *The cost of personnel seconded against payment must be declared in category A.3 'seconded personnel (against payment)' ([Article 6.2.A.3](#)).*
2. *Costs of equipment contributed against payment must be declared in category D.2 'equipment' ([Article 6.2.D.2](#)).*

The costs of in-kind contributions free of charge (i.e. the costs of the third party offering them) can be assimilated to beneficiary costs and must be declared in the budget category that the beneficiary would have used.

6.5 Ineligible costs

Ineligible costs are:

(a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (Article 6.1 to 6.4), in particular:

- (i) costs related to return on capital;
- (ii) debt and debt service charges;
- (iii) provisions for future losses or debts;
- (iv) interest owed;
- (v) doubtful debts;
- (vi) currency exchange losses;
- (vii) bank costs charged by the beneficiary's bank for transfers from the [Commission][Agency];
- (viii) excessive or reckless expenditure;
- (ix) deductible VAT;
- (x) costs incurred during suspension of the implementation of the action (see Article 49);

(b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the [Commission][Agency] for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget); in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action [;][.]

[(c) **OPTION** for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: [insert name of excluded cost category]].

6.6 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Ineligible costs

Costs are **ineligible**, if one of the following applies:

- they **do not meet the general and specific eligibility conditions** set out in [Articles 6.1 to 6.4](#)

Examples: additional remuneration ('bonuses') paid by for-profit or non-profit entities do not fulfil the conditions set out in Article 6.2; subcontracting costs do not comply with Article 13

- they are **listed in Article 6.5**, in particular:
 - costs related to **return on capital** or **return generated by an investment**

Examples: dividends paid as remuneration for investing in the action; remuneration paid as a share in the company's equity.

- **debt and debt service charges**

'Debt service' is the amount paid on a loan in principal and interest, over a period of time.

Example: If a beneficiary takes a loan used to acquire equipment or consumables for the project of EUR 100 000 at 9 percent interest for 10 years, the debt service for the first year (principal and interest) is EUR 15 582

- **provisions for future losses or debts**

'Provision' means an amount set aside in an organisation's accounts, to cover for a known liability of uncertain timing or amount. This includes allowances for doubtful or bad debts.

- **interest owed** (i.e. interest on a loan to borrow capital)

- **excessive or reckless expenditure**

'Excessive' means paying significantly more for products, services or personnel than the prevailing market rates or the usual practices of the beneficiary (and thus resulting in an avoidable financial loss to the action).

'Reckless' means failing to exercise care in the selection of products, services or personnel (and thus resulting in an avoidable financial loss to the action).

- **currency exchange losses** (i.e. for beneficiaries using currencies other than euros or being invoiced in a currency other than the currency they use: any loss due to exchange rate fluctuations (*e.g. between the date of invoicing and the date of payment*))

- **bank costs** charged by the beneficiary's bank for transfers from the Commission/Agency.

Conversely, bank charges for the distribution of the EU funding may constitute an eligible cost for the coordinator (if the eligibility conditions of [Article 6.1](#) and [Article 6.2.D.3](#) are met).

- **deductible VAT**

'Deductible VAT' means VAT that is recoverable under the national 'VAT system' (i.e. the system of collection and deduction under the national VAT legislation). Such VAT is not a genuine and definitive cost and, according to accounting standards, should not be recorded as such. Therefore, it is not actually incurred by the beneficiary.

The cost and revenue accounts should exclude deductible VAT; such VAT should be recorded in *separate* payable or receivable accounts, without effect on revenue or cost line items.

The VAT *paid* is a claim against the tax authority. It should be recorded in the 'assets' part of the balance sheet. It should not be recorded as expenditure in the profit and loss accounts (only the purchase price of goods and services *excluding* VAT should be recorded). Similarly, for the value of purchased equipment or assets, only the net purchase cost should be recorded in the balance sheet's fixed asset line, and the depreciation cost should be calculated based on this value, excluding VAT.

The VAT *collected* is a debt towards the tax authority and should therefore be recorded in the 'liabilities' part of the balance sheet.

Conversely, if VAT is NOT deductible, it is an eligible cost.

The full price of the goods or services bought by the beneficiary can be recorded as expenditure in its profit and loss accounts, without any distinction

between the net price and the amount of VAT charged on it. The full price of equipment and assets bought can be recorded in the balance sheet's fixed asset line and is the basis for the depreciation allowances recorded in the profit and loss accounts.

– **costs incurred during the suspension of the implementation of the action**

Example: Action is suspended and one of the beneficiaries continues working on it after the date of the suspension

– **costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant** (i.e. double funding)

This includes:

- costs funded directly by EU programmes managed by the Commission or Executive Agencies (e.g. other H2020 grants)
- costs managed/funded/awarded by Member States but co-funded with EU/Euratom funds (e.g. European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF))
- costs for grants awarded/funded/managed by other EU, international or national bodies and co-funded with EU/Euratom funds (e.g. Joint Undertakings, Article 185 TFEU bodies)
- if a beneficiary is receiving an operating grant³² from the EU/Euratom, then the indirect costs of that beneficiary are not eligible and the 25% flat-rate should not be applied — unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action (see [Article 6.2.E](#)).

Examples (operating grants): Grants awarded to support the running costs of certain institutions pursuing an aim of European interest, such as: College of Europe, European standards bodies (CEN, CENELEC, ETSI).

– **cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme/call** (if option applies).

If a beneficiary **declares ineligible costs**, they will be rejected and, if needed, other measures specified in Chapter 6 (e.g. suspension, termination, grant reduction, etc.) may be taken.

Specific cases (ineligible costs):

Non-identifiable VAT (in foreign invoices) — In exceptional cases where the beneficiary cannot identify the VAT charged by the supplier (e.g. small non-EU invoices), the full purchase price can be recorded in the accounts if it is not possible to deduct the VAT. That VAT would therefore be eligible.

Partially deductible VAT — Some entities have a mixed VAT regime, meaning that they carry out VAT exempt or out-of-the-scope activities AND VAT taxed activities. When VAT paid on goods or services by these entities cannot be directly allocated to one or the other category of activities it will be *partially* deductible. Therefore it will also be *partially* eligible. The eligible part corresponds to the pro-rata of the VAT which is not deductible for that entity.

In these cases, the beneficiary uses a provisional (estimated) deduction ratio during the year. The final ratio is only determined at the end of the fiscal year. The beneficiary must regularise VAT when closing its accounts. Therefore, the beneficiary must also regularize the VAT costs declared for the grant (by declaring, in the next reporting period, an adjustment for the difference between the provisional deduction ratio and the final ratio).

Duties — The eligibility of duties depends on the eligibility of the cost item to which they are linked (i.e. in whose price they are included). If the item is eligible, the duty is also eligible.

³² For the definition, see Article 121(1)(b) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012: 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting an EU policy.

CHAPTER 4 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTIES

SECTION 1 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTING THE ACTION

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

CHAPTER 4 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTIES

SECTION 1 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTING THE ACTION

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

7.1 General obligation to properly implement the action

The beneficiaries must implement the action as described in Annex 1 and in compliance with the provisions of the Agreement and all legal obligations under applicable EU, international and national law.

7.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Proper implementation of the action

The beneficiaries must properly implement the action. This general obligation is twofold, i.e. they must:

- carry out the action (and especially the research work) as detailed in Annex 1 ('technical implementation')

The beneficiaries must prevent delays in implementing the action, or reduce them as much as possible. In addition, they must immediately inform the Commission/Agency (see [Article 17](#)).

AND

- comply with all the other provisions of the GA and all the applicable provisions of EU, international and national law.

***Example:** Each beneficiary must comply in particular with the labour law applicable to the personnel working on the action and must fulfil the tax and social obligations related to the activities it carries out under the applicable national law.*

⚠ Normally, the beneficiaries must comply **BOTH** with the national law of the **country** in which they are **established** AND that of the country where the **action** is **implemented**.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION – THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the **appropriate resources** to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see [Article 10](#));
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see [Article 11](#));
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see [Article 12](#));
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see [Article 13](#));
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see [Article 14](#));
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see [Article 14a](#)).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission][Agency]* and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

The beneficiaries must normally have the **technical and financial resources** needed to carry out the action **themselves**.

The resources must be available **at the moment of the implementation of the work** (but not necessarily at the moment of submitting the proposal or signing the GA). However, in these last two cases, the beneficiaries must show in the proposal how the resources will be made available when they are needed.



Examples (acceptable):

- 1.** *Start-up company with no resources at the time of proposal submission, but with a credible business plan described in the application.*
- 2.** *SME which, if successful, intends to double its capacity/staff.*

Example (not acceptable): *Consultancy company which submits a proposal where the majority of the work is subcontracted.*

As an exception, beneficiaries may purchase goods, works or services (see [Article 10](#)), use in-kind contributions provided by third parties (see [Articles 11 and 12](#)) or call upon subcontractors or linked third parties to carry out work under the action (see [Articles 13 and 14](#)) and declare these costs for the action. They may also call on international partners to carry out work under the action without receiving EU funding (see [Article 14a](#)) (**third parties involved in the action**).

Suppliers of goods, works or services and third parties providing in-kind contributions (against payment or free of charge) do not implement action tasks themselves, but only make resources available to the beneficiary, whereas subcontractors, linked third parties and international partners implement action tasks.

-  Beneficiaries using third parties remain **fully responsible** for them under the GA.
-  **Linked third parties** — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in the action, like the beneficiary they are linked to. They will therefore be treated for many issues like beneficiaries.

Third parties involved in the action do NOT sign the GA (see [Article 1](#)).

The **differences** between subcontracts ([Article 13](#)) and other contracts for purchase of goods, works or services ([Article 10](#)) are:


Article 10 Contracts to purchase goods, works or services	Article 13 Subcontracts
These contracts do not cover the implementation of action tasks, but they are necessary to implement action tasks by beneficiaries.	Subcontracts concern the implementation of action tasks; they imply the implementation of specific tasks which are part of the action and are described in Annex 1.
Do not have to be indicated in Annex 1.	Must be indicated in Annex 1.
The price for these contracts will be declared as 'other direct costs' — column D in Annex 2 — in the financial statement; they will be taken into account for the application of the flat-rate for indirect costs.	The price for the subcontracts will be declared as 'direct costs of subcontracting' — column B in Annex 2 — in the financial statement; they will not be taken into account for the application of the flat-rate for indirect costs.

Example (contracts): Contract for a computer; contract for an audit certificate on the financial statements; contract for the translation of documents; contract for the publication of brochures; contract for the creation of a website that enables action's beneficiaries to work together (if creating the website is not an action task); contract for organisation of the rooms and catering for a meeting (if the organisation of the meeting is not an action task mentioned as such in Annex 1); contract for hiring IPR consultants/agents.

Example (subcontracts): Contract for (parts of) the research or innovation tasks mentioned in Annex 1.

The differences between subcontractors ([Article 13](#)) and contractors ([Article 10](#)) on one side and linked third parties ([Article 14](#)) on the other are:

Articles 10 & 13 Contracts and subcontracts	Article 14 Implementation by linked third parties
The beneficiaries have a contractual link with contractors or subcontractors having as their object the purchase goods, works or services or the implementation of specific action tasks.	<p>The beneficiaries have a legal link with the linked third parties not limited to the action and not based on a contract for the purchase goods, works or services or the implementation of specific action tasks.</p> <p>They must fulfil the same conditions for participation and funding under H2020 as beneficiaries (for instance, be established in an EU Member State, H2020 associated country or third country listed in General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme).</p>

 For more information on conditions for participation and funding, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

The eligible costs are the prices charged to the beneficiary by the contractors or subcontractors (usually containing a profit margin for the contractors or subcontractors but not for the beneficiary).	The eligible costs are only the costs of the linked third party, no profit is allowed (neither for the linked third party nor for the beneficiary).
The beneficiary must award the contracts and subcontracts on the basis of best value for money (or lowest price) and absence of conflict of interests.	The linked third parties have to be affiliates to a beneficiary or must have a legal link (as explained in Article 14) with the beneficiary.

Example (implementation by linked third party): Company X and company Y do not control each other, but they are both fully owned by company Z. Company X is beneficiary in the grant and company Y implements some of the action tasks described in Annex 1 (Testing and analysis of the resistance of a new component under high temperatures).

The differences between contracts ([Article 10](#)) and in-kind contributions against payment ([Article 11](#)) are:

Article 10 Contracts	Article 11 In-kind contributions against payment
Contractors act as economic operators selling to the beneficiary goods, works or services that are necessary for the action.	Third parties contributing in-kind make available some of their resources to a beneficiary without this being their economic activity (<i>i.e. seconding personnel, contributing equipment, infrastructure or other assets, or other goods and services</i>).
The eligible costs are the prices charged to the beneficiary by the contractors or subcontractors (usually containing a profit margin for the contractors or subcontractors but not for the beneficiary).	The eligible costs are the amounts that the beneficiary pays to the contributors according to their agreements, within the limit of the third party's costs (the amounts to be paid to the contributors usually exclude a profit margin but if they do, the profit margin is not eligible).

Example (in-kind contribution against payment): Civil servant working as a professor in a public university. His salary is paid by the government (the ministry) which employs him. According to the secondment agreement, the beneficiary (the university) has to reimburse the government an amount corresponding to the paid salary. The reimbursed amount is a cost for the beneficiary and is recorded as such in its accounts. The beneficiary will declare the amount reimbursed to the government in its financial statements.

Example (in-kind contribution free of charge): Civil servant working as a professor in a public university. His salary is paid not by the beneficiary (the university) but by the government (the ministry). According to the secondment agreement, the government does not ask any reimbursement in exchange (non-cash donation). The beneficiary will declare these salary costs in its financial statements, even if they are paid by a third party (the ministry/government).

Specific cases (third parties involved in the action):


Authorisation to administer — Coordinators that are secondary or higher education establishments and coordinators that are public bodies may exceptionally delegate the administration of the payments to another legal entity (third party), in most cases a foundation.


The third party must fulfil the following conditions:

- it must have been granted an 'authorisation to administer' by the coordinator

AND

- it must be affiliated, controlled or set up by the coordinator in order to handle its administrative affairs, including receiving and administering EU funds.

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

 Coordinators using a third party with authorisation to administer remain **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

In this case, the option in Article 41.2(b) for authorisations to administer must be added to the grant agreement. The bank account number to be provided under [Article 21.8](#) must be that of the entity and the payments will be transferred directly to it. The entity must therefore be registered in the [Participant Register](#) and validated by the Commission/Agency. It will get its own PIC — although it is not a beneficiary.

The costs of the entity may be declared by the coordinator as in-kind contributions (free of charge or against payment; see [Articles 6, 11 and 12](#)).

This table gives an **overview** of the different kinds of **third parties**:

TYPE	CHARACTERISTICS						
	Works on action tasks?	Provides resources or services for action?	What is eligible?	Must be indicated in Annex 1?	Indirect costs?	Selecting the third party	GA articles
Linked third party	YES	NO	Costs	YES	YES	Must be affiliated or have a legal link and be eligible for funding	Article 14
International partners	YES	NO	N/A	YES	N/A	Must not be eligible for funding	Article 14a
Subcontractor	YES	NO	Price	YES	NO	Must be best value for money, avoid conflict of interest	Article 13
Third party providing in-kind contribution	NO	YES	Costs	YES	YES	May not be used to circumvent the rules	Articles 11 and 12
Contractor (selling, equipment, good or service)	NO	YES	Price	NO	YES	Must be best value for money, avoid conflict of interest	Article 10
Third parties receiving financial support³³	The third parties participate in the action as recipients.		Amount of support given	YES	NO	According to the conditions in Annex 1	Article 15

³³ Only if allowed in the work programme/call.

ARTICLE 9 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY BENEFICIARIES NOT RECEIVING EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 9 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY BENEFICIARIES NOT RECEIVING EU FUNDING

[OPTION 1 for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding: 9.1 Rules for the implementation of action tasks by beneficiaries not receiving EU funding

Beneficiaries that [are not eligible for EU funding][or][request zero funding] ('beneficiaries not receiving EU funding') must implement the action tasks attributed to them in Annex 1 according to Article 7.1.

Their costs are estimated in Annex 2 but:

- *will not be reimbursed and*
- *will not be taken into account for the calculation of the grant (see [Articles 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4](#), and [21](#)).*

[OPTION A, to be used if the beneficiary not receiving EU funding IS NOT the coordinator and does not have linked third parties receiving EU funding: Chapter 3, Articles 10 to 15, 18.1.2, 20.3(b), 20.4(b), 20.6, 21, 23a, 26.4, 27.2, 28.1 [OPTION: (with the exception of additional exploitation obligations)], 28.2, 30.3, 31.5, 40, 42, 43, 44, 47 and 48 do not apply to [OPTION 1 by default: these beneficiaries][OPTION 2 if more than one of the three options apply to the grant: [insert short name of the beneficiary]].

[They][The beneficiary] will not be subject to financial checks, reviews and audits under Article 22.]

[OPTION B, to be used if the beneficiary/coordinator not receiving EU funding has linked third parties receiving EU funding: Chapter 3, Articles 10 to 15, 20.6, 23a and 40 do not apply to [OPTION 1 by default: these beneficiaries][OPTION 2 if more than one of the three options apply to the grant: [insert short name of the beneficiary]].

Articles 26.4, 27.2, 28.1 [OPTION: (with the exception of additional exploitation obligations)], 28.2, 30.3, 31.5 do not apply to results generated without EU funds.

[These beneficiaries][The beneficiary] will not be subject to financial checks, reviews and audits under Article 22 for [their][its] own costs.]

[OPTION C, to be used if the beneficiary not receiving EU funding IS the coordinator and does not have linked third parties receiving EU funding: Chapter 3, Articles 10 to 15, 18.1.2, 20.6, 23a, 26.4, 27.2, 28.1 [OPTION: (with the exception of additional exploitation obligations)], 28.2, 30.3, 31.5 and 40 do not apply to [OPTION 1 by default: these beneficiaries][OPTION 2 if more than one of the three options apply to the grant: [insert short name of the beneficiary]].

[They][The beneficiary] will not be subject to financial checks, reviews and audits under Article 22 for [their][its] own costs.]

Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding may provide in-kind contributions to another beneficiary. In this case, they will be considered as a third party for the purpose of Articles 11 and 12.

[additional OPTION for beneficiaries requesting zero funding: If a beneficiary requesting zero funding receives funding later on (through an amendment; see Article 55), all obligations will apply retroactively.]

9.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary not receiving EU funding breaches any of its obligations under this Article, its participation in the Agreement may be terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6 that are applicable to it.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding

This optional Article will be inserted into the GA if the action is implemented with beneficiaries that do not receive EU funding.

⚠ Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding — They participate in the action as beneficiaries, but NOT with EU funding. They must carry out the work under the action and comply with the GA (although not with *all* its obligations; the financial obligations and some IPR obligations do not apply for them).

'Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding' are either:

- 'beneficiaries **not eligible** for funding', i.e. beneficiaries which:
 - are NOT from an EU Member State, H2020 associated country or third country listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#) AND
 - were NOT granted exceptional EU funding by the Commission/Agency (during the selection procedure)

i For more information on third country participants, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual \(international cooperation\)](#).

⚠ Beneficiaries that (exceptionally) become eligible for funding during the action, may in principle request funding (as from their eligibility), if the grant agreement is amended to change Articles 9, 57 and Annex 2 (see [Article 55](#)).

Since the maximum grant amount will NOT be increased (see [Article 5.1](#)), this would only be possible if the consortium reshuffles the estimated budget in order to free funding for the beneficiary concerned.

Moreover, costs incurred *before* the amendment would be eligible ONLY as from the date of eligibility for funding and if they comply with all the obligations under the GA (e.g. for actual personnel costs must be supported by time records in accordance with [Article 18](#)).

- 'beneficiaries **requesting zero funding**', i.e. beneficiaries that are eligible for funding, but decided not to request any funding.

⚠ Beneficiaries requesting zero funding may in principle request funding later on, if the GA is amended (to change Article 9 and Annex 2; see [Article 55](#)).

Since the maximum grant amount will NOT be increased (see [Article 5.1](#)), this would only be possible if the consortium reshuffles the estimated budget in order to free funding for the beneficiary concerned.

Moreover, costs incurred *before* the amendment would be eligible ONLY if they comply with all the obligations under the GA retroactively (e.g. for actual personnel costs must be supported by time records in accordance with [Article 18](#)).

Their tasks will appear in Annex 1 and their estimated costs (although not eligible) in Annex 2.

Although they participate as beneficiaries in the action, NOT all of the obligations under the GA apply to them. (Which obligations apply or do not apply depends on their role in the grant and if the beneficiary has linked third parties that receive EU funding or not).

This table shows the **GA obligations** that do **NOT apply** to beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (marked by X under each option).

Beneficiary	Articles 5, 6 — Grant amount, form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs	Articles 10 to 15 — Purchases, subcontracting, in-kind contributions, etc	Article 18.1.2 — Keeping records, in-kind	Article 20.3(b) and Article 20.4.(b) — Financial reports	Article 20.6 — Currency for financial statements	Article 21 — Payments	Article 22 — Financial checks, reviews and audits	Article 23a — Management of IP	Article 26.4 and Article 27.2 — EU ownership to protect results	Article 28.1 — Obligation to exploit results *	Article 28.2 — Results contributing to standards	Article 30.3 — EU/Agency right to object to transfers or licensing	Article 31.5 — Access rights for EU and MS	Article 40 — Assignment of claims	Article 42 to 44 — Rejection of costs, reduction, recovery	Articles 47 and 48 — Suspension of payments
Option 1 — IS NOT coordinator and does not have third parties receiving EU funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Option 2 — HAS linked third parties receiving EU funding	X	X			X		Do not apply for the own costs of the beneficiary	X	Do not apply only for results generated without EU funds				X			
Option 3 — IS coordinator and does NOT have linked third parties receiving EU funding	X	X	X		X		Do not apply for the own costs of the beneficiary	X	X	X	X	X	X			

* with the possible exception of additional exploitation obligations, which is a suboption to be activated where applicable

Apart from this, they are treated like the other beneficiaries.

The other obligations apply, just like for normal beneficiaries (e.g. provide requested information and allow technical checks, reviews, audits, investigations or evaluations of the action’s impact; maintain confidentiality; comply with security-related obligations; promote the action and give visibility to the EU funding).

In case of **breach** of any **of their obligations**, their participation may be terminated and any of the other Chapter 6 measures (except for rejection of costs or recovery) may be taken.

Examples:

1. A beneficiary not receiving EU funding does not carry out the tasks attributed to it in Annex 1. At the end of the action, only part of the action is implemented → the Commission may, at the payment of the balance, if the action tasks were not properly implemented, reduce the grant awarded in accordance with Article 43.
2. In addition, the beneficiary has breached fundamental ethical principles → it may be excluded from all contracts or grants financed by the EU or Euratom for a maximum period of five years (see Article 45).

Best practice: The Commission/Agency will assess the consequences of breach of obligations or improper implementation by beneficiaries not receiving EU funding at action level (not at beneficiary level). Therefore, beneficiaries are advised to foresee this situation — before signature of the GA — in the **consortium agreement**.

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

10.1 Rules for purchasing goods, works or services

10.1.1 If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may **purchase goods, works or services**.

The beneficiaries must make such purchases ensuring the **best value for money or**, if appropriate, the **lowest price**. In doing so, they must avoid any conflict of interests (see [Article 35](#)).

[OPTION: In addition, if the value of the purchase exceeds EUR [...], the beneficiaries must comply with the following rules: [...]²³

The beneficiaries must ensure that [*the Agency,*] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can **exercise their rights** under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their contractors.

10.1.2 Beneficiaries that are ‘contracting authorities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC²⁴ (or 2014/24/EU)²⁵ or ‘contracting entities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC²⁶ (or 2014/25/EU)²⁷ must **comply** with the applicable national law on public procurement.

10.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 10.1.1, the costs related to the contract concerned will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 10.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

²³ If the authorising officer decides to set specific rules, they should have due regard for the principle of proportionality, taking into account the value of the contracts and the relative size of the EU contribution in relation to the total cost of the action and the risk. Specific rules must be based on the rules contained in the Financial Regulation. Simply citing the FR without specifying the applicable provisions should be avoided. Specific rules may only be set for the award of contracts of a value higher than EUR 60 000. The authorising officer may set a threshold higher than EUR 60 000 on the basis of a risk assessment.

²⁴ Directive 2004/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.04.2004, p. 114).

²⁵ Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p. 65).

²⁶ Directive 2004/17/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.04.2004, p. 1)

²⁷ Directive 2014/25/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p. 243).



1. Purchase of goods, works or services

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may purchase goods, works or services.

⚠ Purchases — For the purposes of the GA, ‘**purchase contract**’ means ordinary contract for services, works (*i.e. buildings*) or goods (*e.g. equipment*), needed to carry out the action, including the purchase of consumables and supplies.

Example (contracts): *Contract for a computer; contract for an audit certificate on the financial statements; contract for the translation of documents; contract for the publication of brochures; contract for the creation of a website that enables an action’s beneficiaries to work together (if creating the website is not an action task); contract for logistic support (e.g. organisation of the rooms, catering) for organising a meeting (if this is not an action tasks described as such in Annex 1); contract for hiring IPR consultants/agents.*

The differences between purchase contracts, subcontracts, in-kind contributions against payment and implementation by linked third parties are explained in [Article 8](#).

Characteristics of purchase contracts:

- They are usually limited in cost and scope.

 Article 10 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 10.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 10.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Best value for money or lowest price

The beneficiaries must base their purchases either on the best value for money (considering the quality of the service, good or work proposed, i.e. the **best price-quality ratio**) or on the lowest price.

This requirement reflects the **general cost eligibility condition** set out in [Article 6.1\(a\)\(vii\)](#) (i.e. that costs must be reasonable and comply with the principle of sound financial management) and applies it specifically to the purchasing context.

The best value for money principle does NOT in all cases require **competitive selection procedures**. However, if a beneficiary did not request several offers, it must demonstrate how best value for money was ensured.

For the best price-quality ratio, price is an essential aspect (together with quality criteria, *such as technical quality, etc.*), but it is NOT automatically necessary to select the offer with the **lowest price**. Selecting the lowest price may however be appropriate for automatic award procedures where the contract is awarded to the company that meets the conditions and quotes the lowest price.

Example: electronic tendering for consumables

In order to provide a good analysis of the price-quality ratio, the criteria defining quality must be clear and coherent with the purposes of the purchase.

Occasionally (and only for contracts with a value higher than EUR 60 000) the Commission/Agency may set out **additional conditions** (in view of the possible financial risks, taking into account the size of the contract and the grant amount). These conditions must be described in the work programme/call — as special eligibility conditions for the purchase costs — and be based on the rules which the European Commission applies for its own procurement contracts.

Examples (additional conditions): minimum number of offers received; publication in the Official Journal or in specific media such as internet, national newspapers, etc.

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the contractor (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the contractor (see [Article 22](#)).

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the contractor (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

4. ‘Other obligation’: Compliance with national procurement rules

Beneficiaries that are ‘contracting authorities’ or ‘contracting entities’ (within the meaning of the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)³⁴ must **moreover** comply with the applicable **national law on public procurement**. These rules normally provide for special procurement procedures, for the types of contracts they cover.

‘Contracting authority’ means the State, regional or local authorities, bodies governed by public law, associations formed by one or several of such authorities or one or several of such bodies governed by public law (see *Article 2.1(1) of Directive 2014/24/EU*).

‘Bodies governed by public law’ also include entities financed mostly by the State, regional or local authorities, or other bodies governed by public law and entities controlled by those bodies (for the full definition, see *Article 2.1(4) of that Directive*).

‘Contracting entities’ means entities operating in a utilities sector (water, energy, transport, postal services). They may be contracting authorities, public undertakings or entities operating on the basis of special or exclusive rights (for the full definition, see *Article 4 of Directive 2014/25/EU*).

Specific cases (purchases):

Purchases between beneficiaries — Are in principle not accepted. If a beneficiary needs supplies from another beneficiary, it is the latter beneficiary that should charge them to the action. (Otherwise there is the risk that the grant is used to charge commercial profit margins.) Purchases between beneficiaries will only be accepted in exceptional and properly justified cases (*e.g. beneficiary A is the usual supplier of beneficiary B for a generic consumable that beneficiary B needs for the action*).

Framework contracts or subcontracts — Framework contracts can be used for selecting a provider if this is the usual practice of the beneficiary (*e.g. for a type of goods*). In order to be eligible, the framework contract must (have) be(en) awarded on the basis of best-value-for-money and absence of conflict of interest. The framework contract does not necessarily have to be concluded before the start of the action.

³⁴ New directives in force since 2016:
 Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).
 Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).
 Old directives:
 Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).
 Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

ARTICLE 11 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES AGAINST PAYMENT

ARTICLE 11 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES AGAINST PAYMENT

11.1 Rules for the use of in-kind contributions against payment

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may use **in-kind contributions** provided by third parties **against payment**.

The beneficiaries may declare **costs related to the payment** of in-kind contributions as eligible (see [Article 6.1](#) and [6.2](#)), **up to the third parties' costs** for the seconded persons, contributed equipment, infrastructure or other assets or other contributed goods and services.

The **third parties and their contributions must be set out in Annex 1**. The *[Commission][Agency]* may however **approve** in-kind contributions not set out in Annex 1 **without amendment** (see [Article 55](#)), if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic technical report and
- their use does not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

The beneficiaries must ensure that *[the Agency,]* the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can **exercise their rights** under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties.

11.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the costs related to the payment of the in-kind contribution will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. In-kind contributions by third parties against payment


If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment.

A 'third party' can be any legal entity that has not signed the GA (see [Article 1](#)).

This Article refers to in-kind contributions (*i.e. non-financial resources of third parties put at the beneficiaries' disposal*) that beneficiaries receive **against payment**. In this case, the beneficiary makes a payment and therefore incurs a cost.

Example (in-kind contributions against payment): *medical equipment provided by a hospital (against a fee) to a university in order to carry out research*

Both this Article and [Article 12](#) only refer to in-kind contributions; they do NOT concern the case of linked third parties carrying out part of the *action tasks* described in Annex 1 (see [Article 14](#)).

 Article 11 contains additional cost eligibility conditions.

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Costs of the payment to the third party, up to the third parties' costs

The beneficiaries may declare their costs for paying the in-kind contribution (e.g. *the invoice from the third party*), but only up to the costs incurred by the third party — if the other eligibility conditions are fulfilled (see in particular [Article 6.1](#) and [6.2](#); e.g. *necessary for the action, recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary, etc.*).

If the amount paid by the beneficiary is lower than the cost incurred by the third party, the beneficiary may in addition declare the difference as in-kind contributions free of charge (see [Article 12](#)). If it decides to do so, it may have to declare that difference also as receipt (see [Article 5.3.3](#)).

For the upper limit (third party's costs), normally only the *direct costs actually* incurred by the third party will be taken into account.

The following costs may however be quantified using unit costs (to ensure similar costing as for charging costs under the GA):

- for personnel costs: hourly rate on the basis of usual costs accounting practices (alternative to actual costs; see [Article 6.2.A.1](#))
- for SME owner costs: hourly rate fixed by Commission [Decision C\(2013\) 8197](#)³⁵ (see [Article 6.2.A.4](#))
- for internally invoiced goods and services: unit cost on the basis of usual accounting practices (see [Article 6.2.D.5](#))
- for unit costs for clinical studies: unit cost fixed in the GA (alternative to actual costs; see [Article 6.2.F](#)).

The actual costs must be identifiable and verifiable in the third party's accounts.

The *indirect* costs of the third party are either:

- NOT taken into account: if the resources (in-kind contribution) are used on the beneficiary's premises

or

- taken into account: if the in-kind contributions are NOT used on the beneficiary's premises (*but, for instance, on the third party's premises*).

In this case, the direct costs actually incurred by the third party may be increased by a flat-rate of 25% on those costs.

Example (no indirect costs of the third party accepted):

A researcher is seconded to a beneficiary by a legal entity. This researcher works for the beneficiary on its premises. The third party charges the researcher's direct costs (salary and related social security charges of EUR 50 000) and is reimbursed by the beneficiary.

Additionally, the beneficiary has eligible direct costs of EUR 200 000.

The third party's direct costs equal EUR 50 000.

Total eligible costs declared by the beneficiary are:

- *total eligible direct costs: EUR 200 000 (direct costs of beneficiary) + EUR 50 000 (up to the amount of third party's direct costs) = EUR 250 000*
- *eligible indirect costs; 25%* EUR 250 000 = EUR 62 500. No work was subcontracted, and no financial support by third parties was given.*

TOTAL eligible costs declared by the beneficiary: EUR 250 000 + EUR 62 500 = EUR 312 500

Example (direct costs of third party plus a 25% flat-rate for indirect costs):

³⁵ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf

A legal entity makes available to a beneficiary the use of an installation or specialised piece of infrastructure that the beneficiary needs for the action. The third party charges the full direct and indirect costs of this and is reimbursed by the beneficiary.

The costs of the third party equal EUR 20 000 of actual direct costs plus EUR 8 000 of actual indirect costs. This is a cost for the beneficiary, which may declare it for the action. However, since in H2020 actions indirect costs are reimbursed on the basis of a flat-rate of 25% of the direct eligible costs (calculated as indicated in Article 6.2.E of the GA), the beneficiary will declare as eligible costs for in-kind contributions against payment only EUR 20 000 (payment of third party's direct costs) + EUR 5 000 for (cap for payment of third party's indirect costs) (flat-rate of 25% of 20 000) = EUR 25 000.

Additionally, the beneficiary has got eligible direct costs of EUR 200 000.

Total eligible costs declared by the beneficiary are:

- *total eligible direct costs: EUR 200 000 (direct costs of the beneficiary)+ EUR 25 000 (eligible costs of in-kind contributions against payment) = EUR 225 000*
- *eligible indirect costs: EUR 50 000= 25%* EUR 200 000 (direct costs of the beneficiary, excluding costs of in-kind contributions not used in its premises). No work was subcontracted, and no financial support by third parties was given.*

TOTAL eligible costs declared by the beneficiary: EUR 225 000 + EUR 50 000 = EUR 275 000.

If an audit shows that the costs declared by the beneficiary are higher than those incurred by the third party, the difference will be rejected as ineligible (even if they correspond to the amount actually paid by the beneficiary).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Third parties and their contributions be set out in Annex 1 — Simplified approval procedure

The **third parties**, their **in-kind contributions** (*i.e. non-financial resources*) and an estimation of the **costs budgeted** for the in-kind contributions must be set out in **Annex 1** of the GA.

If the in-kind contributions are NOT used on the beneficiary's premises, their estimated costs must be also set out in **Annex 2** (additional information column).

New in-kind contributions — If the need for third parties' in-kind contributions was not known at the moment of the signature of the GA, the coordinator must request an **amendment** of the GA in order to introduce it in the Annex 1 (see [Article 55](#)). Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may approve costs related to in-kind contributions not included in Annex 1 without formally amending the GA (**simplified approval procedure**).

Example (approval): *A new researcher is brought into a team working during the action's second year of implementation. The beneficiary fails to inform the coordinator of the fact that this researcher is seconded from a public research centre to the beneficiary (a university), and therefore the GA is not amended (to include this in Annex 1). These circumstances are explained and justified in the technical report which includes the work carried out by this researcher.*

Approval will NOT be granted if the in-kind contribution risks to substantially change the nature of the project (*i.e. there is doubt whether the project is still (in substance) the same as the one that was selected or whether the beneficiary has still the operational capacity to carry out the action*).

Example (no approval): *A proposal includes a beneficiary owning a prestigious laboratory and employing a specialised team of technicians in the field of the call. The proposal is selected after evaluation, taking into account the value provided by the involvement of this laboratory in the action. The GA is signed, but then the beneficiary decides to carry out the tests in another laboratory of a third party, without informing the Commission and amending the GA.*



The approval is at the discretion of the Commission/Agency and there is no automatic entitlement to it. Beneficiaries that rely on the simplified approval procedure bear the **full risk** of non-approval and rejection of costs by the Commission/Agency.

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the third parties (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits, and investigations on the third parties (see [Article 22](#)), and in particular audit their underlying costs.

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the third parties (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

Specific cases (in-kind contributions):

Foundations, spin-off companies, etc., created in order to manage the administrative tasks of the beneficiary and which are paid directly by the coordinator — These are typically legal entities (third parties) created or controlled by the beneficiary (usually a public body like a university/ministry) which handle the financial and administrative aspects of the beneficiaries' involvement in research projects.

Their tasks may include, among others, the employment of personnel, purchase of equipment or consumables that the third party puts at disposal of the beneficiary to carry out the work of the project.

Sometimes they also include the handling of the EU contribution (which means that the beneficiary will use the bank account of the third party and that all payments made to that account count for the beneficiary).

The contributions made by these entities are usually qualified as in-kind contributions against payment. If the costs for the services are not recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary (*e.g. there is no invoice from the entity*):

- the beneficiary has to prove that such practice is in line with national law and applicable accounting standards
- the costs must be recorded in the accounts of the third party.

ARTICLE 12 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES FREE OF CHARGE

ARTICLE 12 — USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES FREE OF CHARGE

12.1 Rules for the use of in-kind contributions free of charge

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may use **in-kind contributions** provided by third parties **free of charge**.

The beneficiaries may declare **costs incurred by the third parties** for the seconded persons, contributed equipment, infrastructure or other assets or other contributed goods and services as eligible in accordance with Article 6.4.

The third parties and their contributions must be set out in Annex 1. The [Commission][Agency] may however approve in-kind contributions not set out in Annex 1 without amendment (see [Article 55](#)), if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic technical report and
- their use does not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can **exercise their rights** under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties.

12.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the costs incurred by the third parties related to the in-kind contribution will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.




1. In-kind contributions by third parties free of charge

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may use **in-kind contributions** provided by third parties free of charge.

This Article refers to the case where a third party makes available some of its resources to a beneficiary, for free (i.e. without any payment, contrary to the case covered by [Article 11](#)). In this case, the beneficiary itself makes no payment and there is therefore NO cost incurred by the beneficiary. However, the GA provides that it may charge the costs incurred by the third party for its in-kind contribution (see also [Article 6.4](#)).

Examples (in-kind contributions free of charge):

Civil servant working as a professor in a public university. His salary is paid not by the beneficiary (the university) but by the government (the ministry). The beneficiary will declare these salary costs in its individual financial statements, even if they are paid by a third party (the ministry/government).

 Article 12 contains additional cost eligibility conditions.

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Costs of the third party

The beneficiaries may declare the costs of the third party for the in-kind contribution, if the eligibility conditions set out in Article 12.1 and in [Article 6.4](#) are fulfilled (e.g. *actually incurred by the third party, necessary for the action, incurred during the action duration, etc.*).

The costs must be recorded in the accounts *of the third party*.

Normally, only the *direct costs actually* incurred by the third party may be declared.

The following costs may however (alternatively) be quantified using unit costs (to ensure similar costing as for charging costs under the GA):

- for personnel costs: hourly rate on the basis of usual costs accounting practices (alternative to actual costs; see [Article 6.2.A.1](#))
- for SME owner costs: hourly rate fixed by Commission [Decision C\(2013\) 8197](#)³⁶ (see [Article 6.2.A.4](#))
- for internally invoiced goods and services: unit cost on the basis of usual accounting practices (see [Article 6.2.D.5](#))
- for unit costs for clinical studies: unit cost fixed in the GA (alternative to actual costs; see [Article 6.2.F](#)).

The *indirect* costs of the third party are either:

- NOT taken into account: if the resources (in-kind contribution) are used in the beneficiary's premises

or

- taken into account: if the in-kind contributions are used on the third party's premises.

In this case, the direct costs actually incurred by the third party may be increased by a flat-rate of 25% on those costs; but the beneficiary may NOT include them a second time to calculate its own indirect costs.

Free of charge in-kind contributions may also have to be declared as receipts (see [Article 5.3.3\(c\)](#)) — capped by the amount declared as third party costs for the contribution.

If an audit shows that the direct costs declared by the beneficiary are higher than those incurred by the third party, the difference will be rejected as ineligible.

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Third parties and their contributions set out in Annex 1 — Simplified approval procedure

The **third parties**, their **in-kind contributions** and an estimation of the **costs budgeted** for the in-kind contributions must be mentioned in Annex 1 of the GA.

If the in-kind contributions are NOT used on the beneficiary's premises, their estimated costs must be also set out in **Annex 2** (additional information column).

New in-kind contributions — If the need for third parties' in-kind contributions was not known at the moment of the signature of the GA, the coordinator must request an **amendment** of the GA in order to introduce it in the Annex 1 (see [Article 55](#)). Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may approve costs related to in-kind contributions not included in Annex 1 without formally amending the GA (**simplified approval procedure**; for details, see [Article 11](#)).

³⁶ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the third parties (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the third parties (see [Article 22](#)), and in particular to audit their costs.

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the third parties (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

13.1 Rules for subcontracting action tasks

13.1.1 If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may award subcontracts covering the implementation of certain action tasks described in Annex 1.

Subcontracting may cover only a limited part of the action.

The beneficiaries must award the subcontracts ensuring the **best value for money** or, if appropriate, the **lowest price**. In doing so, they must avoid any conflict of interests (see [Article 35](#)).

[OPTION: In addition, if the value of the subcontract to be awarded exceeds EUR [...], the beneficiaries must comply with the following rules: [...]²⁸]

*[OPTION for **actions involving PCP or PPI**: In addition, for the pre-commercial procurement (PCP) or procurement of innovative solutions (PPI), the beneficiaries must follow a transparent and non-discriminatory procedure, including at least the following:*

- (a) an ‘open market consultation’ published in the Official Journal of the European Union via a ‘prior information notice (PIN)’ and promoted and advertised widely;*
- (b) a ‘contract notice’ allowing for a time-limit for receipt of tenders of at least 2 months, published in the Official Journal of the European Union and promoted and advertised widely;*
- (c) a ‘request for tenders’ based on functional or performance-based specifications (that take into account the outcome of the open market consultation) and describing the practical set-up for the implementation of the subcontract(s);*
- (d) an objective and non-discriminatory evaluation of the tenders and award of subcontract(s) to the tender(s) offering best value for money;*
- (e) a ‘contract award notice’ published in the Official Journal of the European Union.*

The beneficiaries must also ensure that every prior information notice, contract notice or contract award notice published in relation to the subcontracting includes the following disclaimer:

“This procurement receives funding under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No [number]. The EU is however not participating as a contracting authority in this procurement.”]

[OPTION 1 only for actions involving PPI: Participation in PPI tendering procedures must be open on equal terms to tenderers from EU Member States, associated countries and other countries with which the EU has an agreement in the field of public procurement. If the WTO Government Procurement Agreement applies, PPI subcontracts must also be open to tenderers from States that have ratified this agreement.

*If the procurement of the innovative solution (PPI) consists (and is limited to) buying a set of prototypes and/or test products that were developed during a preceding PCP action, the beneficiaries do not need to make an open market consultation, contract notice and contract award notice under Points (a), (b) and (e) above. In this case, they must make a request for tenders from at least **three providers** (including the providers that participated in the preceding PCP), in accordance with the negotiated procedure without publication under Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU)²⁹.]*

²⁸ If the authorising officer decides to set specific rules, they should have due regard for the principle of proportionality, taking into account the value of the contracts and the relative size of the EU contributions in relation to the total cost of the action and the risk. Specific rules must be based on the rules contained in the Financial Regulation. Simply citing the FR without specifying the applicable provisions should be avoided. Specific rules may only be set for the award of contracts of a value higher than EUR 60 000. The authorising officer may set a threshold higher than EUR 60 000 on the basis of a risk assessment.

²⁹ See Articles 28 and 31(2)(a) of Directive 2004/18/EC replaced by Articles 26 and 32(3)(a) of Directive 2014/24/EU and Article 40(3)(b) of Directive 2004/17/EC replaced by Article 50(b) of Directive 2014/25/EU.

[OPTION 2 only for actions involving PCP: The subcontracts for pre-commercial procurement must provide for the following:

- the ownership, by the subcontractors, of the intellectual property rights on the results that they generate;
- the right of the buyers to access results — on a royalty-free basis — for their own use;
- the right of the buyers to grant (or to require the subcontractors to grant) non-exclusive licences to third parties to exploit the results — under fair and reasonable conditions — (without the right to sub-licence);
- the obligation of the subcontractors to transfer to the buyers the ownership of intellectual property generated by subcontractors during the PCP, if subcontractors fail to commercially exploit the results within the period set out in the subcontract;
- the right of the buyers to publish — at the time of the contract award notice — the identity of the winning tenderers and a project summary provided by the winning tenderers, and to publish — after R&D has finished and after consulting the subcontractors — summaries of the results as well as the identities of the subcontractors that successfully completed the last phase of the PCP.

The beneficiaries must ensure that the majority of the research and development work done by the subcontractor(s) (including the work of the main researchers) is located in the EU Member States or associated countries ('place of performance obligation').]

The tasks to be implemented and the estimated cost for each subcontract must be **set out in Annex 1** and the total estimated costs of subcontracting per beneficiary must be **set out in Annex 2**. The [Commission][Agency] may however **approve** subcontracts not set out in Annex 1 and 2 **without amendment** (see [Article 55](#)), if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic technical report and
- they do not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 37](#)).]

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can **exercise their rights** under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their subcontractors.

13.1.2 The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 **also apply** to the subcontractors.

Beneficiaries that are 'contracting authorities' within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) or 'contracting entities' within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU) **must comply** with the applicable national law on public procurement.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.1, the costs related to the subcontract concerned will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Subcontracting

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may award subcontracts covering the **implementation** of certain **action tasks** described in Annex 1.

⚠ Subcontractors — Subcontractors participate in the action by carrying out action tasks *for* the beneficiary. They are NOT beneficiaries and therefore have no direct obligations under the grant agreement (but their beneficiaries must ensure that they comply with certain key obligations).

Subcontract — For the purposes of the GA, a '**subcontract**' means the purchase of goods, works or services *that are identified in Annex 1 as action tasks*.

Example (subcontracts): *Contracts for (parts of) the research or innovation tasks mentioned in Annex 1.*

The differences between purchase contracts, subcontracts, in-kind contributions against payment and implementation by linked third parties are explained in [Article 8](#).

Characteristics of subcontracting:

- Based on business conditions
This means that the subcontractor charges a price, which usually includes a profit (— this distinguishes it from linked third parties; see [Article 14](#)).
- Subcontractor works without the direct supervision of the beneficiary and is not hierarchically subordinate to the beneficiary (— this distinguishes it from action tasks implemented by in-house consultants; see [Article 6.2.A.2](#)).
- Subcontractor's motivation is pecuniary, not the research work itself. The subcontractor is paid by the beneficiary in exchange for its work.
- Responsibility towards the EU/Euratom for the subcontracted work lies fully with the beneficiary.
The beneficiary remains responsible for all its rights and obligations under the GA, including the tasks carried out by a subcontractor.
- Subcontractor has no rights or obligations towards the Commission/Agency or the other beneficiaries (it has no contractual relation with them).

Examples (subcontracts):

Testing and analysis of the resistance of a new component under high temperatures, if described in Annex 1 as action task.

Only **limited parts of the action** may be subcontracted — except for actions involving PCP/PPI (because the PCP/PPI action tasks are by definition fully subcontracted).

The beneficiaries must make special arrangements regarding **results** produced by the subcontractors (in order to be able to fully comply with their obligations under the GA; see [Article 26.3](#)).

⚠ Security obligations — Stricter rules apply for action tasks that involve information that is **EU-classified** or results that are subject to **limited disclosure/dissemination** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

i For more information on security obligations, see *the [Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#)*.

⚠ Article 13 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 13.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 13.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Best value for money or lowest price

The beneficiaries must base their subcontracts either on the best value for money (considering the quality of the service proposed, i.e. the **best price-quality ratio**) or on the lowest price.

This requirement reflects the **general cost eligibility condition** set out in [Article 6.1\(a\)\(vii\)](#) (i.e. that costs must be reasonable and comply with the principle of sound financial management) and applies it specifically to the subcontracting context.


The best value for money principle does NOT in all cases require **competitive selection procedures**. However, if a beneficiary did not request several offers, it must demonstrate how best value for money was ensured.

For the best price-quality ratio, price is an essential aspect (together with quality criteria, such as technical quality, etc.), but it is NOT automatically necessary to select the offer with the **lowest price**. Selecting the lowest price may however be appropriate for automatic award procedures where the subcontract is awarded to the company that meets the conditions and quotes the lowest price.

In order to provide a good analysis of the price-quality ratio, the criteria defining quality must be clear and coherent with the purposes of the action task that is subcontracted.

3. Additional cost eligibility conditions for actions involving PCP or PPI

This additional option will be inserted into the GA if the action involves pre-commercial procurement (PCP) or procurement of innovative solutions (PPI).

 This concerns research and innovation actions or innovation actions *with* a PCP or PPI. This should NOT be confused with [PCP/PPI action](#) (separate type of action, which use a different model grant agreement and whose *main* action task is the implementation of the PCP or PPI).

The additional rules mirror the key obligations for PCP/PPI actions from the [H2020 MGA PCP/PPI](#).


4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Tasks set out in Annex 1 — Total estimated costs of subcontracting set out in Annex 2 — Simplified approval procedure

The **tasks to be implemented** and the **estimated cost** for each subcontract must be set out in Annex 1.

It is the work (i.e. the action tasks) to be performed by a subcontractor that must be identified in Annex 1. The name of the subcontractors is in principle not necessary.

The description should also include an estimation of costs for each subcontract.

Moreover, it should explain the need for a subcontract, taking into account the specific characteristics of the action.

 If the **name** of the subcontractor is known at the time of the grant signature the beneficiary may indicate it in Annex 1. However, the fact that the name of the subcontractor is indicated in Annex 1 does not imply the approval of the Commission of the subcontract (or the subcontracting costs). For example, if the subcontractor was not selected based on best value-for-money the Commission may reject the costs even if its name was indicated in Annex 1.

Additionally, the **total estimated costs for subcontracting** per beneficiary must appear in the table of estimated costs of Annex 2.

New subcontracts — If the need for a subcontract is not foreseen at the moment of the signature of the GA, the coordinator must request an **amendment** of the GA in order to introduce it in Annex 1 and 2 (see [Article 55](#)). Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may approve costs related to subcontracts not included in Annex 1 and 2 without formally amending the GA (**simplified approval procedure**).

The new subcontract must be included and explained in the technical periodic report (in the section 'unforeseen subcontractor').

Approval will NOT be granted if the subcontract risks to substantially change the nature of the project (i.e. there is a doubt whether the project is still (in substance) the same as the one that was selected or whether the beneficiary has still the operational capacity to carry out the action).

Example (approval): *A beneficiary loses some personnel specialised in a particular field, and as a result decides to subcontract some tasks it had originally foreseen to carry out itself. The beneficiary fails to inform the coordinator of this fact and therefore the GA is not amended. These circumstances are declared in the periodic report and it is approved by the Commission.*

Example (no approval): *A key beneficiary leaves the consortium and the coordinator subcontracts all the tasks of this beneficiary.*



The approval is at the full discretion of the Commission/Agency and there is no automatic entitlement to it. Beneficiaries that rely on the simplified approval procedure bear the **full risk** of non-approval and rejection of costs by the Commission/Agency.

5. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the subcontractor (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the subcontractor (see [Article 22](#)).

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the subcontractors (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

6. 'Other obligation': Extension of obligations under the GA to subcontractors

The beneficiaries must ensure that the subcontractors comply with certain obligations under the GA.

Obligations that must be extended to subcontractors:

- Avoiding conflicts of interest (see [Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))
- Liability for damages (see [Article 46](#)).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the subcontractors.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, the beneficiaries should impose contractual arrangements on the third parties.

7. ‘Other obligation’: Compliance with national procurement rules

Beneficiaries that are ‘contracting authorities’ or ‘contracting entities’ (within the meaning of the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)³⁷) must **moreover** comply with the applicable **national law on public procurement**. These rules normally provide for a special procurement procedure for the types of contracts they cover.

‘Contracting authority’ means the State, regional or local authorities, bodies governed by public law, associations formed by one or several of such authorities or one or several of such bodies governed by public law (see [Article 2.1\(1\) of Directive 2014/24/EU](#)).

‘Bodies governed by public law’ also include entities financed mostly by the State, regional or local authorities, or other bodies governed by public law and entities controlled by those bodies (for the full definition, see [Article 2.1\(4\) of that Directive](#)).

‘Contracting entities’ means entities operating in a utilities sector (water, energy, transport, postal services). They may be contracting authorities, public undertakings or entities operating on the basis of special or exclusive rights (for the full definition see [Article 4 of Directive 2014/25/EU](#)).

Specific cases (subcontracting):

Subcontracting between beneficiaries — Is NOT allowed in the same GA. All beneficiaries contribute to and are interested in the action; if one beneficiary needs the services of another in order to perform its part of the work it is the second beneficiary who should declare the costs for that work.

Subcontracting to affiliates — Is NOT allowed, unless they have a framework contract or the affiliate is their usual provider, and the subcontract is priced at market conditions. Otherwise, these affiliates may work in the action, but they must be identified as linked third parties under [Article 14](#) and declare their own costs.

Coordination tasks of the coordinator (e.g. *distribution of funds, review of reports and others tasks listed under [Article 41.2\(b\)](#)*) — Can NOT be subcontracted. Other activities of the coordinator may in principle be subcontracted.

Framework contracts or subcontracts — Framework contracts can be used for selecting a provider if this is the usual practice of the beneficiary (e.g. for a type of service). In order to be eligible, the framework contract must (have) be(en) awarded on the basis of best-value-for-money and absence of conflict of interest. The framework contract does not necessarily have to be concluded before the start of the action.

³⁷ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

ARTICLE 14 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY LINKED THIRD PARTIES

ARTICLE 14 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY LINKED THIRD PARTIES

[OPTION 1: 14.1 Rules for calling upon linked third parties to implement part of the action

14.1.1 The following *affiliated entities*³⁰ and *third parties with a legal link to a beneficiary*³¹ ('*linked third parties*') may implement the action tasks attributed to them in Annex 1:

- [name of the entity (short name)], affiliated or linked to [short name of the beneficiary] [OPTION if joint and several liability has been requested:; if it has accepted joint and several liability with the beneficiary (see Annex 3a)]
- [name of the entity (short name)], affiliated or linked to [short name of the beneficiary] [OPTION if joint and several liability has been requested:; if it has accepted joint and several liability with the beneficiary (see Annex 3a)]
[same for more linked third parties]

The linked third parties may declare as eligible the costs they incur for implementing the action tasks in accordance with Article 6.3.

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their linked third parties.

14.1.2 The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 18, 20, 35, 36 and 38 also apply to their linked third parties.

14.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If any obligation under Article 14.1.1 is breached, the costs of the linked third party will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If any obligation under Article 14.1.2 is breached, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

³⁰ For the definition see Article 2.1(2) Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: '**affiliated entity**' means any legal entity that is:

- under the direct or indirect control of a participant, or
- under the same direct or indirect control as the participant, or
- directly or indirectly controlling a participant.

'Control' may take any of the following forms:

- (a) the direct or indirect holding of more than 50% of the nominal value of the issued share capital in the legal entity concerned, or of a majority of the voting rights of the shareholders or associates of that entity;
- (b) the direct or indirect holding, in fact or in law, of decision-making powers in the legal entity concerned.

However, the following relationships between legal entities shall not in themselves constitute controlling relationships:

- (a) the same public investment corporation, institutional investor or venture-capital company has a direct or indirect holding of more than 50% of the nominal value of the issued share capital or a majority of voting rights of the shareholders or associates;
- (b) the legal entities concerned are owned or supervised by the same public body.

³¹ '**Third party with a legal link to a beneficiary**' is any legal entity which has a legal link to the beneficiary implying collaboration that is not limited to the action.



1. Linked third parties

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 6](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action is implemented with linked third parties.

⚠ Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to participate in the action, like the beneficiary they are linked to. They are NOT beneficiaries, but they are allowed to participate fully in the action and receive EU funding; they will therefore be treated for many issues (including cost eligibility; see [Article 6.3](#)) like beneficiaries and their beneficiaries must ensure that they comply with certain key obligations.

⚠ Combining H2020 & other EU grants — The terminologies under different EU funds/funding programmes may differ (e.g. 'linked third parties' under H2020 are the SAME as 'affiliated entities' under the Financial Regulation, while 'affiliated entities' under H2020 is NARROWER than 'affiliated entities' under the Financial Regulation (see [Articles 2.1\(2\) and 23\(5\) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013](#) and [Article 122 of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#)).

Characteristics of implementation by linked third parties:

- Linked third party does not charge a price, but declares its *own* costs for implementing the action tasks.
- Linked third party itself performs certain action tasks directly and is responsible for them towards the beneficiary. Linked third parties do NOT sign the GA (and are therefore not beneficiaries).
- The beneficiary remains responsible towards the Commission/Agency for the work carried out by the linked third party.

Moreover, the beneficiaries are financially responsible for any undue amount paid by the Commission/Agency as reimbursement of costs for their linked third parties — unless the GA foresees joint and several liability (see [Article 44.1](#)).

- Work is attributed to the linked third party (in Annex 1) and is usually carried out on its premises.
- Work is under the full and direct control, instructions and management of the linked third party, who carries out this part of the action (with its employees).

Only **affiliated entities** or **entities with a legal link to a beneficiary** can be linked third parties.

'Entities with a legal link' refers to an established relationship (between the third party and the beneficiary), which is:

- broad and not specifically created for the work in the GA

Accordingly, its duration must go beyond the action duration and it usually pre-dates and outlasts the GA; ad hoc collaboration agreements or contracts to carry out work in the action are NOT covered. In this latter case, both legal entities should be beneficiaries.

AND

- a legal relationship.

This may be either a legal structure (e.g. *the relationship between an association and its members*) or through an agreement or contract not limited to the action (e.g. *a collaboration agreement for research in a particular field*).

If the only relation between two entities is a *capital link* (i.e. ownership of part of the issued share capital), the entity may only participate as a linked third party if it is an 'affiliated entity' (see *below*).

'Affiliated entity' means:

- under the direct or indirect control of the beneficiary or

- under the same direct or indirect control as the beneficiary or
- directly or indirectly controlling the beneficiary.

Affiliated entities cover not only the case of parent companies or holdings and their daughter companies or subsidiaries and vice-versa, but also the case of affiliates between themselves (e.g. *entities controlled by the same entity*).

Examples (entities with a legal link):

1. Joint Research Units (JRU) (i.e. research laboratories/infrastructures created and owned by two or more different legal entities in order to carry out research) — They do not have a separate legal personality, but form a single research unit where staff and resources from the different members are put together to the benefit of all. Though lacking legal personality, they exist physically, with premises, equipment, and resources individual to them and distinct from 'owner' entities. A member of the JRU is the beneficiary and any other member of the JRU contributing to the action and who is not a beneficiary of the GA has to be identified in Article 14. The JRU has to meet all the following conditions:

- scientific and economic unity
- last a certain length of time
- recognised by a public authority.

It is necessary that the JRU itself is recognised by a public authority, i.e. an entity identified as such under the applicable national law. The beneficiary must provide to the Commission/Agency, a copy of the resolution, law, decree, decision, attesting the relationship between the beneficiary and the linked third party(ies), or a copy of the document establishing the 'joint research unit', or any other document that proves that research facilities are put in a common structure and correspond to the concept of scientific and economic unit.

2. Associations, foundations or other legal entities composed of members — That entity is generally the beneficiary and the members are the linked third parties.


Examples (affiliated entities):

1. Company A established in France holding 20% of the shares in Company B established in Italy. However, with 20% of the shares it has 60% of the voting rights in company B. Therefore, company A controls company B and both companies may be linked third parties in a H2020 GA.

2. Company X and company Y do not control each other, but they are both owned by company Z. They are both considered affiliated entities.

Linked third parties must fulfil the same **conditions for participation** and funding under H2020 as beneficiaries (for instance, be established in an EU Member State, H2020 associated country or third country listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).³⁸

Example: Company A established in the UK is a beneficiary in a grant. A owns B, a French company and also owns C, an US company. B & C may be considered affiliates to A, however only B may declare costs as a third party linked to A, because company C is established in a third country that is not eligible for funding under Article 10 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

 For more information on conditions for participation and funding, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

They must be **named** in Article 14 and their action tasks and estimated costs must be set out in Annexes 1 and 2 already at the moment of the GA signature (or if the linked third party is added later, through an amendment; [see Article 55](#)).

The beneficiaries must make special arrangements regarding **results** produced by the linked third parties (in order to be able to fully comply with their obligations under the GA; [see Article 26.3](#)).

The Commission/Agency may (during the selection procedure) **require joint and several liability of a linked third party**, if:

- the financial capacity of a beneficiary is 'weak' and
- the beneficiary mainly coordinates the work of its linked third party.

³⁸ See Articles 9 and 10 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

Examples:

1. The financially weak beneficiary is an association and most of the work is carried out by several of its members as linked third parties.
2. The financially weak beneficiary is a small company with a substantial part of its work implemented by a bigger affiliated company.
3. The proposal submitted by four independent entities established in four Member States is positively evaluated. The four successful applicants decide to form a legal entity to simplify the management of the project. The newly established entity will be the beneficiary, i.e. a new legal entity. The successful applicants will carry out the work as linked third parties of the new legal entity.

If requested, the third party must accept joint and several liability with the beneficiary.

In this case it must sign a declaration (on paper and in blue-ink, using Annex 3a) to be submitted by the beneficiary at the moment of its accession to the GA (or of the amendment introducing the linked third party in the GA; see [Article 56](#)). The linked third party must send the original to the beneficiary (by registered post with proof of delivery), who must upload it (as a scanned PDF copy) in the system.

 **Record-keeping** — The beneficiary must keep the original of the linked third party in its files.


The liability is for any amount owned by the beneficiary under the GA, and up to the linked third party's maximum EU contribution in Annex 2.

 For more information on the financial capacity check, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

Entities performing a **substantial part of the work** (i.e. action tasks) should in principle be **beneficiaries**, NOT linked third parties. Linked third parties should only exceptionally perform a major part of the R&I work.

Examples:

1. Entities specifically established for the purpose of implementing the action (e.g. EEIGs).
2. National research associations established according to the national law in order to carry out research not limited to the action.
3. A group of legal entities (companies/research organisations) that have a common research agenda and that have a structure consisting of an association coordinating the research. This structure/consortium is not limited to the H2020 action and the members have strong contractual commitments among each other and the coordinating association. The association represents its members and coordinates administratively their work in the action, even if it is not performing any R&I work in the action. In this case, the association may be the beneficiary and the members of the association are its linked third parties carrying out the R&I work.

 Article 14 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 14.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 14.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Linked third parties identified in Article 14 — Tasks set out in Annex 1 — Estimated costs set out in Annex 2 — No simplified approval procedure

The linked third parties must be named in Article 14 and their tasks and estimated costs must be identified in Annexes 1 and 2 already at the moment of the GA signature (or added later, through an amendment; see [Article 55](#)).

There is NO simplified approval procedure.

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Costs of the linked third party

The linked third parties may declare *their costs* (in their financial statements; see [Article 20.3](#)), if the **eligibility conditions** set out in [Article 6.3](#) are fulfilled (*e.g. actually incurred by the linked third party, necessary for the action, incurred during the action duration, etc.*).


The costs must be recorded in the accounts of the linked third party.

Linked third parties may declare costs for all cost categories (as provided for in [Article 5](#)), including indirect costs (at the 25% flat rate).

Each linked third party declares its own costs. The costs of the linked third party must not be included in the beneficiary's financial statements.


Each linked third party has its own **financial statements**, but these statements must be *submitted by its beneficiary* (since linked third parties cannot sign them in the IT system; see [Article 20](#)).

For this purpose, linked third parties must send their signed financial statements on paper to their beneficiary.

 **Record-keeping** — The beneficiary must keep the original of the linked third party in its files (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

Each linked third party has to provide its own **certificate on the financial statements (CFS)**; see [Article 20.4](#)).

The threshold of EUR 325 000 applies to each linked third party (independently of its beneficiary).

 **Record-keeping** — The beneficiary must keep the original of the linked third party in its files (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the linked third parties (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the linked third parties (see [Article 22](#)), and in particular to audit their costs and proper implementation of action tasks.

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the linked third parties (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

5. 'Other obligation': Extension of obligations under the GA to linked third parties

The beneficiaries must ensure that the linked third parties comply with certain obligations under the GA.

Obligations that must be extended to linked third parties:

- Record-keeping obligations (see [Article 18](#))
- Reporting (see [Article 20](#))

- Avoiding conflicts of interest (see [Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, the beneficiaries should impose contractual arrangements on the third parties.

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the linked third parties.

ARTICLE 14a — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS

ARTICLE 14a — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS

[OPTION 1: 14a.1 Rules for calling upon international partners to implement part of the action

The following **international partners**³⁷ may implement the action tasks attributed to them in Annex 1:

- [name of the entity (short name)], international partner of [short name of the beneficiary]
 - [name of the entity (short name)], international partner of [short name of the beneficiary]
- [same for more international partners]

The costs of the international partners are estimated in Annex 2 but:

- will not be reimbursed and
- will not be taken into account for the calculation of the grant.

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their international partners.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 18.1.1, 20.3(a), 20.4(a), 35, 36 and 38 also apply to their international partners.

14a.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

³⁷ 'International partner' is any legal entity established in a non-associated third country which is not eligible for funding under Article 10 of the Rules for Participation Regulation [No 1290/2013](#).



1. International partners

4.0 ▶▶

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 4](#)) will be inserted into the GA if the action is implemented with international partners. It was introduced with GA version 4.0 and does NOT automatically apply to older grant agreements (however beneficiaries with older GAs may ask for an amendment to introduce international partners see [Article 55](#)).

⚠ International partners — International partners are allowed to participate in the action without EU funding. They are NOT beneficiaries and do NOT receive EU funding; they therefore have no direct obligations under the grant agreement (but their beneficiaries must ensure that they comply with certain key obligations).

Characteristics of implementation by international partners:

- International partners perform their action tasks directly. They do NOT sign the GA (and are therefore not beneficiaries).
- The beneficiary remains responsible towards the Commission/Agency for the action tasks performed by its international partner.

- International partners perform their action tasks without receiving EU funding, i.e. their costs are NOT eligible for reimbursement under the grant. Therefore, they will not be subject to financial checks, reviews or audits.

The costs of the international partners are estimated in Annex 2 (to get an overview of the overall project budget). But this is for information purposes only, the international partners are not required to report on their costs.

International partners do NOT need to have a (capital or legal) link to the beneficiary (but they may have one).

They must NOT be eligible for funding, i.e. be:

- a legal entity established in a third country not listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#) or

Example: A consortium of legal entities from EU Member States, H2020 associated countries and third countries listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#) wants to work with a legal entity established in New Zealand. Since the New Zealand entity would like to be involved in the action without requesting EU funding and without becoming a beneficiary, one of the beneficiaries may decide to list the New Zealand entity as its international partner and attribute certain action tasks to it (provided that the international partner agrees to the action tasks and the related obligations under the GA).

- an international organisation other than international European interest organisations³⁹.

They may be used to implement any of the action tasks.

They must be **named** in Article 14a and their action tasks and estimated costs must be set out in Annexes 1 and 2 already at the moment of the GA signature (or if the international partner is added later, through an amendment; [see Article 55](#)).

The beneficiaries must make special arrangements regarding **results** produced by the international partners (in order to be able to fully comply with their obligations under the GA; [see Article 26.3](#)).

Although they are not beneficiaries and do not receive EU funding, their beneficiaries must ensure that they comply with certain key (non-financial) obligations:

Thus, they must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under [Article 22](#) to verify the proper implementation of the action tasks and that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

Moreover, they must extend the following obligations under the GA to the international partners:

Obligations that must be extended to international partners:

- Record-keeping obligations (on the scientific and technical implementation; [see Article 18.1.1](#))
- Technical reporting (in the required language; [see Article 20.3\(a\)](#), [20.4\(a\)](#) and [20.7](#))
- Avoiding conflicts of interest ([see Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality ([see Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding ([see Article 38](#)).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the international partners.

³⁹ For the definition, [see Article 2.1\(12\) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013](#): 'international European interest organisation' means an international organisation, the majority of whose members are

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES

15.1 Rules for providing financial support to third parties

[OPTION 1 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: 15.1.1 The beneficiaries must provide financial support in accordance with the conditions set out in Annex 1.

At a minimum, these conditions must include:

(a) the maximum amount of financial support for each third party.

The maximum amount may not exceed EUR 60 000 for each third party, unless it is necessary to achieve the objectives of the action as described in Annex 1;

(b) the criteria for calculating the exact amount of the financial support;

(c) the different types of activity that qualify for financial support, on the basis of a closed list;

(d) the persons or categories of persons that may receive financial support, and

(e) the criteria for giving financial support.

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties receiving financial support.

15.1.2 The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the third parties receiving financial support.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Financial support to third parties

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 6](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action involves financial support to third parties (i.e. funding by the beneficiary of (one or more) recipients that are not party to the GA, also called '**cascade funding**').

 Actions may involve financial support to third parties **ONLY** where this is explicitly allowed in the work programme/call.

'Financial support' may be given via a financial donation to natural persons (e.g. allowance, scholarship, fellowship) or legal persons (e.g. non-repayable financial assistance to local NGOs), seed money to start-ups or microcredit, or other forms. (For prizes, see [Article 15.2](#).)

Examples:

- 1.** An innovation project in the area of sustainable agriculture and forestry includes financial support for end-users (farmers) testing the technology developed within the action.
- 2.** One of the work packages in Annex 1 includes funding for awarding three research scholarships in the field of the action.

Member States or associated countries, and whose principle objective is to promote scientific and technological cooperation in Europe.

Support in kind (*e.g. transfer of material for free*) by the beneficiary to a third party is NOT considered financial support.

Linked third parties may provide financial support to third parties under the same conditions as the beneficiaries.

The recipients are not party to the GA and therefore do NOT need to be identified in the GA or have a PIC.

 Article 15.1 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 15.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 15.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Conditions for support set out in Annex 1 — Maximum amount of the financial support — Types of activities — Categories of persons — Criteria for financial support

The beneficiaries must comply with the conditions for the support that are set out in Annex 1, and in particular:

- the maximum amount per third party

The maximum amount may normally NOT be more than EUR 60 000 per recipient. A higher amount can exceptionally be set out in Annex 1, if the work programme/call explicitly allows it and the proposal (and Annex 1) explain why this is necessary for the objectives of the action.

This is a limit *per* recipient; several recipients could receive up to EUR 60 000 each (*e.g. 3 grants of EUR 50 000 each*).

- the criteria for determining the exact amount of financial support (*e.g. EUR 2 000 per hectare; EUR 30 000 per student for a two-year scholarship*)

The financial support provided by the beneficiaries may take any form (*e.g. a lump sum or the reimbursement of the costs incurred by the recipients when implementing the supported activities*).

- a clear and exhaustive list of the types of activities that qualify for financial support for third parties (*e.g. financial support for third parties allowed for technology-testing activities*)

These activities should benefit, primarily, the recipients (NOT the beneficiaries).

The financial support is NOT the same as subcontracting (see [Article 13](#)) or purchase of goods, works or services (see [Article 10](#)).

- the persons or category(ies) of persons that may receive it (*e.g. farmers; PhD students*)

Beneficiaries should describe in Annex 1 the procedures for selecting the recipients.

- the criteria for giving financial support (*e.g. physical characteristics of the agricultural plots which make them suitable for the purpose of the action*).

These criteria should respond to the objectives set out in the work programme/call.

The conditions must also already be part of the proposal (see [the proposal templates](#)).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the recipients (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the recipients (see [Article 22](#)).

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the recipients (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

4. 'Other obligation': Extension of obligations under the GA to recipients

The beneficiaries are responsible for the proper use of the funding by the recipients and must ensure that they comply with certain obligations under the GA.

Obligations that must be extended to recipients:

- Avoiding conflicts of interest (see [Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))
- Liability for damages (see [Article 46](#)).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the recipients.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, the beneficiaries should to impose contractual arrangements on the recipients (including control measures and/or reducing the financial support).

15.2 Financial support in the form of prizes

[OPTION 1 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: 15.2.1 The beneficiaries must provide prizes in accordance with the conditions described in Annex 1.

At a minimum, these **conditions** must include:

- (a) the conditions for participation;
- (b) the award criteria;
- (c) the amount of the prize, and
- (d) the payment arrangements.

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can **exercise their rights** under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties receiving a prize.

15.2.2 The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 **also apply** to the third parties receiving a prize.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

15.3 Consequences of non-compliance

[OPTION 1 to be used if 15.1 and/or 15.2 are applicable: If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Articles 15.1.1 or 15.2.1, the costs related to the financial support or prize will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Articles 15.1.2 or 15.2.2, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Financial support to third parties (via prizes)

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 6](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action involves financial support to third parties in the form of prizes.

Example: Inducement prize announced at the beginning of the action for identifying a (new) approach to dealing with a technical implementation problem to be tackled at the end of the action.

Such prizes are NOT EU prizes (although the beneficiaries must promote the action and give visibility to the EU funding received; see [Article 38](#)).

⚠ Article 15.2 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 15.2.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 15.2.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Conditions for support set out in Annex 1 — Conditions for participation — Award criteria — Amount of the prize — Payment arrangements

Just like for financial support via grants, the beneficiaries must comply with the conditions for support that are set out in Annex 1 and in particular:

- the conditions for participation and the conditions for early termination of the contest, if any (*e.g. eligibility and exclusion criteria; deadline for submission of entries; possibility of hearings*)
- the award criteria for assessing the quality of entries in light of the objectives and expected results

The criteria must be objective.

- the amount of the prize (*e.g. EUR 70 000*)
- the payment arrangements (usually one instalment).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Controls on the recipients (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the recipients of a prize, to verify that the conditions for the award of the prize have been respected (see [Article 22](#)).

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make evaluations of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the recipients (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

4. 'Other obligation': Extension of obligations under the GA to recipients

The beneficiaries are responsible for the award of the prize to the recipients and must ensure that they comply with certain obligations under the GA.

Obligations that must be extended to recipients:

- Avoiding conflicts of interest (see [Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))
- Liability for damages (see [Article 46](#)).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the recipients.

ARTICLE 16 — PROVISION OF TRANS-NATIONAL OR VIRTUAL ACCESS TO RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE

ARTICLE 16 — PROVISION OF TRANS-NATIONAL OR VIRTUAL ACCESS TO RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE

16.1 Rules for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure

[OPTION 1 for trans-national access to research infrastructure: 16.1.1 'Access providers'³² must provide access to research infrastructure or installations³³ in accordance with the following conditions:

(a) access which must be provided:

The access must be free of charge, trans-national access to research infrastructure or installations for selected user-groups.

This access must include the logistical, technological and scientific support and the specific training that is usually provided to external researchers using the infrastructure.

(b) categories of users that may have access:

Trans-national access must be provided to selected 'user-groups', i.e. teams of one or more researchers (users) led by a 'user group leader'.

The user group leader and the majority of the users must work in a country other than the country(ies) where the installation is located.

This rule does not apply:

- *if access is provided by an International organisation, the Joint Research Centre (JRC), an ERIC or similar legal entities;*
- *in case of remote access to a set of installations located in different countries offering the same type of service.*

Only user groups that are allowed to disseminate the results they have generated under the action may benefit from the access, unless the users are working for SMEs.

Access for user groups with a majority of users not working in a EU or associated country³⁴ is limited to 20% of the total amount of units of access provided under the grant, unless a higher percentage is foreseen in Annex 1;

(c) procedure and criteria for selecting user groups:

The user groups must request access by submitting (in writing) a description of the work that they wish to carry out and the names, nationalities and home institutions of the users.

The user groups must be selected by a selection panel set up by the access providers.

The selection panel must be composed of international experts in the field, at least half of them independent from the beneficiaries, unless otherwise specified in Annex 1.

The selection panel must assess all proposals received and recommend a short-list of the user groups that should benefit from access.

The selection panel must base its selection on scientific merit, taking into account that priority should be given to user groups composed of users who:

- *have not previously used the installation and*

- are working in countries where no equivalent research infrastructure exist.

It will apply the principles of transparency, fairness and impartiality.

[OPTION: *In addition, the beneficiaries must comply with the following additional rules for the selection of user groups: [...]*³⁵

(d) *other conditions:*

The access provider must request written approval from the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 52](#)) for the selection of user groups requiring visits to the installation(s) exceeding 3 months, unless such visits are foreseen in Annex 1.

16.1.2 *In addition, the access provider must:*

- (a) *advertise widely, including on a dedicated website, the access offered under the Agreement;*
- (b) *promote equal opportunities in advertising the access and take into account the gender dimension when defining the support provided to users;*
- (c) *ensure that users **comply** with the terms and conditions of the Agreement;*
- (d) *ensure that its obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 **also apply** to the users.*

[OPTION 2: *Not applicable]*

³² ‘Access provider’ means a beneficiary or linked third party that is in charge of providing access to one or more research infrastructure or installations, or part of them, as described in Annex 1.

³³ ‘Installation’ means a part or a service of a research infrastructure that could be used independently from the rest. A research infrastructure consists of one or more installations.

³⁴ For the definition see 2.1(3) Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#): ‘associated country’ means a third country which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in **[OPTION for EU grants: Article 7 of the H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.]****[OPTION for Euratom grants: Article 5 of Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1314/2013 of 16 December 2013 on the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-2018) complementing the Horizon 2020 – The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (‘H2020 Euratom Research and Training Programme Regulation No 1314/2013’)** (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 948). Article 5 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.]

³⁵ If the authorising officer considers necessary to give priority to certain categories of users.



1. Trans-national access to research infrastructure

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 6](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action involves trans-national access to research infrastructure for scientific communities (‘provision of access activities’), i.e.:

- actions under Part I of Horizon 2020, ‘Research infrastructures’ under the following topics:
 - ‘integrating activities’ ([INFRAIA-1-2014-2015](#): Integrating and opening existing national and regional research infrastructures of European interest)
 - ‘individual support’([INFRADEV-3-2015](#): Individual implementation and operation of ESFRI projects)
 - ‘cluster support’ ([INFRADEV-4-2014-2015](#): Implementation and operation of cross-cutting services and solutions for clusters of ESFRI and other relevant research infrastructure initiatives).

For 'integrating activities', access to research infrastructure is a mandatory component, while it is optional for the other two topics. 'Cluster support' actions currently only include pilot provision of trans-national access, no virtual access (see the [H2020 Work Programme 2014-2015](#)).

Grants for this type of action usually **reimburse** — for the provision of access activity — the following **types of costs**:

- 'access costs' (i.e. the operating costs of the research infrastructure⁴⁰ or installation⁴¹ and costs related to logistical, technological and scientific support for users, including ad-hoc user training and the preparatory and closing activities needed to use the installation)
- users' travel and subsistence costs
- costs of advertising the trans-national access offered under the action
- costs related to the selection procedure (e.g. the selection panel members' travel and subsistence costs, logistical costs of meetings, fees, etc.)
- costs of preparing the detailed access activity information that must be included in the periodic technical reports (see [Article 20.3](#)).

The access costs may be declared as unit costs, actual costs or — under certain conditions — as a combination of the two (see [Articles 5.2\(f\) and 6.2.F](#)), while the other costs in this list must be declared as actual costs (see [Articles 5.2\(d\) and 6.2.D](#)).

If access costs are declared as *unit cost*, they must be declared under the budget category F.2 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure' (see [Article 5.2\(f\) and 6.2.F](#)).

If they are declared as *actual costs*, they must be declared under the other budget categories (see [Articles 5.2\(a-e\) and 6.2.A-E](#)).

Capital investments (i.e. equipment costs for renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure or other assets) will NOT be reimbursed (for the provision of access activities; see [Article 6.2.D.2](#)).

Trans-national access must be **measured** (in 'units of access').

The units of access for the various installations that provide trans-national access under the grant must be specified in Annex 1 of the GA.

Examples (units of access): per beam-hour for a synchrotron; per night for a telescope; per number of frozen embryos for a mouse repository; per week of access for a historical archive; per campaign-day for a research vessel.

For trans-national access, the GA will always specify a unit of access (independently of whether the costs are declared as unit cost or actual costs).

⁴⁰ For the definition of research infrastructure, see [Article 2\(6\) of the H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No \(EU\) No 1291/2013 and the footnote in Article 6.2.D.4 of the MGA](#): 'Research infrastructure' are facilities, resources and services that are used by the research communities to conduct research and foster innovation in their fields. Where relevant, they may be used beyond research, e.g. for education or public services. They include: major scientific equipment (or sets of instruments); knowledge-based resources such as collections, archives or scientific data; e-infrastructure such as data and computing systems and communication networks; and any other infrastructure of a unique nature essential to achieve excellence in research and innovation. Such infrastructure may be 'single-sited', 'virtual' or 'distributed'.

⁴¹ 'Installation' means a part or a service of a research infrastructure that could be used independently from the rest. A research infrastructure consists of one or more installations.

⚠ Record-keeping — The beneficiaries must keep appropriate records and supporting documentation to justify the number of units of trans-national access for which they declare costs (see [Article 18](#)), including:

- users' names, nationalities and home institutions
- the nature of access and

In addition, they must include (just like for virtual access) detailed information on the provision of access activity in the **periodic technical reports** (see [Article 20.3](#)).

⚠ Article 16.1 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 16.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 16.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Access which must be provided

Trans-national access can be either:

- **in person** (hands-on), provided to selected users that visit the installation or
- **remote**, through the provision to selected users of remote scientific services.

***Examples (remote access):** provision of reference materials or samples (e.g. shipping of a virus strain); performing a remote sample analysis or sample deposition; remote access to a high-performance computing facility.*

Remote trans-national access requires competitive selection of the users to be served under the GA as usually it applies to resources that are not unlimited (e.g. *computing hours on a supercomputer or remote analysis of a sample*). It is thus different from virtual access, which applies to resources that can be simultaneously used by an unlimited number of users (e.g. *a dataset available on the internet*).

Trans-national access must be given to selected user groups (free of charge).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Categories of users that may have access — Limited access for special user groups

For trans-national access, user groups in which all or most users work in non-associated **third countries** may ONLY have access for up to 20 % of the total number of units of access provided under the grant.

The consortium should itself define if this 20 % limit is uniformly applied to the different installations or if the above mentioned user groups may use some installations more than others. It should do this in the consortium agreement.

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Selection procedure with a selection panel

For trans-national access, access providers must set up a common **selection panel** that regularly evaluates the applications for access and recommends a shortlist of the user groups that would benefit from access.

If justified, an access provider may use several different selection sub-panels.

***Example:** Different thematic selection sub-panels could be set up for a set of analytical facilities serving multidisciplinary communities.*

5. 'Other obligation': Controls on the users (by the Commission/Agency, ECA and OLAF) — Evaluation of the impact of the action

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) have the right to carry out checks, reviews, audits and investigations on the users (see [Article 22](#)), and in particular to audit proper implementation of action tasks.

They must also ensure that the Commission/Agency has the right to make an evaluation of the impact of the action under [Article 23](#).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the users (*for example, if they refuse access and the Commission/Agency cannot verify the eligibility of the costs, it will reject them*).

6. 'Other obligation': Extension of obligations under the GA to users

The beneficiaries must also ensure that the users comply with certain obligations under the GA.

Obligations that must be extended to users:

- Avoiding conflicts of interest (see [Article 35](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Promoting the action and give visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))
- Liability for damages (see [Article 46](#)).

It is the beneficiaries' responsibility to ensure that these obligations are accepted by the users.

16.2 Rules for providing virtual access to research infrastructure

[OPTION 1 for virtual access to research infrastructure: ‘Access providers’³⁶ must provide access to research infrastructure or installations³⁷ in accordance with the following conditions:

(a) access which must be provided:

The access must be free of charge, virtual access to research infrastructure or installations.

‘Virtual access’ means open and free access through communication networks to resources needed for research, without selecting the researchers to whom access is provided;

(b) *other conditions:*

The access provider must have the virtual access services assessed periodically by a board composed of international experts in the field, at least half of whom must be independent from the beneficiaries, unless otherwise specified in Annex 1.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

16.3 Consequences of non-compliance

[OPTION 1 to be used if 16.1 and/or 16.2 are applicable: If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Articles 16.1.1 and 16.2, the costs of access will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Articles 16.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

³⁶ ‘Access provider’ means a beneficiary or linked third party that is in charge of providing access to one or more research infrastructures or installations, or part of them, as described in Annex 1.

³⁷ ‘Installation’ means a part or a service of a research infrastructure that could be used independently from the rest. A research infrastructure consists of one or more installations.



■ 1. Virtual access to research infrastructure

This optional Article (together with the corresponding options in [Article 6](#) and other provisions) will be inserted into the GA if the action involves virtual access to research infrastructure for scientific communities (‘provision of access activities’), i.e. actions under Part I of Horizon 2020, ‘Research infrastructures’.

Grants for this type of actions usually **reimburse** — for the provision of access activity — the following **types of costs**:

- ‘access costs’ (i.e. the operating costs of the installation during the course of the action and costs related to technological and scientific support for users access (e.g. *a helpdesk*))
- costs of advertising virtual access offered under the action
- costs related to the assessment carried out by the board of international experts (e.g. *costs of organising a board meeting*)

- costs of preparing the detailed access activity information that must be included in the periodic technical reports (see [Article 20.3](#)) and the assessment report (see *below point 3*).

As from the Work Programme 2020, the access costs may be declared as unit costs, actual costs or — under certain conditions — as a combination of the two (see [Articles 5.2\(f\) and 6.2.F](#)), while the other costs in this list must be declared as actual costs (see [Articles 5.2\(d\) and 6.2.D](#)).

If access costs are declared as *unit cost*, they must be declared under the budget category F.2 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure' (see [Article 5.2\(f\) and 6.2.F](#)).

If they are declared as *actual costs*, they must be declared under the other budget categories (see [Articles 5.2\(a-e\) and 6.2.A-E](#)).


Capital investments (i.e. costs of renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure or other assets) will NOT be reimbursed — unless provided for in the work programme/call. In this case only the portion used to provide virtual access under the project is eligible.

Virtual access may or may not be **measured**. If measured, the GA will specify a unit of access.

When the research infrastructure access policy requires the identification of users, the EU financial support to virtual access will cover the access costs incurred by the infrastructure or installation for the provision of access under the grant to the identified users. The proposal can also define eligibility criteria (e.g. affiliation to a research or academic institution) for the users to whom access will be provided under the grant

When access is provided without identifying users, the research infrastructures virtual services to be supported by the EU must be *widely* used by the European research community⁴².

The beneficiaries must provide detailed information on the provision of access activity in the **periodic technical reports** including, when access is provided without identifying users, statistics on all users in the reporting period compiled through web analytical tools (see [Article 20.3](#)).

 Article 16.2 contains additional cost eligibility conditions.

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Access which must be provided

Virtual access applies to widely-used research resources that are openly and freely available **through communication networks**.

Example: access to an open database available on the internet

Access must be open to all users; users are not selected.

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Periodic assessment by a board of international experts

For virtual access, the access services must be regularly assessed by an **external board** of international experts.

At least two assessments are usually carried out during the course of an action.

The assessment reports must already be part of the proposal (as deliverables; see the [proposal templates](#)) and be included in Annex 1 of the GA.

⁴² Under the H2020-INFRAEOSC-2018-2020 call, other communities could be considered in order to widen the user base.

SECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION

ARTICLE 17 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO INFORM

SECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION

ARTICLE 17 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO INFORM

17.1 General obligation to provide information upon request

The beneficiaries must provide — during implementation of the action or afterwards and in accordance with Article 41.2 — any **information requested** in order to verify eligibility of the costs, proper implementation of the action and compliance with any other obligation under the Agreement.

17.2 Obligation to keep information up to date and to inform about events and circumstances likely to affect the Agreement

Each beneficiary must keep information stored in the Participant Portal Beneficiary Register (via the electronic exchange system; see [Article 52](#)) up to date, in particular, its name, address, legal representatives, legal form and organisation type.

Each beneficiary must immediately inform the coordinator — which must immediately inform the *[Commission][Agency]* and the other beneficiaries — of any of the following:

- (a) **events** which are likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action or the EU's financial interests, in particular:
 - (i) changes in its legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation *[or those of its linked third parties and*
 - (ii) *changes in the name, address, legal form, organisation type of its linked third parties;]*
- (b) **circumstances** affecting:
 - (i) the decision to award the grant or
 - (ii) compliance with requirements under the Agreement.

17.3 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Requests for information

In addition to the specific information obligations set out in other parts of the GA (e.g. [Articles 22.1.2, 22.1.3 and 23](#)), the Commission/Agency may request a beneficiary to provide any information it needs to verify that the beneficiary:

- properly implemented the tasks described in Annex 1
- complied with its obligations under the GA.

The Commission/Agency may request the information for **any purpose** (e.g. checks for monitoring the action or assessing reports and requests for payment; reviews; audits; investigations; evaluation of the action's impact).

It may request **any type** of information it needs (including personal data, to order to verify that costs declared for specific people are eligible; see [Article 39](#)). The level of detail will depend on the purpose of the request.

The Commission/Agency may request the information at **any time**, either during the action or afterwards.

Examples:

1. In an ex-post financial audit that starts 18 months after the balance is paid, the Commission/Agency may request any information it needs during the procedure. The audit may continue beyond the two years after the balance is paid (see [Article 22](#)).
2. The Commission may request information from the beneficiaries in order to evaluate the action's impact up to five years after the balance is paid (see [Article 23](#)).
3. The beneficiaries must comply with any additional exploitation obligations set out in Annex 1, for up to four years after the action ends (see [Article 3](#)). They are therefore obliged to provide any information the Commission/Agency requests to verify that the action was correctly implemented and that the beneficiaries complied with their obligations under the GA.

The beneficiary concerned must provide accurate, precise and complete information, in the format and within the deadline requested (see [Article 22](#)).

It is the coordinator who usually provides the information requested — unless the GA specifies direct communication with the other beneficiaries (see [Articles 20, 22, 23, 30, 41, 55](#)).

If the coordinator no longer exists after the action ends (e.g. if it went bankrupt), beneficiaries may provide the information requested directly to the Commission/Agency.

Until the balance is paid, all information is sent via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#). Afterwards, information requests may have to be sent in writing by registered post with proof of delivery (see [Article 52](#)).

2. Information in the Participant Register


Each beneficiary must keep its information in the [Participant Register](#) up-to-date, including after the end of the grant.

 Updated information remains necessary both to contact the beneficiaries after the end of the action and if they want to participate in other EU grants.

The information includes:

- name
- address
- legal representatives
- legal form (e.g. private limited liability company, public law body, S.A., S.L.)
- organisation type (e.g. SME, secondary or higher education establishment, etc.).

The IT system automatically informs the coordinator whenever a beneficiary updates its information.

 For more information on beneficiary registration, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

3. Information likely to delay or affect the action or EU financial interests

Each beneficiary must immediately inform the coordinator if an event is likely to significantly affect or delay the action's implementation or affect the EU financial interests.

***Examples (situation likely to significantly affect or delay the action's implementation or affect the EU financial interests):** a beneficiary is under financial stress and chooses to liquidate; a beneficiary is bought by another legal entity; a beneficiary plans to move its laboratory from a Member State to a non-EU country*

The beneficiaries must also inform the coordinator about any changes concerning their linked third parties.

For events linked to changes that also require an update of the Participant Register (see above), the beneficiary must **update** the [Participant Register](#) and **inform the coordinator**.

The beneficiary must inform the coordinator offline, via its usual communication channels (e.g. e-mail, registered letters with proof of delivery, etc.).

Best practice: It is recommended that the beneficiary informs the coordinator in writing (not only orally).

After receiving the information from the beneficiary, the coordinator must immediately inform:

- the Commission/Agency, via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)
- the other beneficiaries, through the usual communication channels (in writing and offline).

4. Information about circumstances affecting the decision to award the grant or compliance with requirements under the GA

Each beneficiary must immediately inform the coordinator about any situation that:

- could have affected the decision to award the grant if it had been known by the evaluators at the time of evaluation or
- could affect the fulfilment of obligations under the GA.

***Example (situation that could have affected the decision to award the grant or compliance with requirements under the GA):** A consortium has three beneficiaries. One of them has a laboratory with specialised equipment and personnel, including a team of internationally-renowned experts in the same field as the project. The quality of the work to be carried out by this laboratory was taken into account by the evaluators when the grant was awarded. During the action's implementation, the beneficiary sells the laboratory to an external company, losing a good part of the relevant expertise, and as a result has to outsource part of the work.*

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiaries must — for a period of [*OPTION 1 by default: five*][*OPTION 2 for low value grants*³⁸: *three*] years after the payment of the balance — keep records and other supporting documentation in order to prove the proper implementation of the action and the costs they declare as eligible.

They must make them available upon request (see [Article 17](#)) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see [Article 22](#)).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see [Article 22](#)), the beneficiaries must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiaries must keep the **original documents**. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The [*Commission*][*Agency*] may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance.

18.1.1 Records and other supporting documentation on the scientific and technical implementation

The beneficiaries must keep records and other supporting documentation on scientific and technical implementation of the action in line with the accepted standards in the respective field.

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiaries must keep the records and documentation supporting the costs declared, in particular the following:

- (a) **for actual costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the costs declared, such as contracts, subcontracts, invoices and accounting records. In addition, the beneficiaries' usual cost accounting practices and internal control procedures must enable direct reconciliation between the amounts declared, the amounts recorded in their accounts and the amounts stated in the supporting documentation;
- (b) **for unit costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the number of units declared. [*OPTION for trans-national access to research infrastructure: This documentation must include records of the names, nationalities, and home institutions of users, as well as the nature and quantity of access provided to them.*] Beneficiaries do not need to identify the actual eligible costs covered or to keep or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount per unit.

In addition, **for unit costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices**, the beneficiaries must keep adequate records and documentation to prove that the cost accounting practices used comply with the conditions set out in Article 6.2.

The beneficiaries [*and linked third parties*] may submit to the Commission, for approval, a certificate (drawn up in accordance with Annex 6) stating that their usual cost accounting practices comply with these conditions ('**certificate on the methodology**'). If the certificate is approved, costs declared in line with this methodology will not be challenged subsequently, unless the beneficiaries have concealed information for the purpose of the approval.

- (c) **for flat-rate costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the eligibility of the costs to which the flat-rate is applied. The beneficiaries do not need to identify the costs covered or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount declared at a flat-rate[;][.]

- (d) *[OPTION if lump sum foreseen in Article 5.2: **for lump sum costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove that the corresponding tasks or part of the action as described in Annex 1 were implemented properly. The beneficiaries do not need to identify the actual eligible costs covered or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount declared as a lump sum.]*

In addition, **for personnel costs** (declared as actual costs or on the basis of unit costs), the beneficiaries must keep time records for the number of hours declared. The time records must be in writing and approved by the persons working on the action and their supervisors, at least monthly. In the absence of reliable time records of the hours worked on the action, the [Commission][Agency] may accept alternative evidence supporting the number of hours declared, if it considers that it offers an adequate level of assurance.

As an exception, for **persons working exclusively on the action**, there is no need to keep time records, if the beneficiary signs a **declaration** confirming that the persons concerned have worked exclusively on the action.

[OPTION to be added if Article 14 applies: For costs declared by linked third parties (see Article 14), it is the beneficiary that must keep the originals of the financial statements and the certificates on the financial statements of the linked third parties.]

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, costs insufficiently substantiated will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42), and the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).


Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

³⁸ For the definition, see Article 185 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (OJ L 362, 31.12.2012, p. 1) ('**Rules of Application Regulation No 1268/2012**'): 'low value grants' are lower or equal to EUR 60 000.



1. Records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiaries (for linked third parties, *see point 10*) must keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to **prove** the eligibility of all the costs declared, proper implementation of the action and compliance with all the other obligations under the GA.


 Costs that are not supported by appropriate and sufficient evidence may be **rejected** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

'Sufficiency' relates to the quantity of evidence; 'appropriateness' relates to its quality. Evidence is considered sufficient and appropriate if it is persuasive enough for the auditors, who assess it according to generally accepted audit standards.⁴³

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (3 years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

⁴³ International Standard on Auditing ISA 500 'Audit Evidence'.

 Beneficiaries that throw away supporting documents will bear the **full risk** of cost rejection by the Commission/Agency.

The rules in the GA do not affect national laws on keeping documents (which may require additional measures).

2. Original documents

The beneficiaries must **keep original documents**.

The Commission/Agency will accept any document considered an original under national law.

Examples:

1. The Commission will accept authenticated copies or digitally-signed documents, if national law accepts these as originals.
2. The Commission will accept digitalised copies of documents (instead of hard copies), if this is acceptable under national law.

In principle, documents should be kept in the format in which they were received or created.

This means that:

- documents received or created in paper form should be kept in paper form
- documents received or created electronically should be kept in their electronic format.

Hard copies of original electronic documents are not required.

3. Records for actual costs

For actual costs, the beneficiaries must:

- keep detailed records and other supporting documents to prove the eligibility of the costs declared
- use cost accounting practices and internal control procedures that make it possible to verify that the amounts declared, amounts recorded in the accounts and amounts recorded in supporting documentation match up.

Best practice: The information included in the financial statements for each budget category (i.e. personnel costs, other direct costs, indirect costs) must be broken down into details and must match the amounts recorded in the accounts and in supporting documentation.

Examples:

1. For costs declared in category A.1 (employees or equivalent): the costs must be detailed for each person carrying out work for the action (individual hourly rate multiplied by the actual hours worked for the action). They must match the accounting records (i.e. general ledger transactions, annual financial statements) and supporting documentation (i.e. labour contracts, collective labour agreements, applicable national law on taxes, labour and social security contributions, payslips, time records, bank statements showing salary payments, etc.).
2. For costs declared in category D.1, D.2, D.3 and D.4 (other direct costs): the beneficiary must keep a breakdown of costs declared by type (i.e. travel costs and related subsistence allowances, depreciation, costs of other goods and services etc.). It should be able to provide details of individual transactions for each type of cost. For depreciation, it must be able to provide details per individual equipment used for the action. Declared costs must match accounting records (i.e. general ledger transactions, annual financial statements) and supporting documentation (i.e. purchase orders, delivery notes, invoices, contracts, bank statements, asset usage logbook, depreciation policy, etc.).

4. Records for unit costs set by the Commission

For unit costs, the beneficiaries must keep:

- detailed records and other supporting documents to prove the number of units declared.

It is NOT necessary to keep records on the actual costs incurred.

The Commission/Agency may access the accounting records, but will reject costs only if the number of units declared is incorrect. (The actual costs of the work are not relevant).

If the Commission/Agency detects an irregularity or fraud in the action's implementation, it may reduce the grant.

5. Records for unit costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices

For costs declared in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices (**average personnel costs** and costs of **internally invoiced goods and services**), the beneficiaries must keep detailed records and other supporting documents to:

- show that the costs used to calculate the unit cost match the actual costs as recorded in the statutory accounts

Examples:

1. For personnel costs: accounting records; financial statement extracts; labour contracts; collective labour agreements; applicable tax, labour and social security laws; pay slips; bank statements showing salary payments; classification of employees (based on experience, qualifications, salary, department, etc.).

2. For internally invoiced goods and services: accounting records; financial statement extracts; time records (or other records) for the share of personnel costs included in the unit costs; invoices or contracts for maintenance costs, cleaning costs, other services, showing that the cost are directly identifiable.

Manual interventions into the accounting data must be traceable and documented.

- verify that the unit cost is free of ineligible cost components

Examples:

1. For personnel costs: records that show that the hourly rate does not include an indirect cost component (already covered by the 25 % flat rate); records that show that the hourly rate does not include travel costs (they should be claimed under category D.1 'travel').

2. For internally invoiced goods and services: evidence that shows that there is no profit/margin/mark-up included in the internally invoiced goods and services (e.g. different rates: one for billing the activity, with mark-up, and another one for internal costing, free of any mark-up); lists of accounts/cost centres that were excluded from the calculation of internally invoiced goods and services — because already included in the costs claimed under another budget categories (e.g. LRI costs, indirect costs, in-kind contributions, etc.).

- assess the acceptability of budgeted and estimated elements

Examples:

1. For personnel costs: records that show the method for calculating the annual salary increases (e.g. consumer price index which, according to the beneficiary's usual remuneration policy, serves as the basis for annual salary increases).

2. For internally invoiced goods and services: traceable data used to determine the budgeted/ estimated elements; records on the nature and frequency of the updates of the budgeted and estimated elements; etc.

- for personnel costs: verify the number of productive hours used to calculate the unit cost (hourly rate)

- for internally invoiced goods and services: verify that the cost items used for the calculation of the unit cost were directly linked to the production of the invoiced good or service.

Examples (not acceptable):

1. Maintenance which goes beyond than the maintenance of the infrastructure/facilities used to produce the good/service (e.g. maintenance costs for all the beneficiary's cleanrooms; maintenance costs for an entire building, while the cleanroom used for the good/service occupies only a part of the building).
2. Generic supplies for which there is no evidence that they were directly linked to the infrastructure/facilities used to produce the good/service (e.g. electricity costs when there is no electricity counter or technical specifications to measure the consumption).

By contrast, it is NOT necessary to keep records on the actual costs incurred (per person/good or service) — unless they are needed to document the usual cost accounting practices (as just described).

6. Certificate on the methodology (CoMUC)

What? To get additional assurance, a beneficiary/linked third party may request that the Commission/Agency confirms that its cost accounting practices for personnel costs comply with the conditions set out in [Article 6.2.A](#), by approving a certificate on its methodology (CoMUC).

Approval concerns the cost accounting practices described and certified in the certificate on the methodology.

If the Commission/Agency approves the CoMUC, it will not challenge the personnel unit costs (hourly rates) declared — unless information was concealed or fraud or corruption was used to obtain approval (or another methodology was applied).

Approval is valid for all personnel costs declared according to these cost accounting practices, including costs declared *before* the Commission/Agency approval (if the beneficiary can show that they were declared according to the approved practices).

Best practice: Beneficiaries should nevertheless keep detailed records and other supporting documents (to prove that their methodology complied with the rules, if necessary).

Approval is valid for all H2020 grants (i.e. for the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices) and is not linked or limited to a specific grant. (It is NOT valid for FP7 grants and, conversely an FP7 certificate is NOT valid for H2020.)

When? Beneficiaries may in principle submit their requests for approval at **any time** — before or during the grant.

Best practice: In order to ensure that the auditor has enough information to get assurance on the methodology, it is recommended to request it only *after* the first reporting period has passed.

If the beneficiary **changes its cost accounting practices**, it must obtain a new certificate and submit a new request for approval to the Commission/Agency.



If the beneficiary declares personnel costs according to the changed cost accounting practices *before* the new certificate is approved, it bears the **full risk** of non-approval and cost rejection by the Commission/Agency

How? The beneficiary must **submit** its certificate on the methodology to the Commission/Agency (via the following address: EC-H2020-UNIT-COST-METHODOLOGY-CERTIFICATION@ec.europa.eu). The certificate should be drawn up by an independent auditor using the template in Annex 6 of the GA (or an independent public officer, if the beneficiary is a public body).

7. Records for flat-rate costs

For flat-rate costs, the beneficiaries must:

- keep detailed records and other supporting documents to prove that the costs to which the flat rate is applied are eligible.

Example: For the flat rate of 25 % of indirect costs, the auditors will verify (and the beneficiaries must be able to show) that:

- a) the actual direct costs are eligible, using the detailed records and supporting documents explained above;
- b) the following costs were excluded: subcontracting costs, the costs of resources made available by third parties not used on the beneficiary's premises and financial support to third parties from the pool of actual direct eligible costs to which the flat rate applies.

It is NOT necessary to keep records on the actual costs incurred.

8. Records for lump sum costs


For lump sum costs, the beneficiaries must:

- keep detailed records and other supporting documents to prove that the action tasks described in Annex 1 have been carried out in accordance with the GA.

It is NOT necessary to keep records on the actual costs incurred.

9. Records for personnel costs — Hours worked for the action

The records for personnel costs depend on whether the person worked exclusively for the action or not.

 For H2020, exclusive work or not matters only for the record-keeping. It has NO impact on the calculation of the costs to be declared (— in both cases they must be calculated through an hourly rate, monthly or per full financial year, etc.; see [Article 6.2.A](#)).

For **persons who work exclusively for the action** (regardless if they are full-time or part-time employees), the beneficiary may either:

- sign a [declaration on exclusive work for the action \[ODT format\]](#) (one per reporting period), to confirm that the person worked exclusively for the action, either:
 - during the whole reporting period
- or
- during an uninterrupted time-period, covering at least a full calendar month within the reporting period.

Best practice: Beneficiaries should take a prudent approach and use this possibility only if it is planned that the person works exclusively on the action during a long and continuous period of time. If there are any doubts, a record of actual hours worked should be kept (e.g. *time-sheets*).

'Exclusive work' means that the person carried out NO OTHER activities for the beneficiary than those of the action.

Intermittent (i.e. sporadic or random) periods of 'exclusive' dedication can NOT be subject of a declaration. If a person worked randomly for the action after an

uninterrupted time-period covered by a declaration, time-sheets are needed for the period of random work.

Example: *The person worked for the action exclusively from 15/02 to 31/05 and then worked again in the action some days in July and October and the full month of November. The declaration will cover the period from 15/02 to 31/05 and time records must be kept for the time the person worked for the action in July, October and November.*

If a person worked under different regimes during the reporting period (exclusive and non-exclusive), the declaration may be used **ONLY** for a period of exclusive work. The other months must be recorded with time-sheets.

If there were several periods of exclusive work during one reporting period, the beneficiary may choose to use the declaration for the longest one (and use the monthly time-sheets for the others).

The declaration must be **dated** and **signed** by the person concerned AND her/his supervisor.

- keep **time records**.

Best practice: If the person works exclusively for the action during a *full financial year*, it is strongly recommended that the beneficiary signs the 'declaration on exclusive work for the action' (— even if the person keeps time records). In this way, the declaration can serve as evidence that the person worked for the action all her/his annual productive hours.

For **persons who do NOT work exclusively for the action**, the beneficiaries must:

- show the actual hours worked, with reliable **time records** (*i.e. time-sheets*) either on paper or in a computer-based time recording system.

Time records must be dated and signed at least monthly by the person working for the action and his/her supervisor.

If the time recording system is computer-based, the signatures may be electronic (*i.e. linking the electronic identity data (e.g. a password and user name) to the electronic validation data, with a documented and secure process for managing user rights and an auditable log of all electronic transactions*).


Time records should include, as a minimum:

- the title and number of the action, as specified in the GA
- the beneficiary's full name, as specified in the GA
- the full name, date and signature of the person working for the action
- the number of hours worked for the action in the period covered by the time record
- the supervisor's full name and signature
- a reference to the action tasks or work packages of Annex 1, to which the person has contributed by the reported working hours.

Information included in time-sheets must match records of annual leave, sick leave, other leaves and work-related travel.

A [template \[ODT format\]](#) for time-sheets with these minimum requirements is available. (This template is not mandatory; beneficiaries may use their own model, provided that it fulfils the minimum conditions and it contains at least the information detailed above.)

If time records are not reliable, the Commission/Agency may exceptionally accept **alternative evidence** if it proves the number of hours worked on the action with a similar (or at least satisfactory) level of assurance (assessed against generally-accepted audit standards).

 The Commission/Agency has full discretion to accept or refuse the alternative evidence and there is no entitlement to it. Beneficiaries that rely on alternative evidence bear the **full risk** of refusal and rejection of costs by the Commission/Agency.

Examples of possible alternative evidence (non-exhaustive list): travel documents proving participation in a project meeting (boarding pass, obliterated travel ticket, hotel invoice, etc.); agenda and minutes of the meeting; attendance lists; working papers; laboratory log books; professional/personal diaries; documents related to presentations; scientific publications; correspondence such as letters, notes, memos, emails; etc.

The auditors will use the following three criteria to assess how credible the alternative evidence is:

1. Clear identification of the person concerned
2. Clear link to the project under scrutiny
3. Possibility to quantify time spent on project-related tasks.

Alternative evidence will only be accepted if these three criteria are met.

Example (acceptable alternative evidence):

A researcher submits the following email as alternative evidence: 'I hereby send you the results of the analysis of project XYZ that I have been working on for the last two weeks.'

Criterion 1 is met – the sender of the email is the person concerned

Criterion 2 is met – the project is identified as XYZ

Criterion 3 is met – the time is quantified: two weeks

Example (not acceptable alternative evidence):

A beneficiary submits the following email as alternative evidence: 'I hereby send you the results of the analysis recently carried out by my team.'

Criterion 1 is not met – it is unclear who the person concerned is; the team members and their contributions are unknown

Criterion 2 is not met: the project name is not mentioned

Criterion 3 is not met – the time is not quantified

10. Records of (linked) third parties

The beneficiaries must ensure that **linked third parties** comply with the same obligations in terms of keeping appropriate and sufficient evidence.

Example: *Linked third parties that carry out work themselves must document all their costs in the same way the beneficiaries do. However, it is the beneficiary who must keep the original financial statements and the certificates on financial statements of the linked third parties.*

The beneficiaries must also ensure that they keep appropriate and sufficient evidence related to **third parties that made in-kind contributions** and to **subcontractors**.

Examples:

1. *The beneficiaries must keep evidence of third parties' actual direct costs if there are in-kind contributions, either free of charge or against payment. Alternatively, they may ensure that the third parties keep the evidence.*

2. *The beneficiaries must keep evidence showing that subcontractors fulfilled their obligations in terms of the visibility of EU funding. Alternatively, they may ensure that the subcontractors keep this evidence.*

For **international partners**, beneficiaries must keep appropriate and sufficient evidence ONLY on scientific and technical implementation of the action. Beneficiaries are not required to prove the costs of the international partners, since the latter do not receive EU funding (see [Article 14a](#)).

Specific case (records of linked third parties):

Financial statements and certificates on the financial statements (CFS) — It is the beneficiary that must keep the originals of the financial statements and the certificates on the financial statements of the linked third parties.

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must submit the '**deliverables**' identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the *[Commission][Agency]* may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverables

What & When? The coordinator must submit the deliverables identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in that Annex.

'Deliverables' are additional outputs (*e.g. information, special report, a technical diagram brochure, list, a software milestone or other building block of the action*) that must be produced at a given moment during the action (normally not at the same time as the periodic/final reports).

'Milestones' are, by contrast, control points in the action that help to chart progress. They may correspond to the completion of a key deliverable, allowing the next phase of the work to begin or be needed at intermediary points.

The deliverables that must be produced are listed in Annex 1 of the GA.

Example: For actions under Part III, 'Secure, clean and efficient energy' involving energy efficiency measures in buildings, the beneficiaries must deliver a 'handover certificate' at the same time as their periodic reports. This certificate must prove the actual specifications of the buildings constructed or refurbished, their surface area and address. It must be signed by a member of the consortium.

For deliverables that are not in writing (*e.g. cell line or prototype*), the beneficiaries must submit a short written description.

How? The coordinator must upload them directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

⚠ Security obligations — If the deliverables contain **EU classified information**, the coordinator must first contact the Commission/Agency to discuss how to submit them (approval needed; see [Article 37](#)).

i For more guidance on security obligations, see the [Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The coordinator must submit to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Article 52](#)) the technical and financial reports set out in this Article. These reports include the requests for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see [Article 52](#)).

20.2 Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- RP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]*
- RP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]*
- [same for other RPs]*
- RPN: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]*

20.3 Periodic reports — Requests for interim payments

The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of each reporting period.

The **periodic report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**periodic technical report**’ containing:
 - (i) an **explanation of the work carried out** by the beneficiaries;
 - (ii) an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and deliverables identified in Annex 1.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

The report must detail the exploitation and dissemination of the results and — if required in Annex 1 — an updated ‘**plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results**’;

The report must indicate the communication activities[.][;]

[OPTION for trans-national access to research infrastructure: The report must detail the access activity, indicating the members of the selection panel, the selection procedure, the exact amount of access provided to the user groups, the description of their work, and information on the users (including names, nationality and home institutions);] [OPTION for virtual access to research infrastructure: The reports must detail the access activity, with statistics on the virtual access provided in the period, including quantity, geographical distribution of users and, whenever possible, information/statistics on scientific outcomes (publications, patents, etc.) acknowledging the use of the infrastructure;]

- (iii) a **summary** for publication by the *[Commission][Agency]*;
- (iv) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’, covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements;

(b) a ‘**periodic financial report**’ containing:

- (i) an ‘**individual financial statement**’ (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*, for the reporting period concerned.

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs *[and lump sum costs]*; see [Article 6](#)) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in the individual financial statement will not be taken into account by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

If an individual financial statement is not submitted for a reporting period, it may be included in the periodic financial report for the next reporting period.

The individual financial statements of the last reporting period must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).

Each beneficiary *[and each linked third party]* must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the costs declared are eligible (see [Article 6](#));
- the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see [Article 18](#)) that will be produced upon request (see [Article 17](#)) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see [Article 22](#)), and
- for the last reporting period: that all the receipts have been declared (see [Article 5.3.3](#));

- (ii) an **explanation of the use of resources** and the information on subcontracting (see [Article 13](#)) and in-kind contributions provided by third parties (see [Articles 11](#) and [12](#)) from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*, for the reporting period concerned;

- (iii) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of each interim payment and payment of the balance to be paid by the [Commission][Agency] to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]*

- (iv) a ‘**periodic summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including — except for the last reporting period — the **request for interim payment**.

20.4 **Final report — Request for payment of the balance**

In addition to the periodic report for the last reporting period, the coordinator must submit the final report within 60 days following the end of the last reporting period.

The **final report** must include the following:

(a) a ‘**final technical report**’ with a **summary** for publication containing:

- (i) an overview of the results and their exploitation and dissemination;
- (ii) the conclusions on the action, and
- (iii) the socio-economic impact of the action;

(b) a ‘**final financial report**’ containing:

- (i) a ‘**final summary financial statement**’ created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for all reporting periods and including the **request for payment of the balance** and
- (ii) a ‘**certificate on the financial statements**’ (drawn up in accordance with Annex 5) for each beneficiary *[and for each linked third party]*, if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see [Article 5.2](#) and Article 6.2).

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

[OPTION 1 for grants above EUR 5 million with reporting periods beyond 18 months³⁹: In addition to the reporting requirements set out above (Article 20.1 to 20.3), the coordinator must inform the [Commission][Agency] by [31 December][30 November] each year of the cumulative expenditure incurred by the beneficiaries from the starting date of the action.

This information is required for the Commission’s accounting purposes and will not be used to calculate the final grant amount.]

[OPTION 2 : Not applicable]

20.6 Currency for financial statements and conversion into euro

Financial statements must be drafted in euro.

Beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* with accounting established in a currency other than the euro must convert the costs recorded in their accounts into euro, at the average of the daily exchange rates published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union*, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

If no daily euro exchange rate is published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question, they must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates published on the Commission’s website, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

Beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* with accounting established in euro must convert costs incurred in another currency into euro according to their usual accounting practices.

20.7 Language of reports

All reports (technical and financial reports, including financial statements) must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

20.8 Consequences of non-compliance

If the reports submitted do not comply with this Article, the *[Commission][Agency]* may suspend the payment deadline (see [Article 47](#)) and apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

If the coordinator breaches its obligation to submit the reports and if it fails to comply with this obligation within 30 days following a written reminder the *[Commission][Agency]* may terminate the Agreement (see [Article 50](#)) or apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

³⁹ To be added in the case of grants of more than EUR 5 million for which a pre-financing is paid and the reporting periods for interim payments or payments of the balance exceed eighteen months.



1. Reports

What & When? In order to receive payments, the beneficiaries (and their linked third parties) must report on the (technical and financial) implementation of the action (reporting).

 These reports must be **distinguished** from **deliverables** (that are part of Annex 1; see [Article 19](#)) and milestones (part of Annex 1, but not covered by Article 19 or 20).

The coordinator must submit a **periodic report** after the end of each reporting period (including the final one).

Each report should be seen as a single package, composed of several parts, i.e.:

- a **technical report**

The periodic technical report includes an explanation of work carried out, an overview of progress, a publishable summary and a questionnaire.

The final technical report is a publishable summary of the entire action (describing the overview of the results and their exploitation and dissemination, the conclusions on the action and its socio-economic impact).

- a **financial report.**

The periodic financial report includes the individual financial statements, an explanation of the use of resources and the periodic summary financial statement.

The final financial report consists of the final summary financial statement that is automatically generated by the IT system. In some cases (and for some beneficiaries/linked third parties) it must be accompanied by a certificate on the financial statements (CFS; one certificate per beneficiary/linked third party).

The financial reports also contain the requests for payment (necessary for any payment other than the pre-financing payment).

Costs related to reporting may be eligible (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)).

If the coordinator **breaches** its **obligation** to submit the reports, the Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline and — if not remedied after a written reminder — terminate the GA or take any of the other Chapter 6 measures (e.g. *cost rejection, grant reduction, recovery of payments already made, etc.*; see [Articles 42-44, 47-50](#)).

The GA will not be terminated if the breach concerns the last reporting period (because the action duration is already over).

How? The report(s) must be **prepared** by the **coordinator** and the other **beneficiaries together** (directly in the Funding & Tenders Portal Continuous and Periodic Reporting Modules).

List of documents for the periodic reports:

- explanation of the work carried out (periodic reporting - part B technical report)
- overview of the progress (periodic reporting - part B technical report)
- updated plan for the exploitation and dissemination of results (if necessary) (periodic reporting - part B technical report)
- summary for publication (continuous reporting)
- answers to the questionnaire (continuous reporting)

- individual financial statements for each beneficiary and linked party (periodic reporting - financial report)
- explanation of the use of resources (periodic reporting - financial report)
- summary financial statement (generated automatically by the IT system)
- information on amounts to be paid to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) (if necessary).

List of documents for the final report:

- final summary for publication (generated automatically by the IT system)
- final summary financial statement (generated automatically by the IT system)
- certificates on the financial statements (CFS) (if necessary).

Generally, they can be **prepared together** (i.e. by several users from different beneficiaries), via the Funding & Tenders Portal Continuous and Periodic Reporting Modules. The parts in the **continuous reporting** can be filled out at any moment in time (continuously open) and are then automatically added into the periodic report by the IT system (*part A of the technical report with the questionnaire and the summary for publication; consolidated financial statement*). Other parts are opened only at **periodic reporting** (*part B of the technical report, individual financial statements, explanation of the use of resources*). Data is cross-linked to avoid duplication of data input (*e.g. the financial statement and the explanation on the use of resources are linked: for each cost declared in the financial statement, a box will pop up asking the beneficiary to give an explanation of the cost, link it to the relevant work package(s) and justify the expense, if necessary; the final report is completely automatized: assembled on the basis of elements from the periodic report*).

Individual financial statements must be filled out by each beneficiary (individually), and then signed and formally submitted to the coordinator (directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

This includes the **coordinator**, who must also submit the individual financial statement for itself.

For **linked third parties**, the financial statements must be filled out and submitted by their beneficiary (since the linked third parties cannot sign them in the IT system).

Before submission, the beneficiary must complete the data for the linked third party (based on the information it received from the linked third party). The beneficiary then prints the financial statement and sends it to the linked third party, for signature (*on paper*) and return (by registered post with proof of delivery). The beneficiary must ensure that the data encoded is correct (i.e. identical to the signed paper version).

 **Record-keeping** — The beneficiary must keep the original of the linked third party in its files (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

If a beneficiary cannot submit its individual financial statement on time, the report can be **submitted without this financial statement**. The costs will be considered zero for this reporting period, but the beneficiary can declare its costs with the next financial report (for the next reporting period).

The coordinator will be asked to confirm the non-submission, when submitting the report.

If a beneficiary fails to submit its financial statement for the *last* reporting period, the Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline (see [Article 47](#)).

The **certificates on the financial statements (CFS)** must be submitted (by the coordinator) as scanned copy (PDF) together with the financial statement for the last reporting period of the beneficiary concerned.

⚠ Record-keeping — The beneficiary must keep the originals for itself and its linked third parties in its files (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

When all parts are ready, the report(s) are assembled automatically by the IT system and must be **submitted** by the coordinator (as a single package; **single submission**).

When a report is submitted, the other beneficiaries are automatically informed by the system.

If the Commission/Agency considers the report **incomplete** or not in compliance with the conditions of this Article, it will suspend the payment deadline (see [Article 47](#)).

In this case, the Commission/Agency will send back the report to the coordinator, as a single package, together with a notification letter that explains the reasons and requests modifications and/or clarification(s) (**suspension of the payment deadline**). The coordinator (CoCo) must then re-submit the corrected report, as a single package, within the deadline specified (**single re-submission**).

The re-submission re-starts the payment deadline (remaining time, taking account of the time used before suspension).

⚠ Adjustments of financial statements (exceptional) — If the beneficiaries notice a mistake (e.g. incorrect accounting information; error in the calculation; etc), they can make an adjustment (positive or negative) in the following reporting period to the financial statements for any previous reporting period.

Example: An internal audit on the annual accounts of the beneficiary finds later errors in the accounting information used to calculate the hourly rates.

Otherwise, costs that have already been declared can normally NOT be adjusted/changed (e.g. to take into account of a different hourly rate after the closure of the financial year).

2. Reporting periods

Each action is divided into reporting periods.

The length of the reporting periods is set out in the GA. As a general rule, reporting periods last 18 months.

The number of reporting periods is also set out in the GA, and depends on the action duration. Normally it is determined as follows:

Duration in months	Max. number of periods
1 to 18	1
19 to 36	2
37 to 54	3
55 to 72	4
73 to 90	5

3. Technical report: Explanation of the work carried out — Overview of the progress — Summary for publication — Questionnaire

The **explanation of the work carried out** and the **overview of the progress** should show how the action is being implemented and what has already been achieved (as compared to the objectives, milestones and deliverables described in Annex 1).

The coordinator must check if all deliverables due for the period have been submitted. If work planned was not carried out, the beneficiaries must explain why.

The overview of the progress must also describe how achieved results are exploited and disseminated and include an updated **plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results** (if such a plan is foreseen in Annex 1).

3.0 ▶▶

For grants signed after GA version 3.0, the overview of the progress must also indicate the communication activities carried out by the consortium (see also [Article 38.1](#)).

⚠ Security obligations — Technical reports may NOT contain any information that has been **EU-classified** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

i For more guidance on security obligations, see the [Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

The **summary** must give a brief description of the action, presenting its objectives and the results achieved (in an easy-to-read way, understandable for a non-specialist audience).

The summary must be **fit for publication**, so that the Commission/Agency can publish it on its website right away.

If needed, the Commission/Agency may make changes to the summary and publish it (after having given the coordinator the opportunity to comment).

The coordinator must ensure that none of the material submitted for publication includes confidential (or EU-classified) information.

For the last reporting period: The summary should present an overview of the results, their exploitation and dissemination, the action's conclusions and its socio-economic impact (because it will be directly re-used for the final report).

The **questionnaire** must be filled out to provide the Commission/Agency with regular up-to-date information for monitoring the action (and ultimately the H2020 Programme).

The questionnaire consists in structured information on:

- performance indicators (defined in Annex II to the H2020 Specific Programme)
- information to monitor the implementation of H2020 on cross-cutting issues (see *Annex III to the Specific Programme*) and to assess the progress of Horizon 2020 against the objectives defined for the 'societal challenges' (see [Article 3](#) and *Annex I to the Specific Programme*).

It is designed in a modular way, consisting as much as possible of structured questions, by topic (e.g. *publications, patents, innovation, etc.*).

4. Financial report: Individual financial statements — Explanation of the use of resources — Summary financial statement — Certificates on the financial statements (CFS)

The **individual financial statements** (see Annex 4) must contain all costs that:

- were incurred by the beneficiary/linked third parties during the reporting period

AND

- fulfil the eligibility conditions set out in [Article 6](#).



Beneficiaries/linked third parties should declare ALL their eligible costs — even if they are above the estimated budget in Annex 2 (**cost overruns**). The grant will be capped at the maximum grant amount, but cost overruns may turn out useful, if the Commission/Agency should reject some of the costs (at payment or later on).

Beneficiaries may declare costs incurred during a previous reporting period, if they were not declared before.

The costs of linked third parties must NOT be included in the beneficiary's financial statements; linked third parties must fill out their own financial statements.

For the last reporting period, beneficiaries also have to declare the receipts for the action (see [Article 5.3.3](#)).



Combining different EU grants — Beneficiaries that have **action grants** and **operating grants** may claim indirect costs in their action grants ONLY if they are able to demonstrate cost separation (i.e. that their operating grants do not cover any costs which are covered by their action grants).

To **demonstrate cost separation**, the following conditions must be fulfilled:

- the operating grants may NOT cover 100% of the beneficiary's annual budget (i.e. it may not be full operating grants)
- the beneficiary must use analytical accounting which allows for a cost accounting management with cost allocation keys and cost accounting codes AND must apply these keys and codes to identify and separate the costs (i.e. to allocate them to either the action grant activities or the operating grant activities)
- the beneficiary must record:
 - all real costs incurred for the activities that are covered by their operating grants (i.e. personnel, general running costs and other operating costs linked to the WP) and
 - all real costs incurred for the activities that are covered by their action grants (including the indirect costs linked to the action)
- the allocation of the costs must be done in a way that leads to a fair, objective and realistic result.

Beneficiaries that **cannot fulfil these conditions** must EITHER:

- not sign/terminate the operating grants, in order to be able to claim indirect costs in the action grants
- keep the operating grants, but sign the action grants *without* indirect costs or request no indirect costs at reporting stage (i.e. lower the pre-filled amount in the indirect cost column of the financial statement).

4.0 ▶▶

The possibility to combine operating grants with action grants if costs are separated was introduced with GA version 4.0. It applies also to older grant agreements, if the beneficiaries can demonstrate that there is no double funding, in accordance with the above-listed principles (analytical accounting tools, full cost recording, fair allocation).

Best practice: In case of overlapping EU action and operating grants, the beneficiary should immediately contact the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)). Be aware that operating grants are not always easy to identify. They exist under various labels (operating grants; financial contributions/support to the functioning/operation of entities; etc.). Check your call text.

The **explanation of the use of resources** must be consistent with the costs declared in the financial statement per beneficiary.

For the final reporting period, some beneficiaries/linked third parties will also have to submit a **certificate on the financial statement (CFS)**.

Such a certificate is needed if the beneficiary/linked third party requests a total financial contribution of EUR 325 000 (or more) as reimbursement for actual costs and unit costs calculated according to its usual accounting practices (average personnel costs and costs for internally invoiced goods and services).

This means that costs based on lump sums, flat-rates (*e.g. indirect costs*) or unit costs (other than those for personnel costs and costs for internally invoiced goods and services calculated according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices) are NOT counted for the EUR 325 000 threshold (and do not need to be covered by the certificate).

Example:

A is a beneficiary in an action which declared the following total eligible costs for the action:

– personnel costs according to usual accounting practices	=	EUR 250 000
– subcontracting costs	=	EUR 40 000
– depreciation costs of equipment used to carry out the action	=	EUR 60 000
– indirect costs (25 % flat rate)	=	EUR 77 500
– total eligible costs claimed by A	=	EUR 427 500

The reimbursement rate is 100%.

As the amount of eligible actual costs and personnel costs according to usual accounting practices incurred by A (and hence the requested financial contribution) is higher than EUR 325 000, A must submit a CFS for the following costs:

Type of cost	Direct personnel costs	Subcontracting costs	Other direct costs	Indirect costs	Total costs covered by the CFS
Costs covered by the CFS	250 000	40 000	60 000	0	350 000

If a certificate is required, all costs declared as actual costs or unit costs calculated according to usual accounting practices must be covered by the certificate. Incomplete certificates will be returned for correction.

However, costs previously audited by the Commission/Agency are NOT counted for the EUR 325 000 threshold and they do not need to be covered (again) by the certificate).

Linked third parties must submit a certificate if it reaches (on its own, without its beneficiary) the EUR 325 000 threshold.

Certificates submitted before the EUR 325 000 threshold is reached will be rejected by the Commission/Agency.

Beneficiaries/linked third parties may submit either **one certificate per reporting period** or a **single CFS for the whole action**.

The certificate(s) may be submitted **ONLY with the final financial report**. Certificates submitted at any other moment will NOT be accepted (and costs incurred for them will be considered ineligible, because not necessary).

Costs for partial certificates (i.e. one certificate per reporting period) will be accepted **ONLY** in the last reporting period and **ONLY** if:

- a CFS is mandatory (i.e. the threshold is reached at the end of the action) and
- the total costs of the partial certificates is similar to the cost that would have been incurred for a single certificate.

The certificate must be issued by an **external auditor**, using the template in Annex 5 of the GA.

Only qualified auditors may issue a certificate.

'Qualified' means qualified in accordance with national legislation implementing Directive 2006/43/EC⁴⁴ (or any EU legislation that replaces this Directive).

■ The auditor must certify that the costs declared in the financial statement are accurately recorded in the beneficiary's accounting system and eligible.

If the auditor cannot confirm (for any reason), s/he must explain this in detail in the certificate. The Commission/Agency will consider the explanation in light of the facts provided by the auditor, and decide on steps to take.

The **summary financial statement** is generated automatically by the IT system (with consolidated data from all individual financial statements for all beneficiaries and linked third parties, for the reporting period).

For the final reporting period, the IT system will also generate a **final summary financial statement** (consolidating the data from all individual financial statements for all beneficiaries and linked third parties, for all reporting periods).

Specific cases (certificates on the financial statements):

Public bodies — For public bodies, the certificate may be issued by an independent public officer with formal competence to audit the beneficiary/linked third party (instead of by an external auditor).

International organisations — For international organisations, it can be an internal or external auditor that is appointed in accordance with the internal financial regulations and procedures of the organisation.

Beneficiaries/linked third parties from a third country — Beneficiaries/linked third parties established in a non-EU country must provide a certificate that complies with national regulations in the field. Auditors qualified in the EU may provide certificates for beneficiaries established in non-EU countries, if they are familiar with the relevant national regulations (national accounting rules) and comply with them when preparing the certification.

5. Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

This option will be inserted in the GA for grants above EUR 5 million, for which pre-financing is paid and where the reporting period for interim payments or payment of the balance exceeds 18 months.

A [template](#) is available and must be submitted via the Funding & Tenders Portal Continuous Reporting Module.

6. Currency for financial statements and conversion into euro

Beneficiaries (and linked third parties) must always use euros, to report costs in their financial statements.

The rules on conversion (of costs incurred in other currencies into euros) are as follows:

⁴⁴ Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on statutory audits of annual accounts and consolidated accounts, amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC and repealing Council Directive 84/253/EEC (OJ L 157, 9.6.2006, p. 87).

- for beneficiaries/linked third parties **with accounting records in euros**: conversion of costs according to their usual accounting practices
- for beneficiaries/linked third parties **with accounting records in a currency other than the euro**: conversion of costs recorded in their accounts by one of the following:
 - daily euro exchange rate is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question: using the average of the daily exchange rates published over the corresponding reporting period.

■ For cost adjustments to previous periods ('adjustment financial statements'), the exchange rate to be used is the one for the reporting period in which the costs adjusted were incurred.

■ For eligible cost related to drafting and submitting the final reports incurred after the action duration, the exchange rate to be used is the one for the last reporting period.

To calculate this rate, the beneficiaries may use the editable charts on the [ECB website](#)⁴⁵.

How to calculate the rate on the ECB website:

Step 1 — Go to the [ECB website](#).

Step 2 — Click on the chart icon [] for the currency.

Step 3 — Choose the 'HTML5 version' which appears under the name of the currency in the top-left corner.

Step 4 — Insert the starting date of the reporting period in the field 'from' and the end date of the reporting period in the field 'to'. The average for the period will appear above the chart.

Example: A Romanian university with accounting in New Romanian Leu (RON) is the beneficiary of a GA with one reporting period, from 24.1.2013 to 23.1.2014. The costs incurred in RON during this period are RON 500 000. The university will convert its costs into euros at the average rate of RON 4.4274 for EUR 1 (established following the steps mentioned above). The university will report costs of EUR 112 933, 10 (RON 500 000 / RON 4.4274 * EUR 1).

- if NO daily euro exchange rate is published: using the average of the monthly accounting rates over the corresponding reporting period, using the [currency converter](#) on the Commission's website⁴⁶.

Example: A Moldovan university with accounting in Moldovan Lei (MDL) is the beneficiary of a GA with one reporting period, from 24.01.2013 to 23.01.2014. The costs incurred in MDL during this period are MDL 500 000. The university will calculate the average of the 13 monthly exchange rates (January 2013 until January 2014) published on the Commission's website. This average rate is MDL 16,7531 for EUR 1. The university will report costs of EUR 29 845,22 (MDL 500 000 / MDL 16,7531 * EUR 1).

7. Language of reports

The reports must be drafted in the language of the GA (indicated at the end of the GA, next to the signatures of the parties).

⁴⁵ Available at <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/index.en.html>

⁴⁶ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/budget/contracts_grants/info_contracts/inforeuro/inforeuro_en.cfm

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the coordinator:

- one **pre-financing payment**;
- one or more **interim payments**, on the basis of the request(s) for interim payment (see Article 20), and
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20).

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiaries with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The amount of the pre-financing payment will be EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

The [Commission][Agency] will — except if Article 48 applies — make the pre-financing payment to the coordinator within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see [Article 58](#)) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see [Article 3](#)), whichever is the latest.

An amount of EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)], corresponding to 5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the [Commission][Agency] from the pre-financing payment and transferred into the ‘**Guarantee Fund**’

*[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: Moreover, the part of the pre-financing payment related to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) ([**insert amount** (insert amount in words)]) is not paid to the coordinator, but kept by the [Commission][Agency] for the JRC.]*

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Interim payments reimburse the eligible costs incurred for the implementation of the action during the corresponding reporting periods.

The [Commission][Agency] will pay to the coordinator the amount due as interim payment within 90 days from receiving the periodic report (see [Article 20.3](#)), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the periodic report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as interim payment** is calculated by the [Commission][Agency] in the following steps:

- Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates
- Step 2 — Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount

21.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates

The reimbursement rate(s) (see [Article 5.2](#)) are applied to the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs [*and lump sum costs*]; see [Article 6](#)) declared by the beneficiaries [*and the linked third parties*] (see [Article 20](#)) and approved by the [Commission][Agency] (see above) for the concerned reporting period.

21.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount

The total amount of pre-financing and interim payments must not exceed 90% of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1. The maximum amount for the interim payment will be calculated as follows:

{90% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1)
minus
{pre-financing and previous interim payments}}.

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the eligible costs incurred by the beneficiaries for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see [Article 44](#)).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the *[Commission][Agency]* will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see [Article 20.4](#)), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* by deducting the total amount of pre-financing and interim payments (if any) already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)
minus
{pre-financing and interim payments (if any) made}}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the coordinator together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see [Article 44.1.2](#)). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the coordinator
 - is negative, it will be recovered.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiaries' consent — against any other amount owed by a beneficiary to the *[Agency, the]* Commission or an*[other]* executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for that beneficiary, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2).

21.5 Notification of amounts due

When making payments, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify to the coordinator the amount due, specifying whether it concerns an interim payment or the payment of the balance.

For the payment of the balance, the notification will also specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 44.

21.6 Currency for payments

The *[Commission][Agency]* will make all payments in euro.

21.7 Payments to the coordinator — Distribution to the beneficiaries

Payments will be made to the coordinator.

Payments to the coordinator will discharge the *[Commission][Agency]* from its payment obligation.

The coordinator must distribute the payments between the beneficiaries without unjustified delay.

Pre-financing may however be distributed only:

- (a) if the minimum number of beneficiaries set out in the call for proposals has acceded to the Agreement (see [Article 56](#)) and
- (b) to beneficiaries that have acceded to the Agreement (see [Article 56](#)).

21.8 Bank account for payments

All payments will be made to the following bank account:

Name of bank: [...]
Full name of the account holder: [...]
Full account number (including bank codes): [...]
[IBAN code: [...]]⁴⁰

21.9 Costs of payment transfers

The cost of the payment transfers is borne as follows:

- the *[Commission][Agency]* bears the cost of transfers charged by its bank;
- the beneficiary bears the cost of transfers charged by its bank;
- the party causing a repetition of a transfer bears all costs of the repeated transfer.

21.10 Date of payment

Payments by the *[Commission][Agency]* are considered to have been carried out on the date when they are debited to its account.

21.11 Consequences of non-compliance

21.11.1 If the [Commission][Agency] does not pay within the payment deadlines (see above), the beneficiaries are entitled to **late-payment interest** at the rate applied by the European Central Bank (ECB) for its main refinancing operations in euros ('reference rate'), plus three and a half points. The reference rate is the rate in force on the first day of the month in which the payment deadline expires, as published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

If the late-payment interest is lower than or equal to EUR 200, it will be paid to the coordinator only upon request submitted within two months of receiving the late payment.

Late-payment interest is not due if all beneficiaries are EU Member States (including regional and local government authorities or other public bodies acting on behalf of a Member State for the purpose of this Agreement).

Suspension of the payment deadline or payments (see [Articles 47](#) and [48](#)) will not be considered as late payment.

Late-payment interest covers the period running from the day following the due date for payment (see above), up to and including the date of payment.

Late-payment interest is not considered for the purposes of calculating the final grant amount.

21.11.2 If the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)) and the Agreement or the participation of the coordinator may be terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

⁴⁰ BIC or SWIFT code applies to for countries if the IBAN code does not apply.



1. Payments to be made

The Commission/Agency will make the following payments to the coordinator:

- a **pre-financing payment** at the beginning of the action (to provide beneficiaries with cash to start working on the project and continue until the first interim payment)

Pre-financing will NOT be paid before:

- the GA is signed (even if the action starts before)
- 10 days before the action starting date.

Example:

A GA is signed by the coordinator on 30 December 2014 and by the Commission on 5 January 2015. The action starting date would normally be 1 February 2015, but the consortium has requested a fixed starting date of 1 September 2014 in its proposal, as the action is a continuation of a previous FP7 project.

After due consideration, the fixed starting date is approved. The pre-financing must be paid by 5 February 2015 (i.e. 30 days from the entry into force of the GA).

- **interim payment(s)** to cover eligible costs incurred in the reporting periods (as many interim payments as number of reporting periods)
- the **payment of the balance** after the end of the action.



Any payment (i.e. pre-financing, interim payments and payment of the balance) may be **offset** against debts of the beneficiaries towards the Commission/Agency or an(other) executive agency — without the consent of the beneficiary concerned and up to the beneficiary's maximum grant amount in Annex 2 (see also [Article 44](#)).

All payments are subject to a **payment deadline** (i.e. number of days within which the Commission/Agency has to pay the consortium — after having received the payment request). The deadline depends on the type of payment:

- for pre-financing: 30 days
- for interim payments and payment of the balance: 90 days (see [Article 21](#)).

If there are issues with the payment request or with the costs declared which make it impossible to comply with the payment deadline, the Commission/Agency will **suspend the deadline** (see [Article 47](#)).

The pre-financing payment is automatic, while for interim and final payments the Commission/Agency must first:

- analyse the technical reports and financial statements
- check the eligibility of the claimed costs
- calculate the amount to be paid
- approve the payment request and authorise the payment.

This is not an in-depth verification and therefore no guarantee for eligibility or correctness. The costs may still have to be rejected later on, if the Commission/Agency finds — in a more in-depth verification — that they are ineligible.

The payments are made **to the coordinator**; the beneficiaries are NOT paid individually.

The coordinator must distribute the amounts received to the beneficiaries — without delay (see [Article 21.7](#)).

How and when the payments are distributed is in principle an internal matter for the consortium.

The consortium agreement may set out, for instance, specific periods for the distribution of payments or that the distribution will be carried out in instalments (and these will not be considered 'unjustified delays', if the arrangements set out in the consortium agreement are complied with).

Similarly, the consortium agreement may provide for a distribution of the funding which is different from the costs claimed.

Also, if the coordinator does NOT comply with its obligations, this is in principle an issue to be resolved within the consortium. It is only if the coordinator is terminated that the Commission/Agency (and especially the Guarantee Fund) will intervene; see [Article 50](#).

The Commission/Agency will be informed of the distribution of the payments by the coordinator:

- if it specifically requests this
- in the event of recovery at the payment of the balance (see [Article 44](#))
- if the participation of one or more beneficiary is terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

The Commission/Agency will **notify** the coordinator of the amount due, explaining which costs have been accepted and which have been rejected (if applicable; see [Articles 42, 43 and 44](#)).

The shares for the Commission's **Joint Research Centre (JRC)** will always be kept back and not be paid out to the coordinator (the JRC is a Commission DG and the amounts are therefore cleared internally).

Example:

Four beneficiaries: A, B, C and JRC, with a maximum grant amount of EUR 1 000 000.

Estimated budget by beneficiary (Annex 2): A: EUR 300 000; B: EUR 250 000; C: EUR 300 000; JRC: EUR 150 000

Pre-financing: EUR 400 000, of which EUR 50 000 (5% of maximum grant amount) is kept for the Guarantee Fund and EUR 350 000 transferred to the consortium.

JRC share of grant: 15 % (150000/1 000 000)

JRC share of pre-financing (kept by the Commission/Agency): 15 % of 350 000 = EUR 52 500

Pre-financing payment to coordinator for beneficiaries A, B, C: EUR 350 000 - EUR 52 500 = EUR 297 500

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Pre-financing payment

How much? The pre-financing amount does not depend on any financial statement. It is a float that is fixed in each GA and automatically paid at the beginning of the action.

How to calculate the pre-financing payment:

Step 1 — Pre-financing amount

The amount of pre-financing is fixed in the GA.

There is no standard amount (or percentage) for the pre-financing payment. For H2020 grants, it will normally be (depending on the availability of EU budget credits) 100 % of the average EU funding per reporting period (i.e. maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1 / number of periods). For actions with only one reporting period, it will however be less, since 100 % would mean the totality of the grant amount.

Step 2 — Deduction of the Guarantee Fund contribution

At the moment of the pre-financing, an amount that corresponds to 5 % of the maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)) is deducted from the pre-financing payment and transferred to the Guarantee Fund. (The Guarantee Fund is a Fund that covers defaulting beneficiaries).

Step 3 — Pre-financing payment letter

The Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator about the amount due (pre-financing payment letter).

Pre-financing funds remain **EU property** until the payment of the balance — when they are cleared against the eligible costs accepted by the Commission/Agency.

Example for calculating the pre-financing payment:

Action with 3 reporting periods of 18 months.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 1 000 000.

Reimbursement rate: 100 %.

Guarantee Fund contribution: 5% of EUR 1 000 000 = EUR 50 000.


Pre-financing amount & deduction of Guarantee Fund contribution:


Pre-financing amount: EUR 333 334.


Amount to be paid out to the consortium as pre-financing: EUR 333 334 - EUR 50 000 = EUR 283 334.

Payment at beneficiary termination

How much? The amount due at beneficiary termination will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the termination report, the payments already made and the report on the distribution of payments (see also [Articles 44.1.1](#), [50.2.2](#) and [50.3.3](#)).

 The **amount due** is used to determine whether the terminated beneficiary received too much from the consortium (and should pay it back to them) and will then flow into the calculation of the next interim and final payments in order to cap that beneficiary's accepted EU contribution.

 The terminated beneficiary's share of the final grant amount (beneficiary's final grant share) will be calculated only at the end of the project (payment of the balance), together with the rest of the consortium.

 If the terminated beneficiary is audited during the ongoing project, its amount due will be re-calculated. If the terminated beneficiary is audited after the end of the project, the recovery will follow the standard rules (revised final grant amount calculated on the basis of the final grant share).

How to calculate the amount due at beneficiary termination:

Amount due

Step 1 — Calculation of the accepted EU contribution (application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs)

In order to calculate the amount of accepted EU contribution for the beneficiary concerned, the Commission/Agency will calculate the accepted costs for the beneficiary and each of its linked third parties (i.e. eligible/not rejected costs declared in the interim and termination reports; see [Articles 6](#) and [42](#)), apply the reimbursement rate(s) and sum them up to a total amount for the beneficiary.

Only costs incurred (see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#)) by the beneficiary concerned until termination takes effect are eligible (except for costs for the termination report). Costs relating to contracts due for execution (i.e. delivery) only after termination are NOT eligible.

If the coordinator fails to submit the termination report (within the 30 days of the date on which termination takes effect), costs that are not included in an approved periodic financial report will NOT be taken into account when the contribution is calculated. The Commission/Agency will NOT send a written reminder and will not extend the deadline.

If the beneficiary requested less than the maximum EU contribution, the accepted EU contribution will be this lower requested amount.

→ Amount resulting from Step 1.

Step 2 — Grant reductions (substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations), if any

In order to calculate the amount of grant reductions, the Commission/Agency will calculate the grant reductions for the beneficiary concerned and its linked third parties (if any; see [Article 43](#)) and then sum them up to a total amount for the beneficiary.

This total amount of grant reductions is then deducted from the pro rata temporis part of the beneficiary's and linked third parties' maximum grant amounts (Annex 2) — pro rata temporis corresponding to their period of participation (i.e. until termination).

 For more guidance on grant reductions, see [the Guidance on grant reductions](#).

→ Amount resulting from Step 2.

The **amount due** is the lower of the two (amount resulting from Step 1 and amount resulting from Step 2).

Beneficiary balance

Step 3 — Calculation of the beneficiary balance

In order to establish if the beneficiary received excess funding, the Commission/Agency will deduct the total amount of pre-financing and interim payments made (according to the report on the distribution of payments) from the amount due.

If the amount due is *higher* than the payments already made, the difference will be paid by the Commission/Agency to the coordinator at the next interim/final payment.

If the amount due is *lower* than the payments made, the beneficiary must repay the difference (to the consortium; NOT to the Commission/Agency). If the beneficiary doesn't, the Commission/Agency will intervene, by activating the Guarantee Fund to pay the money to the consortium and then recovering it from the beneficiary in the name of the Guarantee Fund (*see below*).

If the coordinator does not submit the report on the distribution of payments, the terminated beneficiary will NOT have to repay any amounts to the consortium. If there are issues, the consortium will have to deal with them internally.

Step 4 — Beneficiary calculation letter

The Commission/Agency will inform the beneficiary about the balance, i.e. the amounts that are owed to the consortium or to the beneficiary concerned (beneficiary termination calculation letter).

If the closure involves grant reduction or recovery (refund to the consortium), there will be two letters: a pre-information letter (opening a contradictory procedure) and confirmation letter (*see Articles 43 and 44*).

Recovery (if any)**Step 5 — Beneficiary recovery letter & debit note**

If the beneficiary does not repay the consortium by the date specified in the confirmation letter, the Commission/Agency will activate the Guarantee Fund (to pay the consortium) and claim back the money paid by the Guarantee Fund from the beneficiary, (beneficiary recovery letter; *see Articles 44 and 50*).

Step 6 — Enforced recovery

If the beneficiary does not honour the debit note within the deadline, the Commission/Agency will enforce its claim, by one of the following (*see Article 44*):

- by **offsetting** it
 - 'Offsetting' means to deduct the amount the debtor owes to the Commission/Agency from another amount that the Commission/Agency owes to debtor. With the offsetting both amounts are considered paid.
- if the Commission/Agency has requested joint and several liability from a linked third party: by **holding the linked third party liable**
- taking **legal action** in a national court or the [European Court of Justice](#) (*see Article 57.2*) or
- adopting a **decision** that is **enforceable** within the meaning of [Article 299 TFEU](#).

Example for calculating the amount due at beneficiary termination:

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and two reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 200 000; C= EUR 100 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Pre-financing: EUR 200 000.

Amount due:

Beneficiary A goes bankrupt in the middle of the action and is terminated at month 18 (of 36), just before the end of RP1.

Costs declared by beneficiary A in termination report: EUR 50 000 (direct costs) + EUR 12 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 62 500.

Costs rejected for beneficiary A following audit: EUR 10 000 + EUR 2 500 (25 % flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 12 500.

Accepted costs beneficiary A: EUR 62 500 – EUR 12 500 = EUR 50 000.

Application of reimbursement rate: EUR 50 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Amount resulting from Step 1: EUR 50 000.

10% grant reduction beneficiary A due to breach of obligations: 10% of $\{18/36\} \times \text{EUR } 200\,000\} = 10\%$ of EUR 100 000 = EUR 10 000.

Amount resulting from Step 2: 100 000 – 10 000 = EUR 90 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 50 000.

Beneficiary A amount due: EUR 50 000.

Beneficiary balance:

Payments received by beneficiary A (according to the report on the distribution of payments): EUR 60 000.

Beneficiary A balance: EUR 50 000 (amount due) - EUR 60 000 (payments received) = EUR -10 000 (excess payments of EUR 10 000).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary A: EUR 10 000 (negative balance of EUR 10 000 must be returned to the consortium; otherwise Commission/Agency will start a recovery procedure).

Interim payments

How much? The amount of the interim payments will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the financial statements and the payments already made.

How to calculate interim payments:

Step 1 — Calculation of the accepted EU contribution (application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs)

In order to calculate the amount of accepted EU contribution, the Commission/Agency will, for each beneficiary and linked third party, calculate the accepted costs (i.e. eligible/not rejected costs declared in the financial statement; see [Articles 6 and 42](#)), apply the reimbursement rate(s) and then sum them up to a total amount for the consortium.

If a beneficiary/linked third party requested in the financial statements less than the EU contribution, the accepted EU contribution will be this lower requested amount.

Step 2 — Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount

If the total for the consortium is more than 90 % of the maximum grant amount minus pre-financing and previous interim payments, it will be capped.

Step 3 — Interim payment letter

The Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator about the amount due (interim payment letter).

Examples for calculating interim payments:

Case 1:

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and three reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 1 000 000 and 100% reimbursement rate.

Pre-financing of EUR 333 334.

Interim payment RP1:

Costs declared by the consortium for RP1: EUR 500 000 (direct costs) + EUR 125 000 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 625 000.

After checking the reports EUR 20 000 of the direct costs claimed by beneficiary A and EUR 12 000 of those claimed by beneficiary B are considered not eligible and rejected. The Commission/Agency therefore rejects EUR 32 000 direct costs + EUR 8 000 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 40 000.

Total accepted costs RP1: EUR 585 000.

Application of reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 585 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount minus pre-financing = EUR 900 000 – EUR 333 334 = EUR 566 666.

Amount to be paid out to the consortium as interim payment for RP1: EUR 566 666.

Interim payment RP 2:

Total accepted costs RP2: EUR 162 500.

Amount to be paid out to the consortium as interim payment for RP2: EUR 0 (the 90% limit has already been reached in RP1: 333 334 + 566 666 = 900 000).

Case 2 (grant with terminated beneficiary):

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and two reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 200 000; C= EUR 100 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Pre-financing: EUR 200 000.

Beneficiary A was terminated before the end of RP1 and the amount due was its accepted costs :EUR 62 500 EUR (no grant reductions applied).

Interim payment RP1:

Costs declared by beneficiary A for RP1: EUR 50 000 (direct costs) + EUR 12 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 62 500.

Costs declared by beneficiary B for RP1: EUR 115 000 (direct costs) + EUR 28 750 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 143 750.

Costs declared by beneficiary C for RP1: EUR 90 000 (direct costs) + EUR 22 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 112 500.

Total costs declared RP1: EUR 62 500 + EUR 143 750 + EUR 112 500 = EUR 318 750.

Costs of beneficiary A are not capped since there were no grant reductions at beneficiary termination.

Some direct costs declared by beneficiary B are rejected for an amount of EUR 10 000 + EUR 2 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 12 500.

Total accepted costs RP 1: EUR 318 750 – EUR 12 500 = EUR 306 250.

Application of the reimbursement rate 100%: EUR 306 250 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount minus pre-financing: EUR 450 000 – EUR 200 000 = EUR 250 000.

Amount to be paid out to the consortium as interim payment for RP1: EUR 250 000.

Case 3:

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and three reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 200 000; C= EUR 100 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Pre-financing: EUR 200 000.

Interim payment RP1:

Costs declared by beneficiary A for RP1: EUR 95 000 (direct costs) + EUR 23 750 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 118 750.

Costs declared by beneficiary B for RP1: EUR 115 000 (direct costs) + EUR 28 750 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 143 750.

Costs declared by beneficiary C for RP1: EUR 90 000 (direct costs) + EUR 22 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 112 500.

Total costs declared RP1: EUR 118 750 + EUR 143 750 + EUR 112 500 = EUR 375 000.

Some direct costs declared by beneficiary A are rejected for an amount of EUR 10 000 + EUR 2 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 12 500.

Total accepted costs RP 1: EUR 375 000 – EUR 12 500 = EUR 362 500.

Application of the reimbursement rate 100%: EUR 362 500 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount minus pre-financing: EUR 450 000 – EUR 200 000 = EUR 250 000.

Amount to be paid out to the consortium as interim payment for RP1: EUR 250 000.

Interim payment RP2:

Costs declared by beneficiary A for RP2: EUR 20 000 (direct costs) + EUR 5 000 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 25 000.

Costs declared by beneficiary B for RP2: EUR 30 000 (direct costs) + EUR 7 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 37 500.

Costs declared by beneficiary C for RP2: EUR 30 000 (direct costs) + EUR 7 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 37 500.

Total costs declared RP2: EUR 25 000 + EUR 37 500 + EUR 37 500 = EUR 100 000.

Costs rejected for beneficiary B at RP2: EUR 10 000 (direct costs) + EUR 2 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 12 500.

Costs rejected for beneficiary C at RP2: 5 000 (direct costs) + 1 250 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 6 250.

Total accepted costs RP2 = EUR 81 250.

Application of the reimbursement rate 100%: EUR 81 250 (total accepted EU contribution).

Amount to be paid out to the consortium as interim payment for RP2: EUR 0 (the 90% limit has already been reached in RP1: EUR 200 000 + EUR 250 000 = EUR 450 000).

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount to be paid (or recovered) at payment of the balance (final payment) will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the financial statements, the final grant amount, the payments already made and the report on the distribution of payments (see *also* [Articles 5.3 and 44.1.2](#)).

If the final grant amount is *higher* than the payments already made, the balance will take the form of a *payment*; if the final grant amount is *lower* than the payments made, the balance will take the form of a *recovery*.

How to calculate the payment of the balance:

Final grant amount

Step 1 — Calculation of the accepted EU contribution (application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs)

In order to calculate the amount of accepted EU contribution, the Commission/Agency will, for each beneficiary/linked third party, calculate the accepted costs (i.e. eligible/not rejected costs declared in the financial statements; see [Articles 6 and 42](#)), apply the reimbursement rate(s) and then sum them up to a total amount for the consortium.

If a beneficiary/linked third party requested less than the maximum EU contribution, the accepted EU contribution will be this lower requested amount.

Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount


If the total for the consortium is more than the maximum grant amount for the action (see [Article 5.1](#)), it will be capped at that amount

Step 3 — Calculation of receipts & deduction of profit (no-profit rule)

From this amount, the Commission/Agency will deduct any profit made due to receipts.

Thus, the total accepted EU contribution + receipts are capped at the total accepted costs; the amount resulting from Steps 1 and 2 plus receipts cannot exceed the accepted costs.

If grant amount + receipts > total accepted costs → deduction of profit

 Profit is assessed at the level of the action, NOT at the level of the individual beneficiaries ( new in Horizon 2020).

The amounts taken into account are the total accepted EU contribution (following Steps 1 and 2), the total receipts and the total accepted costs.

The **receipts** that must be taken into account are receipts which have been, during the action duration (see [Article 3](#)):

- established (i.e. revenue that has been collected AND entered in the accounts)
- generated (i.e. revenue that has not yet been collected, but which has been generated) or
- confirmed (i.e. revenue that has not yet been collected, but for which the beneficiary has a commitment or written confirmation).

The following **are** considered **receipts**:

- income generated by the action (*i.e. any income generated by the action itself, including the sale of assets bought for the action and sold during the action duration*)

Examples: admission fee to a conference organised by the consortium; sale of equipment bought for the action.

Receipts from the sale of assets are capped at the amount of costs declared for the asset.

Example:

Machine bought for EUR 21 000 in year X, sold in year X + 4 (both within the action duration) for EUR 16 000.

The machine was used at 50% for the action, and fully depreciated in 3 years (7 000 EUR/year, of which 3 500 EUR/year were charged to the action)

Amount of receipts to be declared: 50% of 16 000 with a limit of 10 500 (3 x 3 500) = EUR 8 000

- financial contributions given by third parties specifically to be used for the action (*i.e. money given as a donation by a third party (a donor) to a beneficiary/linked third party specifically for being used for the action*)
- in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge specifically to be used for the action, if they have been declared as eligible costs (*i.e. not money, but an in-kind contribution free of charge given by a third party (a donor) specifically for being used for the action*)

Examples: the free use of equipment; the secondment of an expert without reimbursement

Receipts due to free of charge in-kind contributions are capped by the amount declared as third party costs for the contribution.

The following are **NOT** considered **receipts**:

- in-kind contributions given by a **third party (donor)** free of charge — if they were not given specifically to be used for the action

Example: A university professor whose costs are charged by the beneficiary university in the GA, but whose salary is paid by the ministry and not reimbursed by the university: This in-kind contribution from a third party (the ministry) is not to be considered a receipt, unless the professor has been specifically seconded by the ministry to the university to work for the action in question. In other words, if the university is free to decide the allocation of the professor's work, then his/her contribution is assimilated to an 'own resource' of the university and it is not a receipt.

- financial contributions given by a third party (donor) specifically to be used for the action — if they may be used according to the donor's rules to cover also costs *other* than the eligible costs

Example: currency exchange losses

- financial contributions given by a third party (donor) specifically to be used for the action — if the donor did not set the obligation to repay any unused amount at the end of the action


In this case, the *full* amount of the financial contribution is considered not a receipt (not only the unused amount).

- financial contributions made **by one beneficiary to another** within the same action — since receipts are only contributions from *third parties*

Conversely, such a financial contribution cannot either be declared as cost for the action.

Example: Beneficiary A (big company) in an innovation project (*i.e. funded at 70%*) decides to subsidise a small specialised SME by funding an additional 10% of the SME's costs in order to encourage it to participate in the action.

- income generated by exploiting the results of the project (*e.g. the IPR*) — since successfully exploiting the results is one of the main objectives of the action

 Receipts will be taken into account by the Commission/Agency only at the moment of the payment of the balance (*i.e. the final payment at the end of the action*). They must be declared in the final report.

In many cases they will not affect the grant amount since they do not lead to a profit (at the level of the action). However, particularly in actions funded at 100%, they may have an impact and cause a reduction.

Examples:

1. Eligible costs: 100 and grant amount: 70

If receipts: 30 → no impact

If receipts: 20 → no impact

If receipts: 60 → the grant amount will be reduced to 40.

2. Eligible costs: 100 and grant amount: 100

If receipts: 0 → no impact;

If receipts: 20 → the grant amount will be reduced to 80

Best practice: The potential implications of receipts should be addressed in the consortium agreement (see [Article 41.3](#)).

→ Amount resulting from Steps 1-3.

Step 4 — Grant reductions (substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations), if any

In order to calculate the amount of grant reductions (if any), the Commission/Agency will, for each beneficiary/linked third party, calculate the grant reductions at their level, add any reductions that must be made at action-level and then sum them up to a total amount for the consortium (see [Article 43](#)).

This total amount of grant reductions for the consortium is then deducted from the maximum grant amount for the action set out in [Article 5.1](#).

 For more guidance on grant reductions, see the [Guidance on grant reductions](#).

→ Amount resulting from Step 4.

The **final grant amount** is the lower of the 2 (amount resulting from Steps 1-3 and amount resulting from Step 4).

Balance

Step 5 — Calculation of the balance & Guarantee Fund release

In order to calculate the balance, the Commission/Agency will deduct the total amount of pre-financing and interim payments made from the final grant amount.

If the final grant amount is *higher* than the payments already made, the balance will take the form of a payment. In addition, the Guarantee Fund contribution (5% that were kept from the pre-financing) will be released with a separate payment.

If the final grant amount is *lower* than the payments made, the balance will take the form of a recovery (amount overpaid needs to be paid back to the Commission/Agency; see [Article 44](#)). In this case, the amount to be paid back will be directly compensated against the Guarantee Fund release and if this amount is sufficient to cover the debt, the recovery process ends (instead the consortium will be paid the remainder of the Guarantee Fund contribution, if any is left). If the Guarantee Fund amount is not sufficient, further steps will be taken to recover the rest (see *below*).

Step 6 — Payment of the balance letter & debit note

The Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator about the amount due to the consortium or the amount that needs to be paid back (payment of the balance letter).

If the final payment involves a grant reduction or recovery, there will be two letters: a pre-information letter (opening a contradictory procedure) and a confirmation letter (see [Articles 43 and 44](#)).

If there is still an amount to be recovered after compensation with the Guarantee Fund release, the confirmation letter will include a debit note to the coordinator for the amount and the division of final grant amount table (see *below*).

Recovery (if any)

Step 7a — Recovery from the coordinator

If the coordinator pays the debt by the date specified in the debit note, the recovery process ends.

If the coordinator does NOT repay the Commission/Agency by the date specified AND if it has NOT submitted the report on the distribution of payments, the Commission/Agency will pursue recovery against the coordinator alone (enforced recovery, see *below*).

Step 7b — Recovery from the beneficiaries

If the coordinator does NOT repay the Commission/Agency by the date specified, BUT has submitted the report on the distribution of payments, the Commission/Agency will:

- identify the beneficiaries that received funds in excess and
- calculate the amount each beneficiary owes to the Commission/Agency.

Step 7ba — Identifying the beneficiaries with excess funding

In order to identify the beneficiaries that received excess funding, the Commission/Agency will compare the amount each beneficiary *actually* received (report on the distribution of payments) with its *share of the final grant amount* (beneficiary's accepted EU contribution divided by total accepted EU contribution and obtained percentage then applied to final grant amount).

Beneficiary's final grant share formula:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{beneficiary's accepted costs} \\ \text{multiplied by} \\ \text{reimbursement rate} \end{array} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \text{divided by} \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{accepted EU contribution calculated under Step 1} \\ \text{multiplied by} \\ \text{final grant amount for the action} \end{array} \right\} \right.$$

This means that the final grant amount is redistributed between the beneficiaries in proportion to their accepted costs (especially important for overspent grants, i.e. where the consortium declared costs *above* the maximum grant amount limit and each beneficiary's accepted EU contribution must therefore be adjusted to bring it into line with the maximum grant amount) and then compared to the amounts they received from the coordinator.

Recovery will be pursued only against the beneficiaries with a negative result (i.e. excess of payments received over final grant amount share).

Step 7bb — Division of the debt

In order to calculate the amount each of those beneficiaries has to pay back, the Commission/Agency will split the amount to be recovered between them (in proportion to their relative share of the total payments in excess; division of final grant amount table).

Step 7bc — Beneficiary recovery letter & debit note

The Commission/Agency will cancel the debit note sent to the coordinator and inform the beneficiaries concerned about the amounts to be paid back, together with a debit note for each beneficiary (beneficiary recovery letter).

Step 8 — Enforced recovery

If the coordinator/beneficiaries do not honour the debit note within the deadline, the Commission/Agency will enforce its claim(s) by one of the following (see [Article 44](#)):

- by **offsetting** it

'Offsetting' means to deduct the amount the debtor owes to the Commission/Agency from another amount that the Commission/Agency owes to debtor. With the offsetting both amounts are considered paid.
 - by drawing on the Guarantee Fund and then follow it up with a **debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund**, to continue the recovery procedure by:
 - if the Commission/Agency has requested joint and several liability from a linked third party: by **holding the linked third party liable**
- or
- by either:
 - taking **legal action** in a national court or the [European Court of Justice](#) (see [Article 57.2](#)) or
 - adopting a **decision** that is **enforceable** within the meaning of [Article 299 TFEU](#).

Examples for calculating the payment of the balance:**Case 1 (payment):**

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and three reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 1 000 000.

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Payments made (pre-financing and interim): EUR 900 000 (limit of 90% of the maximum grant amount).

Guarantee Fund contribution: 5% of EUR 1 000 000 = EUR 50 000.

Payments received: EUR 900 000 – EUR 50 000 = EUR 850 000.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 1 045 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100 % = EUR 1 045 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to the maximum grant amount: EUR 1 000 000.

No receipts/profit.

No grant reductions.

Final grant amount: EUR 1 000 000.

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 900 000.

Balance: EUR 1 000 000 (final grant amount) - EUR 900 000 (payments made) = EUR +100 000 (positive balance to be paid to the consortium).

Release of Guarantee Fund: EUR 50 000 (to be paid to consortium).

Amounts to be paid out to the consortium: EUR 100 000 (positive balance) + EUR 50 000 (Guarantee Fund release)

Case 2 (grant with terminated beneficiary):

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C) and three reporting periods.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 200 000; C= EUR 100 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Beneficiary A was terminated before the end of RP1 and the amount due calculated was EUR 62 500 EUR.

Payments made (pre-financing and interim): EUR 450 000 (limit of 90 % of the maximum grant amount).

Guarantee Fund contribution: 5% of 500 000 = EUR 25 000.

Payments received: EUR 450 000 - EUR 25 000 = EUR 425 000.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 362 500.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 362 500 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to maximum grant amount: EUR 362 500 (no limitation; costs below maximum grant amount).

No receipts/profit.

Amount resulting from Steps 1 to 3: EUR 362 500.

10% grant reduction beneficiary B: 10% of EUR 200 000 = EUR 20 000.

No other grant reductions.

Amount resulting from Step 4: 500 000 – 20 000 = EUR 480 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 362 500.

Final grant amount: EUR 362 500.

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 450 000.

Balance: EUR 362 500 (final grant amount) - EUR 450 000 (payments made) = EUR -87 500 (excess payments of EUR 87 500).

Offsetting against Guarantee Fund release: EUR 87 500 – EUR 25 000 = EUR -62 500.

Payment:

Amount to recovered from the consortium: EUR 62 500.

Case 3 (recovery – underspent grant):

Grant with four beneficiaries: A, B, C, D.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 800 000; B= EUR 1 200 000; C= EUR 600 000; D= EUR 400 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Payments made (pre-financing and interim): EUR 2 700 000 (limit of 90 % of the maximum grant amount).

Guarantee Fund contribution: 5% of 3 000 000 = EUR 150 000.

Payments received: EUR 2 700 000 - EUR 150 000 = EUR 2 550 000.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 2 430 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 2 430 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to maximum grant amount: EUR 2 430 000 (no limitation; costs below maximum grant amount).

No receipts/profit.

Amount resulting from Steps 1 to 3: EUR 2 430 000.

10% grant reduction beneficiary B: 10% of EUR 1 200 000 = EUR 120 000.

No other grant reductions.

Amount resulting from Step 4: 3 000 000 - 120 000 = EUR 2 880 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 2 430 000.

Final grant amount: EUR 2 430 000 (underspent grant → beneficiary shares: A= EUR 600 000; B= EUR 1 100 000; C= EUR 400 000; D= EUR 330 000).

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 2 700 000.

Balance: EUR 2 430 000 (final grant amount) - EUR 2 700 000 (payments made) = EUR -270 000 (excess payments of EUR 270 000).

Offsetting against Guarantee Fund release: EUR 270 000 – EUR 150 000 = EUR 120 000.

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from the consortium: EUR 120 000 (negative balance of EUR 120 000 must be paid back by coordinator, otherwise Commission/Agency will start a recovery procedure against the beneficiaries that received excess funding).

Recovery from coordinator:

The coordinator does not reimburse the Commission/Agency and sends the report on the distribution of the payments among beneficiaries (distribution: A= EUR 400 000; B= EUR 1 200 000; C= EUR 600 000; D= EUR 350 000).

Recovery from beneficiaries:

Identifying the beneficiaries with excess funding:

A: EUR 600 000 (final grant share) - EUR 400 000 (payments received) = EUR 200 000 (no payment in excess)

B: EUR 1 100 000 (final grant share) - EUR 1 200 000 (payments received) = EUR -100 000 (excess payment of EUR 100 000)

C: EUR 400 000 (final grant share) - EUR 600 000 (payments received) = EUR -200 000 (excess payment of EUR 200 000)

D: EUR 330 000 (final grant share) - EUR 350 000 (payments received) = EUR -20 000 (excess payment of EUR 20 000).

Beneficiaries B, C and D received payments in excess of their EU contribution and will have to reimburse the Commission/Agency.

Beneficiary A will have to recover the money owed to it (if any) from the other beneficiaries in the consortium.

Division of the debt:

Relative share of total payments in excess:

Total payments made in excess = 320 000.

B: $100\,000/320\,000 = 31.25\%$.

C: $200\,000/320\,000 = 62.5\%$.

D: $20\,000/320\,000 = 6.25\%$.

Total to be recovered: EUR 120 000.

B: $31.25\% \times 120\,000 = \text{EUR } 37\,500$

C: $62.5\% \times 120\,000 = \text{EUR } 75\,000$

D: $6.25\% \times 120\,000 = \text{EUR } 7\,500$.

Case 4 (recovery – overspent grant):

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 1 000 000; B= EUR 1 500 000; C= EUR 500 000)

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Payments made (pre-financing and interim): EUR 2 700 000 (limit of 90 % of the maximum grant amount).

Guarantee Fund contribution: 5% of 3 000 000 = EUR 150 000.

Payments received: EUR 2 700 000 - EUR 150 000 = EUR 2 550 000.

Receipts:

Beneficiary A was sponsored for this project by a private company with an amount of EUR 60 000 dedicated to the reimbursement of the remuneration of one young researcher.

Beneficiary B (a university) received as in-kind contribution from its government the secondment of a scientist specifically assigned to the project. The salary of this seconded scientist (EUR 80 000) was declared as cost by this beneficiary, even if paid by the government.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 2 500 000 direct costs (including EUR 200 000 for subcontracting) + EUR 575 000 for indirect costs (25 % flat rate on direct costs minus subcontracting) = EUR 3 075 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 3 075 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000.

Calculation of receipts and deduction of profit: Profit: EUR 3 140 000 (total accepted EU contribution + receipts : EUR 3 000 000 + EUR 60 000 + EUR 80 000) – EUR 3 075 000 (total accepted costs) = EUR 65 000

Amount after deducting profit: EUR 3 000 000 – EUR 65 000 = EUR 2 935 000.

Amount resulting from Steps 1 to 3: EUR 2 935 000.

10% grant reduction beneficiary A: 10% of EUR 1 000 000 = EUR 100 000.

No other grant reductions.

Amount resulting from Step 4: 3 000 000 - 100 000 = EUR 2 900 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 2 900 000.

Final grant amount: EUR 2 900 000.

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 2 700 000.

Balance: EUR 2 900 000 (final grant amount) - EUR 2 700 000 (payments made) = EUR +200 000 (positive balance to be paid to the consortium).

Release of Guarantee Fund: EUR 150 000 (to be paid to consortium).

Amounts to be paid out to the consortium: EUR 200 000 (positive balance) + EUR 150 000 (Guarantee Fund release)

Audit implementation after payment of the balance — Revised final grant amount

The amount to be recovered (or paid) after the final payment will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the final grant amount and the revised final grant amount (see also Articles 5.4 and 44.1.3).

If the revised final grant amount is *lower* than the beneficiary's share of the final grant amount (beneficiary final grant share), the difference will be recovered.

How to calculate recoveries after payment of the balance:

Revised final grant amount

Step 1 — Re-calculation of the accepted EU contribution

In order to calculate the revised amount of accepted EU contribution for the beneficiary concerned, the Commission/Agency will calculate the revised amount of accepted costs for the beneficiary (i.e. eligible/not rejected costs declared in the financial statements; see Articles 6 and 42) and apply the reimbursement rate.

If the audit concerns a linked third party, the revised final grant amount will be calculated for its beneficiary.

→ Amount resulting from Step 1.

Step 2 — Grant reductions (substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations), if any

In order to calculate the amount of grant reductions, the Commission/Agency will calculate the grant reduction for the beneficiary concerned (if any; see Article 43).

This amount of grant reduction is then deducted from the beneficiary's maximum grant amount set out in Annex 2.

If the audit concerns a linked third party, the grant reduction will be calculated for the linked third party (i.e. deducted from its maximum grant amount), but the revised final grant amount will be calculated at beneficiary-level.

For beneficiaries that overspent (i.e. declared more costs at payment of the balance than their maximum grant amount), the reduction rate (percentage) will be applied directly to their share of the final grant amount and the resulting amount will be recovered (—short cut to ensure a proportionate result for beneficiaries that contributed more to the action than initially planned in the estimated budget; avoids disproportionate grant reductions compared to the real project participation; see also Article 4.2).

 For more guidance on grant reductions, see [the Guidance on grant reductions](#).

→ Amount resulting from Step 2.

The revised final grant amount is the lower of the two (amount resulting from Step 1 and amount resulting from Step 2).

Balance & recovery (if any)

Step 3 — Calculation of the beneficiary balance

In order to calculate if the beneficiary has to pay back any amounts, the Commission/Agency will compare the beneficiary's revised final grant amount with its final grant share (see above).

Beneficiary's final grant share formula:

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{beneficiary's accepted costs} \\ \text{multiplied by} \\ \text{reimbursement rate} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \right\} \text{divided by}$$

accepted EU contribution calculated under Step 1 }
 multiplied by
 final grant amount for the action }

If the revised final grant amount is *lower* than its final grant share, the difference will be recovered.


If exceptionally the audit (or check, review or investigation) showed that the beneficiary made errors resulting in less cost declared than its eligible costs, there will be no recovery. Instead, the beneficiary will be allowed to submit corrected financial statements to claim the difference.

Step 4 — Audit implementation letters & debit note

The Commission/Agency will inform the beneficiary about the amounts put in question (audit implementation letter).

If the audit implementation involves a grant reduction or recovery (generally the case), there will be two letters: a pre-information letter (opening a contradictory procedure) and a confirmation letter (see [Articles 43 and 44](#)).

If there is an amount to be recovered, the confirmation letter will include a debit note for the amount.

 In case of European Court of Auditor audits, OLAF investigations and Member State judgments with a financial impact on the grant, similar procedures and letters will be used.

Step 5 — Enforced recovery

If the beneficiary does not honour the debit note within the deadline, the Commission/Agency will enforce its claim by one of the following (see [Article 44](#)):

- by **offsetting** it
 - ‘Offsetting’ means to deduct the amount the debtor owes to the Commission/Agency from another amount that the Commission/Agency owes to debtor. With the offsetting both amounts are considered paid.
- by drawing on the Guarantee Fund and then follow it up with a **debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund**, to continue the recovery procedure by:
 - if the Commission/Agency has requested joint and several liability from a linked third party: by **holding the linked third party liable**
 or
 - by either:
 - taking **legal action** in a national court or the [European Court of Justice](#) (see [Article 57.2](#)) or
 - adopting a **decision** that is **enforceable** within the meaning of [Article 299 TFEU](#).

Examples for calculating recoveries after payment of the balance:

Case 1 (recovery – underspent grant):

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 200 000; C= EUR 100 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 187 500 + EUR 156 250 + EUR 150 000 = EUR 493 750

A: EUR 150 000 (direct costs) + EUR 37 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 187 500

B: EUR 125 000 (direct costs) + EUR 31 250 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 156 250

C: EUR 120 000 (direct costs) + EUR 30 000 (25 % flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 150 000
 Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 493 750 (total accepted EU contribution).
 Limit to maximum grant amount: EUR 493 750 (no limitation; costs below maximum grant amount).

No receipts/profit.

No grant reductions

Final grant amount: EUR 493 750 (underspent grant → beneficiary shares: A= 187 500 EUR; B= EUR 156 250; C= EUR 150 000).

Beneficiary revised final grant amount:

An audit concluded that the direct costs of beneficiary A were not eligible for an amount of EUR 30 000 and that there were issues with the visibility of EU funding and IPR.

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary A: EUR 150 000 (direct costs) – EUR 30 000 (rejected direct costs) = EUR 120 000 + EUR 30 000 (25 % flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 150 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 150 000.

Amount resulting from Step 1: EUR 150 000.

10% grant reduction for beneficiary A: 10% of 200 000 = EUR 20 000.

Amount resulting from Step 2: 200 000 – 20 000 = EUR 180 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 150 000.

Beneficiary A revised final grant amount: EUR 150 000.

The other beneficiaries final grant amounts (i.e. their final grant shares) remain unchanged.

Beneficiary balance:

Balance: EUR 150 000 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 187 500 (final grant share) = EUR -37 500 (excess of EUR 37 500).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary A: EUR 37 500 (negative balance of EUR 37 500 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

Case 2 (recovery – overspent grant):

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000 (beneficiary maximum grant amounts in Annex 2: A= EUR 200 000; B= EUR 100 000; C= EUR 200 000).

Reimbursement rate: 100%.

Final grant amount:

Total accepted costs: EUR 200 000 + EUR 150 000 + EUR 250 000 = EUR 600 000

A: EUR 160 000 (direct costs) + EUR 40 000 (indirect costs) = EUR 200 000

B: EUR 120 000 (direct costs) + EUR 30 000 (indirect costs) = EUR 150 000

C: EUR 200 000 (direct costs) + EUR 50 000 (indirect costs) = EUR 250 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 600 000 (total accepted EU contribution).

Limit to the maximum grant amount: EUR 500 000.

Final grant amount = EUR 500 000.

Beneficiary revised final grant amounts:

An audit concluded that direct costs of beneficiary A were not eligible for an amount of EUR 30 000.

Another audit concluded that direct costs of beneficiary C were not eligible for an amount of EUR 20 000 and that there were issues with the confidentiality obligations.

Calculation of the beneficiaries final grant shares:

A: $(200\,000/600\,000) \times 500\,000 = \text{EUR } 166\,667$

B: $(150\,000/600\,000) \times 500\,000 = \text{EUR } 125\,000$

C: $(250\,000/600\,000) \times 500\,000 = \text{EUR } 208\,333$.

Beneficiary A:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary A: EUR 160 000 (direct costs) – EUR 30 000 (rejected costs) = EUR 130 000 + EUR 32 500 (25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 162 500.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 162 500.

No grant reductions

Beneficiary A revised final grant amount: EUR 162 500.

Beneficiary C:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary C: EUR 200 000 (direct costs) – 20 000 (rejected costs) = EUR 180 000 + EUR 45 000 ((25% flat rate for indirect costs) = EUR 225 000.

Application of the reimbursement rate: 100% = EUR 225 000.

Amount resulting from Step 1: EUR 225 000.

10% grant reduction beneficiary C: 10% of 200 000 = EUR 20 000.

Amount resulting from Step 2: 200 000 – 20 000 = EUR 180 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 180 000.

Beneficiary C revised final grant amount: EUR 180 000.

Beneficiary B's final grant amounts (i.e. its final grant amount share) remains unchanged.

Beneficiary balance:

Beneficiary A:

Balance: EUR 162 500 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 166 667 (final grant share) = EUR -4 167 (excess of EUR 4 167).

Beneficiary C:

Balance: EUR 180 000 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 208 333 (final grant share) = EUR -28 333 (excess of EUR 4 167).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary A: EUR 4 167 (negative balance of EUR 4 167 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary C:

In principle: EUR 28 333 (negative balance according to normal calculation).

However, since normal calculation leads to disproportionate grant reductions for beneficiaries that overspent at payment of the balance: shortcut calculation (see above): 10% of EUR 208 333 = EUR 20 833.

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

22.1 Checks, reviews and audits by the [Agency and the] Commission

22.1.1 Right to carry out checks

The [Agency or the] Commission will — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — check the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement, including assessing deliverables and reports.

For this purpose the [Agency or the] Commission may be assisted by external persons or bodies.

The [Agency or the] Commission may also request additional information in accordance with Article 17. The [Agency or the] Commission may request beneficiaries to provide such information to it directly.

Information provided must be accurate, precise and complete and in the format requested, including electronic format.



1. Checks

The Commission/Agency may — at any moment and without any time-limit — check any aspect relating to the grant.

Examples:

1. After receiving the reports (see [Article 20](#)), the Commission checks the different documents (explanation of the work carried out, overview of the progress, explanation of the use of resources, etc.), for consistency with the description and work plan of the action.
2. The Agency performs a plagiarism check on documents submitted by the consortium.
3. After receiving information about misconducts concerning a certain beneficiary that participates in EU actions, the Commission checks all the grant agreements in order to see if it needs to take action.
4. After the end of the action, the Commission receives a complaint by one of the beneficiaries that another beneficiary does not respect its intellectual property obligations and decides to look into this allegation.

The checks itself are **internal**. They may however have external effects, since the Commission/Agency may lead to a **request for information** (see [Article 17.1](#)) or it may take Chapter 6 measures in view of the results.

Example: The beneficiaries did not clearly explain the allocation and use of resources in their periodic report. The Commission asks for more information by a certain date.

The checks may also extend to third parties involved in the action (which is why beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency can exercise its rights towards contractors, subcontractors, linked third parties or third parties providing in-kind contributions, by including appropriate clauses in their contracts with them; see [Articles 10-14](#)).

The Commission/Agency may carry out checks using its own staff or with the assistance of external expert(s) or bodies — without asking the beneficiaries for approval before appointing them. In this case, the Commission/Agency will ensure that there is no conflict of interest by asking the expert(s) to sign a declaration.

If the check shows **ineligible costs** or serious **breach of obligations**, it may lead to cost rejection or grant reduction and, if necessary, recovery (see [Articles 42, 43 and 44](#)). If a more in-depth examination is required, the Commission/Agency may start a review or audit.

22.1.2 Right to carry out reviews

The [Agency or the] Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out reviews on the proper implementation of the action (including assessment of deliverables and reports), compliance with the obligations under the Agreement and continued scientific or technological relevance of the action.

Reviews may be started up to two years after the payment of the balance. They will be formally notified to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned and will be considered to have started on the date of the formal notification.

If the review is carried out on a third party (see [Articles 10 to 16](#)), the beneficiary concerned must inform the third party.

The [Agency or the] Commission may carry out reviews directly (using its own staff) or indirectly (using external persons or bodies appointed to do so). It will inform the coordinator or beneficiary concerned of the identity of the external persons or bodies. They have the right to object to the appointment on grounds of commercial confidentiality.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned must provide — within the deadline requested — any information and data in addition to deliverables and reports already submitted (including information on the use of resources). The [Agency or the] Commission may request beneficiaries to provide such information to it directly.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned may be requested to participate in **meetings**, including with external experts.

For **on-the-spot** reviews, the beneficiaries must allow access to their sites and premises, including to external persons or bodies, and must ensure that information requested is readily available.

Information provided must be accurate, precise and complete and in the format requested, including electronic format.

On the basis of the review findings, a '**review report**' will be drawn up.

The [Agency or the] Commission will formally notify the review report to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned, which has 30 days to formally notify observations ('**contradictory review procedure**').

Reviews (including review reports) are in the language of the Agreement.



1. Project reviews

The Commission/Agency may — at any moment and up until 2 years after the payment of the balance — carry out a review.

Reviews normally concern mainly the technical implementation of the action (i.e. its scientific and technological implementation), but may also cover financial and budgetary aspects or compliance with other obligations under the GA and may exceptionally also concern issues related to only one specific beneficiary.

They consist in an in-depth examination (often done with the help of independent experts) of the progress of the action, and in particular:

- the degree to which the work plan has been carried out and whether all deliverables were completed
- whether the objectives are still relevant and provide scientific or industrial breakthrough potential
- how resources were planned and used in relation to the achieved progress, and if their use respected the principles of economy, efficiency and effectiveness
- the management procedures and methods of the action
- the beneficiaries' contributions and integration within the action
- the expected potential scientific, technological, economic, competitive and social impact, and plans for using and disseminating results.

For some types of actions, they may be done regularly (*e.g. for the periodic reports related to a payment, to help the Commission/Agency to properly assess the action implementation and the work carried out by the beneficiaries*).

They may also extend to third parties involved in the action (which is why beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency can exercise its rights towards contractors, subcontractors, linked third parties or third parties providing in-kind contributions, by including appropriate clauses in their contracts with them; see [Articles 10-14](#)).

If the review shows **ineligible costs** or **substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** or serious **breach of obligations** (including non- or improper implementation of the action as described in Annex 1), it may lead to suspension, termination, cost rejection, grant reduction and recovery (see [Articles 42-44, 47-50](#)) and to exclusion and/or financial penalties (see [Article 45](#)).

If carried out during the implementation of the action, a review may also recommend reorientations to the action.

2. Procedure

The review will be initiated by a **letter** sent to the coordinator (or, exceptionally, the beneficiary concerned) via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

The letter will also mention the names of the independent experts that have been appointed (if any). The consortium may object to an expert, but only on the grounds of commercial confidentiality.

The review may include **on-the-spot visits** or a **review meeting** (on Commission/Agency premises or anywhere relevant for the action).

If there is a meeting, the invitation will indicate the documents that will be discussed, normally:

- Annex 1 (the contractual description of the action against which the assessment will be made)
- for periodic reviews: the periodic report(s) (technical and financial) for the period(s) under review (including documents related to financial/budgetary issues)
- deliverables that were due
- for final reviews: the final report and all periodic reports.

The results of the review will be recorded in a **review report**.

The review report together with the Commission/Agency comments will be notified to the coordinator (or, exceptionally, the beneficiary concerned) for comments within 30 days (**contradictory review procedure**). (This is NOT the contradictory procedure described in [Article 42](#). It is a separate procedure that may, if necessary, be followed by a second contradictory procedure for cost rejection, grant reduction, etc. under [Articles 41](#) to [46](#).)

The Commission/Agency operational services (authorising officers) will analyse the comments received and decide on the follow-up, if any.

22.1.3 Right to carry out audits

The [Agency or the] Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out audits on the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

Audits may be started up to two years after the payment of the balance. They will be formally notified to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned and will be considered to have started on the date of the formal notification.

If the audit is carried out on a third party (see [Articles 10 to 16](#)), the beneficiary concerned must inform the third party.

The [Agency or the] Commission may carry out audits directly (using its own staff) or indirectly (using external persons or bodies appointed to do so). It will inform the coordinator or beneficiary concerned of the identity of the external persons or bodies. They have the right to object to the appointment on grounds of commercial confidentiality.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned must provide — within the deadline requested — any information (including complete accounts, individual salary statements or other personal data) to verify compliance with the Agreement. The [Agency or the] Commission may request beneficiaries to provide such information to it directly

For **on-the-spot** audits, the beneficiaries must allow access to their sites and premises, including to external persons or bodies, and must ensure that information requested is readily available.

Information provided must be accurate, precise and complete and in the format requested, including electronic format.

On the basis of the audit findings, a ‘**draft audit report**’ will be drawn up.

The [Agency or the] Commission will formally notify the draft audit report to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned, which has 30 days to formally notify observations (‘**contradictory audit procedure**’). This period may be extended by the [Agency or the] Commission in justified cases.

The ‘**final audit report**’ will take into account observations by the coordinator or beneficiary concerned. The report will be formally notified to it.

Audits (including audit reports) are in the language of the Agreement.

The [Agency or the] Commission may also access the beneficiaries’ statutory records for the periodical assessment of unit costs or flat-rate amounts [*or lump sums*].



1. Audits

The Commission/Agency may — at any moment and up until 2 years after the payment of the balance — carry out an audit.



Record-keeping — Once an audit has started, the beneficiary must keep ALL the records and supporting documents until the audit procedure AND its follow-up (including cost rejection, grant reduction, recovery and litigation) is completed.

Example: If the beneficiary archives the paper copies of the original supporting documentation not on its premises, the documentation must be retrieved and sent there in time for the audit fieldwork.

Audits normally concern mainly the financial implementation of the action by a beneficiary (i.e. financial and budgetary implementation), but may also cover technical aspects or compliance with other obligations under the GA.

They consist in an in-depth examination (by professional (external or Commission/Agency) auditors and according to the generally accepted audit standards) of the implementation of the action by the beneficiary.

They may also extend to third parties involved in the action and third parties receiving financial support or a prize (which is why beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency auditors can exercise their rights towards contractors, subcontractors, linked third parties or third parties providing in-kind contributions, by including appropriate clauses in their contracts with them; see [Articles 10-15](#)).

Examples:

1. The Commission/Agency will audit **linked third parties**, as if they were beneficiaries. The audit will be carried out on the premises of the third party and all communication concerning the audit will be carried out directly with the linked third party (e.g. audit initiation letter, contradictory audit procedure). However, since the financial consequences would normally have to be borne by the linked third party's beneficiary (see [Article 44](#)), the Commission/Agency will also notify the beneficiary about the launching the audit, as well as about a summary of its conclusions.

2. The Commission/Agency may audit **third parties providing in-kind contributions** (free of charge or against payment), in the context of an audit of a beneficiary, in order to see if the costs claimed for the in-kind contribution are eligible. The audit procedure will formally be with the beneficiary and the beneficiary will be responsible for ensuring that the auditors have access to all necessary documents and to the third party's premises, if necessary.

3. The Commission/Agency may audit **contractors** or **subcontractors**, in the context of an audit of a beneficiary, in order to:

- see if contracts/subcontracts were awarded in compliance with the requirements of the H2020 GA (ensuring best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price, absence of conflict of interest)
- see if payments made under the contract/subcontract match the costs declared by the beneficiary
- assess the technical work carried out by the subcontractor.

The audit procedure will formally be with the beneficiary and the beneficiary will be responsible for ensuring that the auditors have access to all necessary documents and to carry out checks on the contractor/subcontractor's premises, if necessary. The audit will not aim to check the contractors'/subcontractors' costs or accounts, because the remuneration they get is a set price, not a reimbursement of costs (except in cases of fraud).

4. The Commission/Agency may audit **recipients of financial support** or **prizes**, in the context of an audit of a beneficiary, in order to see whether the eligibility conditions for the costs declared by the beneficiary are met. The audit procedure will formally be with the beneficiary and the beneficiary will be responsible for ensuring that the auditors have access to all necessary documents and to the recipient's premises, if necessary. The audit will normally not aim to assess the costs incurred by the recipients (since they are not relevant for the eligibility of the beneficiary's costs) — unless Annex 1 of the GA provides that the financial support must be given as reimbursement of the actual costs of the third parties.

If the audit shows, **ineligible costs, substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** or serious **breach of obligations**, it may lead to suspension, termination, cost rejection, grant reduction and recovery (see [Articles 42-44, 47-50](#)) and, in very serious cases, to exclusion and/or financial penalties (see [Article 45](#)). In some cases, findings may result in the **acceptance** of additional costs (if the beneficiary declared them).

Specific cases (audits):

Audits for periodical assessment of simplified cost forms — The Commission/Agency may also audit the accounting records of beneficiaries to obtain general information about real costs of cost items for which it has fixed unit costs, flat-rate or lump sums (for statistical purposes or to gather data to assess the adequacy of its unit cost, flat rate or lump sum). Such audits will normally have no direct consequences for the beneficiaries that were audited; even if the actual costs turn out to be lower, this will not lead to a rejection of costs.

2. Procedure

The audit will be initiated by a **letter** sent to the beneficiary concerned (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) or by registered post with proof of delivery; see [Article 52](#)).

If the Commission/Agency uses an external audit firm, this letter will mention its name. The beneficiary may object on grounds of commercial confidentiality (together with the reasons why) and — if justified — the Commission/Agency may decide to appoint another external auditor (or, in exceptional circumstances, to carry out the audit itself).

The audit usually involves a **desk review** of the documents requested from the beneficiary and an **on-the-spot visit** (i.e. on the beneficiary's premises or on the site on which the action is being implemented). There may however also be audits that consist only in a desk review.

The auditors will request access to a wide range of records and documentation (*e.g. payslips, labour contracts, complete statutory accounts, etc.*) and will indicate how and when it must be provided (and in which format).

The beneficiary must provide the auditors with all requested information, records and supporting documents (in the format and within the deadline specified).

***Example:** A hard copy list of records from the general ledger (accounting document) disclosing hundreds or thousands of transactions is impossible to process manually, therefore the auditors will normally require an electronic version.*

Objections based on data protection or confidentiality will NOT be accepted.

Where the records and documentation contain personal data, the Commission/Agency will process it in compliance with Regulation [2018/1725](#) and the beneficiary must inform the persons concerned about this processing (see [Article 39](#)).

Confidential data will be processed in accordance with [Article 36](#).

Failure to provide the requested information (in the requested format and within the specified deadline) will lead to the rejection of costs (and possibly other measures, such as recovery, suspension of payments, termination, administrative and financial penalties, etc.).

For on-the-spot audits, the beneficiary must allow access to its premises and ensure that all records and supporting documentation are readily available. This includes granting access to research facilities and interviewing the researchers that worked on the action.

The results of the audit will be recorded in an **audit report**.

The draft audit report will be sent to the beneficiary concerned for comments within 30 days (**contradictory audit procedure**). (This is NOT the contradictory procedure described in [Article 42](#). It is a separate procedure that may, if necessary, be followed by a second contradictory procedure for cost rejection, grant reduction, etc. under [Articles 41 to 46](#).)

The audit procedure will be closed (by the Commission/Agency auditors) with the **final audit report** and the **letter of audit conclusions** (LoC) — and the file will then be passed on to the Commission/Agency operational services (authorising officers) for the follow-up, if any.



If there are cost adjustments in favour of the EU budget to be made on the basis of the audit, please do not take any immediate action (do NOT deduct them from the next financial statement). If needed, the adjustments will be done by the Commission/Agency and you will be informed about them in the payment letter or audit implementation pre-information letter (with the possibility to take position).

22.2 Investigations by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF)

Under Regulations No 883/2013⁴¹ and No 2185/96⁴² (and in accordance with their provisions and procedures), the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may — at any moment during implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, to establish whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other illegal activity affecting the financial interests of the EU.

⁴¹ Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.09.2013, p. 1).

⁴² Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/1996 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).



1. OLAF investigations

OLAF is the EU's anti-fraud office, responsible for investigating fraud against the EU budget.

If the Commission/Agency suspects that a beneficiary or third party involved in an action committed fraud or other illegal acts, it will inform OLAF, who may decide to investigate.

OLAF will send the outcome of the investigation to the Commission/Agency, who will then decide how to proceed.

If an OLAF investigation shows **ineligible costs, substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** or serious **breach of obligations**, it may lead to suspension, termination, cost rejection, grant reduction and recovery (see [Articles 42-44, 47-50](#)), to exclusion and/or financial penalties (see [Article 45](#)), as well as to criminal prosecution before the national authorities.

22.3 Checks and audits by the European Court of Auditors (ECA)

Under Article 287 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and Article 161 of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012⁴³, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) may — at any moment during implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out audits.

The ECA has the right of access for the purpose of checks and audits.

⁴³ Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 (OJ L 298, 26.10.2012, p. 1).



1. ECA checks and audits

The European Court of Auditors (ECA) is the (entirely independent) external auditing body for all European institutions. As such, it may carry out audits on all recipients of EU funds (including beneficiaries, third parties involved in the action and recipients of financial support or prizes).

Depending on the outcome, the results of such an audit may be notified to the beneficiary.

If the Commission/Agency intends to reject costs on the basis of the findings of the Court of Auditors, it will inform the beneficiary and give it the possibility to make observations.

If an ECA check or audit shows **ineligible costs, substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** or serious **breach of obligations**, this may lead to suspension, termination, cost rejection, grant reduction and recovery (see [Articles 42-44, 47-50](#)) and to exclusion and/or financial penalties (see [Article 45](#)).

22.4 Checks, reviews, audits and investigations for international organisations

[OPTION 1 for international organisations: In conformity with its financial regulations, the European Union, including the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and the European Court of Auditors (ECA), may undertake, including on the spot, checks, reviews, audits and investigations.]


This Article will be applied in accordance with any specific agreement concluded in this respect by the international organisation and the European Union.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable.]



1. Checks, reviews, audits and investigations for international organisations

Checks, reviews, audits and investigations may also be made with regard to beneficiaries that are **international organisations (IOs)**.

 Checks, reviews, audits and investigations must however respect the privileges and immunities accorded to an international organisation by its constituent documents or international law.

If there is a specific agreement concluded with the international organisation (and this agreement covers checks, reviews, audits and investigations), the Commission/Agency will apply the agreement.

Example: A Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement (FAFA) signed between the European Union and the international organisation.

22.5 Consequences of findings in checks, reviews, audits and investigations — Extension of findings

22.5.1 Findings in this grant

Findings in checks, reviews, audits or investigations carried out in the context of this grant may lead to the rejection of ineligible costs (see [Article 42](#)), reduction of the grant (see [Article 43](#)), recovery of undue amounts (see [Article 44](#)) or to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

Rejection of costs or reduction of the grant after the payment of the balance will lead to a revised final grant amount (see [Article 5.4](#)).

Findings in checks, reviews, audits or investigations may lead to a request for amendment for the modification of Annex 1 (see [Article 55](#)).

Checks, reviews, audits or investigations that find systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or breach of obligations may also lead to consequences in other EU or Euratom grants awarded under similar conditions (**‘extension of findings from this grant to other grants’**).

Moreover, findings arising from an OLAF investigation may lead to criminal prosecution under national law.

22.5.2 Findings in other grants

The *[Agency or the]* Commission may extend findings from other grants to this grant (**‘extension of findings from other grants to this grant’**), if:

- (a) the beneficiary concerned is found, in other EU or Euratom grants awarded under similar conditions, to have committed systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant and
- (b) those findings are formally notified to the beneficiary concerned — together with the list of grants affected by the findings — no later than two years after the payment of the balance of this grant.

The extension of findings may lead to the rejection of costs (see [Article 42](#)), reduction of the grant (see [Article 43](#)), recovery of undue amounts (see [Article 44](#)), suspension of payments (see [Article 48](#)), suspension of the action implementation (see [Article 49](#)) or termination (see [Article 50](#)).

22.5.3 Procedure

The *[Agency or the]* Commission will formally notify the beneficiary concerned the systemic or recurrent errors and its intention to extend these audit findings, together with the list of grants affected.

22.5.3.1 If the findings concern **eligibility of costs**: the formal notification will include:

- (a) an invitation to submit observations on the list of grants affected by the findings;
- (b) the request to submit **revised financial statements** for all grants affected;
- (c) the correction rate for extrapolation established by the *[Agency or the]* Commission on the basis of the systemic or recurrent errors, to calculate the amounts to be rejected if the beneficiary concerned:
 - (i) considers that the submission of revised financial statements is not possible or practicable or
 - (ii) does not submit revised financial statements.

The beneficiary concerned has 90 days from receiving notification to submit observations, revised financial statements or to propose a duly substantiated **alternative correction method**. This period may be extended by the *[Agency or the]* Commission in justified cases.

The *[Agency or the]* Commission may then start a rejection procedure in accordance with Article 42, on the basis of:

- the revised financial statements, if approved
 - the proposed alternative correction method, if accepted
- or
- the initially notified correction rate for extrapolation, if it does not receive any observations or revised financial statements, does not accept the observations or the proposed alternative correction method or does not approve the revised financial statements.

22.5.3.2 If the **findings concern substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations**, the formal notification will include:

- (a) an invitation to submit observations on the list of grants affected by the findings and
- (b) the flat-rate the *[Agency or the]* Commission intends to apply according to the principle of proportionality.

The beneficiary concerned has 90 days from receiving notification to submit observations or to propose a duly substantiated alternative flat-rate.

The *[Agency or the]* Commission may then start a reduction procedure in accordance with Article 43, on the basis of:

- the proposed alternative flat-rate, if accepted
- or
- the initially notified flat-rate, if it does not receive any observations or does not accept the observations or the proposed alternative flat-rate.

22.6 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, any insufficiently substantiated costs will be ineligible (see [Article 6](#)) and will be rejected (see [Article 42](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Extension of findings (rejection and grant reduction)

If the Commission/Agency finds (typically in a review, audit or OLAF investigation) **substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** or serious **breach of obligations** which are **systemic** or **recurrent**, it may make a correction (cost rejection or grant reduction; see [Articles 42 and 43](#)) in:

- the grant, both for
 - reporting periods that were audited/reviewed and
 - reporting periods that were not audited/reviewed (extension of the findings)
- other grants of the beneficiary (extension of the findings).

'Recurrent' means an infringement found in several grants of the beneficiary (and therefore likely to also have occurred in the other grants of that beneficiary).


Examples (recurrent): *In several grants, the beneficiary claimed deductible VAT as an eligible cost; increased the remuneration of its personnel solely for its contracts with the Commission; did not clearly display the EU funding despite the GA's visibility requirements.*

'Systemic' means an infringement that is inherently related to the beneficiary's methodologies, accounting, management or internal control practices (and therefore, by its very nature, likely to have occurred in all other transactions of the beneficiary that are comparable/similar (i.e. governed by the same methodologies, accounting management or internal control practices, and thus part of the same 'system')).

Examples (systemic): *The beneficiary does not keep records of a certain type of transactions; the beneficiary set up a fraudulent network to overcharge personnel costs .*

The findings may be extended both to *on-going* grants (i.e. grants for which the payment of the balance has not yet been carried out) and to *closed* grants — up to two years after the payment of the balance.

Findings may be extended to all other EU or Euratom grants awarded under similar conditions.

 Findings will normally be extended only within one programme (e.g. findings in an H2020 audit to all H2020 grants) but they may exceptionally also be extended to similar programmes (e.g. if justified by the nature of the findings and the similarity of the applicable grant agreements or in case of serious irregularities or fraud).

2. Procedure

If findings are systemic or recurrent, this will already have been mentioned in the audit or review report and — like the other aspects of the report — they have been subject to the contradictory audit/review procedure.


If the findings also impact other EU/Euratom grants, the audit/review procedure will be followed by an extension procedure, to fix the list of impacted grants and ask the beneficiary's views on the method for correction. In order to minimise the administrative burden, this procedure is usually centralised.

Procedure for extension of findings:

- Step 1 —** After the end of the contradictory audit/review procedure, the Commission/Agency auditors send the letter closing the audit/review procedure (**letter of audit conclusions**), together with
- the final audit/review report
 - the list of grants/reporting periods to which the audit findings will be extended
 - an invitation to submit comments on the list of grants/reporting periods
 - for cost rejection:
 - a request to submit revised financial statements for the grants/reporting periods on the list (via a specific form annexed to the letter of audit conclusions)
 - the proposed correction rate for extrapolation (if the beneficiary does not submit revised financial statements)
 - the conditions for external counter-audits to propose an alternative correction method (*see below*)
 - for grant reduction: the proposed flat-rate for the correction.

The correction rate for cost rejection will normally correspond to the average correction rate calculated in the sample of audited grants (for the flawed cost category(ies) or even for the total costs claimed).


The flat-rate for grant reductions will normally be based on the relative importance of the tasks improperly implemented or the seriousness of the breach of obligations — compared to the action (i.e. calculated according to the principle of proportionality).


 The rate will be used if:

- the beneficiary explicitly requests it
 - Example:** if the beneficiary considers that the administrative workload related to submitting revised financial statements for all the grants affected would be disproportionate or impossible and so it decides to accept the correction rate.*
- the beneficiary does not submit revised financial statements or refuses to cooperate (in time)
- the Commission/Agency cannot approve the revised financial statements (because they do not properly reflect the audit/review findings)
- the Commission/Agency cannot accept the alternative correction method proposed by the beneficiary.

Step 2 — The beneficiary has 90 days to:

- submit comments on the list of grants
 - If the beneficiary considers that some (or all) of the grants on the list are not affected, it must explain why. If the explanation is not sufficient, the Commission/Agency may request additional information or clarifications.
- submit revised financial statements (free of the errors or irregularities raised).
 - Example:** An audit finds that the beneficiary claimed the deductible VAT as an eligible cost and qualifies this finding as recurrent. The beneficiary agrees with the audit conclusions. It submits revised financial statements correcting the VAT for each grant affected.*
- if it does not intend to submit revised financial statement, but disagrees with the proposed correction rate, the beneficiary may:
 - for cost rejection: present an **alternative correction method** (alternative correction rate), substantiated by an audit performed by an independent external auditor
 - The purpose of the independent audit can ONLY be to determine a more precise error rate for the audit/review findings of the Commission/Agency (NOT to contest those findings themselves).
 - for grant reduction: present an **alternative correction method** (alternative flat rate), substantiated by a note explaining why the alternative rate is more appropriate than the rate proposed by the Commission/Agency.

 The beneficiary must choose one method for the entire extension procedure, i.e. submit for all grants either revised financial statements or an alternative correction method.

 The Commission/Agency has **full discretion** to accept or refuse the alternative correction method proposed by the beneficiary; there is NO entitlement to it.

After the extension procedure is completed, the list of grants and beneficiary position is handed to the operational services which are in charge of managing the concerned grants. They will decide on the actions to be taken in their grants.

The implementation will be done on the basis of the:

- revised financial statements — if the beneficiary sent revised statements and they were approved
- initially notified correction rate for extrapolation — if the beneficiary did not send any revised statements or they could not be approved
- alternative correction method — if the beneficiary sent an alternative method and it was accepted.

ARTICLE 23 — EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 23 — EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE ACTION

23.1 Right to evaluate the impact of the action

The [Agency or the] Commission may carry out interim and final evaluations of the impact of the action measured against the objective of the [EU][Euratom] programme.

Evaluations may be started during implementation of the action and up to [OPTION 1 by default: five][OPTION 2 for low value grants: three] years after the payment of the balance. The evaluation is considered to start on the date of the formal notification to the coordinator or beneficiaries.

The [Agency or the] Commission may make these evaluations directly (using its own staff) or indirectly (using external bodies or persons it has authorised to do so).

The coordinator or beneficiaries must provide any information relevant to evaluate the impact of the action, including information in electronic format.

23.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the [Commission][Agency] may apply the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Evaluations

The Commission/Agency may carry out **interim** and **final evaluations** of the actions for the monitoring and evaluation of the H2020 Programme implementation.

 These evaluations have NO effect on the grant.

They are based on the performance indicators and issues specified in Annexes II and III to the H2020 Specific Programme. These performance indicators vary according to the specific programme's objectives. Performance indicators may be refined during the implementation of H2020.

Example:

Progress on the Specific Objective 'Leadership in enabling and industrial technologies' is evaluated on the basis of the three following indicators:

- *patent applications and patents awarded in the different enabling and industrial technologies,*
- *share of participating firms introducing innovations new to the company or the market (covering the period of the project plus three years),*
- *number of joint public-private publications.*

The necessary information will normally be taken from the questionnaire (that must be filled out as part of the periodic reports). However, the Commission/Agency may also address specific information requests to the coordinator (or the other beneficiaries).

SECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND AND RESULTS

SUBSECTION 1 GENERAL

ARTICLE 23a — MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

SECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND AND RESULTS

SUBSECTION 1 GENERAL

ARTICLE 23a — MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

23a.1 Obligation to take measures to implement the Commission Recommendation on the management of intellectual property in knowledge transfer activities

Beneficiaries that are universities or other public research organisations must take measures to implement the principles set out in Points 1 and 2 of the **Code of Practice** annexed to the Commission Recommendation on the management of intellectual property in knowledge transfer activities⁴⁴.

This does not change the obligations set out in Subsections 2 and 3 of this Section.

The beneficiaries must ensure that researchers and third parties involved in the action are aware of them.

23a.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches its obligations under this Article, the *[Commission][Agency]* may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.

⁴⁴ Commission Recommendation C(2008) 1329 of 10.4.2008 on the management of intellectual property in knowledge transfer activities and the Code of Practice for universities and other public research institutions attached to this recommendation.



1. Code of Practice

Beneficiaries that are universities or other public research organisations must take measures to **implement** the principles set out the **Code of Practice**⁴⁷ annexed to the EU Recommendation on the management of intellectual property in knowledge transfer activities.

⚠ This is a **best effort obligation**: If not already done so, these beneficiaries must ensure that they consider the principles set out in Points 1 and 2 of the Code of Practice in the design and implementation of their IP management and knowledge transfer policies.

The Code consists of a set of general principles aiming to improve IP management and knowledge transfer by public research organisations by promoting exploitation and dissemination of research results (— with Point 1: Principles for an internal intellectual property policy and Point 2: Principles for a knowledge transfer policy)

⁴⁷ Available at http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/research_innovation/general_framework/ri0007_en.htm.

SUBSECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 24 — AGREEMENT ON BACKGROUND

SUBSECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 24 — AGREEMENT ON BACKGROUND

24.1 Agreement on background

The beneficiaries must identify and agree (in writing) on the background for the action ('**agreement on background**').

'**Background**' means any data, know-how or information — whatever its form or nature (tangible or intangible), including any rights such as intellectual property rights — that:

- (a) is held by the beneficiaries before they acceded to the Agreement, and
- (b) is needed to implement the action or exploit the results.

24.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Agreement on background

The beneficiaries must identify and agree on what constitutes **background for their action** (in order to be able to give access to it).

Best practice: Although not obligatory, beneficiaries are strongly advised to agree on background *before the GA is signed*, to ensure that they have access rights to what is needed for implementing the action (and then exploiting its results).

'Background' means any tangible or intangible input — from data to know-how, information or rights — that exists *before* the GA is signed and that is needed to implement the action or to exploit its results.

Examples: *prototypes; cell lines; patents; database rights*

For intellectual property rights, it suffices that the application was filed before the GA is signed. ('Intellectual property' being understood in the meaning defined in Article 2 of the [Convention establishing the World Intellectual Property Organisation](#), signed at Stockholm on 14 July 1967⁴⁸).

Background is not limited to input owned, but potentially extends to anything the beneficiaries lawfully hold (*e.g. through a licence with the right to sub-licence*). It also extends to input held by other parts of the beneficiary's organisation.

⁴⁸ Available at http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/convention/trtdocs_wo029.html.

Example: *if a university department participates in the action, background could potentially be anything held by the university (unless the department has its own legal personality and is the beneficiary)*

The agreement may take **any form** (e.g. *positive list, negative list*). It may be a separate agreement or may be part of the consortium agreement (see [Article 41](#)).

If access to background is subject to **legal restrictions** or limits, the beneficiary must inform the other beneficiaries — before signing the GA (see [Article 25](#)).

Example: *Beneficiaries may agree to exclude specific background. Such an exclusion may be temporary (e.g. to permit the adequate protection of the background before providing access) or limited (e.g. to exclude only one or more specific beneficiaries). As background is by definition considered to be needed for implementation or exploitation, the impact of such exclusion on the action, particularly regarding an exclusion which does not have a temporary character, should be examined by the beneficiaries.*

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

25.1 Exercise of access rights — Waiving of access rights — No sub-licensing

To exercise access rights, this must first be requested in writing (**‘request for access’**).

‘Access rights’ means rights to use results or background under the terms and conditions laid down in this Agreement.

Waivers of access rights are not valid unless in writing.

Unless agreed otherwise, access rights do not include the right to sub-license.

25.2 Access rights for other beneficiaries, for implementing their own tasks under the action

The beneficiaries must give each other access — on a royalty-free basis — to background needed to implement their own tasks under the action, unless the beneficiary that holds the background has — before acceding to the Agreement —:

- (a) informed the other beneficiaries that access to its background is subject to legal restrictions or limits, including those imposed by the rights of third parties (including personnel), or
- (b) agreed with the other beneficiaries that access would not be on a royalty-free basis.

25.3 Access rights for other beneficiaries, for exploiting their own results

The beneficiaries must give each other access — under fair and reasonable conditions — to background needed for exploiting their own results, unless the beneficiary that holds the background has — before acceding to the Agreement — informed the other beneficiaries that access to its background is subject to legal restrictions or limits, including those imposed by the rights of third parties (including personnel).

‘Fair and reasonable conditions’ means appropriate conditions, including possible financial terms or royalty-free conditions, taking into account the specific circumstances of the request for access, for example the actual or potential value of the results or background to which access is requested and/or the scope, duration or other characteristics of the exploitation envisaged.

Requests for access may be made — unless agreed otherwise — up to one year after the period set out in Article 3.

25.4 Access rights for affiliated entities

Unless otherwise agreed in the consortium agreement, access to background must also be given — under fair and reasonable conditions (see above; Article 25.3) and unless it is subject to legal restrictions or limits, including those imposed by the rights of third parties (including personnel) — to affiliated entities⁴⁵ established in an EU Member State or **‘associated country’**⁴⁶, if this is needed to exploit the results generated by the beneficiaries to which they are affiliated.

Unless agreed otherwise (see above; Article 25.1), the affiliated entity concerned must make the request directly to the beneficiary that holds the background.

Requests for access may be made — unless agreed otherwise — up to one year after the period set out in Article 3.

25.5 Access rights for third parties

[OPTION 1 for trans-national access to research infrastructure: The access provider must — unless it is subject to legal restrictions or limits, including those imposed by the rights of third parties (including personnel) — give users royalty-free access to background needed to implement the action.

The access provider must inform the users as soon as possible of any restriction which might substantially affect the granting of access rights.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

25.6 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

⁴⁵ For the definition, see Article 2.1(2) Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: ‘**affiliated entity**’ means any legal entity that is:

- under the direct or indirect control of a participant, or
- under the same direct or indirect control as the participant, or
- directly or indirectly controlling a participant.

‘Control’ may take any of the following forms:

- (a) the direct or indirect holding of more than 50% of the nominal value of the issued share capital in the legal entity concerned, or of a majority of the voting rights of the shareholders or associates of that entity;
- (b) the direct or indirect holding, in fact or in law, of decision-making powers in the legal entity concerned.

However, the following relationships between legal entities shall not in themselves constitute controlling relationships:

- (a) the same public investment corporation, institutional investor or venture-capital company has a direct or indirect holding of more than 50% of the nominal value of the issued share capital or a majority of voting rights of the shareholders or associates;
- (b) the legal entities concerned are owned or supervised by the same public body.

⁴⁶ For the definition, see Article 2.1(3) Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: ‘**associated country**’ means a non EU-country (third country) which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in *[OPTION 1 for EU grants: Article 7 of the H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.] [OPTION 2 for Euratom grants: Article 5 of Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1314/2013 of 16 December 2013 on the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-2018) complementing the Horizon 2020 – The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (‘H2020 Euratom Research and Training Programme Regulation No 1314/2013’)* (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 948 . Article 5 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.]



1. Access to background

The rules on **access to background** (including conditions and scope of access) are generally the same as for results (see [Article 31](#)).

However, for background there is NO (or a more limited) obligation to give access, if there are **restrictions** or **limits** (legal or otherwise) and the beneficiary has informed the others — before acceding to the GA (or immediately when additional background is agreed on).

Example: A pre-existing agreement (e.g. an exclusive licence) which precludes the granting of access rights

By contrast, if a beneficiary contracts on background later, it must ensure that it can comply with its access obligations under the GA.

Moreover, the **conditions for access** are slightly different. Access must be given:

- for the implementation of action tasks: the default rule is royalty-free

However, if agreed by the beneficiaries before the GA is signed, for background other conditions may apply.

Example: *A beneficiary owns a novel technology needed by other beneficiaries for implementing their tasks under the action and the other beneficiaries do not bring the same level of background. In such case the beneficiaries may agree that access to the novel technology to implement the action will not be on a royalty-free basis.*

Best practice: If beneficiaries intend to deviate from the default rule, it is recommended that this is explained in detail in their proposal.

- for the exploitation of results: under fair and reasonable conditions (see [Article 31](#)).

Also, there are NO **specific access rights** for EU institutions, Member States, Euratom, joint undertakings or third parties — except for the option for royalty-free access for users of research infrastructures in actions with trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure.

Access rights are therefore normally limited to other beneficiaries and certain affiliated entities of other beneficiaries, unless otherwise agreed.

Example: *Beneficiary A used background from beneficiary B to generate its results. Beneficiary A would like to use its affiliated entity A established in a MS to exploit the results. For this, affiliated entity A needs access rights to beneficiary B's background.*

SUBSECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

SUBSECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

26.1 Ownership by the beneficiary that generates the results

Results are owned by the beneficiary that generates them.

‘**Results**’ means any (tangible or intangible) output of the action such as data, knowledge or information — whatever its form or nature, whether it can be protected or not — that is generated in the action, as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights.

26.2 Joint ownership by several beneficiaries

Two or more beneficiaries own results jointly if:

- (a) they have jointly generated them and
- (b) it is not possible to:
 - (i) establish the respective contribution of each beneficiary, or
 - (ii) separate them for the purpose of applying for, obtaining or maintaining their protection (see [Article 27](#)).

The joint owners must agree (in writing) on the allocation and terms of exercise of their joint ownership (‘**joint ownership agreement**’), to ensure compliance with their obligations under this Agreement.

Unless otherwise agreed in the joint ownership agreement, each joint owner may grant non-exclusive licences to third parties to exploit jointly-owned results (without any right to sub-license), if the other joint owners are given:

- (a) at least 45 days advance notice and
- (b) fair and reasonable compensation.

Once the results have been generated, joint owners may agree (in writing) to apply another regime than joint ownership (such as, for instance, transfer to a single owner (see [Article 30](#)) with access rights for the others).

26.3 Rights of third parties (including personnel)

If third parties (including personnel) may claim rights to the results, the beneficiary concerned must ensure that it complies with its obligations under the Agreement.

If a third party generates results, the beneficiary concerned must obtain all necessary rights (transfer, licences or other) from the third party, in order to be able to respect its obligations as if those results were generated by the beneficiary itself.

If obtaining the rights is impossible, the beneficiary must refrain from using the third party to generate the results.

26.4 *[EU][Euratom][Agency]* ownership, to protect results

26.4.1 *[The EU][Euratom][The Agency]* may — with the consent of the beneficiary concerned — assume ownership of results to protect them, if a beneficiary intends — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — to disseminate its results without protecting them, except in any of the following cases:

- (a) the lack of protection is because protecting the results is not possible, reasonable or justified (given the circumstances);
- (b) the lack of protection is because there is a lack of potential for commercial or industrial exploitation, or
- (c) the beneficiary intends to transfer the results to another beneficiary or third party established in an EU Member State or associated country, which will protect them.

Before the results are disseminated and unless any of the cases above under Points (a), (b) or (c) applies, the beneficiary must formally notify the *[Commission][Agency]* and at the same time inform it of any reasons for refusing consent. The beneficiary may refuse consent only if it can show that its legitimate interests would suffer significant harm.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to assume ownership, it will formally notify the beneficiary concerned within 45 days of receiving notification.

No dissemination relating to these results may take place before the end of this period or, if the *[Commission][Agency]* takes a positive decision, until it has taken the necessary steps to protect the results.

26.4.2 *[The EU][Euratom][The Agency]* may — with the consent of the beneficiary concerned — assume ownership of results to protect them, if a beneficiary intends — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — to stop protecting them or not to seek an extension of protection, except in any of the following cases:

- (a) the protection is stopped because of a lack of potential for commercial or industrial exploitation;
- (b) an extension would not be justified given the circumstances.

A beneficiary that intends to stop protecting results or not seek an extension must — unless any of the cases above under Points (a) or (b) applies — formally notify the *[Commission][Agency]* at least 60 days before the protection lapses or its extension is no longer possible and at the same time inform it of any reasons for refusing consent. The beneficiary may refuse consent only if it can show that its legitimate interests would suffer significant harm.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to assume ownership, it will formally notify the beneficiary concerned within 45 days of receiving notification.

26.5 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to the any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Ownership of results

Results belong normally to the beneficiary that produced them.

'Results' means the action's tangible outputs (*e.g. prototypes, micro-organisms*) and intangible outputs (*e.g. know-how, formulas*), as well as related rights (*e.g. patent rights and database rights*). Results do not include the outputs of activities not described in Annex 1, produced before the action starts, during its course or after it ends.



Record-keeping

Best practice: To avoid or resolve ownership disputes, beneficiaries should keep documents such as laboratory notebooks to show how and when they produced the results.

Specific cases (ownership of results):

Automatic joint ownership — If beneficiaries have jointly generated results and it is not possible to establish their respective contribution (or to separate them for protection), the beneficiaries automatically become joint owners.

In this case, the beneficiaries concerned must conclude a **joint ownership agreement** (in writing).

This agreement should cover in particular:

- specific conditions for granting licenses (if they are different from those already set out in the GA)
- criteria or principles for 'fair and reasonable compensation' to be provided to the other joint owners, if a non-exclusive license is granted to a third party (if appropriate)
A ceiling for fair and reasonable compensation should be fixed only if the expected results can be determined with precision already before the action starts.
- how disputes will be settled (*e.g. via a mediator, applicable law, etc.*).


Best practice: To make it easier to negotiate a joint-ownership agreement, the beneficiaries should include general principles on joint ownership already in the consortium agreement.

The joint ownership agreement will usually require further fine-tuning after the jointly-owned results are produced, in particular with regard to:

- how the ownership is divided (*e.g. equally or not*)
- if and how the joint results will be protected, including issues related to the cost of protection (*e.g. patent filing and examination fees, renewal fees, prior state-of-the-art searches, infringement actions, etc.*), or to the sharing of revenues or profits
- how the joint results will be exploited and disseminated.

The joint owners automatically have the right under the GA to grant non-exclusive **licenses** to third parties against fair and reasonable compensation (without prior authorisation from the other joint owners) — unless otherwise provided in the joint ownership agreement.

The joint owner that intends to grant the licence must give the other joint owners at least **45 days advance notice** (together with sufficient information, to check if the proposed compensation is fair and reasonable). Such licenses may not include sub-licensing. Joint owners are free to agree on different arrangements in their joint-ownership agreement.

Joint owners may abandon joint ownership only after the jointly-owned results have been produced ( **new in Horizon 2020**).

Example: Once the results have been produced, the joint owners may transfer ownership to a single owner and agree on more favourable access rights (or on any other fair counterpart)

Joint ownership by agreement — Outside the cases described above, the beneficiaries may also become joint owners if they specifically agree on it.

Example: A beneficiary may decide that a part of its results will be owned jointly with its parent company or another third party. However, this requires a (partial) transfer of ownership, which is subject to the GA's rules on transferring ownership.

EU/Euratom/Agency ownership to protect results — If valuable results are not protected (*e.g. if the official prosecution or renewal fees for a patent application are not paid*), the Commission/Agency may — under certain circumstances — assume ownership of the results.

2. Third parties with rights on results

The beneficiaries must ensure that they can fulfil their obligations under the GA regarding results, by making **arrangements** with any **third parties** that could claim rights to them (e.g. subcontractors, linked third parties, international partners, employees, etc.).

Examples (third parties that may claim rights): academic institutions in countries that have a kind of 'professor's privilege' system (according to which researchers may have some rights to the results of university research); employees or students who carry out work for the action (if they have rights under national law or their contract); beneficiaries for which linked third parties carry out a significant part of the work.

Examples (arrangements): transferring ownership to the beneficiary; granting access rights to the beneficiary with a right to sub-license.

Specific cases (third parties with rights on results):

Joint research units (JRUs) — Where the internal arrangements of a JRU (see [Article 14](#)), state that any results produced by one member are owned jointly by all members, these other members are third parties that can claim rights on the results. In this case, the JRU member that is the beneficiary must ensure that it can fulfil its contractual obligations under the GA (e.g. with regard to other beneficiaries' access rights).

Best practice: Beneficiaries that are members of a JRU should inform the other beneficiaries as soon as possible, to give them time to make, if needed, appropriate arrangements in the consortium agreement.

ARTICLE 27 — PROTECTION OF RESULTS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 27 — PROTECTION OF RESULTS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

27.1 **Obligation to protect the results**

Each beneficiary must examine the possibility of protecting its results and must adequately protect them — for an appropriate period and with appropriate territorial coverage — if:

- (a) the results can reasonably be expected to be commercially or industrially exploited and
- (b) protecting them is possible, reasonable and justified (given the circumstances).

When deciding on protection, the beneficiary must consider its own legitimate interests and the legitimate interests (especially commercial) of the other beneficiaries.

27.2 **[EU][Euratom][Agency] ownership, to protect the results**

If a beneficiary intends not to protect its results, to stop protecting them or not seek an extension of protection, [the EU][Euratom][the Agency] may — under certain conditions (see [Article 26.4](#)) — assume ownership to ensure their (continued) protection.

27.3 **Information on EU funding**

Applications for protection of results (including patent applications) filed by or on behalf of a beneficiary must — unless the [Commission][Agency] requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible — include the following:

“The project leading to this application has received funding from the [European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme][Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018] under grant agreement No [number]”.

27.4 **Consequences of non-compliance**

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such a breach may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Protection of results

The beneficiaries must — for any results that can reasonably be expected to be commercially or industrially exploited —:

- examine the possibility of protecting them and
- if possible, reasonable and justified, **protect** them

even if this requires further research and development or private investment.

Example (no protection necessary): *if protection is impossible under EU or national law or not justified (in view of the (potential) commercial or industrial exploitation, the action’s objective and other relevant elements, such as potential markets and countries in which competitors are located, whether additionally protecting a part of certain technology would bring significantly broader protection or not, etc.)*

Best practice: Beneficiaries should consider seeking expert advice to help them decide whether and how to protect results.

This obligation also applies to beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see [Article 9](#)).

The beneficiaries are in principle free to choose any available **form** of protection.

Standard forms of protection:

- Patent
- Trademark
- Industrial design
- Copyright
- Trade-secret
- Confidentiality

The choice of the most suitable form should be made on the basis of the specificities of the action and the type of result (i.e. the form which offers the most adequate and effective protection). Although important for commercial and industrial exploitation, IP protection is not mandatory.

Examples (choice according to the type of result):

1. For an invention: e.g. patent, confidential information.
2. For the design of a technology: e.g. industrial design, copyright.
3. For a website: e.g. industrial design, copyright, trademark.

In some cases, it may be advisable to protect the invention by keeping it confidential, or to postpone the filing of a patent (or other IPR) application.

Example (better not to protect for the moment): Keeping an invention temporarily confidential could allow further development of the invention while avoiding the negative consequences associated with premature filing (earlier priority and filing dates, early publication, possible rejection due to lack of support or industrial applicability, etc.).

Costs related to protection may be eligible (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)).

When deciding on protection, the beneficiaries must also consider the **other beneficiaries'** legitimate **interests**.

Any other beneficiary invoking legitimate interests must show how the decision would significantly harm it (especially commercially).

Example (harm): The protection would lead to the disclosure of valuable background that is held by the other beneficiary (as a trade secret or flagged as confidential).

Best practice: Although a beneficiary is not required to consult the other beneficiaries before deciding whether to protect a specific result it owns, beneficiaries can foresee arrangements (either in the consortium agreement or in separate agreements), to ensure that decisions on protection take due account of the interests of all beneficiaries concerned.

Protection should last for an appropriate **period** and have appropriate **territorial coverage** (in view of potential) commercial or industrial exploitation and other elements (e.g. *potential markets and countries in which potential competitors are located*). Patent applications should identify the **rightful inventors**. Errors (or fraud) in identifying inventors may lead to the invalidation of patents.

Example (not rightful inventor): an entity systematically designates a head of department as one of the inventors, although it is not true.

2. Visibility of EU funding

Applications for protection must include the reference to EU funding set out in the GA — unless (technically or legally) impossible (see [Article 38](#)).

Best practice: Where possible, beneficiaries should make this reference in the language of their application (using the text of the GA language version available on [Funding & Tenders Portal Reference Documents](#)).

ARTICLE 28 — EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS

ARTICLE 28 — EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS

28.1 Obligation to exploit the results

Each beneficiary must — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — take measures aiming to ensure ‘**exploitation**’ of its results (either directly or indirectly, in particular through transfer or licensing; see [Article 30](#)) by:

- (a) using them in further research activities (outside the action);
- (b) developing, creating or marketing a product or process;
- (c) creating and providing a service, or
- (d) using them in standardisation activities.

[OPTION for additional exploitation obligations if foreseen in the work programme: In addition, the beneficiaries must — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — comply with the additional exploitation obligations set out in Annex 1.]

This does not change the security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.

28.2 Results that could contribute to European or international standards — Information on EU funding

[OPTION for results that could contribute to standards if foreseen in the work programme: If results could reasonably be expected to contribute to European or international standards, the beneficiary concerned must — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — inform the [Commission][Agency].]

If results are incorporated in a standard, the beneficiary concerned must — unless the [Commission][Agency] requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible — ask the standardisation body to include the following statement in (information related to) the standard:

"Results incorporated in this standard received funding from the [European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme][Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018] under grant agreement No [Number]"

28.3 Consequences of non-compliance


If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced in accordance with Article 43.

Such a breach may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Exploitation of results


The beneficiaries must take measures aiming to ensure **exploitation** of their results — either by themselves (e.g. for further research or for commercial or industrial exploitation in its own activities) or by others (other beneficiaries or third parties, e.g. through licensing or by transferring the ownership of results).

 This is a **best effort obligation**: The beneficiaries must be proactive and take specific measures to ensure that their results are used (to the extent possible and justified).

The obligation applies only to beneficiaries receiving EU funding (see [Article 9](#)).

Where possible, the measures should be consistent with the impact expected from the action and the plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results.

If the GA provides for **additional exploitation obligations**, these must also be fulfilled. (Such additional exploitation obligations will already be mentioned in the work programme/call.)

 Additional exploitation obligations apply to ALL beneficiaries.

If the GA includes the option for **information on standardisation**, the beneficiaries must moreover inform the Commission/Agency on any results that could contribute to European or international standards.

***Example:** The results are produced in an area in which standards play an important role (such as in mobile communication, diagnostics or immunological diseases).*

 For more guidance on dissemination and exploitation, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

29.1 Obligation to disseminate results

Unless it goes against their legitimate interests, each beneficiary must — as soon as possible — ‘disseminate’ its results by disclosing them to the public by appropriate means (other than those resulting from protecting or exploiting the results), including in scientific publications (in any medium).

[OPTION for additional dissemination obligations if foreseen in the work programme: In addition, the beneficiaries must comply with the additional dissemination obligations set out in Annex 1.]

[OPTION for additional dissemination obligations for interoperability if foreseen in the work programme: Moreover, the beneficiaries must — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — disseminate any technical specifications of the results that are needed for interoperability.]

[OPTION for additional dissemination obligations for cross-border interoperability if foreseen in the work programme: Moreover, the beneficiaries must — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — disseminate the deliverables relating to cross-border interoperability (see Annex 1) and any results needed for cross-border interoperability (in particular common technical specifications and software components).]

This does not change the **obligation to protect results** in Article 27, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36, the security obligations in Article 37 or the obligations to protect personal data in Article 39, all of which still apply.

A beneficiary that intends to disseminate its results must give advance notice to the other beneficiaries of — unless agreed otherwise — at least 45 days, together with sufficient information on the results it will disseminate.

Any other beneficiary may object within — unless agreed otherwise — 30 days of receiving notification, if it can show that its legitimate interests in relation to the results or background would be significantly harmed. In such cases, the dissemination may not take place unless appropriate steps are taken to safeguard these legitimate interests.

If a beneficiary intends not to protect its results, it may — under certain conditions (see [Article 26.4.1](#)) — need to formally notify the *[Commission][Agency]* before dissemination takes place.

29.2 Open access to scientific publications

Each beneficiary must ensure open access (free of charge, online access for any user) to all peer-reviewed scientific publications relating to its results.

In particular, it must:

- (a) as soon as possible and at the latest on publication, deposit a machine-readable electronic copy of the published version or final peer-reviewed manuscript accepted for publication in a repository for scientific publications;

Moreover, the beneficiary must aim to deposit at the same time the research data needed to validate the results presented in the deposited scientific publications.

- (b) ensure open access to the deposited publication — via the repository — at the latest:
 - (i) on publication, if an electronic version is available for free via the publisher, or

- (ii) within six months of publication (twelve months for publications in the social sciences and humanities) in any other case.
- (c) ensure open access — via the repository — to the bibliographic metadata that identify the deposited publication.

The bibliographic metadata must be in a standard format and must include all of the following:

- the terms ["European Union (EU)" and "Horizon 2020"] ["Euratom" and Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018'];
- the name of the action, acronym and grant number;
- the publication date, and length of embargo period if applicable, and
- a persistent identifier.

29.3 Open access to research data

[OPTION 1a for actions participating in the open Research Data Pilot: Regarding the digital research data generated in the action ('data'), the beneficiaries must:

- (a) deposit in a research data repository and take measures to make it possible for third parties to access, mine, exploit, reproduce and disseminate — free of charge for any user — the following:
 - (i) the data, including associated metadata, needed to validate the results presented in scientific publications as soon as possible;
 - (ii) ***[OPTION A for health actions that participate in the Open Research Data Pilot, if foreseen in the work programme: data which is relevant for addressing a public health emergency, if specifically requested by the [Commission][Agency] and within the deadline specified in the request][OPTION B: not applicable];***
 - (iii) other data, including associated metadata, as specified and within the deadlines laid down in the 'data management plan' (see Annex 1);
- (b) provide information — via the repository — about tools and instruments at the disposal of the beneficiaries and necessary for validating the results (and — where possible — provide the tools and instruments themselves).

This does not change the obligation to protect results in Article 27, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36, the security obligations in Article 37 or the obligations to protect personal data in Article 39, all of which still apply.

As an exception, the beneficiaries do not have to ensure open access to specific parts of their research data under Point (a)(i) and (iii), if the achievement of the action's main objective (as described in Annex 1) would be jeopardised by making those specific parts of the research data openly accessible. In this case, the data management plan must contain the reasons for not giving access.

[additional OPTION for health actions that participate in the Open Research Data Pilot, if foreseen in the work programme: As an exception, the beneficiaries do not have to ensure open access also to the research data under Point (a)(ii), if the [Commission][Agency] agrees to replace the open access obligation by special access rights for third parties that need the data to address the public health emergency. These access rights must include the right to access, mine, exploit and reproduce the data free of charge.]]

[OPTION 1b for health actions that do NOT participate in the Open Research Data Pilot, if foreseen in the work programme: The [Commission][Agency] may require beneficiaries to:

- (a) deposit digital research data, which is generated in the action and relevant for addressing a public health emergency, in a research data repository and take measures to make it possible for third parties to access, mine, exploit, reproduce and disseminate the data free of charge for any user

(b) *give specific access rights to third parties that need the digital research data to address the public health emergency (including the right to access, mine, exploit and reproduce the data free of charge)*

within the deadline specified in the [Commission][Agency]’s request.

This does not change the obligation to protect results in Article 27, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36, the security obligations in Article 37 or the obligations to protect personal data in Article 39, all of which still apply.

[OPTION 1c for health actions targeting public health emergencies, if foreseen in the work programme:

The beneficiaries must deposit the digital research data generated in the action in a research data repository and take measures to make it possible for third parties to access, mine, exploit, reproduce and disseminate the data free of charge for any user, at the latest within 30 days after it has been generated.

This does not change the obligation to protect results in Article 27, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36, the security obligations in Article 37 or the obligations to protect personal data in Article 39, all of which still apply.

As an exception, the beneficiaries do not have to ensure open access, if the [Commission][Agency] agrees to replace the open access obligation by special access rights for third parties that need the data to address the public health emergency. These access rights must include the right to access, mine, exploit and reproduce the data free of charge.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

29.4 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the [Commission][Agency] requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any dissemination of results (in any form, including electronic) must:

- (a) display the EU emblem and
- (b) include the following text:

“This project has received funding from the [European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme][Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018] under grant agreement No [Number]”.

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the [Commission][Agency].

This does not however give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

29.5 Disclaimer excluding [Commission][Agency] responsibility

Any dissemination of results must indicate that it reflects only the author’s view and that the [Commission][Agency] is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

29.6 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such a breach may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Dissemination of results

Unless it goes against their legitimate interests, the beneficiaries must — as soon as possible (but not before a decision on their possible protection) — **disseminate** their results (i.e. make them public).

Results that are disclosed too early (i.e. before the decision on their protection) run the risk being invalidated.

Example: *If a result is disclosed (in writing (including by e-mail) or orally (e.g. at a conference) before filing for protection — even to a single person who is not bound by secrecy or confidentiality obligations (typically someone from an organisation outside the consortium).*

NO dissemination at all may take place, if:

- the results need to be protected as a trade secret (i.e. confidential know-how) or
- dissemination conflicts with any other obligations under the GA (e.g. *personal data protection, security obligations, etc.*).

⚠ Security obligations — Dissemination may be restricted/NOT possible for results that are subject to **limited disclosure/dissemination** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

⚠ Results that contain **EU-classified information**, can be disclosed only after approval by the Commission/Agency (see [Article 37](#)).

i For more guidance on security obligations, see [the Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

The beneficiaries may choose the **form** for disseminating their results.

Standard forms of dissemination:

- website
- presentation at a scientific conference
- peer-reviewed publication

The dissemination measures should however be consistent with the 'plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results' and proportionate to the impact expected from the action.

When deciding on dissemination, the beneficiaries must also consider the **other beneficiaries'** legitimate **interests**.

The beneficiary that intends to disseminate must give the other beneficiaries — unless otherwise agreed — at least **45 days advance notice** (together with sufficient information on the dissemination).


Any other beneficiary may **object** to dissemination — unless otherwise agreed — within **30 days** of receiving notification, if it can show that it would suffer significant harm (in relation to background or results). In this case, the results may not be disseminated — unless appropriate steps are taken to safeguard the interests at stake.

Examples (significant harm): *Disseminating the results would lead to disclosure of valuable background held by another beneficiary as a trade secret or would make protecting another beneficiary's results more difficult. Appropriate steps could include: omitting certain data or postponing dissemination until the results are protected.*

Best practice: Beneficiaries should foresee arrangements (either in the consortium agreement or in separate agreements) to ensure that decisions on dissemination take due account of the

interests of all beneficiaries concerned (and yet allow for publication of results without unreasonable delay).


If the GA provides for **additional dissemination obligations**, these must also be fulfilled. Such additional dissemination obligations will already be mentioned in the work programme/call.

 For more guidance on dissemination and exploitation, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

■ 2. Open access to scientific publications

What? Beneficiaries must ensure **open, free-of-charge access** to the end-user to **peer-reviewed scientific publications** relating to their results.

'Peer-reviewed publications' means publications that have been evaluated by other scholars (*e.g. articles in scientific journals*).

 Other types of scientific publications, such as *non-peer-reviewed articles as well as monographs, books, conference proceedings and 'grey literature'* (i.e. informally published material not having gone through a standard publishing process, *e.g. reports*), are not covered by the open access obligation.

Best practice: However, to ensure fuller and wider access, beneficiaries are encouraged to provide open access also to these other types of scientific publications (where possible).

The requirement of Horizon 2020 for open access does NOT imply that the beneficiaries *are obliged to publish* their results; it only sets requirements that must be fulfilled *if* they do decide to publish them.

Open access means ensuring that, at the very least, such publications can be **read online, downloaded** and **printed** — via a repository for scientific publications, a publication platform or publisher site.

Best practice: In order to increase the utility of the publication, beneficiaries are encouraged to provide additional rights, such as the right to copy, distribute, search, link, crawl and mine.


Beneficiaries/authors are encouraged to retain copyright and grant appropriate licences to publishers. They are encouraged to use Creative Commons (CC) or similar licenses. CC BY is a good legal tool for providing open access in its broadest sense.

Open access can be provided through:

a) **publishing in open access ('gold' open access) `**

Publishing in open access' means that open access is provided immediately via the publication venues, at the time that an article is published (i.e. in open access journals/platforms or in 'hybrid' journals combining subscription access and open access to individual articles).

Some publishers levy author processing charges for open access publishing. These costs are eligible (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)), if incurred before the end of the action and if all other eligibility conditions are fulfilled.

 Although publishing in open access already ensures access via the publisher, the beneficiaries must still deposit their publication in a repository (*see below*), in order to ensure the long-term preservation and availability of the publication. This is part of the open access requirement.

b) **self-archiving ('green' open access) `**

Self-archiving' means that the published article or the final peer-reviewed manuscript is archived by the researcher (or a representative) in a repository and made available in open access through that repository.

A 'repository for scientific publications' is an online archive, where researchers deposit research publications, research data and other scientific outputs and can provide open access to them. Repositories help preserve, manage and provide access to scientific outputs. They collect and disseminate digital research outputs from individual research organisations (institutional repositories, e.g. *the repository of University X*) or specific research communities (thematic/disciplinary repositories, e.g. *Europe PMC for life sciences including biomedicine and health* or *arXiv for physics, mathematics, computer science, quantitative biology, quantitative finance and statistics*). They can also be centralised repositories, such as for example *Zenodo*, developed by CERN.

Best practice: Identify the repository that suits your need in the Directory of Open Access Repositories ([OpenDOAR](#)). The Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe ([OpenAIRE](#)) can help you to find such repositories. We recommend that you use a repository that is compliant with the requirements of OpenAIRE and that you use the [OpenAIRE database](#) as a point of departure. OpenAIRE also offers support services for researchers, by providing [information on open access requirements](#), a general [Helpdesk](#) and country-specific helpdesks (National Open Access Desks) that answer questions on open access and Horizon 2020 .

Beneficiaries without institutional/thematic repositories may deposit their publications in [Zenodo](#), at no cost to them.

Beneficiaries should NOT use a repository with rules which could conflict with open access.

A [template](#) for an **open access clause** addendum which can be added to **publishing agreements** to enable open access through self-archiving in repositories is available on the Funding & Tenders Portal.

How? Open access to scientific publications involves four actions:

- 1) **Deposit**, in a repository for scientific publications, a machine-readable electronic copy of the published version of the **publication** (or the final peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication, author's version).

This must be done at the latest on publication (in some cases, the final version can be deposited before publication, e.g. *once accepted by the journal*).

'Machine readable copy' means a format that can be used and understood by a computer; copies must be stored using text file formats that are either standardised or otherwise publicly known, so that anyone can develop new tools for working with them.

Best practice: Where possible, the article as published (in terms of layout, pagination, etc.) should be deposited.

- 2) Provide **open access** to the scientific **publication** — either via open access publishing (i.e. via the publication venue AND via the repository) or as self-archiving (i.e. via the repository only).

Open access must be given:

- in case of 'open access publishing': at the latest on publication
- in all other cases: within 6 months (12 months for publications in the social sciences and humanities)

- 3) Ensure **open access**, via the repository, to certain **bibliographic metadata** that identify the publication

This is needed for visibility, traceability and monitoring.

It must be done in a standard format and include:


- the terms ‘European Union (EU)’ and ‘Horizon 2020’ or ‘Euratom’ and ‘Euratom research and training programme 2014-18’ (depending on the grant)
- the name of the action, acronym and grant number
- the publication date, and length of embargo period if applicable and
- a persistent identifier (*e.g. a stable digital object identifier which identifies the publication and links to an authoritative version*).

Best practice: For ease of tracking, beneficiaries should also include the digital object identifier for ‘Horizon 2020’ (<http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100007601>) in the funding acknowledgement field in their metadata.

The metadata compliance of the repository can be checked using [OpenAIRE](#).

- 4) Aim to **deposit** at the same time with action 1, ideally in a data repository, the **research data** needed to validate the results in the deposited publication.

This is linked to rapid evolution of the concept of ‘publication’ in the digital era. The underlying data needed to validate the results presented in scientific publications is now seen as a crucial part of the publication and therefore an important element of scientific best practice.

 Beneficiaries do NOT have to grant open access to the deposited underlying research data — unless they are participating in the Pilot on Open Research Data (*see below*).


 For more guidance on open access, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

■ **3. Open access to research data (Extended Open Research Data Pilot)**

What? Beneficiaries of actions that participate in the Open Research Data Pilot (ORDP) must:

- develop a **data management plan (DMP)** as a deliverable of the project and
- give **open, free-of-charge access** to the end-user to **digital research data** generated during the action.

The purpose of the ORDP is therefore twofold: research data management and open access.

 As of the Work Programme 2017, the Open Research Data pilot has been extended to all thematic areas of Horizon 2020 (except ERC PoC actions, SME instrument Ph1 actions, ERA-NET Cofund actions that do not produce data, EJP Cofund actions, and prizes).

Participation is therefore now in principle **the default**. However, actions may **opt out** at any stage — both before signing the GA and afterwards (through an amendment; *see Article 55*) —, if:

- participation is incompatible with the obligation to protect results (*see Article 27*)
- participation is incompatible with the security obligations (*see Article 37*)
- participation is incompatible with rules on protection of personal data
- participation would mean that the project's main aim might not be achieved
- the project will not generate/collect any research data or
- there are other legitimate reasons not to take part.

Open access to digital research data means taking measures to make it possible for end-users to **access, mine, exploit, reproduce** and **disseminate** the data via a research data repository without any charges for them.


'Digital research data' are information in digital form (in particular facts or numbers), collected to be examined and used as a basis for reasoning, discussion or calculation; this includes statistics, results of experiments, measurements, observations resulting from fieldwork, survey results, interview recordings and images.

Only data that are generated by beneficiaries in the course of the action or reused digitally *in the action* are concerned. Actions are encouraged to digitise any other data and provide open access to them, but they are not obliged to do so.

The pilot applies to **2 types** of digital research **data**:

- the data needed to validate the results presented in scientific publications and associated metadata (i.e. data describing the deposited research data) and
- other data and associated metadata, as specified by the beneficiaries themselves in their data management plan.

Examples: curated data not directly attributable to a publication or raw data

 Beneficiaries participating in the ORPD should NOT provide open access to specific datasets if this would go against other GA obligations (e.g. to protect results or personal data) or if the action's main objective, as described in Annex 1, would be jeopardised by giving open access to those specific datasets. In this case, the reasons must be explained in the data management plan, which is a deliverable for projects participating in the ORPD, see below).

A 'research data repository' means an online archive for research data; this can be subject-based/thematic, institutional or centralised.

Best practice: Useful listings of repositories include the Registry of Research Data Repositories ([Re3data](#)) and the [Core Trust Seal](#) certified repositories. One key entry point for accessing and depositing related data and tools is [Zenodo](#). For further details on general and discipline-specific repositories visit the [EUDAT Collaborative Data Infrastructure](#).


Actions participating in the pilot must moreover develop a **data management plan (DMP)** as a deliverable of the project within the first 6 months of the project implementation.

The DMP must support the management life-cycle for all data that will be collected, processed or generated by the action. It must cover how to make data findable, accessible, interoperable and re-usable (FAIR), including:

- the handling of data during and after the project
- what data will be generated or reused
- what methodology and standards will be applied
- whether data will be shared / made open access (and how) and, if any, what data will not be shared / made open access (and why) and
- how data will be curated and preserved.

The DMP should be updated (and become more precise) as the project evolves. New versions should be created whenever important changes to the project occur (e.g. *new data sets, changes in consortium policies, etc.*), at least as part of the mid-term review (if any) and at the end of the project.

Best practice: [FAIRsharing](#) provides a curated and searchable portal of data standards, databases, and policies in the life sciences and other scientific disciplines. For more information on disciplinary metadata standards, visit [Digital Curation Centre](#) and [Research Data Alliance Metadata Directory](#). Additional information on DMPs, repositories and the FAIR principles can be found in this [Practical Guide](#).

 For more guidance on data management plans (and a template), see also the [H2020 proposal forms and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

Costs related to the implementation of the ORDP (e.g. costs for providing open access, related research data management costs, data curation and data storage costs) are eligible if incurred before the end of the action and if all the other eligibility conditions are fulfilled (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)).


How? Open access to digital research data involves 3 actions:

- 1) Develop a **data management plan (DMP)** by month six of the project as a deliverable. The DMP is a 'living document' to be revised as appropriate in the duration of the project
- 2) **Deposit** the digital research data, preferably in a research data repository.
- 3) Provide **open access** by taking measures to enable users to access, mine, exploit, reproduce and disseminate the data free of charge (e.g. for databases: by attaching an appropriate creative commons licence ([CC-BY](#) or [CC0 tool](#)) to the data; if the access/use is not subject to any rights: by indicating that no licence is needed).

Open access must not necessarily be given immediately; for data needed to validate the results presented in scientific publications, as soon as possible; for other data, beneficiaries are free to specify embargo periods for their data in the data management plan (as appropriate in their scientific area).

- 4) Provide **information**, via the repository, about **tools and instruments** for validating the results.

Where possible, the beneficiaries should provide those tools and instruments (e.g. specialised software or software code, algorithms, analysis protocols, etc.).

 For more guidance on the research data pilot, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

4. Data sharing for public health emergencies

If foreseen in the work programme/call, grant agreements for health actions will include specific contractual provisions concerning the sharing of research data for public health emergencies.

Public health emergencies are for instance:

- public health emergencies of international concern ('PHEIC') according to the World Health Organisation, or
- public health emergencies under Decision 1082/2013/EU, or
- public health emergencies under applicable national frameworks and regulations.

What? The data-sharing obligations for public health emergencies refer to any type of quality-controlled digital research data (see [point 3](#)) and associated metadata which is generated in the action and is relevant for the response to the public health emergency.


Personal data must be processed in accordance with applicable EU and national law (including international practices and standards; see [Article 39.2](#)).

For H2020 actions *targeting* public health emergencies, the beneficiaries must moreover:

- provide a data management plan (DMP) preferably with the proposal or at the latest before grant signature

The data management plan should address the relevant aspects of making the data findable, accessible, interoperable and re-usable (FAIR), including:

- what data the project will generate
- whether and how the data will be made accessible for verification and re-use and
- how it will be circulated and preserved.

 For more guidance on data management plans (and a template), see *the H2020 proposal forms and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

- for relevant data (as agreed with the Commission/Agency): provide open access within 30 days after it has been generated
- for other data: provide open access as soon as possible.

If agreed with the Commission/Agency, the open access obligation can exceptionally be replaced by special access rights to specific third parties that need the data to address the public health emergency.

In this case, the access rights must include the right to access, mine, exploit and reproduce the data free of charge (as further specified by the Commission/Agency, if needed) — but they may be used only for the access needed and only for addressing the public health emergency (i.e. limited both in scope and in time). Sub-licensing is only allowed if the beneficiary agrees.


For *other* H2020 actions, the special open access obligation (or the special access rights to specific third parties) is linked to a specific request by the Commission/Agency and limited to datasets agreed with the Commission/Agency.

5. Visibility of EU funding

Any dissemination of results (in any form), even when combined with other data, must include the reference to EU funding set out in the GA (see [Article 38](#)).

Best practice: Where possible, beneficiaries should make this reference in the language of the dissemination activity (using the text of the GA language version available on [Funding & Tenders Portal Reference Documents](#)).

Do NOT refer to EU funding when describing outputs of activities that are not described in Annex I, i.e. outputs developed outside the action (in other words for dissemination activities which do not concern results of the action).

 **Combining H2020 & other EU grants** — If the outputs were developed in another EU-funded action (funded not by H2020, but another EU programme, including Structural Funds/ESIF Funds), do NOT forget the visibility obligations under those grant agreements.

ARTICLE 30 — TRANSFER AND LICENSING OF RESULTS**ARTICLE 30 — TRANSFER AND LICENSING OF RESULTS****30.1 Transfer of ownership**

Each beneficiary may transfer ownership of its results.

It must however ensure that its obligations under Articles 26.2, 26.4, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 also apply to the new owner and that this owner has the obligation to pass them on in any subsequent transfer.

This does not change the security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.

Unless agreed otherwise (in writing) for specifically-identified third parties or unless impossible under applicable EU and national laws on mergers and acquisitions, a beneficiary that intends to transfer ownership of results must give at least 45 days advance notice (or less if agreed in writing) to the other beneficiaries that still have (or still may request) access rights to the results. This notification must include sufficient information on the new owner to enable any beneficiary concerned to assess the effects on its access rights.

Unless agreed otherwise (in writing) for specifically-identified third parties, any other beneficiary may object within 30 days of receiving notification (or less if agreed in writing), if it can show that the transfer would adversely affect its access rights. In this case, the transfer may not take place until agreement has been reached between the beneficiaries concerned.

30.2 Granting licences

Each beneficiary may grant licences to its results (or otherwise give the right to exploit them), if:

- (a) this does not impede the access rights under Article 31 and
- (b) *[OPTION 1 if additional exploitation obligations in Annex 1: the beneficiary complies with its additional exploitation obligations (see Article 28.1 and Annex 1)] [OPTION 2: not applicable].*

In addition to Points (a) and (b), exclusive licences for results may be granted only if all the other beneficiaries concerned have waived their access rights (see Article 31.1).

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29 or security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.

30.3 [Commission][Agency] right to object to transfers or licensing

[OPTION 1 for EU grants: The [Commission][Agency] may — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 — object to a transfer of ownership or the exclusive licensing of results, if:

- (a) *it is to a third party established in a non-EU country not associated with Horizon 2020 and*
- (b) *the [Commission][Agency] considers that the transfer or licence is not in line with EU interests regarding competitiveness or is inconsistent with ethical principles or security considerations.*

A beneficiary that intends to transfer ownership or grant an exclusive licence must formally notify the [Commission][Agency] before the intended transfer or licensing takes place and:

- *identify the specific results concerned;*
- *describe in detail the new owner or licensee and the planned or potential exploitation of the results, and*
- *include a reasoned assessment of the likely impact of the transfer or licence on EU competitiveness and its consistency with ethical principles and security considerations.*

The [Commission][Agency] may request additional information.

If the [Commission][Agency] decides to object to a transfer or exclusive licence, it must formally notify the beneficiary concerned within 60 days of receiving notification (or any additional information it has requested).

No transfer or licensing may take place in the following cases:

pending the [Commission][Agency] decision, within the period set out above;

if the [Commission][Agency] objects;

until the conditions are complied with, if the [Commission][Agency] objection comes with conditions.]

[OPTION 2 for Euratom grants: *The Commission may [OPTION:— up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 —] object to a transfer of ownership or the exclusive or non-exclusive licensing of results, if:*

- (a) it is to a third party established in a non-EU country not associated to the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018, and*
- (b) the Commission considers that the transfer or licence is not in line with the EU interests regarding competitiveness or is inconsistent with ethical principles or security considerations.*

Security considerations include the defence interests of the Member States under Article 24 of the Euratom Treaty.

A beneficiary that intends to transfer ownership or grant a licence must formally notify the Commission before the intended transfer or licensing takes place and:

- identify the specific results concerned;*
- describe in detail the results, the new owner or licensee and the planned or potential exploitation of the results, and*
- include a reasoned assessment of the likely impact of the transfer or licence on EU competitiveness and its consistency with ethical principles and security considerations.*

The Commission may request additional information.

If the Commission decides to object to a transfer or licence, it will formally notify the beneficiary concerned within 60 days of receiving notification (or any additional information requested).

No transfer or licensing may take place in the following cases:

pending the Commission decision, within the period set out above;

if the Commission objects;

until the conditions are complied with, if the Commission objection comes with conditions]

[OPTION 3: Not applicable]

30.4 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such a breach may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Transfers of ownership

The beneficiaries may **transfer ownership** of their results.

⚠ Security obligations — Transfer may be restricted/NOT possible for results that are subject to **limited disclosure/dissemination** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

i For more guidance on security obligations, see *the [Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).*

The beneficiaries must however ensure that their **obligations** (regarding the results) apply to the **new owner** and that this new owner would pass them on in any subsequent transfer (*e.g. by including this in their arrangements with the new owner*).

Obligations that must be extended to new owners:

- Joint ownership-related obligations (see [Article 26.2](#))
- EU/Euratom/Agency's right to assume ownership, to protect results (see [Article 26.4](#))
- Protection of results and visibility of EU funding (see [Article 27](#))
- Exploitation of results and visibility of EU funding (see [Article 28](#))
- Dissemination of results, open access and visibility of EU funding (see [Article 29](#))
- Transfer and licensing of results (see [Article 30](#))
- Access rights to result (see [Article 31](#)).

When transferring ownership, they must also consider the **other beneficiaries'** legitimate **interests**.

The beneficiary that intends to make the transfer must give the other beneficiaries (that still have or still may request access rights) at least **45 days** (or less if agreed in writing) **advance notice** (together with sufficient information to allow them to properly assess the extent to which their access rights may be affected).

Any other beneficiary (with such access rights) may **object** to the transfer within **30 days** (or less if agreed in writing) of receiving notification, if it can show that it would adversely affect its access rights. In this case, the transfer may not take place, until the beneficiaries concerned reach an agreement.

The mere fact that the results concerned are transferred to a competitor is NOT in itself a valid reason for an objection. The beneficiary concerned must demonstrate the adverse effects on the exercise of its access rights.

Example (adverse effect): *Beneficiary A intends to transfer ownership of a new process it created during the course of an action to a competitor of beneficiary B. If beneficiary B shows that its access rights would be adversely affected by such a transfer (for instance, because the competitor has a proven track record of systematically legally challenging beneficiary B's claims), the transfer may not take place until the two beneficiaries reach an agreement.*

Specific cases (transfers of ownership of results):

Mergers & acquisitions (M&A) — If a transfer of ownership is not explicit (through an 'intended' transfer) but part of a take-over or merger of two companies, confidentiality constraints normally prevail (under M&A rules). Therefore, it may be necessary to inform the other beneficiaries only *after* the merger/acquisition took place (instead of *before*).

Specifically-identified third parties — The beneficiaries may (by prior written agreement) waive their right to object to transfers of ownership to a *specifically-identified* third party (e.g. *the mother company or an affiliate of one of them*). In this case, there is no need to inform them of such transfers in advance (and they do not have the right to object).

Before agreeing to such a global authorisation, beneficiaries should carefully consider the situation (and in particular the identity of the third party concerned), to determine if their access rights would be affected.

Example: *For large industrial groups, it is sometimes clear from the beginning that all results produced will be transferred to another entity of the group, without being detrimental to the other beneficiaries (who agreed to the global authorisation).*

In security-related actions, transfers to third parties should only be decided on a case-by-case basis and should be handled with the greatest caution.

If the Commission/Agency has the right to object to transfers (see *point 3*), the beneficiary must **formally notify in advance** (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)) any transfer to a specifically-identified third party established in a non-associated third country — and the Commission/Agency may object.

Joint research units (JRUs) — Where the internal arrangements of a JRU state that any results produced by one member are owned jointly by all members, the JRU member that is the beneficiary must ensure that it complies with the obligations under the GA on transfers (placing results under joint ownership of the JRU is a form of transfer).

Common legal structures — Common legal structures (CLS) (i.e. entities representing several other legal entities, e.g. *European Economic Interest Groupings (EEIG) or associations*) that are beneficiaries of an action may want to transfer ownership to one (or more) of their members. This is not prohibited; however the normal rules on transfers apply (e.g. *access rights have to remain available*).

Best practice: Beneficiaries that are members of a common legal structure are strongly advised to agree on specific arrangements with the other members of the CLS, in particular relating to ownership and access rights.

2. Granting licences


The beneficiaries may grant **licences** to their results.

They must however ensure that **access rights** can be exercised and that any **additional exploitation obligations** are complied with.

Exclusive licences (e.g. for commercial exploitation) may be granted only if all other beneficiaries have waived their access rights and other access rights are preserved, (e.g. the access rights of the EU, Member States and third parties; see [Article 31](#)).

3. Commission/Agency right to object to transfers or exclusive licensing

If the GA provides for this option, the Commission/Agency may **object** to transfers or *exclusive* licences (or, for Euratom grants, also *non-exclusive* licences) to third parties established in a non-associated third country.

 As of the [Work Programme 2018](#), the calls will normally already indicate if this option will be activated in the GAs. The option may however still be activated (without such indication):

- for calls which do not fix the type of research and innovation activities (“bottom-up” calls, such as FET Open or MSCA) or
- in other calls, exceptionally, if justified by the nature of the research and innovation activities or other action-related reasons.

Grounds for objection:

- Planned transfer/licence not in line with EU **competitiveness interests**

Example: if the transfer or licence would create a major competitive disadvantage for European companies or could make the results commercially unavailable on fair and reasonable conditions in the EU

- Planned transfer/licence not consistent with **ethical principles**

Example: if the transfer or license could cause the results to be used in a way that is not in accordance with the fundamental ethical rules and principles recognised at EU and international level

- Planned transfer/licence not consistent with **security considerations** (including, for Euratom grants, the Member States' defence interests under Article 24 of the Euratom Treaty).

Example: if the transfer or licence could make results considered significant from a security standpoint not readily available in the EU, or if security-sensitive results could fall into the hands of third parties that are considered a security risk

This right does NOT apply to results generated by beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see [Article 9](#)).

The beneficiary must **formally notify** the Commission/Agency **in advance** (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)) of any planned transfer or exclusive licence (and, for Euratom grants, also of any non-exclusive licence).

A notification before the results are generated is allowed, if the specific results concerned (and the details of the transfer/licence) can already be identified.

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

31.1 Exercise of access rights — Waiving of access rights — No sub-licensing

The conditions set out in Article 25.1 apply.

The obligations set out in this Article do not change the security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.

31.2 Access rights for other beneficiaries, for implementing their own tasks under the action

The beneficiaries must give each other access — on a royalty-free basis — to results needed for implementing their own tasks under the action.

31.3 Access rights for other beneficiaries, for exploiting their own results

The beneficiaries must give each other — under fair and reasonable conditions (see [Article 25.3](#)) — access to results needed for exploiting their own results.

Requests for access may be made — unless agreed otherwise — up to one year after the period set out in Article 3.

31.4 Access rights of affiliated entities

Unless agreed otherwise in the consortium agreement, access to results must also be given — under fair and reasonable conditions (Article 25.3) — to affiliated entities established in an EU Member State or associated country, if this is needed for those entities to exploit the results generated by the beneficiaries to which they are affiliated.

Unless agreed otherwise (see above; Article 31.1), the affiliated entity concerned must make any such request directly to the beneficiary that owns the results.

Requests for access may be made — unless agreed otherwise — up to one year after the period set out in Article 3.

31.5 Access rights for the EU institutions, bodies, offices or agencies and EU Member States

[OPTION 1 by default for EU grants: The beneficiaries must give access to their results — on a royalty-free basis — to EU institutions, bodies, offices or agencies, for developing, implementing or monitoring EU policies or programmes.

Such access rights are limited to non-commercial and non-competitive use.

This does not change the right to use any material, document or information received from the beneficiaries for communication and publicising activities (see [Article 38.2](#)).]

[OPTION 2 for calls under Specific Objective ‘Secure societies — Protecting freedom and security of Europe and its citizens’: The beneficiaries must give access to their results — on a royalty-free basis — to EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies as well as EU Member States’ national authorities, necessary for developing, implementing or monitoring their policies or programmes in this area.

Such access rights are limited to non-commercial and non-competitive use.

Access is conditional on an agreement to define specific conditions ensuring that:

- (a) the access will be used only for the intended purpose and*
- (b) appropriate confidentiality obligations are in place.*

The requesting EU Member State or EU institution, body, office or agency must inform all other EU Member States of such a request.

This does not change the security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.]

[OPTION 3 for Euratom grants: *The beneficiaries must give access to their results — on a royalty-free basis — to the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) and its joint undertakings, for developing, implementing and monitoring Euratom policies and programmes or for compliance with obligations assumed through international cooperation with third countries and international organisations.*

As an exception to Article 31.1, such access rights include the right to authorise third parties to use the results in public procurement and the right to sublicense and are limited to non-commercial and non-competitive use.]

31.6 Access rights for third parties

[OPTION 1a for additional access rights for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: *The beneficiaries must give — under the conditions set out in Article 31.2 and 31.3 — access to their results to complementary beneficiaries⁴⁷, for the purposes of the complementary grant agreement(s) (see [Article 2](#)).]*

[OPTION 1b for additional access rights for interoperability if foreseen in the work programme: *The beneficiaries must give third parties — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 and [OPTION A: under fair and reasonable conditions (see [Article 25.3](#))] [OPTION B: on a royalty-free basis] — access to their results needed for interoperability.]*

[OPTION 1c for additional access rights for cross-border interoperability if foreseen in the work programme: *The beneficiaries must give third parties — up to four years after the period set out in Article 3 and on a royalty-free basis — access to their results needed for interoperability, in particular for implementing the results in EU Member States or associated countries that are not participating in the action.*

Beneficiaries must give access to software components under an EU public licence (or compatible licences) and must comply with any additional requirements set out in Annex 1.]

[OPTION 1d for trans-national access to research infrastructure: *The access provider must give the users royalty-free access to the results needed to implement the action.]*

[OPTION 2: *Not applicable]*

31.7 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Access to results

What & When? The beneficiaries must provide **access to results**, if it is needed:

- by another beneficiary, for implementing action tasks or exploiting results
- by an affiliated entity of another beneficiary (established in a EU Member State or H2020 associated country), to exploit the results produced by the beneficiary to which it is affiliated — unless otherwise provided for in the consortium agreement.

There is NO definition of 'needed'. The beneficiary owning the results has to assess (on a case by case basis and taking into account the action's specificities), if the requesting beneficiary needs the access (and may refuse it, if it does not).

Example (results needed for implementation): *if without these results, action tasks could not be implemented, would be significantly delayed or would require significant additional financial or human resources.*

Example (background needed for exploitation): *if without these results, exploiting a result would be technically or legally impossible or if significant additional R&D work would have to be carried out outside of the action to develop an alternative equivalent solution.*

Best practice: To avoid conflicts, beneficiaries should agree (e.g. in the consortium agreement) on a common interpretation of what is needed.

The other beneficiaries may **waive their access rights**, provided that such a waiver is made in writing.

Best practice: Waivers should be made only on a case-by-case basis, once the results have been correctly identified, and should not be broader than what is actually necessary.

Examples:

1. *If a waiver is made to allow for an exclusive licence, this waiver should not be broader than what is required for the purpose of the licence (regarding application fields, geographical coverage, etc.).*

2. *If a waiver is made to allow for an exclusive licence, it may be wise that the beneficiaries agree that the waiver will lapse, if the license is not granted within a certain period or if the results concerned are not exploited by the licensee within a certain period.*

How? Access rights are not automatic; they must be **requested** (in writing).

Best practice: Beneficiaries may use their internal rules to specify how to make such written requests.

It may be requested even from beneficiaries who left the action before the end, under the same conditions as from active participants.

For affiliated entities (established in a EU Member State or H2020 associated country), access must be requested directly from the beneficiary owning the results. However, the beneficiary owning the results may agree to a different arrangement.

Access to results for exploitation may be requested up to one year after the period set out in [Article 3](#) — unless the beneficiaries agreed on another time limit.

The **agreement** by the beneficiary owning the results (on the request for access) may be in any form (tacit, explicit, in writing or oral).

In case of **disagreement**, the requesting beneficiary can better substantiate its request, withdraw it or resort to the conflict resolution procedures foreseen by the consortium (e.g. in the consortium agreement).

If a conflict on access rights to results is likely to affect the action implementation, the beneficiaries must immediately **inform** the Commission/Agency (see [Article 17.2](#)).

2. Conditions for access: Royalty free — Fair and reasonable conditions

Access must be given:

- for the implementation of action tasks: royalty-free
- for the exploitation of results: under fair and reasonable conditions

'Fair and reasonable conditions' means appropriate conditions, including possible financial terms (i.e. monetary compensation), non-financial terms or royalty-free conditions, taking into account the specific terms of the granted access.

Fair and reasonable conditions includes also royalty-free conditions (⚠️ **new in Horizon 2020**).

Examples (monetary compensation): a lump sum, a royalty percentage, or a combination of both.

Examples (non-financial terms): a requirement to grant access to technology it has, or to agree on cooperation in a different field or in a future project.

Best practice: Beneficiaries must agree on what constitutes fair and reasonable conditions, preferably in writing.

Royalty fees paid for access may be eligible **costs** (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)).

3. Scope of access: Sublicensing/Licensing — Additional access rights — More favourable terms — Additional conditions

The access rights set out in the GA **cover** only the **access needed**.

Access rights do NOT automatically give the right to the requesting beneficiary to **sub-licence**. (If this were the case, access rights to results would be extended — without consent — to virtually any company in the world, including the beneficiary's competitors).

Sub-licensing is only allowed if the beneficiary owning the results agrees — although such agreement should not be unduly refused, if the sublicensing is necessary. In this case the sub-licensing does not have to be royalty-free (even if the access rights concerned are) and can itself be made subject to specific conditions.

Examples:

1. A university may need the right to sub-license access to results to third parties, to make it possible to derive value from its own results.

2. In large industrial groups it is quite common that research is conducted by one affiliate and exploitation by one or several other affiliates. Access rights enjoyed by the 'research affiliate' but not by the 'exploitation affiliate(s)' would raise problems for them.

Best practice: The beneficiaries should agree on the terms and conditions of the sub-licensing generally and in writing (in the consortium agreement or separately).

Examples: In such an agreement, they could foresee that sub-licensing could apply to the results (or part of them), but not to the background; sub-licensing could apply to affiliates of (some of) the beneficiaries, but not to other third parties.

The beneficiaries remain free to grant **licenses** (including quasi-exclusive licenses) to their own results, as long as they can guarantee that all the access rights can be exercised. They can even grant an exclusive licence, if the other beneficiaries have waived their access rights.

Beneficiaries are free to grant **additional access** rights to results, beyond the rights foreseen in the GA.

Examples: Additional access rights for third parties (e.g. affiliated entities not established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country).

Best practice: Such additional provisions may be included in the consortium agreement or in a separate agreement.

Access may also be granted on **more favourable** terms (e.g. include the right to sub-licence) or be made subject to **additional conditions** (e.g. appropriate confidentiality obligations).

Example:

The beneficiary owning the results agrees that another beneficiary may sublicense its access rights to results to its affiliated entity.

Best practice: For legal certainty, beneficiaries should to specify these terms or conditions in writing.

Once obtained, access rights may be exercised as long as agreed by the concerned beneficiaries (e.g. until the patent expires).

4. Specific access rights for EU institutions, bodies, offices or agencies and EU Member States

If the GA provides for this option, EU institutions, bodies, offices or agencies and/or EU Member States have specific access rights for policy purposes.

5. Specific access rights for Euratom and its joint undertakings

If the GA provides for this option, Euratom and its joint undertakings (such as the Fusion for Energy joint undertaking) have royalty-free access, for:

- developing, implementing and monitoring Euratom policies and programmes
- complying with Euratom's obligations under international research and cooperation agreements in the field of nuclear energy.

These access rights include the right to **sub-license** (e.g. to third parties involved in such an international agreements) or to give the results for use in public procurement, as long as they are only used for non-commercial and non-competitive purposes.

Example:

Euratom is part of the ITER agreement and is committed to disseminating information on technological solutions developed in the context of ITER projects, and to sharing them on a non-discriminatory basis with other ITER members and ITER itself. It does this by giving ITER and ITER members royalty-free licences, including the right to sub-license, for the intellectual property produced, so that they can publicly sponsor fusion and research programmes.

6. Specific access rights for third parties

If the GA provides for this option, there are specific access rights for third parties, i.e.:

- for beneficiaries of complementary grants (depending on the case, royalty-free or under fair and reasonable conditions)
- The additional access rights to results for complementary grant agreements apply ONLY if (and to the extent that) the access is needed for the purposes of the complementary grant (i.e. the access is needed for implementing the action tasks under the complementary grant or for exploiting the results of the beneficiaries in the complementary grant).
- for third parties that need it for (cross-border) interoperability (depending on the case, royalty-free or under fair and reasonable conditions)
- for users of a research infrastructure in actions with trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure under [Article 16](#) (royalty-free).

These access rights are limited to the research work of the user under the grant (i.e. what he needs for his research work while he uses the research infrastructure).

SECTION 4 OTHER RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RESEARCHERS

SECTION 4 OTHER RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RESEARCHERS

32.1 Obligation to take measures to implement the European Charter for Researchers and Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers

The beneficiaries must take all measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the **European Charter** for Researchers and the **Code of Conduct** for the Recruitment of Researchers⁴⁸, in particular regarding:

- **working conditions**;
- transparent **recruitment** processes based on merit, and
- **career development**.

The beneficiaries must ensure that researchers and third parties involved in the action are aware of them.

32.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches its obligations under this Article, the *[Commission][Agency]* may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.

⁴⁸ Commission Recommendation 2005/251/EC of 11 March 2005 on the European Charter for Researchers and on a Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers (OJ L 75, 22.3.2005, p. 67).



1. European Charter and Code of Conduct for Researchers

The beneficiaries must take all measures to implement the principles set out in the **European Charter for Researchers**⁴⁹ and the **Code of Conduct for their Recruitment**.⁵⁰

The **Charter** provides a framework for researchers' activities and career management, and includes obligations for researchers, employers and funders. The **Code of Conduct** provides for transparency to the recruitment and selection process, ensuring the equal treatment of all applicants. It includes obligations for employers and funders.

⚠ This is a **best effort obligation**: The beneficiaries must be proactive and take specific steps to address conflicts between their policies and practices and the principles set out in the Charter and Code of Conduct.

⚠ Record-keeping — Beneficiaries should keep appropriate **documentation** about the steps taken and measures put in place (see [Article 18](#)).

⁴⁹ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/europeanCharter>

⁵⁰ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/codeOfConduct>

The Commission/Agency will verify compliance with this obligation, when monitoring the action implementation and in case of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see [Article 22](#)).

2. Recruitment, working conditions and career development — Rights for the researchers

The beneficiaries must in particular implement the [General Principles and Requirements of the Charter](#)⁵¹ and of the [Code of Conduct](#)⁵² that relate to recruitment, working conditions and career development.

List of principles (relating to working conditions):

- Recruitment
- Transparency
- Judging merit
- Selection
- Variations in the chronological order of CVs
- Recognition of mobility experience
- Recognition of qualifications
- Seniority
- Postdoctoral appointments

According to these principles, beneficiaries should have a **clear policy for recruiting and selecting researchers**, which is publicly available and ensures that:

- all research vacancies and funding opportunities are publically advertised (*e.g. via the [EURAXESS Jobs Portal](#)*⁵³)
- vacancies and funding opportunities are also published in English
- vacancy announcements include a clear job description
- vacancy announcements include the requirements for the position or the funding opportunity, and the selection criteria
- there is an appropriate time period left between publication and the deadline for applications
- there are clear rules for the composition of the selection panels (*e.g. number and role of members, inclusion of experts from other (foreign) institutions, gender balance*)
- adequate feedback is given to applicants
- there is a complaint mechanism
- the selection criteria adequately value mobility, qualifications and experience, including qualifications and experience obtained in non-standard or informal ways.

These principles also apply to selection procedures that do not lead to formal employment relationship (*e.g. award of a research fellowship*).

⁵¹ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/europeanCharter>

⁵² Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/rights/codeOfConduct>

⁵³ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/jobs>

List of principles (relating to working conditions):

- Research freedom
- Accountability
- Non-discrimination
- Working conditions
- Research environment
- Funding and salaries (in particular, adequate social security)
- Stability and permanence of employment
- Gender balance
- Intellectual Property Rights
- Complaints/appeals and
- Participation in decision-making bodies.

List of principles (relating to career development):

- Career development
- Access to research training and continuous development (independently of the researcher's status)
- Value of mobility
- Access to career advice
- Supervision
- Evaluation/appraisal systems.

 For more guidance on researcher rights, see *the Human Resources Strategy for Researchers tool*.

ARTICLE 33 — GENDER EQUALITY

ARTICLE 33 — GENDER EQUALITY

33.1 Obligation to aim for gender equality

The beneficiaries must take all measures to promote **equal opportunities** between men and women in the implementation of the action. They must aim, to the extent possible, for a gender balance at all levels of personnel assigned to the action, including at supervisory and managerial level.


33.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches its obligations under this Article, the [Commission][Agency] may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Gender equality — Equal opportunities

The beneficiaries must aim — to the extent possible — for a gender balance at all levels of personnel assigned to the action, including at the supervisory and managerial levels.


-  This is a **best effort obligation**: The beneficiaries must:
- aim for the balanced participation of women and men in their research teams
 - be proactive in ensuring gender balance among the individuals who are primarily responsible for carrying out the work (in accordance with the categories defined in the monitoring system).

 **Record-keeping** — Beneficiaries should keep appropriate **documentation** about the steps taken and measures put in place (see [Article 18](#)).

Examples (measures to promote equal opportunities): transparency of recruitment and advancement processes, including gender-sensitive language in vacancies and job-descriptions; plans and conditions for career advancement; transparent wage classification and grading of jobs; development of leadership opportunities; gender planning and budgeting; gender impact assessment of new policies; climate surveys of institutions; adoption of family-friendly policies; promotion of mobility and dual-career couples.

If a beneficiary cannot achieve the balanced participation of women and men in its team despite active recruitment efforts, the reasons should moreover be explained in the first **periodic technical report** and in the **final report** (see [Articles 20.3](#) and [20.4](#)).

The Commission/Agency will verify compliance with this obligation, when monitoring the action implementation and in case of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see [Article 22](#)).

 For more guidance on gender in research, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

ARTICLE 34 — ETHICS AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY

ARTICLE 34 — ETHICS AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY

34.1 Obligation to comply with ethical and research integrity principles

The beneficiaries must carry out the action in compliance with:

- (a) ethical principles (including the highest standards of research integrity)
- and
- (b) applicable international, EU and national law.

Funding will not be granted for activities carried out outside the EU if they are prohibited in all Member States or for activities which destroy human embryos (for example, for obtaining stem cells).

The beneficiaries must ensure that the activities under the action have an exclusive focus on civil applications.

The beneficiaries must ensure that the activities under the action do not:

- (a) aim at human cloning for reproductive purposes;
- (b) intend to modify the genetic heritage of human beings which could make such changes heritable (with the exception of research relating to cancer treatment of the gonads, which may be financed), or
- (c) intend to create human embryos solely for the purpose of research or for the purpose of stem cell procurement, including by means of somatic cell nuclear transfer.

In addition, the beneficiaries must respect the fundamental principle of research integrity — as set out in the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity⁴⁹.

This implies notably compliance with the following fundamental principles:

- reliability in ensuring the quality of research reflected in the design, the methodology, the analysis and the use of resources;
- honesty in developing, undertaking, reviewing, reporting and communicating research in a transparent, fair and unbiased way;
- respect for colleagues, research participants, society, ecosystems, cultural heritage and the environment;
- accountability for the research from idea to publication, for its management and organization, for training, supervision and mentoring, and for its wider impacts

and means that beneficiaries must ensure that persons carrying out research tasks follow the good research practices and refrain from the research integrity violations described in this Code.

This does not change the other obligations under this Agreement or obligations under applicable international, EU or national law, all of which still apply.

⁴⁹ The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity of ALLEA (All European Academies) and ESF (European Science Foundation) of March 2011. http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/hi/h2020-ethics_code-of-conduct_en.pdf

34.2 Activities raising ethical issues

Activities raising ethical issues must comply with the ‘**ethics requirements**’ set out as deliverables in Annex 1.

Before the beginning of an activity raising an ethical issue, each beneficiary must have obtained:

- (a) any ethics committee opinion required under national law and
- (b) any notification or authorisation for activities raising ethical issues required under national and/or European law

needed for implementing the action tasks in question.

The documents must be kept on file and be submitted upon request by the coordinator to the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52). If they are not in English, they must be submitted together with an English summary, which shows that the action tasks in question are covered and includes the conclusions of the committee or authority concerned (if available).

34.3 Activities involving human embryos or human embryonic stem cells

Activities involving research on human embryos or human embryonic stem cells may be carried out, in addition to Article 34.1, only if:

- they are set out in Annex 1 or
- the coordinator has obtained explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52).

34.4 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)) and the Agreement or participation of the beneficiary may be terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Ethical principles

The beneficiaries must carry out the action in compliance with:

- ethical principles (including the highest standards of research integrity) and
- applicable international, EU and national law.

Main ethical principles:


- Respecting human dignity and integrity
- Ensuring honesty and transparency towards research subjects and notably getting free and informed consent (as well as assent whenever relevant)
- Protecting vulnerable persons
- Ensuring privacy and confidentiality
- Promoting justice and inclusiveness
- Minimising harm and maximising benefit
- Sharing the benefits with disadvantaged populations, especially if the research is being carried out in developing countries

- Maximising animal welfare, in particular by ensuring replacement, reduction and refinement ('3Rs') in animal research
- Respecting and protecting the environment and future generations

The key sources of **EU and international law** are the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) and its Protocols (for other texts). Another important source is the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD).

Compliance to the ethical principles and legislation is ensured by the **H2020 ethics appraisal scheme** (i.e. the H2020 policy on ethics issues in research), which includes all of the following:

- ethics *self*-assessment (by the applicants, in their proposal)
- two-stage ethics review, with an ethics screening and, if necessary, an ethics assessment (by the Commission/Agency, during the selection procedure)
- if necessary, ethics checks, reviews and audits (during the implementation of the action and up to two years afterwards; see [Article 22](#)).

 For more guidance on ethics, see [How to complete your ethics self-assessment, Research involving dual use items, Research focusing exclusively on civil applications, Potential misuse of research results and more generally, the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

2. Activities carried out outside the EU

Activities carried out in a non-EU country must comply with the laws of that country AND be allowed in at least one EU Member State.

The beneficiaries must confirm in the ethics self-assessment section of their proposal that this condition is met.

3. Exclusive focus on civil applications

Activities under the action must have an exclusive focus on civil applications.

This does not mean that the research results cannot peripherally be useful in a military context. Research related to *dual-use* products or technologies (usually used for civilian purposes but with possible military applications) is not prohibited. However, activities that *focus* on military applications will NOT be funded.

4. Research integrity

In order to ensure the necessary level of research integrity, the beneficiaries must follow principles listed in this Article and ensure that the persons carrying out research tasks comply with the [European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity](#)⁵⁴ (i.e. follow the good research practices listed in this Code and refrain from any research integrity violations it describes).

Fundamental research integrity principles:

- **reliability** in ensuring the quality of research reflected in the design, the methodology, the analysis and the use of resources

⁵⁴ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/hi/h2020-ethics_code-of-conduct_en.pdf.

- **honesty** in developing, undertaking, reviewing, reporting and communicating research in a transparent, fair and unbiased way
- **respect** for colleagues, research participants, society, ecosystems, cultural heritage and the environment
- **accountability** for the research from the idea to publication, for its management and organisation, for training, supervision and mentoring, and for its wider impacts.

The Code constitutes a general reference framework and takes into account the legitimate interests of the beneficiaries (i.e. regarding IPRs and data sharing).

This does not change the other obligations under this Agreement or obligations under applicable international, EU or national law, all of which still apply. In addition, beneficiaries should rely on local, national or discipline-specific guidelines, if such documents exist and are not contrary to the Code.

3.0 ▶▶

The detailed research integrity obligations were introduced with GA version 3.0. For older grant agreements, these obligations were however already implicitly included in Article 34 (which already provided for the more general obligation to comply with the highest standards of research integrity and the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity).

5. Activities raising ethics issues

If the ethics review (carried out by the Commission/Agency during the selection procedure) identifies an ethics issue, the Commission/Agency will define **ethics requirements** and include them as **deliverables** in Annex 1 of the GA.

***Examples (ethics issues):** involvement of patients, volunteers, children or vulnerable populations; use of human (embryonic) stem cells; implication of developing countries; collecting and processing of personal data; use of animals; risk of environmental impact; risk of malevolent use or misuse of research results.*

***Examples (ethics deliverables):** to submit to the Commission/Agency a report on certain ethics issues during the course of the action.*

Other ethics requirements may have been required already before GA signature.

***Examples (other ethics requirements):** confirmation that the research data of this study will not be transferred outside the EU.*

In addition, the beneficiary must obtain — before the start of the activity for which it is needed — all the necessary **ethics opinions, notifications** and **authorisations** (e.g. to ethics committees, data protection authorities, dual-use authorities, etc.).

Best practice: When preparing the applications for such opinions/notifications/ authorisations, beneficiaries should request the assistance of ethics experts, research ethics departments/committees and of their organisation's data protection officer (DPO).

⚠ Record-keeping — The documents no longer need to be submitted before the start of the action, but the beneficiary must keep them on file and provide them on request to the Commission/Agency (e.g. in case of ethics reviews, checks or audits; see [Article 18](#)).

The beneficiary must be able to show that the opinions/authorisations/notifications cover the tasks to be undertaken in the context of the action.

If the documents are not in English, the beneficiary may be asked to provide an English summary.

This summary should show that the opinions/authorisations/notifications cover the action activities and should include conclusions, recommendations and, if applicable, conditions imposed (e.g. *the use of animals is authorised but limited to a certain number*).

Translation costs may (exceptionally) be charged to the action (see [Article 6.2.D.3](#)) — at the rate of non-official translations.

The Commission/Agency may carry out **ethics checks, reviews or audits**, to ensure that the beneficiaries have properly implemented the ethics requirements and obtained the opinions/notifications/authorisations (see [Article 22](#)).

6. Activities involving human embryos (hE) or human embryonic stem cells (hESC)

Activities that involve human embryos (hE) or human embryonic stem cells (hESC) can only be funded, if:

- they comply with the [Statement of the European Commission related to research activities involving human embryonic stem cells](#)⁵⁵ (in particular, do NOT result in the destruction of human embryos)

and

- they are set out in Annex 1 or
- the coordinator has obtained explicit approval by the Commission/Agency.

These activities raise ethics issues and must comply with the rules above (and in particular the ethics requirements set out in Annex 1; see *point 5*).

⁵⁵ Available at <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2013:373:0012:0015:EN:PDF>

ARTICLE 35 — CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

ARTICLE 35 — CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

35.1 Obligation to avoid a conflict of interests

The beneficiaries must take all measures to prevent any situation where the impartial and objective implementation of the action is compromised for reasons involving economic interest, political or national affinity, family or emotional ties or any other shared interest ('**conflict of interests**').

They must formally notify to the [Commission][Agency] without delay any situation constituting or likely to lead to a conflict of interests and immediately take all the necessary steps to rectify this situation.

The [Commission][Agency] may verify that the measures taken are appropriate and may require additional measures to be taken by a specified deadline.

35.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)) and the Agreement or participation of the beneficiary may be terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Conflicts of interests

The beneficiaries must ensure that the action is implemented impartially and objectively, as described in the GA. They must do their best to avoid conflicts of interest.

A 'conflict of interests' exists if **shared interests**:

- influenced the contract's/subcontract's selection/award procedure
- influenced the contract's/subcontract's price and this does not correspond to the market price or
- affected the action's performance, as measured by the appropriate quality standards.

These interests may be:

- **economic interests** (e.g. *unjustified and preferential contracts or subcontracts with connected companies (not based on best value for money, technical merit, etc.)*)

Examples:

- 1.** A beneficiary subcontracts work to another legal entity at above the market prices because it is a shareholder or has economic interests in this other legal entity.
 - 2.** A university subcontracts work to a consultancy firm owned by a professor carrying out part of the work for the project in which the university participates.
 - 3.** A university gives a preferential subcontract to its spin-off company: the contract is not based on the best value for money principle (i.e. the price is higher than the general market price for the same type of service).
- **political or national affinity** (e.g. *beneficiaries or third parties are chosen, or research-related decisions are adopted, based on political considerations, connections or national affinity*)

***Example:** The choice of an action's demonstration site is based on national affinities, not on the site's merits.*

- **family or emotional ties** (e.g. contracts or subcontracts made with family members for their benefit)

***Example:** A husband works for a beneficiary who subcontracts work to an SME owned by his wife.*

- **other shared interests.**

Examples:

***1.** If a beneficiary or third party participates in the action not because of its technical capacity and objective merits, but because it has a close relationship with someone else working for the action, and this affects the action's implementation.*

***2.** If decisions made in the context of the action are taken not according to objective and impartial criteria, but because of these shared interests.*

***3.** If entities with close ties create a professional relationship with the intention of being part of the action in order to satisfy other interests, and as a result, the quality of the implementation is (or is likely to be) compromised.*

If there is a **(risk of) a conflict of interests**, the coordinator must **inform** the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)), so that steps can be taken to resolve or avoid it.

This may result in the Commission/Agency putting in place certain measures.

ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY

ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY

36.1 General obligation to maintain confidentiality

During implementation of the action and for four years after the period set out in Article 3, the parties must keep confidential any data, documents or other material (in any form) that is identified as confidential at the time it is disclosed (**‘confidential information’**).

If a beneficiary requests, the *[Commission][Agency]* may agree to keep such information confidential for an additional period beyond the initial four years.

If information has been identified as confidential only orally, it will be considered to be confidential only if this is confirmed in writing within 15 days of the oral disclosure.

Unless otherwise agreed between the parties, they may use confidential information only to implement the Agreement.

The beneficiaries may disclose confidential information to their personnel or third parties involved in the action only if they:

- (a) need to know to implement the Agreement and
- (b) are bound by an obligation of confidentiality.

This does not change the security obligations in Article 37, which still apply.

The *[Commission][Agency]* may disclose confidential information to its staff, other EU institutions and bodies. It may disclose confidential information to third parties, if:

- (a) this is necessary to implement the Agreement or safeguard the EU’s financial interests and
- (b) the recipients of the information are bound by an obligation of confidentiality.

Under the conditions set out in Article 4 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013⁵⁰, the Commission must moreover make available information on the results to other EU institutions, bodies, offices or agencies as well as Member States or associated countries.

The confidentiality obligations no longer apply if:

- (a) the disclosing party agrees to release the other party;
- (b) the information was already known by the recipient or is given to him without obligation of confidentiality by a third party that was not bound by any obligation of confidentiality;
- (c) the recipient proves that the information was developed without the use of confidential information;
- (d) the information becomes generally and publicly available, without breaching any confidentiality obligation, or
- (e) the disclosure of the information is required by EU or national law.

⁵⁰ Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 of December 2013 laying down the rules for the participation and dissemination in "Horizon 2020 – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)" (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p.81).


36.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)). Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.




1. Confidentiality

The beneficiaries (and also the Commission/Agency) must — during the action and for four years afterwards — keep confidential any data, documents or other material (in any form) that is identified as confidential at the time it is disclosed.

 This is a **minimum obligation**: Beneficiaries may extend the period and agree to additional confidentiality-related obligations among themselves (*for example, for access rights or third parties involved in the action*).

Best practice: Beneficiaries should inform each other (and the Commission/Agency) about any laws that require disclosing confidential information (and to work together to minimise any negative effects).

A beneficiary may ask the Commission/Agency to extend the period. This request must explain why and clearly identify the confidential information.

 **Security obligations** — Stricter confidentiality obligations apply for information that is **EU-classified** or results that are subject to **limited disclosure/dissemination** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

 For more guidance on security obligations, see *the [Guidelines for the handling of classified information in EU research projects](#) and, more generally, the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#)*.

The Commission/Agency will exchange confidential information with the European Court of Auditors (ECA), the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and other Agencies and H2020 funding bodies, to check double funding, pursue fraud and avoid plagiarism. (This is part of safeguarding the EU financial interests).

ARTICLE 37 — SECURITY-RELATED OBLIGATIONS

ARTICLE 37 — SECURITY-RELATED OBLIGATIONS

37.1 Results with a security recommendation

[OPTION 1 if applicable to the grant: The beneficiaries must comply with the ‘security recommendation(s)’ set out in Annex 1.

For security recommendations restricting disclosure or dissemination, the beneficiaries must — before disclosure or dissemination to a third party (including linked third parties, such as affiliated entities) — inform the coordinator, which must request written approval from the [Commission][Agency].

In case of changes to the security context, the beneficiaries must inform the coordinator, which must immediately inform the [Commission][Agency] and, if necessary, request for Annex 1 to be amended (see Article 55).]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

37.2 Classified information

[OPTION 1 if applicable to the grant: The beneficiaries must comply with the security classification set out in Annex 1 (‘security aspect letter (SAL)’ and ‘security classification guide (SCG)’).

Information that is classified must be treated in accordance with the security aspect letter (SAL) and Decision No 2015/444⁵¹ — until it is declassified.

Action tasks involving classified information may not be subcontracted without prior explicit written approval from the [Commission][Agency].

*In case of changes to the security context, the beneficiaries must inform the coordinator, which must immediately inform the [Commission][Agency] and, if necessary, request for Annex 1 to be amended (see Article 55).]**[OPTION 2: Not applicable.]*

37.3 Activities involving dual-use goods or dangerous materials and substances

[OPTION 1 if applicable to the grant: Activities involving dual-use goods or dangerous materials and substances must comply with applicable EU, national and international law.

Before the beginning of the activity, the coordinator must submit to the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 52](#)) a copy of any export or transfer licences required under EU, national or international law.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

37.4 Consequences of non-compliance

[OPTION 1 to be used if 37.1, 37.2 and/or 37.3 are applicable: If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable.]


⁵¹ Commission Decision 2015/444/EC, Euratom of 13 March 2015 on the security rules for protecting EU classified information.




1. Results with a security recommendation — EU-classified information

If the GA provides for (one of) these options, the beneficiaries must comply with the **security obligations** set out in this Article, in Annex 1 and in the other provisions of the GA (see [Articles 13 and 19](#)).

The options will be inserted if the Commission/Agency finds during the selection procedure (security scrutiny) that the action raises security issues and must be subject to a security recommendation and/or EU-classification under Decision No [2015/444](#)⁵⁶.


■  Be aware that national/third country security requirements (*national/third country security classification, etc*) may affect the project implementation (and even put it at risk). It is your responsibility to avoid this and to keep your project free of such restrictions (either by adapting your project or by obtaining all necessary authorisations to be able to comply with your obligations under the GA). Any potential security issues should immediately be notified to the Commission/Agency.

■  For more guidance on security obligations, see *the Guidelines for the classification of information in research projects, Potential misuse of research results and, more generally, the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

2. Activities involving dual-use goods

Beneficiaries that carry out activities involving dual-use goods must comply with applicable EU, national and international law, and in particular Regulation No [428/2009](#)⁵⁷.

Moreover, the coordinator must — before the start of the activity for which it is needed — submit a **copy** of any **export** or **transfer licence**.

■  Be aware that national/third country security requirements (*technology transfer restrictions, etc*) may affect the project implementation (and even put it at risk). It is your responsibility to avoid this and to keep your project free of such restrictions (either by adapting your project or by obtaining all necessary authorisations to be able to comply with your obligations under the GA). Any potential security issues should immediately be notified to the Commission/Agency.

■  For more guidance on dual use goods, see *How to complete your ethics self-assessment and Research involving dual use items*.

⁵⁶ Commission Decision 2015/444/EC, Euratom of 13 March 2015 on the security rules for protecting EU classified information (OJ L 72, 17.3.2015, p.53).

⁵⁷ Council Regulation (EC) No 428/2009 of 5 May 2009 setting up a Community regime for the control of exports, transfer, brokering and transit of dual-use items (OJ L 134, 29.5.2009, p. 1).

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION – VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Communication activities by beneficiaries

38.1.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results

The beneficiaries must promote the action and its results, by providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public) in a strategic and effective manner.

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 or the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

Before engaging in a communication activity expected to have a major media impact, the beneficiaries must inform the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Article 52](#)).

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the *[Commission][Agency]* requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

- (a) display the EU emblem and
- (b) include the following text:

For communication activities: “This project has received funding from the *[European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme][Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018]* under grant agreement No [number]”.

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: “This *[infrastructure][equipment][insert type of result]* is part of a project that has received funding from the *[European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme][Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018]* under grant agreement No [number]”.

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the *[Commission][Agency]*.

This does not, however, give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

38.1.3 Disclaimer excluding *[Agency and]* Commission responsibility

Any communication activity related to the action must indicate that it reflects only the author’s view and that the *[Agency and the]* Commission *[is] [are]* not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



1. Communication activities (consortium) — Promoting the action and its results

The beneficiaries must promote the action and its result, with a **comprehensive communication plan** that defines clear objectives (adapted to various relevant target audiences) and sets out a concrete planning for the communication activities (including a description and timing for each activity — throughout the action duration).

'Promoting the action' means providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public), in a strategic and effective manner and possibly engaging in a two-way exchange

The beneficiaries are free to choose the type of communication activities⁵⁸.

Examples: a press release for the general public at the start of the action; an interview in the local radio station after a major achievement of the action; an event in a shopping mall that shows how the outcomes of the action are relevant to our everyday lives; organising local workshops about the action, targeted at audiences for which the action is of interest; producing a brochure to explain the action's work to school or university students to show how interesting this specific research topic can be.

The activities must however:

- be effective (i.e. suited to achieving the action's communication goals)
- be proportionate to the scale of the action (*e.g. activities carried out by a large-scale action with beneficiaries coming from several different countries and a large budget must be more ambitious than those of a beneficiary in a mono-beneficiary grant*)
- address audiences that go beyond the action's own community (including the media and the public).


Ad hoc efforts or mere dissemination of results are NOT sufficient. (Dissemination of results (see [Article 29](#)) cannot replace communication activities (or vice-versa); both provisions must be complied with.)

Moreover, the activities must make the research activities known to **multiple audiences** (in a way that they can be understood by non-specialists) and include the public policy perspective of EU research and innovation funding, by addressing aspects such as:

- transnational cooperation in a European consortium (i.e. how working together has allowed to achieve more than otherwise possible)
- scientific excellence
- contributing to competitiveness and to solving societal challenges
- impact on everyday lives (*e.g. creation of jobs, development of new technologies, better quality products, more convenience, improved life-style, etc.*)
- better use of results and spill-over to policy-makers, industry and the scientific community.

Any communication activity that is expected to have a **major media impact** (i.e. media coverage (*online and printed press, broadcast media, social media, etc.*) that will go beyond having a local impact and which could have the potential for national and international outreach) must be first **notified** to the Commission/Agency.

 **Security obligations** — Information given may NOT include **EU-classified information** or information on results that are subject to **limited disclosure/dissemination** in Annex 1 of the GA (see [Article 37](#)).

 For more guidance on security obligations, see [the Guidelines for the classification of information in research projects](#) and, more generally, [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

⁵⁸ For the definition of 'communication activities', see [the Glossary](#).

The communication activities during the action must already be part of the proposal (either as a specific work package for communication or by including them in another work package; see *the H2020 proposal templates*) and be included in Annex 1 and 2.

 For guidance on promoting the action, see *the Social media guide for EU funded R&I actions and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

2. Visibility of EU funding

The beneficiaries must — during the action and afterwards — ensure the visibility of EU funding for any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and on any infrastructure, equipment or major result (including prototypes) funded by the grant, by:

- displaying the **EU emblem**


AND

- including the **reference to EU funding** set out in the GA.

Best practice: Where possible, beneficiaries should make this reference in the language of the communication activity or, for infrastructure, equipment or major results, the official language(s) of the country where they are located (using the text of the GA language version available on [Funding & Tenders Portal Reference Documents](#)).

The EU emblem and reference to EU funding must be displayed in a way that is easily visible for the public and with sufficient prominence (taking also into account the nature of the activity or object).

Examples: *a sticker or poster for equipment and major results, a plaque or billboard for infrastructure.*

 For guidance on using the EU emblem, see *the Guide to using the EU emblem*.

38.2 Communication activities by the [Agency and the] Commission

38.2.1 Right to use beneficiaries' materials, documents or information

The [Agency and the] Commission may use, for its communication and publicising activities, information relating to the action, documents notably summaries for publication and public deliverables as well as any other material, such as pictures or audio-visual material received from any beneficiary (including in electronic form).

This does not change the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 and the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

If the [Agency's or the] Commission's use of these materials, documents or information would risk compromising legitimate interests, the beneficiary concerned may request the [Agency or the] Commission not to use it (see [Article 52](#)).

The right to use a beneficiary's materials, documents and information includes:

- (a) **use for its own purposes** (in particular, making them available to persons working for the [Agency; the] Commission or any other EU institution, body, office or agency or body or institutions in EU Member States; and copying or reproducing them in whole or in part, in unlimited numbers);
- (b) **distribution to the public** (in particular, publication as hard copies and in electronic or digital format, publication on the internet, as a downloadable or non-downloadable file, broadcasting by any channel, public display or presentation, communicating through press information services, or inclusion in widely accessible databases or indexes);
- (c) **editing or redrafting** for communication and publicising activities (including shortening, summarising, inserting other elements (such as meta-data, legends, other graphic, visual, audio or text elements), extracting parts (e.g. audio or video files), dividing into parts, use in a compilation);
- (d) **translation;**
- (e) giving **access in response to individual requests** under Regulation No 1049/2001⁵², without the right to reproduce or exploit;
- (f) **storage** in paper, electronic or other form;
- (g) **archiving**, in line with applicable document-management rules, and
- (h) the right to authorise **third parties** to act on its behalf or sub-license the modes of use set out in Points (b), (c), (d) and (f) to third parties if needed for the communication and publicising activities of [the Agency or] the Commission.

If the right of use is subject to rights of a third party (including personnel of the beneficiary), the beneficiary must ensure that it complies with its obligations under this Agreement (in particular, by obtaining the necessary approval from the third parties concerned).

Where applicable (and if provided by the beneficiaries), the [Agency and the] Commission will insert the following information:

"© — [year] — [name of the copyright owner]. All rights reserved. Licensed to the [[name of the Agency] and the] [European Union (EU)][Euratom] under conditions."

⁵² Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents, OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43.

38.3 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see [Article 43](#)).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Communication activities (EU)

The Commission/Agency may use (free of charge) any (non-confidential and non-classified) information, documents and materials received from the beneficiaries, for its own communication and publicising activities.

Examples (material): summaries for publication (submitted as part of the reports), public deliverables and any other material, such as pictures or audio-visual material, provided by beneficiaries

Examples (communication activities): using a picture or the publishable summary included in the final report submitted by the action to write a story about a particularly successful action for a Commission publication (e.g. Horizon - The EU Research & Innovation Magazine), or for speeches, etc.

Examples (publicising activities): providing on an Commission/Agency website general information about the action such as its name, a project summary, the participating partners, the EU funding, etc.

If the use would risk compromising legitimate interests, the beneficiary may request that the material is not used. This request must explain why and include the information, documents or material concerned.

If the Commission/Agency needs to edit or redraft the material, it will be careful not to distort any content.

Beneficiaries may ask the Commission/Agency to include a copyright notice (e.g. by including such a notice in the material).

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Commission/Agency can use the documents or materials by making **arrangements** with any **third parties** that could claim rights to them.

ARTICLE 39 — PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA

ARTICLE 39 — PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA

39.1 Processing of personal data by the [Agency and the] Commission

Any personal data under the Agreement will be processed by the [Agency or the] Commission under Regulation No 45/2001⁵³ and according to the ‘notifications of the processing operations’ to the Data Protection Officer (DPO) of the [Agency or the] Commission (publicly accessible in the DPO register).

Such data will be processed by the ‘**data controller**’ of the [Agency or the] Commission for the purposes of implementing, managing and monitoring the Agreement or protecting the financial interests of the EU or Euratom (including checks, reviews, audits and investigations; see [Article 22](#)).

The persons whose personal data are processed have the right to access and correct their own personal data. For this purpose, they must send any queries about the processing of their personal data to the data controller, via the contact point indicated in the privacy statement(s) that are published on the [Agency and] Commission websites.

They also have the right to have **recourse** at any time to the **European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS)**.

⁵³ Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 8, 12.01.2001, p. 1).



1. Processing of personal data (EU)

The Commission/Agency will process personal data in compliance with Regulation [2018/1725](#) and as set out in its [Funding & Tenders Portal privacy statements](#).

Personal data will be processed only for the purpose of implementing, managing and monitoring the GA or protecting EU/Euratom financial interests (including controls on eligibility of costs, proper implementation of the action and compliance with other obligations).

The level of detail of the data requested will be determined case-by-case and will be limited to what is necessary (for implementing, managing, monitoring and controlling the GA or protecting the EU financial interests).

⚠ When the Commission/Agency collects and processes personal data under the GA, national data protection law is NOT applicable and will NOT be accepted as a pretext for not complying with obligations under the GA.

Disclosure of staff data under the GA (e.g. data requested by the Commission/Agency project officer or auditors) is automatically justified under Article 5(c) of Regulation [45/2001](#).

The processing of personal data under the GA (manual or electronic) will be first **notified** (by the data controller) to the Commission/Agency Data Protection Officer (DPO).

The notifications are available via the [Register of the DPO](#)⁵⁹ (and describe the processing operations, legal basis, security safeguards, retention period, possible data transfer, etc.).

In addition, for processing that implies specific risks to the rights and freedoms of the data subjects (*e.g. processing of data relating to health*), the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) will be consulted.

2. Right to access and correct personal data

Persons whose data is being processed (data subjects) can contact the data controller or the DPO (via the contact information in the [privacy statement](#)), to:

- correct errors in the data, block access or delete their data
- complain about the data collection and use, and claim compensation for any damage.

3. Complaints to the EDPS

Persons whose data is being processed by the Commission/Agency can lodge a complaint with the [European Data Protection Supervisor \(EDPS\)](#) (i.e. the independent supervisory authority for data processing by EU institutions).

Best practice: The beneficiaries should first contact the data controller (via the contact information in the privacy statement), since s/he might be able to solve the problem quickly.

⁵⁹ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/dpo-register/search.htm>.

39.2 Processing of personal data by the beneficiaries

The beneficiaries must process personal data under the Agreement in compliance with applicable EU and national law on data protection (including authorisations or notification requirements).

The beneficiaries may grant their personnel access only to data that is strictly necessary for implementing, managing and monitoring the Agreement.

The beneficiaries must inform the personnel whose personal data are collected and processed by the [Agency or the] Commission]. For this purpose, they must provide them with the privacy statement(s) (see above), before transmitting their data to the [Agency or the] Commission.

39.3 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 39.2, the [Commission][Agency] may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Processing of personal data (consortium)

The beneficiaries must process personal data under the GA in accordance with EU and national law on data protection (in particular, Directive 95/46/EC⁶⁰ and the corresponding national law).

 The Directive is currently under revision. The new General Data Protection Regulation No 2016/679 will apply from 25 May 2018.

'Personal data' means any information, private or professional, which relates to an identified or identifiable natural person (for the full definition, see Article 2(a) of Directive 95/46/EC).

Examples (personal data): name, address, identification number, e-mail, CV, bank account number, phone number, medical records.

There are various potential identifiers, including full name, pseudonyms, occupation, address or any combination of these. Individuals are considered NOT 'identifiable', if identifying them requires excessive effort.

Certain categories of data are more 'sensitive' than others, and these may only be processed according to specific rules.⁶¹

Examples (sensitive data): racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, trade-union membership, health, sexual orientation, etc.

'Processing of personal data' means any operation (or set of operations) which is performed on personal data, either manually or by automatic means. This includes:

- collection
- recording
- organisation and storage

⁶⁰ Directive 95/46/EC on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31).

⁶¹ See Article 8 of Directive 95/46/EC.

- adaptation or alteration
- retrieval and consultation
- use
- disclosure by transmission, dissemination or otherwise making available
- alignment or combination
- blocking, deleting or destruction.

Examples (processing of personal data): *creating a mailing list or a list of participants; managing a database; accounting records on personnel costs; time-sheets; project planning with names.*

Under these laws, personal data must be processed according to certain principles and conditions that aim to ensure **data quality** and **confidentiality**.⁶²

The beneficiaries must give their staff access to the personal data ONLY on a **need to know** basis, for carrying out their functions under the GA. This means that the beneficiaries must put in place adequate access controls and retention policies for the various categories of data they hold.

The beneficiaries must **inform their staff** (whose personal data are collected and processed by the Commission/Agency) about the disclosure of their data to the Commission/Agency (by providing them with the relevant [Funding & Tenders Portal privacy statements](#)).

Examples:

1. *Before encoding staff data in the [Participant Register](#) or into a proposal, the beneficiary must provide the staff concerned with the privacy statement.*

2. *If in an ex-post audit, the Commission requests the names, CVs, time-sheets and salaries of the beneficiary's staff (to check the eligibility of personnel costs), the beneficiary must inform the staff concerned and provide them with the privacy statement.*

Processing of personal data is also part of the ethics obligations (see [Article 34](#)). Both provisions must be complied with.

⁶² See Articles 6, 16, 17 of Directive 95/46/EC.

ARTICLE 40 — ASSIGNMENTS OF CLAIMS FOR PAYMENT AGAINST THE [COMMISSION][AGENCY]

ARTICLE 40 — ASSIGNMENTS OF CLAIMS FOR PAYMENT AGAINST THE [COMMISSION][AGENCY]

The beneficiaries may not assign any of their claims for payment against the [Commission][Agency] to any third party, except if approved by the [Commission][Agency] on the basis of a reasoned, written request by the coordinator (on behalf of the beneficiary concerned).


If the [Commission][Agency] has not accepted the assignment or the terms of it are not observed, the assignment will have no effect on it.


In no circumstances will an assignment release the beneficiaries from their obligations towards the [Commission][Agency].



1. Assignment of claims for payment

What? The beneficiaries may assign (i.e. transfer, sell or give) claims for payment (for work carried out under the action) to a third party, if the Commission/Agency has explicitly agreed in writing.

 Assignments of rights under this Article are limited to **claims for payment** under Article 21. Transfers of other rights or obligations (e.g. replacement of a beneficiary by the entity that bought it) are governed by other provisions (e.g. amendments; see [Article 55](#)).

 Assignment has NO effect on the beneficiary's obligations under the GA; it remains **fully bound** by them.

Only *actual* (i.e. existing) claims for payment may be assigned (including pre-financing). Assignment is NOT possible for future claims.

How? Assignment of payment claims must be **requested**.

The request for approval must come from the coordinator, on behalf of the beneficiary concerned. It must be in writing and must explain the reasons for the assignment.

The Commission/Agency will assess the reasons given and approve or reject the request in writing.

Examples (reasonable requests for assignment):

1. Assignment of a claim for payment for work carried out by a research laboratory sold after the end of the action (but before payment of the balance) by a beneficiary to another legal entity.
2. Assignment for the benefit of creditors in a bankruptcy procedure.

If the assignment is linked to bankruptcy, the approval will be subject to compliance with national law.

CHAPTER 5 DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

CHAPTER 5 DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES [— RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES] [— RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES [— RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES] [— RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION]

41.1 Roles and responsibilities towards the [Commission][Agency]

The beneficiaries have full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiaries are jointly and severally liable for the **technical implementation** of the action as described in Annex 1. If a beneficiary fails to implement its part of the action, the other beneficiaries become responsible for implementing this part (without being entitled to any additional EU funding for doing so), unless the [Commission][Agency] expressly relieves them of this obligation.

The **financial responsibility** of each beneficiary is governed by Article 44.

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF THE BENEFICIARY'S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

41.1 Role and responsibility towards the [Commission][Agency]

The beneficiary has full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiary is itself responsible for:

- (a) monitoring that the action is implemented properly (see [Article 7](#));
- (b) informing the [Commission][Agency] immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see [Article 17](#));
- (c) submitting the deliverables and reports to the [Commission][Agency] (see [Articles 19](#) and [20](#));
- (d) submitting to the [Commission][Agency] in good time any documents or information required by it

and may not delegate or subcontract these tasks to any third party (including linked third parties).

[OPTION to be used when the beneficiary is an European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ERIC)⁵⁴ without own resources: As an exception, the beneficiary delegates the tasks set out above to [insert name of member of the ERIC]. The beneficiary retains sole responsibility for compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.]

⁵⁴ See Council Regulation (EC) No 723/2009 of 25 June 2009 on the Community legal framework for a European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ERIC) (OJ L 206, 08.08.2009, p.1).



1. Division of roles and responsibilities — Responsibilities towards the Commission/Agency

The beneficiaries have full responsibility for **implementing the action** (see [Article 7](#)) and for **complying with the GA**.

This means that:

- each beneficiary must ensure that it complies with its obligations under the GA
- each beneficiary must ensure swift and proper implementation of the action (i.e. that there are no delays which can be attributed to it)
- each beneficiary is responsible (towards the Commission/Agency) for the tasks performed by its subcontractors and linked third parties
- the Commission/Agency is NOT responsible for the implementation of the action and has NO responsibility for the way in which the action is conducted (or any adverse consequences).

The beneficiaries are **jointly and severally liable** for the **technical implementation** of the action.

This means that the beneficiaries — including any new beneficiary introduced through an amendment — accept that they are together responsible for fully implementing the whole action — even if one of them withdraws.

If one of them **withdraws**, the remaining members of the consortium must carry out the action as set out in the GA — including the part that the defaulting beneficiary was supposed to carry out — unless the Commission/Agency expressly agrees otherwise (exceptional; only for specific reasons). They will have to do this without any additional funding (although — in case of beneficiary termination — the Guarantee Fund may intervene for the defaulting beneficiary; see [Article 50](#)).

***Example:** Legal entities A, B and C are members of a consortium that signed a GA with the Commission in order to carry out a research action. One year later, beneficiary C goes bankrupt. Beneficiaries A and B (or even only A or B) must carry out the entire action as described in Annex 1.*

The remaining beneficiaries may later take legal action against the defaulting beneficiary, in order to obtain compensation.

Moreover, the GA will have to be amended, in order to redistribute the tasks, terminate the beneficiary's participation, and/or add a new beneficiary (see [Article 50](#)).

In case of recovery, each beneficiary's **financial responsibility** is in principle limited to its own debt and undue amounts paid for costs declared by its linked third parties. It is only for the 5% contribution to the Guarantee Fund that financial responsibility is shared; see [Article 21.4](#).

Specific cases (beneficiaries' responsibilities):


ERIC (European Research Infrastructure Consortium)⁶³: If the beneficiary is an ERIC which does not have its own resources, it may exceptionally delegate the following responsibilities to one of its members:

- monitor that the action is implemented properly (see [Article 7](#))
- inform about events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the action

⁶³ Council Regulation (EC) No [723/2009](#) of 25 June 2009 on the Community legal framework for a European Research Infrastructure Consortium (OJ L 206, 08.08.2009, p.1).

implementation (see [Article 17.2](#))

- submit deliverables and reports (see [Articles 19 and 20](#))
- submit documents or information required

 An ERIC using one of its members remains **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY:**41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities**

The internal roles and responsibilities of the beneficiaries are divided as follows:

- (a) Each **beneficiary** must:
- (i) keep information stored in the Participant Portal Beneficiary Register (via the electronic exchange system) up to date (see [Article 17](#));
 - (ii) inform the coordinator immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see [Article 17](#));
 - (iii) submit to the coordinator in good time:
 - individual financial statements for itself *[and its linked third parties]* and, if required, certificates on the financial statements (see [Article 20](#));
 - the data needed to draw up the technical reports (see [Article 20](#));
 - ethics committee opinions and notifications or authorisations for activities raising ethical issues (see [Article 34](#));
 - any other documents or information required by the *[Agency or the] Commission* under the Agreement, unless the Agreement requires the beneficiary to submit this information directly to the *[Agency or the] Commission*.
- (b) The **coordinator** must:
- (i) monitor that the action is implemented properly (see [Article 7](#));
 - (ii) act as the intermediary for all communications between the beneficiaries and the *[Commission][Agency]* (in particular, providing the *[Commission][Agency]* with the information described in Article 17), unless the Agreement specifies otherwise;
 - (iii) request and review any documents or information required by the *[Commission][Agency]* and verify their completeness and correctness before passing them on to the *[Commission][Agency]*;
 - (iv) submit the deliverables and reports to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Articles 19](#) and [20](#));
 - (v) ensure that all payments are made to the other beneficiaries without unjustified delay (see [Article 21](#));
 - (vi) inform the *[Commission][Agency]* of the amounts paid to each beneficiary, when required under the Agreement (see [Articles 44](#) and [50](#)) or requested by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The coordinator may not delegate or subcontract the above-mentioned tasks to any other beneficiary or third party (including linked third parties).

[OPTION to be used when the coordinator is a secondary or higher education establishment or public body and there is an ‘authorisation to administer’ given to a third party created, controlled or affiliated to the coordinator: As an exception, the coordinator delegates the tasks set out in Point 2(b)(v) and (vi) above to [insert name of third party with an authorisation to administer]. The coordinator retains sole responsibility for the EU contribution and for compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.]

[OPTION to be used when the coordinator is an European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ERIC)⁵⁴ without own resources: As an exception, the coordinator delegates the tasks set out in Point 2(b)(i) to (iv) above to [insert name of member of the ERIC]. The coordinator retains sole responsibility for compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.]

41.3 Internal arrangements between beneficiaries — Consortium agreement

[OPTION 1 to be used, unless the work programme specifies that there is no need for a consortium agreement: The beneficiaries must have internal arrangements regarding their operation and coordination to ensure that the action is implemented properly. These internal arrangements must be set out in a written ‘consortium agreement’ between the beneficiaries, which may cover:

- internal organisation of the consortium;
- management of access to the electronic exchange system;
- distribution of EU funding;
- additional rules on rights and obligations related to background and results (including whether access rights remain or not, if a beneficiary is in breach of its obligations) (see Section 3 of Chapter 4);
- settlement of internal disputes;
- liability, indemnification and confidentiality arrangements between the beneficiaries.

The consortium agreement must not contain any provision contrary to the Agreement.

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

41.4 Relationship with complementary beneficiaries — Collaboration agreement

[OPTION 1 for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The beneficiaries must conclude a written ‘collaboration agreement’ with the complementary beneficiaries to coordinate the work under the Agreement and the complementary grant agreement(s) (see [Article 2](#)), covering for instance:

- efficient decision making processes and
- settlement of disputes.

The collaboration agreement must not contain any provision contrary to the Agreement.

The beneficiaries and complementary beneficiaries must create and participate in common boards and advisory structures to decide on collaboration and synchronisation of activities, including on management of outcomes, common approaches towards standardisation, SME involvement, links with regulatory and policy activities, and commonly shared dissemination and awareness raising activities.

The beneficiaries must give access to their results to the complementary beneficiaries, for the purposes of the complementary grant agreement(s) (see [Article 31.6](#)).

The beneficiaries must share the technical reports (see [Article 20.3](#) and [20.4](#)). The confidentiality obligations in [Article 36](#) apply.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

⁵⁴ See Council Regulation (EC) No 723/2009 of 25 June 2009 on the Community legal framework for a European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ERIC) (OJ L 206, 08.08.2009, p.1).

41.5 Relationship with partners of a joint action — Coordination agreement

[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The beneficiaries must conclude a 'coordination agreement' with the partners of the third country or international organisation action (see Article 2), covering for instance:

- *the internal organisation of the beneficiaries in both actions, including the decision making procedures;*
- *rules on intellectual property rights (for example regarding protection, dissemination, use and access rights);*
- *the settlement of internal disputes;*
- *liability, indemnification and confidentiality arrangements between the beneficiaries in both actions.*

The coordination agreement must not contain any provision contrary to the Agreement.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

MONO-BENEFICIARY:**41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities**

Not applicable

41.3 Internal arrangements between beneficiaries — Consortium agreement

Not applicable

41.4 Relationship with complementary beneficiaries — Collaboration agreement

[...]

41.5 Relationship with partners of a joint action — Coordination agreement

[...]

**1. Division of roles and responsibilities — Roles and responsibilities within the consortium**

The general division of roles and responsibilities within the consortium is as follows:

- the coordinator must coordinate and manage the grant and is the central contact point for the Commission/Agency
- the beneficiaries must all together contribute to a smooth and successful implementation of the grant (i.e. contribute to the proper implementation of the action, comply with their own obligations under the GA and support the coordinator in his obligations).

The beneficiaries must send all documents/information **via the coordinator** — unless, for specific cases, the Commission/Agency requests them to provide such information directly (see [Article 22](#)).

Example:

In case of an audit, the beneficiaries must submit the documents requested directly to the auditors, if requested so.

2. The coordinator's roles and responsibilities

The coordinator is the **central contact point** for the Commission/Agency and represents the consortium (towards the Commission/Agency).

For this purpose, the GA imposes a number of specific coordination tasks.

Main coordination tasks:

- Monitor that the action is implemented properly
- Act as the intermediary for all communications — unless the Agreement specifies otherwise
- Request and review any documents or information required and verify their completeness and correctness

The coordinator must check the quality of the documents submitted by the beneficiaries, including:

- reviewing the individual financial statements from each beneficiary to verify consistency with the action tasks, as well as their completeness and correctness (*e.g. that the addition of the different costs declared by the beneficiary corresponds to the total amount declared, or that the 25% flat-rate for indirect costs is correctly calculated*)

The coordinator is not, however, obliged to verify the *eligibility* of these costs (under [Article 6](#)) or to request justifications. Each beneficiary remains responsible for the cost it declares (both as regards eligibility and as regards sufficient records and supporting documents to substantiate them).

- verifying that all the requested documents are submitted by the beneficiary (*e.g. the summary, the questionnaire etc.*)
- verifying that the beneficiary submits the documents in the requested format
- verifying that the technical information submitted by a beneficiary concerns its action tasks as described in Annex 1 (and not something unrelated to the action).

- Submit the deliverables and reports
- Distribute payments to the other beneficiaries, without unjustified delay
- Inform the Commission/Agency of the amounts paid to each beneficiary, if requested to do so (see [Article 44.1.2](#))

The coordination tasks listed in Article 41.2 can normally NOT be subcontracted or outsourced to a third party (including linked third parties). They can NOT be carried out by other beneficiaries. (By contrast, the coordinator remains free — like any other beneficiary — to subcontract or use linked third parties for *other* tasks; see [Articles 13, 14](#)).

Specific cases (coordinator's responsibilities):


Authorisation to administer — Coordinators that are secondary or higher education establishments and coordinators that are public bodies may exceptionally delegate the administration of the payments to another legal entity (third party), in most cases a foundation.


The third party must fulfil the following conditions:

- they must have been granted the ‘authorisation to administer’

AND

- it must be affiliated, controlled or set up by the coordinator in order to handle its administrative affairs (and those must include receiving and administering EU funds).

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.


 Coordinators using a third party with authorisation to administer remain **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

In this case, the option in Article 41.2(b) for authorisations to administer must be added to the grant agreement. The bank account number to be provided under [Article 21.8](#) must be that of the entity with the authorisation to administer and the payments will be transferred directly to it. The entity must therefore be registered in the [Participant Register](#) and validated by the Commission/Agency. It will get its own PIC — although it is not a beneficiary.

The costs of the entity may be declared by the coordinator as in-kind contributions (free of charge or against payment; see [Articles 6, 11 and 12](#)).

ERICs (European Research Infrastructure Consortia)⁶⁴ — Beneficiaries that are ERICs and do not have their own resources may exceptionally delegate the following coordination tasks to one of its members:

- monitor that the action is implemented properly ([see Article 7](#));
- act as intermediary for communications ([see Article 17](#));
- request and review documents or information required and verify completeness and correctness;
- submit deliverables and reports ([see Articles 19 and 20](#));

 ERICs using one of their members remain **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

Scientific coordinator — Non-administrative coordination tasks (i.e. tasks not listed in this Article, e.g. *scientific coordination of the action*) can be carried out by any beneficiary.

Such a beneficiary may internally (i.e. within the consortium) be called ‘scientific coordinator’. In the relationship with the Commission/Agency, it will however NOT be considered the action’s coordinator (but one of the other beneficiaries).

Costs for scientific coordination can be eligible, if they comply with the eligibility conditions set out in [Article 6](#).

3. Internal arrangements between beneficiaries — Consortium agreement

The beneficiaries must conclude a consortium agreement to ensure a smooth and successful project implementation — unless exceptionally provided otherwise in the work programme/call.

Best practice: In view of their importance for avoiding disputes and ensuring a smooth implementation of the grant, the Commission/Agencies strongly recommend that every consortium sets up a consortium agreement, even if not mandatory.

The ‘consortium agreement’ is an agreement between members of the consortium, to set out their internal arrangements for implementing the grant. It is purely internal; the EU/Euratom is not party and has NO responsibility for it (nor for any adverse consequences).


⁶⁴ Council Regulation (EC) No [723/2009](#) of 25 June 2009 on the Community legal framework for a European Research Infrastructure Consortium (OJ L 206, 08.08.2009, p.1)

The consortium agreement should **complement** the GA and must NOT contain any provision contrary to it (or to the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#) or the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)).

The consortium agreement should in principle be negotiated and concluded **before the signature of the GA** (i.e. each beneficiary should sign the consortium agreement before acceding to the GA). Otherwise, there is usually a serious risk that prolonged disagreement jeopardises the action. Of course, the consortium agreement does not have to remain the same during the lifetime of the action, it can be modified by the consortium at any moment.

The consortium agreement must be **in writing**. It may be a simple written agreement or take some other form (e.g. a notarial deed or part of the statutes of a separate legal entity, such as a European Economic Interest Grouping, association or joint venture).

Best practice: The beneficiaries should carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of the different legal forms, and choose the one that best fits the consortium's specific needs.

 For guidance on consortium agreements, see [How to draw up your consortium agreement and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

4. Relationship with complementary beneficiaries — Collaboration agreement

If the GA provides for this option, the beneficiaries must conclude a collaboration agreement to ensure a smooth and successful project implementation.

The option will be inserted ONLY for complementary actions (see [Article 2](#)).

A 'collaboration agreement' is an agreement between the consortium and the beneficiaries of another complementary H2020 grant, to coordinate their work under the different GAs. It is purely internal; the EU/Euratom is not party and has NO responsibility for them (nor for any adverse consequences).

The beneficiaries of complementary grants must — through the collaboration agreement — create and participate in common boards and advisory structures, to decide on collaboration and synchronisation of the activities. These boards and advisory structures complement the governance of the project; they do not replace the consortium and other project governance mechanisms (if any).

5. Relationship with participants of a joint action — Coordination agreement

If the GA provides for this option, the beneficiaries must conclude a coordination agreement, to ensure a smooth and successful project implementation.


The option will be inserted ONLY for jointly funded actions (see [Article 2](#)).

A 'coordination agreement' is an agreement between the consortium and the participants of the third country/international organisation (IO) action (see [Article 2](#)). It is purely internal; the EU/Euratom is not party and has NO responsibility for it (or for any adverse consequences).

The coordination agreement should **complement** the GA and must NOT contain any provision contrary to them (or to the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#) or the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)).

Moreover, it must be in line with the consortium agreement. It can NOT replace the consortium agreement (and vice versa). The coordination agreement should in principle be negotiated and concluded **before any work is begun**. The work programme/call may require that proposals include a draft coordination agreement.

The coordination agreement can take **various forms**, but a standard written agreement is most common.

 For guidance on coordination agreements, see *How to draw up your coordination agreement and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

CHAPTER 6 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS — DAMAGES — SUSPENSION — TERMINATION — FORCE MAJEURE

SECTION 1 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

CHAPTER 6 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS — DAMAGES — SUSPENSION — TERMINATION — FORCE MAJEURE

SECTION 1 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — SANCTIONS

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

42.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] will — after **termination of the participation of a beneficiary** at the time of an **interim payment, at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — reject any costs which are ineligible (see [Article 6](#)), in particular following checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see [Article 22](#)).

The rejection may also be based on the **extension of findings from other grants to this grant** (see [Article 22.5.2](#)).

42.2 Ineligible costs to be rejected — Calculation — Procedure

Ineligible costs will be rejected in full [*OPTION if lump sum foreseen in [Article 5.2](#); except for lump sum costs, which will be rejected proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented*].

If the rejection of costs does not lead to a recovery (see [Article 44](#)), the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned of the rejection of costs, the amounts and the reasons why (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see [Article 21.5](#)). The coordinator or beneficiary concerned may — within 30 days of receiving notification — formally notify the [Commission][Agency] of its disagreement and the reasons why.

If the rejection of costs leads to a recovery, the [Commission][Agency] will follow the contradictory procedure with pre-information letter set out in [Article 44](#).

42.3 Effects

If the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs **at the time of an interim payment or the payment of the balance**, it will deduct them from the total eligible costs declared, for the action, in the periodic or final summary financial statement (see [Articles 20.3](#) and [20.4](#)). It will then calculate the interim payment or payment of the balance as set out in [Articles 21.3](#) or [21.4](#).

If the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs **after termination of the participation of a beneficiary**, it will deduct them from the costs declared by the beneficiary in the termination report and include the rejection in the calculation after termination (see [Article 50.2](#) and [50.3](#)).

If the [Commission][Agency] — **after an interim payment but before the payment of the balance** — rejects costs declared in a periodic summary financial statement, it will deduct them from the total eligible costs declared, for the action, in the next periodic summary financial statement or in the final summary financial statement. It will then calculate the interim payment or payment of the balance as set out in Articles 21.3 or 21.4.

If the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs **after the payment of the balance**, it will deduct the amount rejected from the total eligible costs declared, by the beneficiary, in the final summary financial statement. It will then calculate the revised final grant amount as set out in Article 5.4.



1. Cost rejection

If the Commission/Agency finds **ineligible costs** (*in particular, following a check, audit, extension of audit findings, review or OLAF investigation*), it will reject these costs (— in full, i.e. for the amount that is ineligible).

 **Cost rejections** will be made for **violations of cost eligibility rules** (see [Articles 6, 8-16](#)) and will correspond to the amount that is ineligible.

Grounds for cost rejection (EU):

- **Costs do not comply with the GA eligibility rules (in this grant)**
The Commission/Agency will reject costs, if they do not comply with the eligibility rules set out in [Articles 6](#) and [8-16](#).
- **Costs do not comply with the GA eligibility rules (in other grants)**
The Commission/Agency may also reject costs, if such ineligibility was found in *other* grants, if:
 - the other grants were awarded under similar conditions and
 - the ineligibility is:
 - systemic or recurrent and
 - has a material impact on this grant.

Rejection of costs can take place at any moment — at the time of beneficiary termination, interim payment, payment of the balance or afterwards.

2. Procedure

The procedure differs according to the situation:

- if cost rejection leads to a recovery: there will be an *ex ante* **contradictory procedure**
- if cost rejection does NOT lead to a recovery: there will be NO *ex ante* contradictory procedure, but the possibility to object *ex post* to the rejection (**payment review procedure**).

In both cases, the Commission/Agency will explain which costs were rejected and why they were rejected. In both cases, beneficiaries can object and bring forward their arguments for disagreeing. The switch to the *ex post* payment review procedure allows the

Commission/Agency however to pay quickly without having to suspend the payment deadline (by postponing the discussion on the disputed costs).

Contradictory procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.
- Step 2** — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it (**notification of amounts due**; see [Article 21.5](#)).

Payment review procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator about the rejection of costs and notifies the amounts that will be paid out (**notification of amounts due**; see [Article 21.5](#)).
- Step 2** — If the beneficiaries disagree, the coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to inform the Commission/Agency of its objections.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the request for review and **informs** the coordinator of its **outcome**.

Depending on the moment when costs are rejected, this procedure will be directed either at the **coordinator** or the **beneficiary concerned**:

- for rejections at the time of an interim payment or the payment of the balance: normally the coordinator
- for rejections after beneficiary termination and after payment of the balance: normally the beneficiary concerned.

If it is directed at the coordinator, the coordinator must immediately inform the beneficiaries concerned offline, via its usual communication channels (*e.g. e-mail, registered letters with proof of delivery, etc.*) and ask for its comments. It must also inform the other beneficiaries.

If it is directed at the beneficiary, the Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator in later on (in a way that preserves confidentiality).



Information obligation — Beneficiaries do not have to inform their coordinators or ask them to submit comments. However, they should inform them if there is the risk of a significant impact on the action (see [Article 17.2](#)).

3. Effects

If the Commission/Agency rejects costs at **beneficiary termination**, it will deduct the rejected amount from the costs the beneficiary declared in the termination report and calculate the amount due to the beneficiary. If the amount is lower than the (pre-financing and interim) payments received by the beneficiary, the Commission/Agency will recover the difference (see [Articles 44 and 50](#)).

Recovery at beneficiary termination during an ongoing action means that the beneficiary should pay back the amount to the *consortium*. If it doesn't, the Guarantee Fund will intervene and the Commission/Agency will then recover the amount for the Guarantee Fund.

If the Commission/Agency rejects costs at the moment of an **interim payment** or the **payment of the balance**, it will deduct them and calculate the amount to be paid accordingly (see [Articles 5.3 and 21](#)).

If ineligible costs are found **in-between payments**, the Commission/Agency will reject them at the next payment (i.e. deduct the amount rejected from the costs declared in the next financial statement and calculate the amount to be paid accordingly; see [Article 21](#)).

If the Commission/Agency rejects costs **after the payment of the balance**, it will deduct the amount rejected from the costs accepted for the beneficiary at the payment of the balance and calculate a **revised grant amount**. If the revised grant amount is lower than its share of the final grant amount, the Commission/Agency will **recover** the difference (see [Articles 5.4](#) and [Article 44](#)).

The detailed calculations for all cases are described in [Article 21](#).

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

43.1 Conditions

The *[Commission][Agency]* may — **after termination of the participation of a beneficiary, at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — reduce the grant amount (see Article 5.1), if:

- (a) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles) or
- (b) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decision on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2).

43.2 Amount to be reduced — Calculation — Procedure

The amount of the reduction will be proportionate to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations.

Before reduction of the grant, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a ‘**pre-information letter**’ to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to reduce the grant, the amount it intends to reduce and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive any observations or decides to pursue reduction despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the reduction (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see [Article 21](#)).

43.3 Effects

If the *[Commission][Agency]* reduces the grant **after termination of the participation of a beneficiary**, it will calculate the reduced grant amount for that beneficiary and then determine the amount due to that beneficiary (see Article 50.2 and 50.3).

If the *[Commission][Agency]* reduces the grant **at the time of the payment of the balance**, it will calculate the reduced grant amount for the action and then determine the amount due as payment of the balance (see [Articles 5.3.4](#) and [21.4](#)).

If the *[Commission][Agency]* **reduces** the grant **after the payment of the balance**, it will calculate the revised final grant amount for the beneficiary concerned (see Article 5.4). If the revised final grant amount for the beneficiary concerned is lower than its share of the final grant amount, the *[Commission][Agency]* will recover the difference (see [Article 44](#)).



1. Grant reduction

If the Commission/Agency finds (*in particular following a check, audit, extension of audit findings, review or OLAF investigation*) **substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations** under the GA or during the award procedure (*e.g. the action has not been properly implemented*), it may reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations.

⚠ Grant reductions will be made (at beneficiary termination, at the end of the action or after the payment of the balance) for substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations, in proportion to the seriousness of the error, irregularity, fraud or breach (*e.g. in case of fraud the reduction may be up to a 100%*).

⚠ If the issues are found before the end of the action, the beneficiaries must take all possible corrective steps to bring the action implementation back into line with the GA.

Grounds for grant reduction (EU):

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in this grant)**

The Commission/Agency may make a grant reduction if a beneficiary has committed substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — either during the award procedure or under the GA.

Serious breach of obligations covers all kinds of non-compliance with GA obligations (including obligations during the award procedure).

Example: false declarations in the proposal form, in order to obtain EU funding

In practice, the most frequent breach is improper implementation of the action/non-compliance with Annex 1 GA (i.e. if the work performed does not correspond to the activities described in Annex 1). This will normally be examined on the basis of the periodic and final technical reports and, if needed, a project review.

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in other grants)**

The Commission/Agency may also make a grant reduction, if such substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations were found in *other* grants, if:

- the other grants were awarded under similar conditions and
- the substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations are:
 - systemic or recurrent and
 - have a material impact on this grant.

3.0 ▶▶

Reduction for substantial errors, irregularities or fraud was introduced as explicit contractual ground with GA version 3.0. For older grant agreements, grant reduction on these grounds was however also possible directly on the basis of Article 135(4) and (5) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012 (and in very exceptional cases involving fraud schemes also on the basis of Article 1116 of the Belgian Code Civil — as annulation ab initio pour dol⁶⁵).

Grant reduction can take place:

- at beneficiary termination
- at the payment of the balance or

⁶⁵ See judgment of 12 July 2016, Commission/Thales, T-326/13, points 119-128.

- after the payment of the balance.

3.0 Reduction at beneficiary termination was introduced explicitly with GA version 3.0. For older grant agreements, there is NO grant reduction at beneficiary termination for serious breach of contract (since explicitly NOT foreseen). By contrast, grant reduction at beneficiary termination is possible for substantial errors, irregularities or fraud — directly on the basis of Article 135(4) and (5) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012 (see above).

 For more guidance on grant reductions, see the [Guidance on grant reductions](#).

2. Procedure

The Commission/Agency will follow a **contradictory procedure**.

Contradictory procedure:


- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.
- Step 2** — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it (**notification of amounts due**; see [Article 21.5](#)).

Depending on the moment the reduction takes place, this procedure will be directed either at the **coordinator** or the **beneficiary concerned**:

- for reductions at beneficiary termination: normally with the beneficiary concerned
- for reductions at payment of the balance: normally the coordinator
- for reductions after payment of the balance: normally the beneficiary concerned.

If it is directed at the coordinator, the coordinator must immediately inform the beneficiaries concerned offline, via its usual communication channels (*e.g. e-mail, registered letters with proof of delivery, etc.*) and ask for their comments. It must also inform the other beneficiaries.

If it is directed at the beneficiary, the Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator in copy (in a way that preserves confidentiality).

 **Information obligation** — Beneficiaries normally do not have to inform their coordinators or ask them to submit comments. However, they should inform them, if there is the risk of a significant impact on the action (see [Article 17.2](#)).

3. Effects

If the Commission/Agency reduces the grant at **beneficiary termination**, it will calculate the reduction at beneficiary-level and calculate the amount due to the beneficiary. If the amount is lower than the (pre-financing and interim) payments received by the beneficiary, the beneficiary will have to pay back the difference (see [Articles 44 and 50](#)).

Recovery at beneficiary termination during an ongoing action means that the beneficiary should pay back the amount to the *consortium*. If it doesn't, the Guarantee Fund will intervene and the Commission/Agency will then recover the amount for the Guarantee Fund.

If the Commission/Agency reduces the grant at the **payment of the balance**, it will calculate the reductions for each beneficiary and for the action (if any) and calculate the balance to be paid to the consortium (i.e. final grant amount) accordingly (see [Articles 5.3 and 21](#)).

If the Commission/Agency reduces the grant **after the payment of the balance**, it will calculate the reduction for the beneficiary and compare the revised grant amount with its share of the final grant amount. If the revised grant amount is lower, the Commission/Agency will recover the difference (see [Articles 5.4 and 44](#)).

The detailed calculations for all cases are described in [Article 21](#).

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — after **termination of the participation of a beneficiary**, at the **payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid but is not due under the Agreement.

Each beneficiary's financial responsibility in case of recovery is limited to its own debt *[OPTION if Article 14 applies: (including undue amounts paid by the *[Commission][Agency]* for costs declared by its linked third parties)]*, except for the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see [Article 21.4](#)).

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: 44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

If recovery takes place after termination of a beneficiary's participation (including the coordinator), the *[Commission][Agency]* will claim back the undue amount from the beneficiary concerned by formally notifying it a debit note (see [Article 50.2](#) and [50.3](#)). This note will specify the amount to be recovered, the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the *[Agency or the]* Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by '**offsetting**' it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned by the *[Agency, the]* Commission or an*[other]* executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the *[Agency or the]* Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) *[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the *[Commission][Agency]*: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the linked third party, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or]***[OPTION 2: not applicable;]**

- (c) by **taking legal action** (see [Article 57](#)) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [*, Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty*] and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the *[Agency or the]* Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC⁵⁵ applies.

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see [Article 21.4](#)), the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the coordinator:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;

⁵⁵ Directive 2007/64/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on payment services in the internal market amending Directives 97/7/EC, 2002/65/EC, 2005/60/EC and 2006/48/EC and repealing Directive 97/5/EC (OJ L 319, 05.12.2007, p. 1).

- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund;
- requesting the coordinator to submit a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiaries within 30 days of receiving notification, and
- inviting the coordinator to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see [Article 21.5](#)) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If the coordinator does not repay the *[Commission][Agency]* by the date in the debit note and has not submitted the report on the distribution of payments: the *[Agency or the] Commission* will **recover** the amount set out in the debit note from the coordinator (see below).

If the coordinator does not repay the *[Commission][Agency]* by the date in the debit note, but has submitted the report on the distribution of payments: the *[Commission][Agency]* will:

- (a) identify the beneficiaries for which the amount calculated as follows is negative:

{{{beneficiary's costs declared in the final summary financial statement and approved by the [Commission][Agency] multiplied by the reimbursement rate set out in Article 5.2 for the beneficiary concerned

[plus

its linked third parties' costs declared in the final summary financial statement and approved by the [Commission][Agency] multiplied by the reimbursement rate set out in Article 5.2 for each linked third party concerned}}

divided by

the EU contribution for the action calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3)},

minus

{pre-financing and interim payments received by the beneficiary} }.

- (b) formally notify to each beneficiary identified according to point (a) a **debit note** specifying the terms and date for payment. The amount of the debit note is calculated as follows:

{ {amount calculated according to point (a) for the beneficiary concerned

divided by

the sum of the amounts calculated according to point (a) for all the beneficiaries identified according to point (a)}

multiplied by

the amount set out in the debit note formally notified to the coordinator }.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the *[Commission][Agency]* will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary’s consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned by the *[Agency, the] Commission* or an *[other]* executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU’s financial interests, the *[Agency or the] Commission* may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The *[Agency or the] Commission* will formally notify the beneficiary concerned the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:

- (i) **[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the linked third party, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or][OPTION 2: not applicable];**

- (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [, Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty] and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the *[Agency or the] Commission* receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: 44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, for a beneficiary, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than its share of the final grant amount, it must repay the difference to the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The beneficiary’s share of the final grant amount is calculated as follows:

{{ {beneficiary’s costs declared in the final summary financial statement and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]* multiplied by the reimbursement rate set out in Article 5.2 for the beneficiary concerned

[plus

its linked third parties’ costs declared in the final summary financial statement and approved by the [Commission][Agency] multiplied by the reimbursement rate set out in Article 5.2 for each linked third party concerned}}

divided by

the EU contribution for the action calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3)}.

If the coordinator has not distributed amounts received (see Article 21.7), the *[Commission][Agency]* will also recover these amounts.

The [Commission][Agency] will formally notify a **pre-information letter** to the beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the [Commission][Agency] decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the beneficiary concerned a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the [Agency or] Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary’s consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned by the [Agency, the] Commission or an[other] executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU’s financial interests, the [Agency or the] Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The [Agency or the] Commission will formally notify the beneficiary concerned the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:

- (i) **[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the linked third party, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or [OPTION 2: not applicable]**

- (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty] and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date the [Agency or the] Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies

MONO-BENEFICIARY: 44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary’s participation

Not applicable

MONO-BENEFICIARY: 44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify a ‘**pre-information letter**’ to the beneficiary:

[...]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: 44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, for a beneficiary, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than its share of the final grant amount, it must repay the difference to the [Commission][Agency].

[...]



1. Recovery of undue amounts

If it turns out that — due to early termination, cost rejection or grant reduction (*in particular, following a check, audit, extension of audit findings, review or OLAF investigation*) — the Commission/Agency has **paid too much**, it will recover the amount that is undue.

⚠ The Commission/Agency will **recover** the undue amounts from the beneficiary that owes the money (i.e. with a debt towards the Commission/Agency).

In case of recovery, each beneficiary's financial responsibility is normally limited to **its own debt** (including undue amounts paid for costs declared by **its linked third parties**, if any). Only the responsibility for the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (i.e. the 5% withheld from the pre-financing; see [Article 21.4](#)) is shared.

If the Commission/Agency has requested **joint and several liability** of a **linked third party** (see [Article 14](#)), it may recover also from the linked third party. The linked third party's financial responsibility (for the debt of the beneficiary) is limited to its maximum grant amount in Annex 2.

Grounds for recovery (EU):

- Commission/Agency **paid too much**

The Commission/Agency will make a recovery, if the amounts paid out exceed the amounts that are due for the grant.

Recovery normally takes place only at **payment of the balance** or **afterwards**. Exceptionally, it can take place before, if a **beneficiary's participation is terminated**.

The Commission/Agency will recover after beneficiary termination if the beneficiary did not pay back to the coordinator the amounts it received in excess and — following this — the Guarantee Fund had to intervene (see [Article 50](#)).

The Commission/Agency will recover at the payment of the balance if the sum of the pre-financing and the interim payments exceeds the final grant amount (see [Articles 5.3 and 21](#)).

The Commission/Agency will recover after payment of the balance if — due to cost rejection or grant reduction (*in particular, following a check, audit, extension of audit findings or OLAF investigation*) — it finds out that it has paid in excess (or that the coordinator did not distribute the payments received; see [Article 5.4](#)).

The detailed calculations for all cases are described in [Article 21](#).

2. Procedure

The basic procedure for recovery is almost always the same: After a **contradictory procedure**, the Commission/Agency claims repayment of the amounts and then enforces recovery.

Contradictory procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.
- Step 2** — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it (**notification of amounts due**; see [Article 21.5](#)) and issues a debit note.

Enforced recovery:

If the coordinator/beneficiary concerned does not pay by the date set out in the debit note, the Commission or the Agency will **recover** the amount (with interest at the rate set out in [Article 21.11](#)), in one of the following ways:

- by **offsetting** it⁶⁶

'Offsetting' means to deduct the amount the debtor owes to the Commission/Agency from another amount that the Commission/Agency owes to debtor. With the offsetting both amounts are considered paid.

 **Offsetting** is normally implemented as a **public law measure** (i.e. directly on the basis of Article 80(1) of the the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)). Therefore, the dispute settlement normally follows the public law remedies (i.e. [Article 263 TFEU](#) action; see [Article 57.2](#)).


For the cases not covered by the Financial Regulation, offsetting will — exceptionally — be implemented as a purely **contractual measure** (e.g. *offsetting with Commission and Agency claims, offsetting against international organisations*). In this case, the normal contractual means for dispute settlement apply (i.e. [Article 272 TFEU](#) action, arbitration, etc.; see [Article 57.2](#)).

Normally, offsetting is carried out *after* the payment deadline has expired. However, in exceptional circumstances, the Commission or the Agency may offset before this date, in order to safeguard the EU financial interests.⁶⁷

If the offsetting takes place after the deadline for payment has expired, the interest must also be offset. The interest is normally offset first, before the principal amount.

- by drawing on the Guarantee Fund and then follow it with the **debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund** (to continue the recovery procedure):
 - if the Commission/Agency has requested joint and several liability from a linked third party: by **holding the linked third party liable**
- or
- by either:
 - taking **legal action** in a national court or the [European Court of Justice](#) (see [Article 57.2](#)) or
 - adopting a **decision** that is **enforceable** within the meaning of [Article 299 TFEU](#)^{68,69}

The decision describes the claim and its grounds, notes that the debtor has not paid (despite having been sent a debit note and reminders) and indicates the amount of the debt.

 **Enforceable decisions** are **public law measures** (i.e. taken directly on the basis of Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)). Therefore, the dispute settlement normally follows the public law remedies (i.e. [Article 263 TFEU](#) action; see [Article 57.2](#)).

⁶⁶ See [Article 80\(1\) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#).

⁶⁷ See [Article 87 of the Rules of Application Regulation No 1268/2012](#).

⁶⁸ See [Article 299 TFEU](#): Acts of the Council, the Commission or the European Central Bank which impose a pecuniary obligation on persons other than States, shall be enforceable. Enforcement shall be governed by the rules of civil procedure in force in the State in the territory of which it is carried out. The order for its enforcement shall be appended to the decision, without other formality than verification of the authenticity of the decision, by the national authority which the government of each Member State shall designate for this purpose and shall make known to the Commission and to the Court of Justice of the European Union. When these formalities have been completed on application by the party concerned, the latter may proceed to enforcement in accordance with the national law, by bringing the matter directly before the competent authority. Enforcement may be suspended only by a decision of the Court. However, the courts of the country concerned shall have jurisdiction over complaints that enforcement is being carried out in an irregular manner.

⁶⁹ See [Article 79\(2\) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#).

This decision — duly endorsed with the order for enforcement issued by the competent authority in the Member State concerned — allows to have the debtor's **assets seized**.

The contradictory procedure will normally be **combined** with the **contradictory procedure** for cost rejection and/or grant reduction (if any).

Specific case (recoveries):

International organisations — Enforceable decisions under [Article 299 TFEU](#) (and other public law decisions) will NOT be taken against international organisations, where this would be contrary to the privileges and immunities accorded by their constituent documents or international law (see [Article 53.2](#)).

Offsetting is — by contrast — a measure that may be taken in relation to international organisations, however NOT as public law measure (i.e. not on the basis of Article 80(1) of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)), but as a purely contractual measure (i.e. on the basis of Article 44 of the GA). Offsetting for international organisations will therefore be subject to the contractual means for dispute settlement (i.e. arbitration; see [Article 57.2](#)).

ARTICLE 45 — ADMINISTRATIVE AND FINANCIAL PENALTIES

ARTICLE 45 — ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTIONS

In addition to contractual measures, the [Agency or the] Commission may also adopt administrative sanctions under Articles 106 and 131(4) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012 (i.e. exclusion from future procurement contracts, grants, prizes and expert contracts and/or financial penalties).



1. Administrative sanctions

If the Commission/Agency finds (*in particular, following a check, audit, review or OLAF investigation*) that a beneficiary is in an exclusion situation under Article 106(1) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012, it may impose administrative sanctions (— in proportion to the seriousness of the misconduct).

⚠ Administrative sanctions (financial penalties and/or exclusion from future procurement contracts, grants and expert contracts) are **public law measures** (i.e. taken directly on the basis of Articles 106 and 131(4) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012) that may be applied *in addition* to the contractual measures (i.e. cost rejection, grant reduction, recovery, suspension and termination), for **serious misconducts** (*substantial errors, irregularities or fraud, serious breach of obligations, bankruptcy, non-compliance with tax or social security obligations, grave professional misconduct, fraud, corruption, or criminal/illegal activity*).

Examples: *plagiarism; absence of time recording systems (although required); false declaration concerning SME status.*

The **maximum sanctions** are as follows:

- for exclusion: up to **5 years** from the date on which the Commission/Agency establishes the infringement, i.e. confirms the sanction and formally notifies this to the beneficiary.

If, in that time, the beneficiary commits the same infringement again, the exclusion period may be extended to **10 years**.

- for financial penalties: between **2%** and **10%** of the maximum EU contribution to the beneficiary concerned according to the estimated budget (Annex 2) — depending on the seriousness of the infringement.

If, within five years of the first infringement being established, the beneficiary commits the same infringement again, the rate may be increased to between **4%** and **20%**.

The decision to apply an administrative sanction may be **published** on the Commission/Agency websites (— together with the name of the person responsible for the misconduct, information on the misconduct and the duration of the exclusion and/or amount of the financial penalty).

When deciding on publishing this information or not, the Commission/Agency will take into account, in particular:

- the seriousness of the misconduct (including impact on the EU financial interest and image)
- the time that has elapsed since it took place
- the duration and recurrence of the misconduct

- the intention or degree of negligence and
- the measures taken by the beneficiary to remedy the situation.

2. Procedure

The Commission/Agency will follow the procedures set out in 106 of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#) (i.e. **contradictory procedure, panel, decision**).

If the Commission/Agency imposes a financial penalty, it will also issue a debit note to **recover** the amount.

If the penalty is not paid by the date set out in the debit note, late-payment interest will be added to the amount to be recovered.

However, there is NO:

- intervention of the Guarantee Fund
- joint and several liability of linked third parties.

Specific case (administrative sanctions):

International organisations — Decisions on administrative sanctions (and other public law decisions) will not be taken against international organisations, where this would be contrary to the privileges and immunities accorded by their constituent documents or international law (see [Article 53.2](#)).

SECTION 2 LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES

ARTICLE 46 — LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES

SECTION 2 LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES

ARTICLE 46 — LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES

46.1 Liability of the [Commission][Agency]

The [Commission][Agency] cannot be held liable for any damage caused to the beneficiaries or to third parties as a consequence of implementing the Agreement, including for gross negligence.

The [Commission][Agency] cannot be held liable for any damage caused by any of the beneficiaries or third parties involved in the action, as a consequence of implementing the Agreement.



1. Liability for damages (EU)

The Commission/Agency can NOT be held liable if — in implementing the GA — its own services cause damage (to a beneficiary or third party).

Moreover, the Commission/Agency can NOT be held liable if — in implementing the GA — a beneficiary or third party involved in the action causes damage (to another beneficiary or third party).

Examples:

- 1. An experiment carried out by a beneficiary leads to an accidental escape of pollutants into the local river.*
- 2. A fire breaks out in a beneficiary's laboratory in the course of an experiment for the action.*

Subsidiary (secondary) liability is also excluded.

Non-liability extends to damages caused by third parties involved in the action (i.e. linked third parties, third parties providing in-kind contributions, subcontractors etc.; see [Article 8](#)).

46.2 Liability of the beneficiaries

Except in case of force majeure (see [Article 51](#)), the beneficiaries must compensate the [Commission][Agency] for any damage it sustains as a result of the implementation of the action or because the action was not implemented in full compliance with the Agreement.



1. Liability for damages (consortium)

If a beneficiary causes damage to the Commission/Agency (in implementing the action), the Commission/Agency may claim compensation).

Examples:

- 1. Costs of legal proceedings borne by the Commission.*
- 2. At a meeting on Commission premises, a beneficiary smokes and causes a fire.*

2. Procedure

The Commission/Agency will follow the normal procedure for damages claims (i.e. bringing an action for contractual damages before the [European Court of Justice](#); not direct claim via a debit note).

SECTION 3 SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

SECTION 3 SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

47.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] may — at any moment — suspend the payment deadline (see [Article 21.2 to 21.4](#)) if a request for payment (see [Article 20](#)) cannot be approved because:

- (a) it does not comply with the provisions of the Agreement (see [Article 20](#));
- (b) the technical reports or financial reports have not been submitted or are not complete or additional information is needed, or
- (c) there is doubt about the eligibility of the costs declared in the financial statements and additional checks, reviews, audits or investigations are necessary.

47.2 Procedure

The [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator of the suspension and the reasons why.

The suspension will **take effect** the day notification is sent by the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 52](#)).

If the conditions for suspending the payment deadline are no longer met, the suspension will be **lifted** — and the remaining period will resume.

If the suspension exceeds two months, the coordinator may request the [Commission][Agency] if the suspension will continue.

If the payment deadline has been suspended due to the non-compliance of the technical or financial reports (see [Article 20](#)) and the revised report or statement is not submitted or was submitted but is also rejected, the [Commission][Agency] may also terminate the Agreement or the participation of the beneficiary (see [Article 50.3.1\(l\)](#)).



1. Suspension of the payment deadline (EU)

The Commission/Agency may stop the clock (suspend the deadline) if a payment request cannot be immediately approved, on the grounds listed in this Article.



Suspension of the payment deadline must be **distinguished** from **suspension of payments** (see [Article 48](#)). Suspension of the payment deadline is an ad hoc measure regarding the request for payment. Suspension of payments is a measure to avoid making payments to a beneficiary which is, for example, suspected of serious misconducts.

Grounds for suspension of the payment deadline (EU):

- **Payment request** does **not comply with** the provisions of the **GA**

The Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline, if the reports (or any of their documents) do not fulfil the requirements set out in [Article 20](#).

Examples: the certificate on the financial statements does not comply with the template; inconsistencies in the technical report (meaning that the action cannot be assessed); the financial statement contains errors.

- **Payment request is incomplete or requires clarification**

The Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline, if the reports (or any of their documents) are incomplete or it needs additional information.

Examples: the reports, the certificate on the financial statement or other supporting documents are missing; the information in the periodic technical report is incomplete; additional information on the coordinator's new bank account is needed

- **Doubts on the eligibility of costs** in the financial statements that require additional verifications

The Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline, if it has doubts (e.g. due to audit findings in other grants) on the eligibility of the costs in the financial statements and additional checks, reviews, audits or investigations are needed.

Example: The costs claimed in the financial statements are not consistent with the action tasks described in the technical report.

In practice, suspension is needed if there are issues with the payment request or with the costs declared which make it impossible for the Commission/Agency to comply with the **payment deadline** (i.e. for interim payments and payment of the balance: 90 days after payment request; see [Article 21](#)).

Suspension **starts** on the day the notification (announcing suspension) is sent to the coordinator (and **ends** on the day it is lifted).

Late payment without suspension of the payment deadline gives rise to late-payment interest for the beneficiaries (see [Article 21.11](#)).

2. Procedure

The Commission/Agency will immediately formally notify the coordinator of the suspension of the payment deadline and explain the reasons why.

There is NO *ex ante* contradictory procedure. However, if the suspension exceeds **two months**, the coordinator may ask the Commission/Agency if the suspension is to be continued (i.e. ask to confirm it or lift it).

3. Effects

If the **issues** have been **resolved satisfactorily** (e.g. the coordinator sent the requested information or re-submitted the report) or the Commission/Agency has **finished** the necessary **verifications** (e.g. an audit), it will lift the suspension and formally notify the coordinator.

Suspension will normally last until it is possible to make all the necessary checks and verifications that are needed for the payment (e.g. analysis of technical reports and financial statements, eligibility of claimed costs, calculation of amount to be paid, approval and authorisation of payment).

With the lifting of the suspension, the remaining payment period starts to run again.

If a deadline has been suspended for several reasons, it will be lifted only when the consortium has satisfactorily addressed ALL the reasons.

ARTICLE 48 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENTS

ARTICLE 48 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENTS

48.1 Conditions

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* may — at any moment — suspend payments, in whole or in part and for one or more beneficiaries, if:

- (a) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decision on its behalf) has committed or is suspected of having committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles) or
- (b) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decision on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2).

If payments are suspended for one or more beneficiaries, the *[Commission]**[Agency]* will make partial payment(s) for the part(s) not suspended. If suspension concerns the payment of the balance, — once suspension is lifted — the payment or the recovery of the amount(s) concerned will be considered the payment of the balance that closes the action.

48.2 Procedure

Before suspending payments, the *[Commission]**[Agency]* will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to suspend payments and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the suspension. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the suspension procedure is not continued.

The suspension will **take effect** the day the confirmation notification is sent by the *[Commission]**[Agency]*.

If the conditions for resuming payments are met, the suspension will be **lifted**. The *[Commission]**[Agency]* will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned.


During the suspension, the periodic report(s) for all reporting periods except the last one (see [Article 20.3](#)) must not contain any individual financial statements from the beneficiary concerned *[and its linked third parties]*. The coordinator must include them in the next periodic report after the suspension is lifted or — if suspension is not lifted before the end of the action — in the last periodic report.

The beneficiaries may suspend implementation of the action (see [Article 49.1](#)) or terminate the Agreement or the participation of the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 50.1](#) and [50.2](#)).



1. Suspension of payments (EU)

The Commission/Agency may suspend pre-financing, interim payments or the final payment (for one or more or for all beneficiaries), on the grounds listed in this Article.

 **Suspension of payments** has NO impact on the action implementation. The consortium must continue to work on the action, while addressing the issues that have led to suspension of the payment; costs incurred during suspension of payments are in principle eligible.

Grounds for suspension of payments (EU):

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in this grant)**

The Commission/Agency may suspend payments, if a beneficiary has committed or is suspected of having committed substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — either during the award procedure or under the GA.

Example: false declarations in the proposal form, in order to obtain EU funding

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in other grants)**

The Commission/Agency may also suspend payments, if such substantial errors, irregularities- or, fraud or serious breach of obligations were found in *other* grants, if

- the other grants were awarded under similar conditions and
- the substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations are:
 - systemic or recurrent and
 - have a material impact on this grant.

Example: During an audit of other grants, the Commission detected systemic irregularities in the calculation of personnel costs that also affect all other GAs signed by the audited beneficiary. The Commission may suspend all outstanding payments for the audited beneficiary until the issue is resolved.

Suspension **starts** on the day the notification (confirming suspension) is sent to the coordinator (and **ends** on the day it is lifted).

If necessary, the consortium may also decide to:

- suspend the GA (see [Article 49](#))
- terminate the GA or the participation of the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 50](#)).

2. Procedure

Before suspending payments, the Commission/Agency will follow a **contradictory procedure**.

Contradictory procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.
- Step 2** — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it.

Depending on the situation and type of suspension, this procedure will be directed either at the coordinator or the beneficiary concerned:

- suspension linked to the consortium: normally the coordinator

- suspension linked to a beneficiary (e.g. *simultaneous suspension of payments in several grants after a beneficiary audit*): normally the beneficiary concerned.

If it is directed at the coordinator, the coordinator must make sure that the other members of the consortium are informed and ask for their comments.

If it is directed at the beneficiary, the Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator later on (in a way that preserves confidentiality).



Information obligation — Beneficiaries do not have to inform their coordinators or ask them to submit comments. However, they should inform them if there is the risk of a significant impact on the action (see [Article 17.2](#)).

3. Effects

During suspension, NO **individual financial statements** may be submitted for the beneficiary (or beneficiaries) concerned with the periodic reports (except with the report for the last reporting period).

Costs incurred (for continuing to implement the action during suspension) are eligible and may be included in the **next financial report**, after suspension has been lifted. They must be included in the periodic report for the last reporting period (— even if suspension is still ongoing).

Technical reports submitted during suspension must include the work of the beneficiaries concerned.

Example:

- 1 May: the Commission informs the coordinator of its intention to suspend interim payments for beneficiary B because an audit for other H2020 grants has detected systematic substantial errors in the calculation of its personnel costs.*
- 15 May: the coordinator comments that beneficiary B was not aware of its obligations in this respect.*
- 1 June: the Commission rejects the comments and confirms the suspension of payments for beneficiary B.*
- 1 July: the coordinator submits all reports except the individual financial statement for beneficiary B (covering an amount of EUR 75 000).*
- 10 August: the Commission pays for all beneficiaries except B.*
- 25 October: the Commission approves remedial measures taken by beneficiary B (correcting the substantial errors and submitting revised financial statements) and lifts the suspension. The costs of beneficiary B will be submitted in the next reporting period.*

If payments for one (or some) of the beneficiaries are still suspended at the end of the action, the Commission/Agency will make a partial payment of the balance for the amount that is not suspended (— but ONLY after having received all the necessary information for the final calculations for ALL consortium members, i.e. including the suspended beneficiaries).



The partial final payment is NOT the payment that closes the action. That payment will be made only after the payment suspensions are lifted.

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

49.1 Suspension of the action implementation, by the beneficiaries

49.1.1 Conditions

The beneficiaries may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if exceptional circumstances — in particular *force majeure* (see [Article 51](#)) — make implementation impossible or excessively difficult.

49.1.2 Procedure

The coordinator must immediately formally notify to the *[Commission][Agency]* the suspension (see [Article 52](#)), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the expected date of resumption.

The suspension will **take effect** the day this notification is received by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Once circumstances allow for implementation to resume, the coordinator must immediately formally notify the *[Commission][Agency]* and request an **amendment** of the Agreement to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see [Article 55](#)) — unless the Agreement or the participation of a beneficiary has been terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

The suspension will be **lifted** with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment.


This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

Costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation are not eligible (see [Article 6](#)).



1. GA suspension (consortium)

The beneficiaries may suspend the action (in full or in part), on the ground set out in this Article.

 **GA suspension** may be used exceptionally if it is necessary to stop the action implementation, to fix specific problems. It should NOT be used in situations that cannot be resolved through a temporary interruption; in these cases, it may be better to terminate the GA (see [Article 50](#)).

Ground for GA suspension (consortium):

- **Action can no longer be implemented (or becomes excessively difficult)**

The beneficiaries may suspend the action (in full or in part), if implementation becomes impossible or excessively difficult.

***Example:** A fire devastates a beneficiary's laboratory, with most of the technical equipment and computers used for the action and containing the research results. The beneficiaries therefore request that the part of the action that is affected by this is suspended until the laboratory is restored.*

Suspension **starts** on the day the Commission/Agency receives the notification (and **ends** on the resumption date specified in the amendment after resumption).

 **Information obligation** — Depending on the reasons for suspension, the beneficiaries may also have to take other measures under the GA (e.g. **inform** the Commission/Agency under [Article 17.2](#); **notify** a situation of force majeure under [Article 51](#)).

2. Procedure

The coordinator must immediately **formally notify** the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

3. Effects

The beneficiaries must immediately take all the necessary steps to **limit the damage** and do their best to resume (i.e. continue) implementing the action as soon as possible.

During suspension, **costs** incurred (for implementing the suspended part of the action) are NOT eligible (see [Article 6.5](#)). Costs may again be incurred for the action, once action implementation is resumed.

If the **action can be continued** (resumed), the coordinator must notify it to the Commission/Agency by requesting an amendment to the GA.

The amendment must be requested in accordance with [Article 55](#) (e.g. *it must be signed by the coordinator's LSIGN*).

It sets the resumption date and adapts the grant to the new situation (e.g. *by extending the action duration, modifying Annexes 1 and 2, updating the reporting periods*).

If the Commission/Agency approves the amendment, the suspension is lifted and will be resumed as from the **resumption date** set out in the amendment. The resumption date can be retroactive (i.e. back to the date when the problem stopped/issue was clarified).

***Example:** The action was suspended on 24.03.2016 due to weather conditions. Once the conditions improve, the coordinator requests an amendment to lift the suspension (e.g. after 60 days, on 22.05.2016). Therefore, in accordance with [Article 54](#) of the GA, the action will be resumed as from 23.05.2016.*

If the suspension is lifted and the action continues, the action's remaining budget can be used for action implementation. (Although it may be that the budget must be lowered in the amendment, to adapt the action to the new situation).

***Example:** After the suspension, it is decided that not all the tasks described in Annex 1 will be implemented.*

If the **action** (or part of it) **can NOT be continued** (or the Commission/Agency does not approve the amendment; see [Article 55](#)), the GA (or the participation of one or more beneficiaries) may be terminated.

If the suspension leads to GA termination, NO further **costs** (incurred after the date of suspension) can be declared, except the costs for the reports (see [Article 6.1](#)).

***Example:** The action starts on 1.1.2015 and is to last 36 months. The action's implementation is suspended for four months, from the date after the Commission is notified, 1.12.2015 to 31.3.2016 and the suspension leads to the GA termination. The eligible costs are:*

- costs incurred from the action's start (1.1.2015) until the date of notification (30.11.2015)
- costs incurred for the submission of the first periodic report and the final report.

49.2 Suspension of the action implementation, by the [Commission][Agency]

49.2.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it if:

- (a) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed or is suspected of having committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities, or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (b) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see [Article 22.5.2](#)), or
- (c) the action is suspected of having lost its scientific or technological relevance.

49.2.2 Procedure

Before suspending implementation of the action, the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to suspend the implementation and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the suspension. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The suspension will **take effect** five days after confirmation notification is received (or on a later date specified in the notification).

It will be **lifted** if the conditions for resuming implementation of the action are met.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned will be formally notified of the lifting and the Agreement will be amended to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see [Article 55](#)) — unless the

Agreement has already been terminated (see [Article 50](#)).

The suspension will be lifted with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment.

This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

Costs incurred during suspension are not eligible (see [Article 6](#)).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to suspension by the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 46](#)).

Suspension of the action implementation does not affect the [Commission's][Agency's] right to terminate the Agreement or participation of a beneficiary (see [Article 50](#)), reduce the grant or recover amounts unduly paid (see [Articles 43](#) and [44](#)).



1. GA suspension (EU)

The Commission/Agency may suspend the action (in full or in part), on the grounds listed in this Article.

Grounds for GA suspension (EU):

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in this grant)**

The Commission/Agency may suspend the action, if a beneficiary has committed or is suspected of having committed substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — either during the award procedure or under the GA

Example: false declarations in the proposal form, in order to obtain EU funding

- **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in other grants)**

The Commission/Agency may also suspend the action, if such substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations were found in *other* grants, if

- the other grants were awarded under similar conditions and
- the substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations are:
 - systemic or recurrent and
 - have a material impact on this grant.

Example: During an audit of other grants, the Commission detected systematic irregularities in the calculation of personnel costs that also affect all other GAs signed by the audited beneficiary. The Commission may suspend the audited beneficiary's part of the action until the issue is resolved.

- **Loss of scientific or technological relevance**

The Commission/Agency may suspend the action, if it needs time to assess whether the action has lost scientific or technological relevance.

This may in particular be the case:

- if a complete revision of Annex 1 is necessary to assess the impact of a request for amendment
- if work has significantly deviated from the original work plan
- if a key beneficiary leaves the action and the consortium needs time to find a replacement
- after a check, audit or review of the action.

Example: There are technical problems with implementing the work under an action as described in Annex 1, so the consortium proposes changes to the work to be carried out. This may jeopardise its technological relevance and the Commission decides to suspend its implementation and to carry out a review.

Suspension **starts** 5 days after it is notified to the coordinator (or on a later date specified in the notification) and **ends** on the resumption date specified in the amendment signed by the Commission/Agency).

2. Procedure

Before suspending the GA, the Commission/Agency will follow a **contradictory procedure**.

Contradictory procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.

Step 2 — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.

Step 3 — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it.

Depending on the situation and type of suspension, this procedure will be directed either at the coordinator or the beneficiary concerned:

- suspension linked to the consortium: normally the coordinator
- suspension linked to a beneficiary (*e.g. simultaneous suspension of several grants after a beneficiary audit*): normally the beneficiary concerned.

If it is directed at the coordinator, the coordinator must make sure that the other members of the consortium are informed and ask for their comments.

If it is directed at the beneficiary concerned, the Commission/Agency will inform the coordinator later on (in a way that preserves confidentiality).



Information obligation — Beneficiaries normally do not have to inform their coordinators or ask them to submit comments. However, they should inform them, if there is the risk of a significant impact on the action (see [Article 17.2](#)).

If possible, the Commission/Agency will give an estimation of how long the suspension will be needed.

3. Effects

During suspension, **costs** incurred (for implementing the suspended part of the action) are NOT eligible (see [Article 6.5](#)). Costs may again be declared for the action, once the action is resumed.

If the **action can be continued**, the Commission/Agency will lift the suspension and formally notify the coordinator (together with the suspension **end date**).

The suspension can be lifted retroactively (i.e. back to the date when the problem stopped/issue was clarified).

The coordinator must then request an amendment to the GA (see [Article 55](#)), to set the **resumption date** in the tools and adapt the grant to the new situation (*e.g. by extending the action duration modifying Annexes 1 and 2, updating the reporting periods*).

If the **action** (or part of it) **can NOT be continued**, the GA (or the beneficiary concerned) may be terminated.

If the suspension leads to the GA termination, NO further **costs** (incurred after the date of suspension) can be declared, except the costs for the reports (see [Article 6.1](#)).

Example:

A key beneficiary is suspected of having declared as eligible personnel costs under the GA the costs of personnel employed by another company. The Commission suspends the implementation of the action in order to carry out checks. During that period of suspension, the beneficiary withdraws from the action. The consortium cannot find a replacement for this beneficiary and terminates the GA in accordance with [Article 50.1](#).

The GA starts on 1.5.2015 and lasts 42 months.

The Commission suspends its implementation.

The coordinator confirms having received notification of the suspension on 18.3.2017.

The suspension takes effect on 23.3.2017.

On 23.6.2017, the consortium formally notifies the GA termination.

Only costs incurred from 1.5.2015 to 23.3.2017 and the costs of submitting the periodic report for the last reporting period and the final report are eligible.

The Commission will reject the ineligible personnel costs.

Ineligible **costs** will be **rejected**. The **grant** may be **reduced**, if the termination is based on substantial errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations (*for instance if the action has not been implemented properly; see [Articles 5.3 and 43](#)*). In certain cases, the Commission/Agency may also impose **administrative sanctions** (i.e. exclusion and/or financial penalties; see [Article 45](#)).

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiaries

50.1.1 Conditions and procedure

The beneficiaries may terminate the Agreement.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Article 52](#)), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

If no reasons are given or if the *[Commission][Agency]* considers the reasons do not justify termination, the Agreement will be considered to have been **‘terminated improperly’**

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.1.2 Effects

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a periodic report (for the open reporting period until termination; see [Article 20.3](#)) and
- (ii) the final report (see [Article 20.4](#)).

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the reports within the deadline (see above), only costs which are included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will **calculate** the final grant amount (see [Article 5.3](#)) and the balance (see [Article 21.4](#)) on the basis of the reports submitted. Only costs incurred until termination are eligible (see [Article 6](#)). Costs relating to contracts due for execution only after termination are not eligible.

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see [Article 43](#)).

After termination, the beneficiaries’ obligations (in particular [Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44](#)) continue to apply.



1. GA termination (consortium)

The beneficiaries have the right to terminate the GA.



GA termination should be a last-resort measure, if all efforts to continue the action fail.

If action implementation just becomes *temporarily* impossible or excessively difficult, it may be better not to terminate the GA, but to suspend it (see [Article 49](#)). In this case, the GA would only be terminated if it turns out later that implementation cannot be resumed anymore.

Example: A fire devastates a laboratory where most of the technical equipment and computers used in the action are stored. If the beneficiaries consider that the laboratory can be replaced and the action will still be correctly implemented, they may suspend implementation and resume it when the new laboratory is operational. However, if the action has been suspended and it is not possible to find a new laboratory and therefore it is impossible to resume the action, the beneficiaries may terminate the GA.

Grounds for GA termination (consortium):

- **Any ground** that **justifies** early termination of the action

The beneficiaries may terminate the GA in principle on any ground — as long as there is a good reason (*e.g. circumstances make its implementation impossible or excessively difficult; loss of the action's scientific or technological relevance; force majeure*).

Examples:

1. The consortium decides to terminate the GA due to technical difficulties that result in the action no longer being viable.
2. The consortium requests termination because the action was finished ahead of schedule.

If there are no legitimate reasons for discontinuing the action, the Commission/Agency **can NOT oppose**, but termination will be considered **improper**.



Improper termination of the GA may lead to a grant reduction (see [Articles 5.3 and 43](#)).

This will be the case, for instance, if:

- the implementation of the action has become impossible or excessively difficult due to the beneficiaries' wilful misconduct or gross negligence
- the reasons provided are based on changes in the strategic choices of the beneficiaries, not linked to any specific economic or operational difficulties
- implementation would have been possible if the beneficiaries had made more (but still reasonable) efforts.

Example: The beneficiaries decide to terminate the GA due to internal communication and decision-making problems within the consortium, and notify the Commission via the coordinator. The Commission considers that these internal problems have jeopardised the action's implementation, but do not justify terminating the GA because they could have been solved within the consortium on the basis of the consortium agreement. This improper termination may lead to a grant reduction.

2. Procedure

The coordinator must **formally notify** the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)) of the termination — on behalf of the other beneficiaries.

Best practice: Beneficiaries should contact the Commission/Agency beforehand, to discuss the termination.

The notification must specify the date on which termination will take effect (**termination date**).

This date must be a date after the notification: Terminations **cannot** be **retroactive** (notably to be able to comply with the obligations and deadlines after termination; *see point 3*).

3. Effects

The coordinator must — within 60 days — **submit** the necessary **reports** (i.e. a periodic report for the open reporting period until termination and the final report).

The Commission/Agency will **calculate** the **final grant amount** and the **payment of the balance** (*see Articles 5.3 and 21.4*).

If the total amount of earlier payments (pre-financing payment and interim payments, if any) received before termination:

- is greater than the final grant amount, the balance is negative and will take the form of a recovery (see [Article 21.4](#) and [44.1.2](#)).
- is lower than the final grant amount, the Commission/Agency will pay the balance (see [Article 21.4](#)).

Only **costs** incurred *before* termination (i.e. generating event before the termination date; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#)) are eligible — except for the:

- costs for the reports (see [Article 6.1](#))
 - Example:* The action's duration is 36 months. The starting date is 1.1.2016. The notified termination date is 1.5.2017. Therefore, only costs incurred in connection with the action from 1.1.2016 to 1.5.2017 (16 months) and the costs related to submission of the periodic report for the last reporting period and the final report are eligible
- costs for (the part of) contracts or subcontracts delivered before termination (see [Article 6.1](#)).
 - Example:* One of the beneficiaries of the GA has a contract to carry out 8 tests during the action's duration. However, only three tests out of 8 are carried out before the GA is terminated. Therefore, only the costs related to these 3 tests carried out before termination may be eligible for the action.

If the coordinator fails to submit the reports (within the 60 days of the date on which termination takes effect), costs that are not included in an approved periodic financial report will NOT be taken into account when the final grant amount is calculated. The Commission/Agency will NOT send a reminder and will NOT extend the deadline.

The detailed calculations are described in [Article 21](#).

Termination has NO effect on the **provisions** that normally **continue to apply** after the end of the action.

Obligations that continue to apply after the GA is terminated:

- Keeping records and other supporting documentation ([Article 18.1](#))
- Submitting the periodic report (for the open reporting period until termination) and the final report (see [Article 50.1.1](#) and [20](#))
- Providing requested information and allow access to their sites and premises (for checks, reviews, audits, investigations or evaluations of the action's impact; see [Articles 22](#) and [23](#))
- Complying with the rules on management of intellectual property, background and results (see [Section 3 of Chapter 4 of the GA](#))
- Maintaining confidentiality (see [Article 36](#))
- Complying with the security obligations (if applicable) (see [Article 37](#))
- Promoting the action and giving visibility to the EU funding (see [Article 38](#))
- No assignment of claims for payment (see [Article 40](#))
- Chapter 6 measures (i.e. rejection of costs (see [Article 42](#)), grant reduction (see [Article 43](#)), recovery (see [Article 44](#)).

MULTI-BENEFICIARY:**50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries****50.2.1 Conditions and procedure**

The participation of one or more beneficiaries may be terminated by the coordinator, on request of the beneficiary concerned or on behalf of the other beneficiaries.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see [Article 52](#)) and inform the beneficiary concerned.

If the coordinator's participation is terminated without its agreement, the formal notification must be done by another beneficiary (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries).

The notification must include:

- the reasons why;
- the opinion of the beneficiary concerned (or proof that this opinion has been requested in writing);
- the date the termination takes effect. This date must be after the notification, and
- a request for amendment (see [Article 55](#)), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks and the estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned (see Annexes 1 and 2) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see [Article 56](#)). If termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be included unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.

If this information is not given or if the *[Commission][Agency]* considers that the reasons do not justify termination, the participation will be considered to have been **terminated improperly**.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.2.2 Effects

The coordinator must — within 30 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned and
- (ii) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a '**termination report**' from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work, an overview of the use of resources, the individual financial statement and, if applicable, the certificate on the financial statement (see [Article 20.3](#) and [20.4](#)).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the periodic report for the next reporting period (see [Article 20.3](#)).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the *[Commission][Agency]* (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the *[Commission][Agency]*, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see [Article 55](#)).

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — on the basis of the periodic reports, the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — calculate the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the (pre-financing and interim) payments received by the beneficiary exceed this amount.

The **amount which is due** is calculated in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

The grant amount for the beneficiary is calculated by applying the reimbursement rate(s) to the total eligible costs declared by the beneficiary *[and its linked third parties]* in the termination report and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Only costs incurred by the beneficiary concerned until termination takes effect are eligible (see Article 6). Costs relating to contracts due for execution only after termination are not eligible.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

In case of a reduction (see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

If the payments received **exceed the amounts due**:

- if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3 and the request for amendment is accepted, the beneficiary concerned must repay to the coordinator the amount unduly received. The *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify the amount unduly received and request the beneficiary concerned to repay it to the coordinator within 30 days of receiving notification. If it does not repay the coordinator, the *[Commission][Agency]* will draw upon the Guarantee Fund to pay the coordinator and then notify a **debit note** on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 44](#));
- in all other cases (in particular if termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3), the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **debit note** to the beneficiary concerned. If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the Guarantee Fund will pay to the *[Commission][Agency]* the amount due and the *[Commission][Agency]* will notify a debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 44](#));
- if the beneficiary concerned is the former coordinator, it must repay the new coordinator the amount unduly received, unless:
 - termination takes effect after an interim payment and
 - the former coordinator has not distributed amounts received as pre-financing or interim payments (see [Article 21.7](#)).

In this case, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **debit note** to the former coordinator. If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the Guarantee Fund will pay to the *[Commission][Agency]* the amount due. The *[Commission][Agency]* will then pay the new coordinator and notify a debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the former coordinator (see [Article 44](#)).

If the payments received **do not exceed the amounts due**: amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned will be included in the next interim or final payment.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the termination report within the deadline (see above), only costs included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the report on the distribution of payments within the deadline (see above), it will consider that:

- the coordinator did not distribute any payment to the beneficiary concerned and that
- the beneficiary concerned must not repay any amount to the coordinator.

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see [Article 43](#)) or termination of the Agreement (see [Article 50](#)).

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

MONO-BENEFICIARY:

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

Not applicable



1. Beneficiary termination (consortium)


The beneficiaries may terminate the participation of a beneficiary (or of several beneficiaries), if:

- the beneficiary concerned requests it or
- the consortium decides to terminate the beneficiary's participation (using its internal decision-making procedures). In this case, the consortium must inform the beneficiary concerned, request the beneficiary's opinion in writing and provide it to the Commission/Agency (or — if the beneficiary didn't reply — provide proof that the beneficiary's opinion has been requested in writing).

Grounds for beneficiary termination (consortium):


- **Any ground** that **justifies** termination of the participation of the beneficiary

The beneficiaries may terminate the participation of one of their consortium members in principle on any ground — as long as there is a good reason (*e.g. withdrawal by a beneficiary because due to a change in ownership; the beneficiary cannot implement its tasks in the same way; bankruptcy*).

 For beneficiaries that are involved in **several EU GAs**, withdrawal from one GA does NOT necessarily imply that it has to also withdraw from the others.

 **Information obligation** — In case of **bankruptcy** (or similar), the beneficiary or the coordinator must immediately inform the Commission/Agency. Late information will be considered as a breach of the information obligation under the GA (see [Article 17.2](#)).

If there are no legitimate reasons, the Commission/Agency **can NOT oppose** beneficiary termination, but it will be considered **improper**.

 **Improper termination** of the participation of a beneficiary may lead to GA termination (see [Article 50.3.1\(c\)](#)) and/or to a grant reduction at the payment of the balance (see [Articles 5.3 and 43](#)).

Termination extends to **linked third parties**. Terminating a beneficiary's participation implies that its linked third parties' may NOT continue participating in the action.

⚠ Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in actions, like beneficiaries. Linked third parties can NOT however do more than the beneficiary they are linked to: If their beneficiary is terminated, they must also leave the action.

2. Procedure

The coordinator must **formally notify** the Commission/Agency of the termination (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)). At the same time, the coordinator must inform the beneficiary concerned (through the usual communication channels — in writing and offline).

The notification must include all the **information** set out in this Article (including the date the termination takes effect) and a **request for an amendment**.

Since for beneficiary termination the notification is combined with an amendment request, they must be submitted and signed by the PLSIGN of the coordinator (on behalf of the other beneficiaries; *see Annex 3*).

NO amendment is needed if the termination takes effect after the end of the action — unless it concerns the coordinator (since the coordinator has many obligations also after the end of the action, *e.g. submit the reports, receive the payment of the balance and distribute the payment among the beneficiaries*).

The amendment must be requested in accordance with [Article 55](#).

If it is accepted, the GA will be amended to introduce the necessary changes (including, if necessary, the addition of new beneficiaries).

If it is rejected, the beneficiaries will have to make another proposal to the Commission/Agency. If a satisfactory solution cannot be found (i.e. the request for an amendment calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of the equal treatment of applicants), the GA may be terminated.

***Example:** A key beneficiary terminates its participation in the GA. The consortium cannot find a replacement and cannot continue implementing the action without one.*

The notification must specify the date on which termination will take effect (**termination date**).

This date must be a date after the notification: Terminations **cannot** be **retroactive** (notably to be able to comply with the obligations and deadlines after termination; *see point 3*).

3. Effects

If the **GA continues** (i.e. it is amended), the remaining members of the consortium (and any new beneficiaries) have the responsibility for fully implementing the action as described in Annex 1 (*see Article 41.1*). They must carry out the action (including the part that the defaulting beneficiary was supposed to carry out and without any additional funding to do so) — unless the Commission/Agency expressly agrees otherwise.

The coordinator must — within 30 days — **submit** the necessary **reports** (i.e. a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned and a termination report).

In case of coordinator termination, the reports must be submitted by the new coordinator (to avoid problems with the payment). If the nomination of the new coordinator takes more time, the 30 days deadline may be extended.

The information contained in the beneficiary's termination report must also be included in the **periodic report** for the next reporting period.

The Commission/Agency will **calculate** the **amount due** to the terminated beneficiary:

- if the Commission/Agency owes amounts to the beneficiary, those amounts will be paid with the following payment to the consortium (interim or final)
- if the beneficiary owes, those amounts must be paid back to the consortium.

Only **costs** incurred before termination (i.e. generating event before the termination date see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#)) are eligible — except for the:

- costs for the termination report (see [Article 6.1](#))
- costs for (the part of) contracts or subcontracts delivered before termination (see [Article 6.1](#)).

If the coordinator fails to submit the *termination report* (within the 30 days of the date on which termination takes effect), costs that are not included in an approved periodic financial report will NOT be taken into account when the contribution is calculated. The Commission/Agency will NOT send a written reminder and will not extend the deadline.

If the coordinator fails to submit the *report on the distribution of payments*, the terminated beneficiary whose participation was terminated will NOT have to repay any amounts.

The detailed calculations are described in [Article 21](#).

Termination has no effect on the **provisions** that normally **continue to apply** after the end of the action (see [Article 50.1](#)).

Specific cases (beneficiary termination):

Termination of the coordinator without its agreement — The decision to terminate the coordinator must be made by the rest of the consortium (according to its internal decision-making procedures). The notification and amendment request must be made by one of the beneficiaries (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries; see [Article 55](#)) and the reports must be submitted by the new coordinator (to avoid problems with the payment). If needed, the 30 days deadline for submission of the reports can be extended (see *above*).

Coordinator in bankruptcy/liquidation/administration (or similar) — In principle the coordinator must be changed. If the coordinator can no longer submit the request, the same procedure as for coordinator termination without its agreement should be used (see *above* and [Article 55](#)).

Exceptionally, at the end of the action it may not be necessary to change the coordinator, but only its bank account if the administrator/liquidator accepts to do the final transfers of amounts.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY:**50.3 Termination of the Agreement or the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the [Commission][Agency]****50.3.1 Conditions**

The [Commission][Agency] may terminate the Agreement or the participation of one or more beneficiaries, if:

- (a) one or more beneficiaries do not accede to the Agreement (see [Article 56](#));
- (b) a change to their legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation [(or those of its linked third parties)] is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) following termination of participation for one or more beneficiaries (see above), the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants (see [Article 55](#));
- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see [Article 51](#)) or suspended by the coordinator (see [Article 49.1](#)) and either:
 - (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) a beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;
- (f) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;
- (g) a beneficiary does not comply with the applicable national law on taxes and social security;
- (h) the action has lost scientific or technological relevance;
- (i) **[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see [Article 2](#)) has not started by the date specified in Annex 1][OPTION 2: not applicable];**
- (j) **[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see [Article 2](#)) is terminated or can no longer contribute to the action][OPTION 2: not applicable];**
- (k) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity;
- (l) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);

(m) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**); see Article 22.5.2;

(n) *[OPTION 1: despite a specific request by the [Commission][Agency], a beneficiary does not request — through the coordinator — an amendment to the Agreement to end the participation of one of its linked third parties or international partners that is in one of the situations under points (e), (f), (g), (k), (l) or (m) and to reallocate its tasks][OPTION 2: not applicable].*

50.3.2 Procedure

Before terminating the Agreement or participation of one or more beneficiaries, the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to terminate and the reasons why and
- inviting it, within 30 days of receiving notification, to submit observations and — in case of Point (l.ii) above — to inform the [Commission][Agency] of the measures to ensure compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned **confirmation** of the termination and the date it will take effect. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The termination will **take effect**:

- for terminations under Points (b), (c), (e), (g), (h), (j), (l.ii) and (n) above: on the day specified in the notification of the confirmation (see above);
- for terminations under Points (a), (d), (f), (i), (k), (l.i) and (m) above: on the day after the notification of the confirmation is received.

50.3.3 Effects

(b) for **termination of the Agreement**:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a periodic report (for the last open reporting period until termination; see [Article 20.3](#)) and
- (ii) a final report (see [Article 20.4](#)).

If the Agreement is terminated for breach of the obligation to submit reports (see [Articles 20.8](#) and [50.3.1\(l\)](#)), the coordinator may not submit any reports after termination.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive the reports within the deadline (see above), only costs which are included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

The [Commission][Agency] will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see [Article 21.4](#)) on the basis of the reports submitted. Only costs incurred until termination takes effect are eligible (see [Article 6](#)). Costs relating to contracts due for execution only after termination are not eligible.

This does not affect the [Commission's][Agency's] right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative sanctions (Article 45).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to termination by the [Commission][Agency] (see [Article 46](#)).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

(c) for **termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries:**

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned;
- (ii) a request for amendment (see [Article 55](#)), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks and estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned (see Annexes 1 and 2) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see [Article 56](#)). If termination is notified after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be submitted unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator, and
- (iii) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a **termination report** from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work, an overview of the use of resources, the individual financial statement and, if applicable, the certificate on the financial statement (see [Article 20](#)).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the periodic report for the next reporting period (see [Article 20.3](#)).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the *[Commission][Agency]* (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the *[Commission][Agency]*, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see [Article 55](#)).

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — on the basis of the periodic reports, the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — **calculate** the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the (pre-financing and interim) payments received by the beneficiary exceed this amount.

The **amount which is due** is calculated in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

The grant amount for the beneficiary is calculated by applying the reimbursement rate(s) to the total eligible costs declared by the beneficiary *[and its linked third parties]* in the termination report and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Only costs incurred by the beneficiary concerned until termination takes effect are eligible (see Article 6). Costs relating to contracts due for execution only after termination are not eligible.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

In case of a reduction (see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

If the payments received **exceed the amounts due:**

- if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3 and the request for amendment is accepted, the beneficiary concerned must repay to the coordinator the amount unduly received. The *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify the amount unduly received and request the beneficiary concerned to repay it to the coordinator within 30 days of receiving notification. If it does not repay the coordinator, the *[Commission][Agency]* will draw upon the Guarantee Fund to pay the coordinator and then notify a debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 44](#));
- in all other cases, in particular if termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **debit note** to the beneficiary concerned. If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the Guarantee Fund will pay to the *[Commission][Agency]* the amount due and the *[Commission][Agency]* will notify a debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the beneficiary concerned (see [Article 44](#));
- if the beneficiary concerned is the former coordinator, it must repay the new coordinator the amount unduly received, unless:
 - termination takes effect after an interim payment and
 - the former coordinator has not distributed amounts received as pre-financing or interim payments (see [Article 21.7](#))

In this case, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **debit note** to the former coordinator. If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the Guarantee Fund will pay to the *[Commission][Agency]* the amount due. The *[Commission][Agency]* will then pay the new coordinator and notify a debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund to the former coordinator (see [Article 44](#)).

- If the payments received **do not exceed the amounts due**: amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned will be included in the next interim or final payment.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the termination report within the deadline (see above), only costs included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the report on the distribution of payments within the deadline (see above), it will consider that:

- the coordinator did not distribute any payment to the beneficiary concerned, and that
- the beneficiary concerned must not repay any amount to the coordinator.

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

MONO-BENEFICIARY:

50.3 Termination of the Agreement, by the *[Commission][Agency]*


[...]



1. GA or beneficiary termination (EU)

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA or the participation of one (or more) of the beneficiaries, on the **grounds** listed in this Article.

 Beneficiary termination may lead to **GA termination**, if the Commission/Agency considers that the GA can NOT be continued (see [Article 50.3.1\(c\)](#)).

 The GA may be **directly terminated** if beneficiary termination would call into question the the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

Grounds for termination (EU):

- **Non-accession to the GA**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA, if one (or more) beneficiaries did not accede to the GA (i.e. did not sign the Accession Form within 30 days after the entry into force of the GA or did not provide the requested declaration on joint and several liability).

Non-accession of a beneficiary does NOT automatically lead to the GA termination; the consortium can find an alternative solution that ensures the proper implementation of the action without the beneficiary (and request an amendment; see [Article 55](#)).

In this case, the Commission/Agency will terminate the GA only if it considers the solution inappropriate or if the consortium no longer complies with the eligibility conditions set out [General Annexes A and C to the H2020 Work Programme](#) (e.g. the rules regarding the minimum number of beneficiaries, their legal situation, or their place of establishment).⁷⁰

Examples:

1. Three entities from Poland, Portugal and France, are going to participate in a GA. The French beneficiary does not accede to the GA, and the other two beneficiaries fail to find a solution to this. The Commission terminates the GA because the conditions set out in the work programme/call are not met (i.e. the rule that three legal entities must take part).

2. A key beneficiary does not take part in the action after all; the assessment of the action based on the award criteria announced in the call for proposals would have had a different result.

- **Change in a beneficiary's situation**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary, if there has been a change to its (or one of its linked third parties') legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation, that is likely to substantially affect or delay the action's implementation or calls into question the decision to award the grant.

Such changes can be of any kind and can be triggered by the beneficiary itself or outside circumstances.

Examples:

1. An action's key beneficiary is taken over by a non-European company (not entitled to participate due to security reasons). This substantially affects the action's implementation and the ownership, protection, exploitation and dissemination of the results. The Commission decides to terminate the beneficiary's participation in the GA (or the GA if the other beneficiaries fail to find a solution to replace it).

2. The country in which one of the beneficiaries is established ceases to be an EU Member State. This means that the beneficiary is no longer eligible for funding and that the decision to award the grant is called into question. The Commission may decide to terminate the beneficiary's participation in the GA (or the GA if the other beneficiaries fail to find a solution to replace it).

 Costs become automatically ineligible as from the date of loss of eligibility (see [Article 6](#)).

- **GA cannot be amended after termination** of a beneficiary's participation

⁷⁰ See also [Article 8 of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013](#).

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA, if it cannot be amended after termination of a beneficiary's participation because the necessary changes to the GA would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of the equal treatment of applicants; see [Article 55](#)).

The GA may be **directly terminated** if beneficiary termination would call into question the the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

- **Action can no longer be implemented**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA, if action implementation is prevented by *force majeure* or the action implementation is suspended and resumption is not possible or the necessary amendment is not acceptable (see [Articles 49 and 55](#)).

Example:

1. A fire devastates a laboratory where most of the technical equipment and computers with the action's research data are stored. The coordinator suspends the action's implementation to rebuild the laboratory. The Commission carries out a review after the *force majeure* takes place and concludes that the consortium can no longer implement the action. It therefore decides to terminate the GA.

2. A beneficiary that has the necessary background to work on the action and owns the installations where most of the work would be implemented decides to terminate its participation. The Commission decides to terminate the GA because continuing implementing the action without this beneficiary calls into question the decision awarding the grant.

- **Bankruptcy, winding-up, administration, arrangement with creditors, suspension of business activities or other similar proceedings**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary, if it is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law (since this normally implies that the beneficiary cannot carry out the work properly).

Example: A coordinator informs the Commission that a beneficiary participating in a GA is insolvent. It does not notify beneficiary termination because it thinks that the beneficiary may continue implementing the action. The Commission considers that the beneficiary has not sufficient means to pursue implementation and terminates the beneficiary's participation.



Information obligation — In case of **bankruptcy** (or similar), the beneficiary or the coordinator must immediately inform the Commission/Agency. Late information will be considered as a breach of the information obligation under the GA (see [Article 17.2](#)).

- **Professional misconduct**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary, if it (or one of its representatives) has been found guilty of professional misconduct (proven by any means).

Example: A legal entity's participation in a GA is terminated when a national investigation uncovers that it falsified the results of its clinical studies.

- **Non-compliance with tax or social security obligations**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary, if it has not fulfilled its obligations to pay social security contributions or taxes under national law (i.e. the law of the country in which it is established and those of the country where the action is implemented; see [Article 7](#)).

Example: A national administration notifies to the Commission/Agency that a beneficiary did not pay social security contribution for its employees. If this beneficiary cannot prove that it paid these contributions or clarify the situation within a given deadline, the Commission may terminate its participation in the GA.

- **Loss of scientific or technological relevance**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA, if the action has lost scientific or technological relevance.

Example: A proposal on research on a new system based on recently discovered material is selected. After the action starts, a European scientific publication demonstrates that this material

contains a chemical substance that irretrievably harms human health. Therefore, the action cannot continue and the Commission decides to terminate the GA.

▪ **Specific grounds for joint actions**

For joint actions (i.e. actions funded following a joint or coordinated call with a third country or international organisation (IO); see [Article 2](#)), the Commission/Agency may terminate the GA, if:

- the third country/IO action does not start by the date specified in Annex 1
In this case, NO costs incurred by the consortium will be accepted. Any pre-financing provided to the consortium must be returned to the Commission/Agency in full.
- the third country/IO action is terminated
- the third country/IO action can no longer contribute to the subject of the GA.

Example: if the coordination agreement is not signed.

▪ **Fraud, corruption or other criminal activities**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary, if it (or one of its representatives) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity.

Example: A legal entity's participation in several EU projects is terminated when its owner is convicted by national courts to have participated in large-scale drug trafficking.

▪ **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in this grant)**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the GA or the participation of a beneficiary, if a beneficiary (or one of its representatives) has committed substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — either during the award procedure or under the GA.

Example: false declarations in the proposal form, in order to obtain EU funding; coordinator does not transfer payments to the other beneficiaries, but uses them for itself; coordinator does not submit the reports (despite a reminder); consortium does not inform the Commission/Agency about the receipt of a second grant for the same/similar proposal; review shows that action does not achieve its critical objectives and is way behind schedule and consortium submits a short-term implementation plan that is not acceptable; audit shows that beneficiary declared costs based on fake invoices; consortium does not provide requested information (despite a reminder); a check shows that scientific reports submitted by the consortium were almost entirely copied from the web (plagiarism); consortium does not submit an amendment request after beneficiary termination.

▪ **Substantial errors, irregularities or fraud OR serious breach of obligations (in other grants)**

The Commission/Agency may also terminate the GA or the participation of a beneficiary, if such substantial errors, irregularities- or, fraud or serious breach of obligations were found in *other grants*, if

- the other grants were awarded under similar conditions and
- the substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations are:
 - systemic or recurrent and
 - have a material impact on this grant.

Example: During an audit of other grants, the Commission detected systematic irregularities in the calculation of personnel costs that appear intentional and also affect all other GAs signed by the audited beneficiary. The Commission may terminate the participation of the audited beneficiary in the GA.

▪ **Non-removal of a linked third party/international partner**

The Commission/Agency may terminate the participation of a beneficiary if it refuses to remove one of its linked third parties or an international partner which is in one of the following situations:

- bankruptcy, winding-up, administration, arrangement with creditors, suspension of business activities or other similar proceedings;

- professional misconduct
- non-compliance with tax or social security obligations
- fraud, corruption or other criminal activities
- substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations (in this grant or other grants).

3.0 »

This termination ground was introduced as explicit contractual ground with GA version 3.0. For older grant agreements, beneficiary termination/linked third party removal was however already implicitly included in the different grounds of Article 50.3.3 (given that linked third parties have to fulfil the same conditions as the beneficiaries for participating in the action).

Before terminating (GA or beneficiary), the Commission/Agency may first suspend the GA (see [Article 49.2](#)), to try to fix the problems and re-establish compliance with the GA. In this case, it will only terminate (the GA or a beneficiary) if the action cannot be resumed.

2. Procedure

Before GA or beneficiary termination, the Commission/Agency will follow a **contradictory procedure**

Contradictory procedure:

- Step 1** — The Commission/Agency informs the coordinator/beneficiary concerned of its intention (and the reasons why), in a **pre-information letter**.
- Step 2** — The coordinator/beneficiary concerned has **30 days** to submit observations. An extension may be granted on justified request — if submitted within the 30 days.
- Step 3** — The Commission/Agency analyses the observations and either stops the procedure or **confirms** it.

Depending on the situation and type of termination, this procedure will be directed either at the coordinator or the beneficiary concerned:

- terminations linked to the consortium (*e.g. GA termination after a negative project review*): normally the coordinator
- terminations linked to a beneficiary (*e.g. beneficiary termination or simultaneous termination of GAs after a beneficiary audit*): normally the beneficiary concerned.

If it is directed at the coordinator, the coordinator must inform the other beneficiaries offline, via its usual communication channels (*e.g. e-mail, registered letters with proof of delivery, etc.*) and ask for their comments.

If it is directed at the beneficiary concerned, the Commission/Agency will inform coordinator later on (in a way that preserves confidentiality).



Information obligation — Beneficiaries normally do not have to inform their coordinators or ask them to submit comments. However, they should inform them, if there is the risk of a significant impact on the action (see [Article 17.2](#)).

If a beneficiary is terminated on the basis of Article 50.3.1(e) (i.e. bankruptcy or similar), the Commission/Agency will also contact the liquidator/administrator (— as soon as possible after the termination is confirmed).

If it confirms termination, the Commission/Agency will specify the date on which termination will take effect (**termination date**).

This date will be a date after the notification: Terminations **cannot** be **retroactive** (notably to ensure the fulfilment of obligations and deadlines after termination; see *point 3*).

For some cases, the Commission/Agency may choose a future date (in order to give the beneficiaries the possibility to close the action). For the other cases (*e.g. termination under points (a), (d), (f), (i), (k), (l.i) and (m)*), the termination will take effect immediately, i.e. on the day after the coordinator receives the notification of the confirmation (see [Article 50.3.2](#)).

3. Effects

The effects of **GA termination** are the same as when the beneficiaries terminate the GA (see [Article 50.1.2](#)).

Only **costs** incurred before termination (i.e. generating event before the termination date; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#)) are eligible. Costs relating to contracts due for execution only after termination are not eligible.

Ineligible costs will be **rejected**. The **grant** may be **reduced**, if the termination is based on substantial errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations (*for instance if the action has not been implemented properly; see [Articles 5.3 and 43](#)*). In certain cases, the Commission/Agency may also impose **administrative sanctions** (i.e. exclusion and/or financial penalties; see [Article 45](#)).

The effects of **beneficiary termination** are the same as when the beneficiaries terminate one of their partners (see [Article 50.2.2](#)).

The detailed calculations are described in [Article 21](#).

Termination has no effect on the **provisions** that normally **continue to apply** after the end of the action (see [Article 50.1](#)).

Specific case:

Termination of the coordinator — The amendment request must be made by one of the beneficiaries (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries; see [Article 55](#)) and the reports must be submitted by the new coordinator. If needed, the 60 days deadline for submission of the reports can be extended.

SECTION 4 FORCE MAJEURE

ARTICLE 51 — FORCE MAJEURE

SECTION 4 FORCE MAJEURE

ARTICLE 51 — FORCE MAJEURE

51.1 Force majeure

‘Force majeure’ means any situation or event that:

- prevents either party from fulfilling their obligations under the Agreement,
- was unforeseeable, exceptional situation and beyond the parties’ control,
- was not due to error or negligence on their part (or on the part of third parties involved in the action), and
- proves to be inevitable in spite of exercising all due diligence.

The following cannot be invoked as force majeure:

- any default of a service, defect in equipment or material or delays in making them available, unless they stem directly from a relevant case of force majeure,
- labour disputes or strikes, or
- financial difficulties.

Any situation constituting force majeure must be formally notified to the other party without delay, stating the nature, likely duration and foreseeable effects.

The parties must immediately take all the necessary steps to limit any damage due to force majeure and do their best to resume implementation of the action as soon as possible.

The party prevented by force majeure from fulfilling its obligations under the Agreement cannot be considered in breach of them.



1. Force majeure

What? In case of force majeure, a party will be excused from not fulfilling its obligations (i.e. there will be no breach of obligations under the GA and none of the adverse measures for breach of contract will be applied).

‘Force majeure’ relates to an extraordinary event or situation that is beyond the party’s control and that prevents it from fulfilling its obligations under the GA.

Examples (force majeure): An earthquake, terrorist attack or volcanic eruption; delay in delivering equipment due to floods in the region/country.

Examples (not force majeure): machine malfunctions, robberies; a subcontractor building a test site went bankrupt.


The event or situation must be inevitable (despite the beneficiary's due diligence, i.e. level of care that can reasonably be expected from a beneficiary, in order to ensure the fulfilment of its obligations under the GA) and unforeseeable. Force majeure can NOT be used to justify situations caused by a beneficiary's negligence or by events that could reasonably have been anticipated.

The following cases are explicitly NOT considered force majeure:

- default of a service, defect in equipment or material or delays in making them available — unless they stem directly from a relevant case of force majeure
- labour disputes or strikes
- financial difficulties.

Personal reasons (*e.g. sickness*) or internal instructions are in general NOT considered as cases of force majeure.

If force majeure entails *extra* costs for the implementation of the action, it will normally be the beneficiaries that must bear them (since they were not budgeted and the maximum grant amount set out in [Article 5.1](#) cannot be increased).

 **New in Horizon 2020:** If a task could not be executed due to a situation of force majeure but certain costs were incurred for that task and could not have been avoided, those costs may still be eligible. This is different from FP7, where only costs for tasks that had actually been executed *up to* the date of the force majeure were eligible.

Example: Airline tickets bought for a beneficiary to attend a meeting related to the action. The flight is cancelled due to a volcano eruption, making it impossible for the beneficiary to travel to the meeting. If the ticket costs fulfil the eligibility conditions set out under [Article 6](#) of the GA, they are eligible, even if the beneficiary did not travel and did not take part in the meeting.

Force majeure may lead to GA suspension (see [Article 49](#)) or GA termination (see [Article 50](#)).

How? The coordinator must immediately **formally notify** the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

The beneficiary concerned must quickly put in place all possible **measures to limit** the **damage** caused by the force majeure, including measures to limit related costs.

CHAPTER 7 FINAL PROVISIONS

ARTICLE 52 — COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES

CHAPTER 7 FINAL PROVISIONS

ARTICLE 52 — COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES

52.1 Form and means of communication

Communication under the Agreement (information, requests, submissions, ‘formal notifications’, etc.) must:

- be made in writing and
- bear the number of the Agreement.

All communication must be made through the Participant Portal electronic exchange system and using the forms and templates provided there.

If — after the payment of the balance — the [Commission][Agency] finds that a formal notification was not accessed, a second formal notification will be made by registered post with proof of delivery (‘formal notification on **paper**’). Deadlines will be calculated from the moment of the second notification.

Communications in the electronic exchange system must be made by persons authorised according to the Participant Portal Terms & Conditions. For naming the authorised persons, each beneficiary must have designated — before the signature of this Agreement — a ‘legal entity appointed representative (LEAR)’. The role and tasks of the LEAR are stipulated in his/her appointment letter (see Participant Portal Terms & Conditions).

If the electronic exchange system is temporarily unavailable, instructions will be given on the [Agency and] Commission websites.

52.2 Date of communication

Communications are considered to have been made when they are sent by the sending party (i.e. on the date and time they are sent through the electronic exchange system).

Formal notifications through the **electronic** exchange system are considered to have been made when they are received by the receiving party (i.e. on the date and time of acceptance by the receiving party, as indicated by the time stamp). A formal notification that has not been accepted within 10 days after sending is considered to have been accepted.

Formal notifications **on paper** sent by **registered post** with proof of delivery (only after the payment of the balance) are considered to have been made on either:

- the delivery date registered by the postal service or
- the deadline for collection at the post office.

If the electronic exchange system is temporarily unavailable, the sending party cannot be considered in breach of its obligation to send a communication within a specified deadline.

52.3 Addresses for communication

The **electronic** exchange system must be accessed via the following URL:

[insert URL]

The [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator and beneficiaries in advance any changes to this URL.

Formal notifications on paper (only after the payment of the balance) addressed to the official mailing address indicated on the *[Commission's]/[Agency's]* website.

Formal notifications on paper (only after the payment of the balance) addressed **to the beneficiaries** must be sent to their legal address as specified in the Participant Portal Beneficiary Register.



1. Communication between the parties — Funding & Tenders Portal electronic exchange system

All communication between the consortium and the Commission/Agency – before and after the payment of the balance – must be in electronic form **via** the **Funding & Tenders Portal**.

Beneficiaries will receive Funding & Tender Portal notifications even after the end of the action (and the LEARs are obliged to keep beneficiary contact data up to date, in order to ensure that the communication channels remain active).

The responsibility for opening notifications in time is with the beneficiaries.

⚠ Formal notifications are normally considered to have been **accessed 10 days after** they have been **sent** (– even not actually opened by recipient, *e.g. refusal of reception or omission*). Deadlines that are counted from the date of receipt are counted as of day eleven.

The same is in principle valid for formal notifications **after the payment of the balance** – except that the Commission/Agency will check that the notification has *actually* been accessed by the beneficiary (at any time, before or after the 10 days deadline). If it was not accessed, the Commission/Agency will send a second notification, this time on paper by registered post with proof of delivery. In that case, the deadlines will start to run from the date of receipt of the second notification.

4.0 ▶

The communication via the Funding & Tenders Portal was extended to after the payment of the balance with GA version 4.0. For older grant agreements, it is in principle on paper, unless the beneficiary agrees to accept communication via the Funding & Tenders Portal.

The Funding & Tenders Portal offers different **functions**:

- viewing and changing the legal entity data in the **Participant Register**
- direct access to the necessary forms and electronic submission for reporting, deliverables and amendments
- contacting the Commission/Agency, via the messages function
- formal notifications
- where necessary, secured **electronic signatures** (*for instance, for signing the GA, accession to the GA, amendments and financial statements*).

It keeps **logs of all communication** and allows for **delivery** of formal notifications **with proof of receipt**.

All messages are recorded in the Funding & Tenders Portal project file (with date and time).

Formal notifications are also recorded (with information on when they were sent and received, i.e. date and time the receiving party first accessed the notification, as indicated by the time stamp).

Access is limited to persons with a **user account** and **authorisation** to act for the beneficiary.

Authorisation and access are linked to the user role (e.g. *LEAR*, *PLSIGN* or *PFSIGN*).

Examples:

1. Only Legal Signatories (*PLSIGNs*) may sign the GA and amendments.
2. Only Financial Statement Signatories (*PFSIGNs*) may sign the financial statements.
3. Only Primary Coordinator Contacts (*PCoCos*) and Coordinator Contacts (*CoCo*) may submit information to the Commission. The Primary Coordinator Contact can be changed only by the responsible (project) officer in the back-office, while the other roles can be managed by the participants themselves via the Portal. (In case of *MSCA-IF* the Supervisor identified in the proposal becomes the *PCoCo*, in *ERC* grants the *PI* identified in the proposal becomes the *PCoCo*.)
4. Only Participant Contacts (*PaCos*) may submit information to the coordinator. They cannot submit information directly to the Commission/Agency.
5. Only the Participant Contact(s) (*PaCo*, or *PCoCo* and *CoCo(s)* in case of the coordinator), Legal Signatory (*PLSIGN*) or Financial Signatory (*PFSIGN*) of the recipient beneficiary may access a formal notification for the first time (i.e. may formally receive it).
6. Task Managers (*TaMa*) may only complete and save web forms and upload documents related to their organisation's participation in the grant. They cannot submit information to the coordinator or the Commission.
7. Team Members (*TeMe*) have read-only access to project information. They cannot complete or save forms, nor submit information to the coordinator or the Commission/Agency.

 For more guidance on access and roles in the Funding & Tenders Portal, see [the Portal Online Manual](#).

In principle, all communications from/to the Commission/Agency must normally go **via the coordinator** — unless the GA or other rules provide for direct communication with the other beneficiaries (e.g. [Articles 20, 22, 23, 30, 41, 55](#); [OLAF Regulations No 883/2013](#) and [No 2185/2996](#)).

Specific cases (PP access rights):

Beneficiaries in bankruptcy — If during a beneficiary goes bankrupt during the action, the liquidator may be granted the necessary access rights in the Funding & Tenders Portal, in order to complete the beneficiaries' obligations. If exceptionally necessary, the Commission/Agency can also agree to communication outside the Funding & Tenders Portal electronic exchange system (i.e. via registered post or e-mails).

ARTICLE 53 — INTERPRETATION OF THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 53 — INTERPRETATION OF THE AGREEMENT

53.1 Precedence of the Terms and Conditions over the Annexes

The provisions in the Terms and Conditions of the Agreement take precedence over its Annexes.

Annex 2 takes precedence over Annex 1.

53.2 Privileges and immunities

[OPTION 1 for all international organisations: Nothing in the Agreement may be interpreted as a waiver of any privileges or immunities accorded to the [insert name of international organisation(s)] by its constituent documents or international law.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Privileges and immunities

The Commission/Agency will not take measures against international organisations which would be contrary to the privileges and immunities accorded by their constituent documents or international law.

'International organisation' means intergovernmental organisations (other than the EU) with legal personality under international public law (including specialised agencies set up by international organisations).

Examples: International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC); International Federation of National Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies

Thus in most cases (that is to say, unless the applicable legal texts provide otherwise), public law decisions cannot be applied to international organisations; only contractual measures will be taken.

Therefore, the Commission/Agency will not apply enforceable decisions under [Article 299 TFEU](#) (see [Article 44](#)) or decisions on administrative sanctions (i.e. exclusion or financial penalties; see [Article 45](#)).

Similarly, offsetting will be applied only as a contractual measure on the basis of Article 44 GA (— never as a public law measure directly on the basis of Article 80(1) of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)).

By consequence, dispute settlement is normally limited to arbitration (see [Article 57.2](#)). The public law remedies (i.e. Article 263 action) do not apply.

ARTICLE 54 — CALCULATION OF PERIODS, DATES AND DEADLINES

ARTICLE 54 — CALCULATION OF PERIODS, DATES AND DEADLINES

In accordance with Regulation No 1182/71⁵⁶, **periods expressed in days, months or years** are calculated from the moment the triggering event occurs.

The day during which that event occurs is not considered as falling within the period.

⁵⁶ Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No 1182/71 of the Council of 3 June 1971 determining the rules applicable to periods, dates and time-limits (OJ L124, 8.6.1971, p.1)



1. Periods in days

A period expressed in days starts on the day following the triggering event and ends at midnight of the last day of the period. Days are **calendar days**.

Example: Under [Article 20.3](#), the coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of each reporting period.

The action is divided into the following reporting periods:

RP1: from 1 March 2015 to 31 August 2016

RP2: from 1 September 2016 to 28 February 2017

Therefore, the deadline of 60 days for the first periodic report starts on 1 September 2016 and ends on 30 October 2016.

The deadline of 60 days for the second and last periodic report starts on 1 March 2017 and ends on 29 of April 2017.

2. Periods in months or years

Periods expressed in months or years end at midnight on the day with the same date as the day on which the period started, in the last month or year of the period.

Example: Under [Article 47](#), the Commission/Agency may suspend the payment deadline if a request for payment cannot be approved. The suspension takes effect on the day the Commission/Agency sends the notification. When the suspension exceeds two months, the coordinator may ask the Commission/Agency if it will continue. The Commission sent the notification for a grant payment deadline on 31 July 2016. Therefore, the suspension will have exceeded two months on 30 September 2016.

If that day does not exist (e.g. 31 of April), the period ends at midnight of the last day of that month (e.g. 30 of April).

Example: Under [Article 22.1.2](#), reviews may be started up to two years after the balance is paid. A grant's balance is paid on 29 February 2016. Therefore, the two-year period starts on 1 March 2016 and ends on 1 March 2018.

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

55.1 Conditions

The Agreement may be amended, unless the amendment entails changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

Amendments may be requested by any of the parties.

55.2 Procedure

The party requesting an amendment must submit a request for amendment signed in the electronic exchange system (see [Article 52](#)).

The coordinator submits and receives requests for amendment on behalf of the beneficiaries (see Annex 3).

If a change of coordinator is requested without its agreement, the submission must be done by another beneficiary (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries).

The request for amendment must include:

- the reasons why;
- the appropriate supporting documents, and
- for a change of coordinator without its agreement: the opinion of the coordinator (or proof that this opinion has been requested in writing).

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* may request additional information.

If the party receiving the request agrees, it must sign the amendment in the electronic exchange system within 45 days of receiving notification (or any additional information the *[Commission]**[Agency]* has requested). If it does not agree, it must formally notify its disagreement within the same deadline. The deadline may be extended, if necessary for the assessment of the request. If no notification is received within the deadline, the request is considered to have been rejected.

An amendment **enters into force** on the day of the signature of the receiving party.

An amendment **takes effect** on the date agreed by the parties or, in the absence of such an agreement, on the date on which the amendment enters into force.



1. Amendments

When & What? An amendment is necessary whenever there is a need to **change** the GA (i.e. the core agreement and/or the Annexes).

⚠ The cases where an amendment is necessary are called 'amendment types' (AT); the amendment clauses are called 'AT clauses'.

⚠ The general terms and conditions of the GA can NOT be changed via an amendment. Only GA-specific data (e.g. *duration of the reporting periods, starting date, etc.*) and the options in the GA can be added, removed or updated via an amendment.

Examples:

1. If the JRC should have been a beneficiary but then does not accede to the GA, the options related to the JRC will be removed.
2. If the JRC is added as a beneficiary during the action, the GA options related to the JRC will be added to the GA.
3. If a linked third party ends its participation, the option in Article 14.1 will be updated to set its 'end date of participation'.

⚠ Amendments may NOT result in changes that — if known before awarding the grant — would have had an impact on the award decision. Those are mostly changes that:

- involve the consortium composition and have an impact on the eligibility criteria set out in [General Annexes A and C to the Main Work Programme](#) (e.g. *consortium of three entities established in three different Member States (BG, PL and FR) and replacement of the PL beneficiary by a BG beneficiary while the call required the representation in the consortium of at least three Member States*)
- involve changes to the action and/or its budget and affect the award criteria announced in the work programme/call (e.g. *the tasks in Annex 1 are changed so substantially that the action no longer corresponds to the scope of the call*)
- breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants
- do not comply with the rules applicable to the GA (i.e. Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#), Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#), etc.) or with provisions of the GA itself (e.g. *amendment to subcontract tasks of the coordinator*).

⚠ **Information obligation — Changes** in the **name, address, legal form and organisation type** AND changes in the **legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation** may or may not require an amendment (see below) — but they ALL trigger the information obligation under [Article 17.2](#).

If such changes affect the implementation of the action and require an amendment, the Commission/Agency will examine the situation and inform the coordinator.

Examples (change that requires an amendment):

1. Beneficiary A becomes bankrupt. It will be necessary terminate its participation and to amend the GA.
2. Beneficiary B moves from Europe to Australia during the action. The change of address implies that the beneficiary becomes not eligible for EU funding, so the GA will have to be amended to make it a 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding'.
3. Beneficiary C participates in an innovation action (IA) and loses its non-profit status during grant implementation. It will be necessary to amend the GA to change the reimbursement rate.

Examples (change that does NOT require an amendment):

1. Beneficiary D changes its name. The update in the Participant Register is sufficient; no amendment is needed.
2. Beneficiary E participates in an SME instrument action and loses its SME-status during grant implementation. No amendment is needed because there is an explicit exception in Article 53(2) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#).

■ Characteristics of amendments:

- Can only be done in writing — an oral agreement is not binding on the parties.
- Enters into force after the signature by the coordinator and the Commission/Agency through an exchange of letters.
- Takes effect on the date agreed by the parties (retroactive or in the future); if no date specified, on the date of the second party approves (not retroactive).
- Can only be implemented after the entry into force of the GA and before the final payment is made.
- Must normally be requested before the end of the project; in exceptional cases amendment clauses are also open after the end of the project (e.g. *change of coordinator or change of coordinator's bank account, in order to be able to make the final payment*).

- Has to be signed by persons having the same capacity to represent the legal entity as those who signed the initial GA.
- Leaves all the other provisions of the GA which are not affected unchanged and to continue to have full effect.
- Forms an integral part of the GA.
- Has to be in line with the rules applicable to the GA (applicable law and EU law), with the other provisions of the GA and with the applicable model grant agreement.
- Cannot have the purpose or effect of making changes to the GA which might call into question the decision awarding the grant or result in unequal treatment of beneficiaries or applicants.

Normally amendments are done at the initiative of the consortium, but they may also be proposed by the Commission/Agency (*e.g. where errors need to be corrected; to change Annex 1 after a review of the action, etc.*).

Sample list of cases where an amendment is necessary:

- **Removal of a beneficiary whose participation was terminated** due to **non-accession** to the GA or non-provision of the requested declaration on **joint and several liability** (**AT1**; see [Article 50.2](#) and [50.3](#))

What? Removal of a beneficiary that never became part of the GA.

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

In case of beneficiary termination (by the consortium), the notification of termination and amendment must be combined.

If the coordinator is removed, the amendment has to propose a new coordinator (AT8).

The changes will be made *ex tunc* (i.e. since the beginning) since the beneficiary never participated in the grant.

If the beneficiary was participating with linked third parties/international partners, they will automatically be removed.

GA options for the beneficiary (and its linked third parties/international partners) are automatically removed/changed/become not applicable (*e.g. linked third party options, non-EU beneficiary, JRC, IO, beneficiary receiving/not receiving EU funding*).

Annexes 1 and 2 will have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).

- **Removal of a beneficiary whose participation was terminated** for **other reasons** (**AT2**; see [Article 50.2](#) and [50.3](#))

What? Removal of a beneficiary during the action.

What not? There is NO need to request an amendment if termination takes effect *after* the end of the action (see [Article 3](#)) — unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator AND the amendment is necessary to comply with the obligation to submit the reports and distribute the payments.

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

In case of beneficiary termination (by the consortium), the notification of termination and amendment must be combined.

If the coordinator is removed, the amendment has to propose a new coordinator (AT8).

Termination of the coordinator without its agreement — If the consortium terminates the coordinator without its agreement, it must provide proof of its decision to change the coordinator and nominate one of the beneficiaries to act on its behalf to request the amendment (see [Article 50.2](#) and *below point 2*).

Coordinator in bankruptcy/liquidation/administration (or similar) — If the coordinator must be changed but can no longer submit the request for amendment, the same procedure as for coordinator change without its agreement should be used.

Changes will be made *ex nunc* (because the beneficiary participated in the grant up until termination and, moreover, many obligations are applicable after termination).

The termination date will be added to the Preamble and the beneficiary will be entitled to submit costs until that date.

If the beneficiary was participating with linked third parties/international partners, they will also have to be removed (with effect from the same date) and the end date of participation will be added to [Article 14/Article 14a](#) (AT5a/5b).

By contrast, the GA options for the beneficiary (and its linked third parties/international partners) will NOT be removed/changed/become not applicable (*e.g. linked third party options, non-EU beneficiary, JRC, IO, beneficiary receiving/not receiving EU funding*).

Annexes 1 and 2 will normally have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).

▪ **Adding a new beneficiary (AT3; see [Article 56.2](#))**

What? Addition of a new beneficiary during the action.

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

The new beneficiary must first register (and get validated) in the [Participant Register](#) — unless it already has a validated participant identification code (PIC).

The accession date will be added to the Preamble of the GA and the beneficiary will be entitled to submit costs as from that date.

The date must be selected in the Accession Form (attached to the amendment request). It is possible to choose between:

- the date of signature of the Accession Form
- the date of entry into force of the amendment

OR

- a fixed date:
 - retroactive (before signature of the Accession Form)

OR

- future (after signature of the Accession Form — this should be an exceptional case with a justification).

Handover period — If a new beneficiary joins to replace a beneficiary that leaves, the accession date may be set before the termination date (of the beneficiary that is replaced) — so that *both* can incur costs for a certain period.

Example: *The former beneficiary ends its participation on 1.6.2015, and the new beneficiary accedes to the GA on 1.5.2015.*

If the new beneficiary is participating with linked third parties/international partners, they will also have to be added (with effect from the same date) and the starting date of participation will be added to [Article 14/Article 14a](#) (AT6a/6b).

The GA options for the beneficiary (and its linked third parties/international partners) will be added/changed/become applicable (*e.g. linked third party options, non-EU beneficiary, JRC, IO, beneficiary receiving/not receiving EU funding*).

Annexes 1 and 2 will also have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).

▪ **Change of beneficiary due to partial takeover (AT4; called 'partial transfer of rights and obligations' in FP7)**

⚠ Transfer — The rights and obligations under the GA are transferred from the beneficiary to a new beneficiary — without passing via termination (see [Article 50](#)) or addition of a new beneficiary (see [Article 56](#)).

This amendment type should, however, NOT be used if the former beneficiary does NOT leave the consortium (but stays on as part of the consortium). In this case, simply use the amendment clause for addition of a new beneficiary (AT3).

What? Transfer due to partial takeover.

'Partial takeover' means that a part of the business of the beneficiary — including the GA — is taken over by (one or more) other entity(ies) (transfer of a business unit as a going concern, e.g. *partial acquisition, distribution of a business unit after dissolution/liquidation, division/demerger, etc.*).

'Partial acquisition' means that the original entity continues to exist, but that a new entity purchases a department, business unit, or similar (and absorbs or takes over part of the rights and obligations of the original entity). Thus, *some* of the rights and obligations (and contracts) of the original entity are transferred to the new entity. Since the beneficiary continues to exist as a legal entity and only some of its rights and obligations are affected, a case-by-case analysis is needed (and an amendment therefore necessary).

Example: *Company X sells its mobile phone division to company Z; all GAs where the mobile phone division of X was involved will be affected by the transfer of rights and obligations; other GAs where other divisions of company X work will not be affected.*

'Distribution of a business unit on dissolution/liquidation' means that the original entity disappears (due to dissolution or liquidation), but that (one or more) new entities purchase a department, business unit or similar (and absorb or take over part of the rights and obligations of the original entity). Since only *some* of the beneficiary's rights and obligations are transferred, a case-by-case analysis is needed (and an amendment therefore necessary).

'Division/demerger' means that the original entity disappears and several entities replace it; different parts of the original entity are transferred to the new entities (i.e. several partial transfers to different entities).

Examples:

1. *Company X has several ongoing grants. Company X is bought by two other companies (Y & Z), one of which will absorb the mobile phone division and the other the remaining divisions. For some GAs there will be a transfer of rights and obligations from X to Y, for other GAs it will be from X to Z.*

2. *Company Ω has an ongoing grant (covering some action tasks to be implemented by its engineering division and some action tasks to be implemented by other divisions). Company Ω is bought by two other companies (E and O), one of which absorbs the engineering division and the other the remaining divisions. There are two partial takeovers: one to company E and one to company O.*

What not? Simple sale of (one or more) assets of the beneficiary is NOT a 'partial takeover'; it does not involve the transfer of the GA, but — at most — a transfer of (some) action tasks if the beneficiary can no longer fulfil its action tasks. In this latter case, also the sale of assets will require an amendment, in order to terminate participation of the beneficiary and/or add a new beneficiary.


Example: *Company Ω implementing two different tasks in an action (engineering and testing) sells the engineering department to company E with the understanding that E will implement the engineering tasks of Ω in the action. The GA will have to be amended to add company E as a new beneficiary; company Ω remains beneficiary in the GA for the other action tasks (e.g. testing). (If company E is already a beneficiary in the GA, the GA must be amended only to modify Annexes 1 and 2 to adjust the action tasks and the budget; it is not necessary to add E as new beneficiary).*

Best practice: In case of doubt, the beneficiaries should contact the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) messaging function).

NO amendment is necessary for universal takeovers (see below).

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries; if the Commission/Agency agrees, the new entity will replace the original entity as party to the GA (as new beneficiary).

'New beneficiary' is used here only for the purpose of the amendment; it can be either a new beneficiary joining the consortium or an already existing beneficiary who took over a part of the business of another beneficiary.

 The new beneficiary(ies) will (each) be fully **jointly and severally liable** with the former beneficiary for recoveries under [Article 44](#). (By contrast: NO joint and several liability for debts linked to financial penalties and damages under [Articles 45](#) and [Article 46](#).)

If the new beneficiary joins the GA, it must first register (and get validated) in the [Participant Register](#) — unless it already has a validated participant identification code (PIC).

If the partial takeover is governed by national law, the amendment request must clearly set out the legal situation and the consequences for the GA (both towards the Commission/Agency and towards the other beneficiaries). It must, in particular:

- explain the financial liability of the former beneficiary
- cite the applicable rules (with hyperlinks to the texts, if possible), and
- provide a copy of the takeover contract.

Best practice: In order to avoid multiple submission of documents, beneficiaries are recommended to upload the takeover contract in the [Participant Register](#) (via My Organisation page) and specify in the amendment request that the legal documents are available in the Funding & Tenders Portal. This is particularly useful if several EU grant agreements or contracts are affected by a partial takeover.

The transfer date will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. If the new beneficiary joins the action, the transfer date must be the same date as the accession date. Retroactive dates are only allowed in exceptional cases and with specific justification.

If the former beneficiary was participating with linked third parties/international partners, the new beneficiary will have to determine which of the former beneficiary's linked third parties/international partners stay on as linked third parties/international partners of the new beneficiary (AT6a/6b) — all other linked third parties/international partners will be automatically removed.

The GA options for the beneficiary (and its linked third parties/international partners) will be added/changed/become applicable (e.g. *linked third party options, non-EU beneficiary, beneficiary receiving/not receiving EU funding*).

Annexes 1 and 2 will also have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).


The former beneficiary does NOT need to submit any reports to the Commission/Agency. The financial statement for the open reporting period until transfer must be uploaded with the next periodic report either by the former beneficiary (for the time it was a beneficiary) or by the new one together with its own financial statement.

- **Removing a linked third party/international partner (AT5a/5b; see [Articles 14](#) and [14a](#))**

What? Removal of a linked third party/international partner during the action.

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

If requested by the Commission/Agency (e.g. *because of audit results or an OLAF investigation*), the consortium MUST submit an amendment to remove a linked third party/international partner.

 If the consortium does not follow-up this request, the Commission/Agency may **terminate** the participation of the *beneficiary* (and thereby also remove the linked third party/international partner).

NO termination notification — Linked third parties/international partners can be removed by the consortium by simple amendment; no formal termination notification is required (termination notification applies only to beneficiaries; see [Article 50.2](#))

Changes will be made ex nunc (because the linked third party/international partner participated in the grant up until removal and, moreover, many obligations are applicable after termination).

The end date of participation will be added to [Article 14/14a](#).

The date must be selected in the amendment request. It must be either a fixed date or 'the day after the submission of the amendment request'. The fixed date must be in the future (i.e. after the request: end of participation can NOT be retroactive).

The GA options for the linked third party/international partner will normally NOT be removed/changed/become not applicable.

Annexes 1 and 2 will have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).

- **Adding a linked third party/international partner (AT6a/6b; see [Articles 14 and 14a](#))**

What? Addition of a new linked third party/international partner during the action.

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

The new linked third party/international partner must first register (and get validated) in the [Participant Register](#) — unless it already has a validated participant identification code (PIC).

The name of the linked third party/international partner and the starting date of participation will be added to Article 14/14a.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. It is possible to choose between a fixed date or the 'date of entry into force of the amendment'. If the linked third party/international partner joins the action at the same time as the beneficiary, the starting date of participation must be the same date as the accession date of the beneficiary.

The GA options for the linked third party/international partner will be added/changed/become applicable.

Annexes 1 and 2 will also have to be changed (AT21 and AT31).

- **Change concerning a beneficiary/linked third party 'not receiving EU funding' (AT7a; see [Article 9](#))**

What? If a beneficiary/linked third party — exceptionally — becomes eligible/not eligible for receiving EU funding during the action (*e.g. due to a change of establishment or a country changing eligibility status*) OR if it suddenly requests funding/zero funding, this change will normally require an amendment (to change Annex 1, Annex 2 and the options in Articles 9 and 57).

Examples:

1. Beneficiary R moves from Europe to Australia and thus is no longer eligible for receiving EU funding. The GA must be amended. If R is participating with a linked third party M established in France, M will continue being eligible for funding.

2. The country in which beneficiary X is established leaves the EU (without an agreement ensuring continued eligibility). The beneficiary is therefore no longer eligible for funding and the GA may have to be amended (or terminated; see [Article 50](#)).

3. Beneficiary Y is eligible but signed the grant with zero funding. During the action the responsibilities within the consortium are shifted and beneficiary Y takes on a bigger role involving more costs. The other consortium members agree to adapt the budget and renounce to some funding in order to allow beneficiary Y to claim funding.



Costs become automatically ineligible as from the date of loss of eligibility (see [Article 6](#)).

How? This amendment can normally be triggered only by the Commission/Agency.


- **Change of coordinator (AT8)**

What? Replacement of the coordinator during the action (*e.g. because of financial difficulties*).

Exceptionally, an amendment remains possible and necessary *after* the end of the action (see [Article 3](#)) — until the payment of the balance is paid out and distributed.

Coordinator in bankruptcy/liquidation/administration (or similar) — After the end of the action, if the coordinator can continue its participation with the bank account of the administrator/liquidator (i.e. termination and coordinator change not really needed), it is therefore exceptionally sufficient to change the bank account (AT40) without changing the coordinator.

What not? There is NO need to request an amendment for a change of the *person* in charge of the coordination of the project (since the person's name is not mentioned in the GA).

 **Information obligation** — In case of a **change of person in charge of coordination**, the coordinator must — in addition to updating the data in the Funding & Tenders Portal inform the Commission/Agency under [Article 17.2](#)).

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

If the new coordinator is not already a beneficiary of the GA, it must first accede to the GA as a new beneficiary (AT3).

The former coordinator may continue to participate in the action as a normal beneficiary or may terminate its participation (AT2).

Termination of the coordinator without its agreement — If the consortium terminates the coordinator without its agreement, it must provide proof of its decision to change the coordinator and nominate one of the beneficiaries to act on its behalf to request the amendment (see [Article 50.2](#) and below point 2).

Coordinator in bankruptcy/liquidation/administration (or similar) — If the coordinator must be changed but can no longer submit the amendment request, the same procedure as for coordinator change without its agreement should be used.

The handover date will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request (fixed date or date of entry into force of the amendment).

The date the former coordinator stops acting as coordinator should normally be the date the new coordinator takes over the coordination tasks (handover date). If there was a gap (former coordinator leaves before new coordinator starts; e.g. *coordinator is in bankruptcy*) there will be two different dates: the one for the former coordinator (when it stopped doing coordinator tasks — must be at the latest its termination date) and the one for the new coordinator (when it took over the coordinator tasks — must be after its accession date).

- **Change of the coordinator's bank account for payments (AT40)**

What? An amendment is necessary for all changes that imply a change to the account number/IBAN code.

Example: The coordinator changes bank account.


What not? NO amendment is necessary for a change of the name of the bank or name of the account holder; a change of the bank account data (and validation) in the Funding & Tenders Portal [Participant Register](#) is sufficient.

How? The bank account data must first be updated in the Participant Register (and be validated).

- **Change of the starting date, action duration or reporting periods (AT23, AT24, AT25)**

What? Change of the action's schedule (starting date, action duration or reporting periods).

Example: The GA for the action has a fixed starting date that is before the date on which the GA enters into force. Because of weather conditions, the consortium cannot start on that date and requests the Commission to change it.

 Even if the action is prolonged, the maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)) will NOT be increased.

How? An extension of the action must be requested *before* the action ends and will only be accepted in exceptional cases.

- **Changes to Annex 1 (description of the action) (AT21)**

What? Changes to the description of the action, in particular:

- significant change of the action tasks (*e.g. if tasks are added/removed*) or of their division among the beneficiaries
- changes concerning in-kind contributions provided by third parties (against payment or free of charge) or subcontracts

Such changes could in principle also be made via simplified approval procedure (see [Articles 11, 12 and 13](#)); however, if the beneficiary requests an amendment, it will immediately know if the Commission/Agency agrees (or not). Without amendment, this decision is left for later and the Commission/Agency may reject the costs as ineligible later on (at the moment of the payment).

- changes concerning the tasks to be carried out by linked third parties and related costs (including if a linked third party is removed)
- significant changes to the plan for exploitation and dissemination (unless Annex 1 already foresees an update to be submitted with the periodic report)
- changes concerning GA options (options are removed or added, *e.g. adding the options to provide trans-national access to research infrastructure requires normally a modification of Annex 1 and/or Annex 2*)
- significant changes concerning deliverables (*e.g. changes of ethics requirements to align to ethical principles and legislation; adding/removing deliverables; changes in substance of a project output which is reflected in a deliverable, etc.*).

What not? Changes to the due date of a deliverable normally do not require an amendment (except for certain deliverables, where timeliness is key)

- **Changes to Annex 2 and Annex 2a (estimated budget) or specific unit costs (AT31 and AT36)**

What? Changes to the estimated budget, in particular:

- a budget transfer of amounts between beneficiaries or between budget categories (or both) which are linked to a significant change in the action's work (i.e. Annex 1)
- a budget transfer to a form of costs that is not used by the beneficiary (i.e. with 0 EUR costs in Annex 2) — except for transfer of amounts within the budget category A 'personnel costs' and for transfers to the budget category 'costs of internally invoiced goods and services'.

Example:

During the action implementation, the beneficiary decides to use the access costs for transnational access to research infrastructure unit cost. This requires an amendment to fix the unit cost and to move budget to budget category F.2.

What not? There is NO need to request an amendment for changes covered by the budget transfers rule in [Article 4.2](#) (*e.g. budget transfers within the personnel cost; see also below*).

How? The amendment is normally triggered by the beneficiaries.

Best practice: If [Annex 2a](#) or specific unit costs need to be changed, the beneficiaries should contact the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) messaging function).

Sample list of cases where NO amendment is needed:

- **Budget transfers not listed above**

Transfers of amounts between beneficiaries or between budget categories (or both) do NOT require an amendment, provided that the action is implemented in line with Annex 1 (see [Article 4.2](#)).

Budget transfers within the personnel cost category and budget transfers to costs of internally invoiced goods and services are possible without having to amend the GA.

Examples:

1. *During the action implementation, a beneficiary that declared its direct personnel costs as actual costs decides to change this and instead to declare them as unit costs in accordance with its usual accounting practices (average personnel costs).*

2. An SME joins an on-going GA. The SME owner does not have a salary but incorrectly budgets its costs as actual personnel costs (category A.1). He realises the mistake and then switches to the unit costs for SME owners (category A.4).

▪ **Change of name, address or other legal entity data (of beneficiaries/linked third parties)**

Simple changes of name, legal form (e.g. *Ltd.*, *S.A.*), official registration number, address, VAT number do NOT require an amendment; an update of the beneficiary data by the LEAR (and validation) in the [Participant Register](#) is normally sufficient.

Linked third parties/international partners — Since they normally do not have direct access to the Participant Register, linked third parties/international partners must inform their beneficiary who must inform the Commission/Agency. The data will then be updated by the Commission/Agency (and validated).

If (exceptionally) the Commission/Agency considers that the registered change affects the implementation of the action, it will inform the coordinator (and instruct it to request an amendment or trigger itself an amendment, if needed).

Example: Company R moves from Europe to Australia and this implies that the beneficiary is no longer eligible for EU funding. In such a case, the Commission will make an amendment to the GA to change the beneficiary status into 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding'.

Short names have no legal value and only serve as easy identifiers in the GA. If needed, they can be changed in the context of an amendment initiated on other grounds.

A change of the person that represent the coordinator for the purposes of signing the GA requires NO follow-up at all (not even a change in the Participant Register — since this name is only relevant at the moment of GA signature (not afterwards)).

▪ **Change of beneficiary due to universal takeover** (called 'universal transfer of rights and obligations' in FP7).

Transfers of the GA linked to a universal takeover (i.e. where the original entity is replaced by one new entity and all the rights and obligations — including the GA — are transferred to this new entity, e.g. *merger or full acquisition*) do NOT require an amendment; an update of the beneficiary data by the LEAR (and validation) in the [Participant Register](#) is normally sufficient.

Example: Beneficiary X merges with another existing entity Y by:

- *becoming part of it (thus X and Y are together known as 'Y', and entity X ceases to exist) or*
- *establishing a new separate legal entity (X and Y are together known as 'Z').*

If — exceptionally — the Commission/Agency considers that the registered transfer affects the action implementation, it will inform the coordinator (and instruct it to request an amendment, if needed).

Example:

The legal form or type of organisation of the new entity differs from that of the former beneficiary or linked third party and this has an impact on the implementation of the action.

Case 1: The coordinator transfers all its rights and obligations to another legal entity. If this involves a change of the bank account number in [Article 21.8](#), each GA in which it participates as coordinator must be amended to update this information (AT40).

Case 2: A non-profit legal entity X is acquired by a big for-profit company Y; as a result of the acquisition Y assumes the rights and obligations of X in on-going innovation actions (IAs). The reimbursement rate applicable to Y in those on-going GAs will differ from that of X since the new beneficiary will not be entitled to a reimbursement rate of 100% (but only to a reimbursement rate of 70%). The GA must be amended to change the reimbursement rate (AT33) and the estimated budget (AT31).

For on-going RIA grants there will be no need to modify Annex 2 since the reimbursement rate is the same for all beneficiaries (100%).



Information obligation — In case of a **universal takeover**, the beneficiary must — in addition to updating the data in the Participant Register— inform the coordinator (offline) under [Article 17.2](#), if the universal takeover could:

- significantly affect or delay the implementation of the action or the EU financial interests or
- affect the decision to award the grant or the compliance with requirements under the GA.

The coordinator must then inform the Commission/Agency (via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)) and, if necessary, request an amendment.

The same applies if the universal takeover concerns a linked third party.



For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

2. Procedure

How? Amendment requests must be **prepared** by the requesting party (i.e. the coordinator or the Commission/Agency) directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

Best practice: If none of the available amendment clauses fit, beneficiaries should contact the Commission/Agency (via the Funding & Tenders Portal messaging function), to discuss the amendment.



Before the amendment request, new beneficiaries, new linked third parties or other new beneficiary data must have been registered and validated in the [Participant Register](#).

Examples:

1. A new beneficiary must be validated (legal entity validation). This means that the new beneficiary will first have to register in the Participant Register, be validated, appoint a LEAR, and provide the necessary information and supporting documents through the Funding & Tenders Portal.
2. Validation of the new bank account, to change the bank account.



The amendment request must include the necessary information and supporting documents. A signed and submitted amendment request can NOT be changed — only accepted, rejected or withdrawn. It is however possible to provide clarifications or additional information/documents.



For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Portal Online Manual](#).

The **request** must be unambiguous and complete and submitted **in time** (i.e. sufficiently in advance to allow proper analysis and preparation before they are due to take effect and — generally — before the end of the action; see [Article 3](#)). Requests introduced AFTER the end of the action will be accepted only exceptionally, for very specific (duly substantiated) cases (e.g. *change of bank account, change of coordinator to make the payment of the balance*).

The coordinator must ensure that it has the agreement of the consortium (in accordance with the internal decision-making processes, e.g. *unanimity, simple or qualified majority, etc. set out in the consortium agreement*).

Once completed, the request (with all its uploaded supporting documents) must be **submitted** and **signed** by the PLSIGN of the coordinator (on behalf of the other beneficiaries; see [Annex 3](#)).

Termination of the coordinator without its agreement — For change of coordinator without its agreement a special procedure applies: The other beneficiaries must nominate one of them to act on their behalf to notify termination and request the amendment. The nominated beneficiary must name a contact person responsible for the amendment.

Before it has been accepted or rejected, an amendment request may at any moment be **withdrawn**.

In order to **change** the amendment request, it must be withdrawn and re-submitted (changes to submitted requests are NOT possible).

Example: *The coordinator requests an amendment to change its bank account number and the reallocation of tasks in Annex 1 and of budget in Annex 2. Since the change of bank account is urgent because the Commission has to make the interim payment and the revision of the Annexes may require more time, the coordinator withdraws the request and makes a new request to change only the bank account and a second one to change the Annexes.*

A request containing several changes to the GA will be considered as one (and must either be agreed or rejected by the other party as a whole).

If the receiving party requests **additional information/documents**, a new deadline will apply, i.e. 45 days from receiving the additional information/documents.

Example: *The coordinator submits a request to add a new beneficiary with several linked third parties (see Article 14). The Commission requests a signed declaration for the joint and several liability of the linked third parties (Annex 3a). A new 45-day deadline for evaluation and validation will apply from the moment the Commission receives the declaration.*

The other party must — within **45 days** — **agree or disagree**.

The deadline may exceptionally be **extended** by the receiving party — for a period to be determined case-by-case —, if necessary for the assessment of the request (*e.g. a review is needed to assess the changes*).

If **accepted** it will be **counter-signed** by the receiving party directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) (for the consortium: by the PLSIGN of the coordinator).

If there is **no reaction** within the deadline, the request is considered to have been **rejected** (⚠️ **new in Horizon 2020**: contrary to FP7, there is NO tacit approval of amendments). A new amendment request may however be submitted — even if it fully or partly repeats the initial request.

The amendment **enters into force** and is binding from the moment the receiving party has agreed to it (i.e. signed in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

The amendment will **take effect** (i.e. the changes to the GA will start to apply) either:

- on the day of its entry into force (i.e. day of the last signature of the amendment) or
- on the specific date(s) indicated (and agreed) in the amendment.

The date should normally be *after* the entry into force of the amendment.

In justified cases it may — exceptionally — be *before* (retroactivity of the amendment). (In some cases, the GA itself provides for retroactivity.)

Examples (retroactivity allowed/foreseen in the GA):

1. *Where a new beneficiary is added to the GA (AT3), it must assume the rights and obligations from the accession date specified in the Accession Form. If this date is before the entry into force of the amendment, this retroactivity implies that its costs will be considered eligible as from the accession date (and not as from the entry into force of the amendment).*

2. *Following a suspension of the implementation of the action by the beneficiary or by the Commission, the suspension will be lifted with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment (AT26). This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force (see Article 49.2.2).*

3. *If the amendment intends to correct an error (AT60), the change will be made with effect from entry into force of the GA (i.e. from the beginning).*

Depending on the amendment type, the date of taking effect may have an impact on the eligibility of costs.

An amendment request involving several changes, may take effect on different dates.

Example:

On 1 May 2016, the coordinator requests an amendment to change the bank account and to add a new beneficiary. The addition of the beneficiary takes effect from the date of its accession, as specified in the Accession Form (1 April 2016), while the change of bank account takes effect on a date agreed by the parties or on the date on which the amendment enters into force (i.e. 10 June 2016, the day it is signed by the receiving party).

ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

MULTI-BENEFICIARY ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

56.1 Accession of the beneficiaries mentioned in the Preamble

The other beneficiaries must accede to the Agreement by signing the Accession Form (see Annex 3) in the electronic exchange system (see [Article 52](#)) within 30 days after its entry into force (see [Article 58](#)) *[OPTION if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested: and for beneficiaries for which the [Commission][Agency] has requested joint and several liability of a linked third party, by also submitting — at accession — a declaration on joint and several liability (see Annex 3a) signed by the third party.]*

They will assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of its entry into force (see [Article 58](#)).

If a beneficiary does not accede to the Agreement within the above deadline, the coordinator must — within 30 days — request an amendment to make any changes necessary to ensure proper implementation of the action. This does not affect the *[Commission's][Agency's]* right to terminate the Agreement (see [Article 50](#)).




1. Accession to the GA (beneficiaries mentioned in the Preamble)

All beneficiaries (except the coordinator) must accede to the GA by **signing** the **Accession Form** (see *Annex 3*) directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#). They must do this **within 30 days** after the GA enters into force (see [Article 58](#)).


Only the beneficiaries' legal signatories (PLSIGNs) may sign the Accession Forms.

Declarations on **joint and several liability** (see *Annex 3a*) must be signed by the linked third party *on paper* and send it to its beneficiary. The beneficiary must scan it and upload it in PDF format, before signing the Accession Form.

Without the declaration, it will NOT be able to accede to the GA (and thus NOT be considered a party to the GA).

 **Record-keeping** — The beneficiary must keep the original of the linked third party in its files (see [Article 18.1.2](#)).

Linked third parties do not become parties to the GA and therefore do NOT need to sign an Accession Form.

The coordinator is not obliged to **distribute hard copies of the GA** and Accession Form to the other beneficiaries ( **new in Horizon 2020**). All documents are available in the Funding & Tenders Portal project file.

Specific cases (accession):

JRC — If the JRC is beneficiary, it must sign Annex 3b as its Accession Form (instead of Annex 3).

56.2 Addition of new beneficiaries

In justified cases, the beneficiaries may request the addition of a new beneficiary.

For this purpose, the coordinator must submit a request for amendment in accordance with Article 55. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new beneficiary in the electronic exchange system (see [Article 52](#)).

New beneficiaries must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of their accession specified in the Accession Form (see Annex 3).

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

Not applicable

**1. Addition of new beneficiaries (amendment)**

In justified cases, the beneficiaries may request adding a new beneficiary.

The new beneficiary must comply with the eligibility criteria of the work programme/call, have sufficient operational and financial capacity to perform the proposed tasks, comply with the non-exclusion criteria and commit to implement the action under the same terms and conditions as the other beneficiaries.

Article 56 does not apply to *mono*-beneficiary actions. Mono-beneficiary actions can therefore NOT become multi-beneficiary actions.

How? The new beneficiary must:

- register in the [Participant Register](#) and be validated — unless it already has a validated participant identification code (PIC)
- sign the Accession Form (directly in [Funding & Tenders Portal](#))
- provide a declaration on joint and several liability of its linked third party (if required by the Commission/Agency).

The coordinator must request an **amendment** for adding a new beneficiary (see [Article 55](#)).

The Accession Form (attached to the amendment request) must specify the **accession date**. It must be either:

- the date of signature of the Accession Form
- the date of entry into force of the Amendment

OR

- a fixed date:
 - either retroactive (i.e. before signature of the Accession Form)

OR

- future (i.e. after signature of the Accession Form — this should be an exceptional case with a justification).

Handover period — If a new beneficiary joins to replace a beneficiary that leaves, the accession date may be set before the termination date (of the beneficiary that is replaced) — so that both can incur costs for a certain period.

ARTICLE 57 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

ARTICLE 57 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

57.1 Applicable law

The Agreement is governed by the applicable EU law, supplemented if necessary by the law of Belgium

[additional OPTION for international organisations that do not accept any applicable law clause: As an exception, there is no applicable law for [insert name(s) of the international organisations concerned].]

[additional OPTION for international organisations that would accept an applicable law clause but not the standard clause (EU + Belgian law): As an exception, the Agreement is governed by a different applicable law for the following beneficiaries:

- *[insert name(s) of the international organisations concerned]: [by the applicable EU law][, supplemented if necessary][by the law of [Belgium][insert name of another Member State or EFTA country]][and, where appropriate,][by the general principles governing the law of international organisations and the rules of general international law]*
- *insert name(s) of the international organisations concerned]: [by the applicable EU law][, supplemented if necessary][by the law of [Belgium][insert name of another Member State or EFTA country]][and, where appropriate,][by the general principles governing the law of international organisations and the rules of general international law]*
[same for other international organisations].]



1. Applicable law

As a general rule, H2020 GAs are subject to EU law (supplemented — where necessary — by Belgian law), for questions on their interpretation, application and validity.


Specific cases (applicable law):

International organisations (IOs) — If requested by the international organisation, the GA may provide for derogations:

Situation	Applicable law
For international organisations that do NOT accept any applicable law clause	<p>No reference to any applicable law⁷¹.</p> <p>The applicable law will be determined by the Permanent Court of Arbitration (see also point 2).</p> <p>According to the Permanent Court of Arbitration Optional Rules for Arbitration Involving International Organisations and States, the applicable law are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the rules of the organisation concerned – the law applicable to any agreement or relationship between the parties and – where appropriate, the general principles governing the law of

⁷¹ See Article 180(1) of the Rules of Application Regulation No [1268/2012](#).

	international organisations and the rules of general international law.
For international organisations that would accept an applicable law clause, but not the standard clause (EU + Belgian law)	<p>The international organisation can choose any of the following combinations of applicable laws:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – only EU law – only Belgian law – only other MS or EFTA country law – only general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law – EU law + MS or EFTA country law (except Belgium) – EU law + general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law – EU law + Belgian law + general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law – EU law + other MS or EFTA country law + general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law – Belgian law + general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law – other MS or EFTA country law + general principles governing law of IOs + rules of general international law

 **new in Horizon 2020:** This is new compared to FP7, where (instead of a horizontal approach for all international organisations which cannot accept EU law) it was necessary to make specific provisions (special clause 3), in order to accommodate, for example, the participation of specialised agencies and international organisations of the UN family. It makes it easier for international organisations to participate in Horizon 2020.

57.2 Dispute settlement

If a dispute concerning the interpretation, application or validity of the Agreement cannot be settled amicably, the General Court — or, on appeal, the Court of Justice of the European Union — has sole jurisdiction. Such actions must be brought under Article 272 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU).

[additional OPTION for non-EU beneficiaries (except beneficiaries established in an associated country with an association agreement to Horizon 2020 that stipulates sole jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice): As an exception, if such a dispute is between the [Commission][Agency] and [insert non-EU beneficiary(ies) name(s)], the competent Belgian courts have sole jurisdiction.]

[additional OPTION for international organisations and for beneficiaries not eligible for EU funding, which according to their national law cannot be subject to the jurisdiction of the Belgian courts: As an exception, for the following beneficiaries:

- *[insert name of international organisation or beneficiary not eligible for EU funding]*
 - *[insert name of international organisation or beneficiary not eligible for EU funding]*
- [same for other beneficiaries that are international organisations or non-EU beneficiaries not eligible for EU funding]*

such disputes must — if they cannot be settled amicably — be referred to arbitration. The Permanent Court of Arbitration Optional Rules for Arbitration Involving International Organisations and States in force at the date of entry into force of the Agreement will apply. The appointing authority will be the Secretary-General of the Permanent Court of Arbitration following a written request submitted by either party. The arbitration proceedings must take place in Brussels and the language used in the arbitral proceedings will be English. The arbitral award will be binding on all parties and will not be subject to appeal.]

If a dispute concerns administrative sanctions, offsetting or an enforceable decision under Article 299 TFEU (see Articles 44, 45 and 46), the beneficiaries must bring action before the General Court — or, on appeal, the Court of Justice of the European Union — under Article 263 TFEU. [OPTION for Agency grants: Actions against offsetting and enforceable decisions must be brought against the Commission (not against the Agency).]



1. Dispute settlement

As a general rule, H2020 GAs contain an [Article 272 TFEU](#) arbitration clause — referring **contractual disputes** (i.e. disputes on the interpretation, application or validity of the GA) to the [European Court of Justice](#).

An Article 272 action is ONLY possible once the Commission/Agency position is *final* (i.e. against confirmation letters, debit notes, etc.; NOT against audit reports, audit letters, pre-information letters, etc.).

For Commission grants, actions must be brought against the Commission; for Agency grants, they must be brought against the Agency (information is available both in the Preamble of your GA and in SyGMA).

For disputes of public law nature (i.e. which concern **administrative sanctions, offsetting or enforceable decisions** under [Article 299 TFEU](#); see [Articles 44, 45 and 46](#)), actions must be brought before the [European Court of Justice](#) under [Article 263 TFEU](#) (NOT before any other court and NOT under [Article 272](#)).

⚠️ Public law measures must ALWAYS be brought to the [European Court of Justice](#) under [Article 263 TFEU](#) (including actions brought by non-EU beneficiaries or beneficiaries not receiving EU funding).

⚠️ All procedures are organised in a way that the beneficiaries will always be **informed** about what they can do if they disagree (**means of redress** information in the letters).

Specific cases (dispute settlement):

Non-EU beneficiaries — For non-EU beneficiaries, contractual disputes are normally referred to the courts of Brussels, Belgium. They can NOT choose another forum. (So far NONE of the H2020 association agreements provides for the sole jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice.)

Disputes of public law nature remain before the European Court of Justice.

Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding — Beneficiaries not receiving EU funding which are not eligible (meaning from a third country not eligible for funding; see [Article 9](#)) are treated like non-EU beneficiaries (i.e. courts of Brussels), unless their national law explicitly provides that they cannot be subject to the jurisdiction of the Belgian courts. In this latter case (very exceptional), contractual disputes are referred to the [Permanent Court of Arbitration](#).

Disputes of public law nature remain before the European Court of Justice.

International organisations — For international organisations, contractual disputes are referred to the [Permanent Court of Arbitration](#) (in line, for instance, with their PAGODA agreement, e.g. for the United Nations, the Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement (FAFA) with the United Nations⁷²).

Disputes of public law will normally not arise, since international organisations are normally accorded immunity from public law decisions (under their constituent documents or international law). Such international organisations can therefore be subject only to contractual measures and to contractual dispute settlement (i.e. arbitration).

For this reason, the Commission/Agency will normally NOT adopt enforceable decisions under [Article 299 TFEU](#) (see [Article 44](#)) or decisions on administrative sanctions (see [Article 45](#)).

Similarly, offsetting will always be applied only as a contractual measure on the basis of Article 44 GA (NOT as a public law measure directly on the basis of Article 80(1) of the Financial Regulation No [966/2012](#)).

For international organisations that have NO immunity, disputes of public law remain before the European Court of Justice.

⁷² Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement concluded by the European Community, represented by the Commission, and the United Nations of 29.4.2003, as amended by Addendum No 1 of 22.1.2014 (C(2014) 238). Available at <https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/node/45445>.

ARTICLE 58 — ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 58 — ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE AGREEMENT

The Agreement will **enter into force** on the day of signature by the *[Commission][Agency]* or the coordinator, depending on which is later.



1. Entry into force

The GA enters into force when the last of the following two signs:

- the coordinator
- the Commission/Agency.

It is usually the Commission/Agency who signs last.

I.3 Issues applicable to particular countries

For countries for which it has carried out a formal assessment of specific situations or their legal framework, the Commission will keep record of its official position.

 *See the [List of issues applicable to particular countries](#).*

II. ERC MGAs

II.1 Background information and approach

The European Research Council (ERC) Model Grant Agreements are used for ERC actions only.

The ERC gives:

- ‘frontier research grants’, i.e.:
 - ERC Starting Grants (StG)
 - ERC Consolidator Grants (CoG)
 - ERC Advanced Grants (AdG)
 - ERC Synergy Grants (SyG)
- proof of concept grants (PoC), i.e.:
 - ERC PoC
 - ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot
- ERC Low Value grants.

Frontier research actions use the H2020 ERC MGA. For ERC PoC, there are two specific MGAs: the standard ERC PoC MGA (based on actual costs reimbursement) and the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA with a pre-fixed amount of EUR 150 000. A specific MGA also exists for ERC Low Value grants. Other ERC actions (coordination and support actions (CSA)) use the H2020 General MGA.

The ERC MGAs follow the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

All ERC MGAs deviate from the General MGA as follows:

- ‘Coordinator’ is replaced by ‘principal beneficiary’ (also in the Annexes)
- [Agency][Commission] is replaced by ‘Agency’
- References to/options for Euratom are taken out
- ‘Technical report(s)’ is replaced by ‘scientific report(s)’
- The table of contents is adapted
- Cross-references in the footnotes are adapted

The H2020 ERC MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Preamble (ERC principal beneficiary and name(s) of the PI(s))
- Article 5.2 (ERC specific reimbursement rate)
- Article 7.1 (ERC reference to work under the guidance of the PI)
- Articles 11.1, 12.1, 13.1, 50.1.1, 50.1.2., 50.2.2, 50.3.1, 50.3.2., 50.3.3. (reference to financial or scientific reporting where necessary)
- Articles 15 and 16 (not applicable)
- Articles 20 and 21 (ERC specific articles on reporting and payment)
- Articles 25.4, 26.3, 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 31.4, 31.6, 38.1.2, 38.2.1 (reference to the ERC funding, the ERC logo and the PI where necessary)
- Article 32 (Provisions on the working conditions for the PI and his/her team)
- Article 41.3 (Provisions on internal arrangements)

- Article 56 (Mono-beneficiary grant can become multi-beneficiary grant by addition of new beneficiaries)
- Article 56a (ERC-specific Article on portability)
- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statements

The H2020 ERC MGA PoC deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Preamble (ERC principal beneficiary and name(s) of the PI(s))
- Article 5.2 (ERC specific reimbursement rate)
- Article 7.1 (ERC reference to work under the guidance of the PI)
- Articles 11.1, 12.1, 13.1, 50.1.1, 50.1.2., 50.3.1, 50.3.2., 50.3.3. (reference to single or multi reporting where necessary)
- Articles 15 and 16 (not applicable)
- Articles 20 and 21 (ERC PoC specific articles on reporting and payment)
- Articles 26.3, 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 31.6, 38.1.2, 38.2.1 (reference to the ERC funding, the ERC logo and the Principal Investigator where necessary)
- Article 41.3 (Provisions on internal arrangements)

The H2020 ERC MGA PoC Lump Sum Pilot deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Preamble
 - Article 4.2 (estimated lump sum breakdown and transfers)
 - Article 5 (grant amount and form of grant)
 - Article 6 (not applicable)
 - Article 7.1 (reference to PI supervision)
 - Article 8 (resources to implement the action)
 - Articles 9-12 and 14a-16 (not applicable)
 - Articles 13.1, 50.1.1, 50.1.2., 50.2.2, 50.3.1, 50.3.2., 50.3.3. (reference to single reporting/final report)
 - Article 13 (subcontracting)
 - Article 18 (keeping records)
 - Articles 20 and 21 (specific reporting and payment provisions; only final report, no interim payments)
 - Articles 25.4, 26.3, 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 31.4, 31.6, (reference to the ERC funding, the ERC logo and the PI where necessary)
 - Article 22 (specific procedure for extension of audit findings)
 - Article 41.3 (Provisions on internal arrangements)
 - Article 42 (not applicable)
 - Article 47 (specific provision for suspension of payment deadline)
 - Article 49 (specific provision for suspension of the action)
 - Article 50 (specific provisions for termination)
 - Article 56.3 (GA transfer for mono-MGAs)
-
- Annex 2 Estimated lump sum breakdown
 - Annex 4 Model for the financial statement

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Articles 11.1, 12.1, 13.1, 50.1.1, 50.1.2., 50.2.2, 50.3.1, 50.3.2., 50.3.3., 15 and 16, Annexes*) will not be shown.

For the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA, the Articles where the only difference is the removal of references to eligibility of costs (*e.g. Article 14, 17.1, 22.5, 41.2 – removal of CFS –*) or rejection of costs (*e.g. Articles 14.2, 18.2, 22.6*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for ERC actions are added:

- Article 2 ([ERC MGA actions](#); [ERC MGA PoC actions](#))
- Article 4.1 ([ERC MGA budget categories](#))
- Article 6 ([ERC MGA eligible and ineligible costs](#))
- Article 8 ([ERC MGA rules on third party involvement](#))

Since the ERC MGA and the standard ERC PoC MGA have a similar set-up (i.e. principal beneficiary, host institution, principal investigator) and provisions, the annotations of the standard ERC PoC MGA will be limited to major differences from the ERC MGA.

For the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA, the annotations will focus mainly on differences arising from the cost models (lump sum versus actual costs reimbursement).

The annotations (for all three MGAs) are based on the multi-beneficiary version. The differences between multi and mono-beneficiary versions are marginal. For ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot, there is a single MGA for both mono- and multi-beneficiary actions.

II.2 H2020 ERC MGA: Annotations

GRANT AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This Agreement ('the Agreement') is between the following parties:

on the one part,

the **European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)** ('the Agency'), under the power delegated by the European Commission ('the Commission'),

represented for the purposes of signature of this Agreement by [[function, [Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname]³,

and

on the other part,

1. 'the **principal beneficiary**':

[full official name (short name)] established in [official address in full], [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], [OPTION for principal beneficiary not receiving EU funding: as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),] represented for the purposes of signing the Agreement by [function, forename and surname], hosting [and engaging] the following

[OPTION by default: '**principal investigator**':⁴

- [Name][Date and place of birth]]⁵

[OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: '**corresponding principal investigator**':

- [Name][Date and place of birth]

[and '**other principal investigator(s)**':

- [Name][Date and place of birth]

- [Name][Date and place of birth].]

and the following other **beneficiaries**, if they sign their 'Accession Form' (see Annex 3 and Article 56):

2. [full official name (short name)] established in [official address in full] [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], [OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: hosting [and engaging] the '**other principal investigator(s)**':

- [Name][Date and place of birth]

- [Name][Date and place of birth]

[same for each beneficiary]

[OPTION for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding: X. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full] [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),]

[same for each beneficiary]

[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: and X, the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the 'Administrative Arrangement' (see Annex 3b)].

Unless otherwise specified, references to 'beneficiary' or 'beneficiaries' include the principal beneficiary *[OPTION if the JRC participates: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC)].*

[OPTION for SyG: and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: Unless otherwise specified, references to 'principal investigator' or 'principal investigators' include the corresponding principal investigator.]

The parties referred to above have agreed to enter into the Agreement under the terms and conditions below.

By signing the Agreement or the Accession Form *[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: or the Administrative Arrangement]*, the beneficiaries accept the grant and agree to implement it under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

The Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1 Description of the action

Annex 2 Estimated budget for the action

2a Additional information on the estimated budget

Annex 3 Accession Forms

[OPTION to be used if Article 14 applies and if joint and several liability has been requested by the Agency: 3a Declaration on joint and several liability of linked third parties]

[OPTION if the JRC participates: 3b Administrative Arrangement]

Annex 4 Model for the financial statements

Annex 5 Model for the certificate on the financial statements

Annex 6 Model for the certificate on the methodology

³ The person representing the Agency must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation) designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.



1. Participants: Principal beneficiary — Beneficiaries — Host institution

In ERC grants, the coordinator is called '**principal beneficiary**'.

The '**host institution (HI)**' is the beneficiary that hosts (and normally also engages) the '**principal investigator (PI)**' for at least the duration of the action.

2. Participants: Principal investigator(s)

For ERC grants, the main researcher (i.e. the '**principal investigator (PI)**') is named in the GA.

PIs can be of any **nationality**, if they intend to conduct research in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country and are hosted (and usually engaged) by a host institution that is based in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country.

Due to the key role of the PI, s/he can NOT be replaced by any other researcher during the action. Requests for amendments to change the PI will be rejected.

PI time commitments — The PI must comply with certain minimum requirements regarding working time on the action (fixed in Annex 1 and 2) and working time in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country (set out in the work programme/call).

The Agency must be informed before any change in employment or location of the PI.

Should the PI move to another host institution (in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country), the GA can be transferred to the new host institution (**portability**; see [Article 56a](#)). If the PI moves to an institution established in a non-associated third country, the GA may be terminated (see [Article 50.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In case of breach, the Agency may terminate the GA or take any of the other Chapter 6 measures (e.g. *grant reduction, recovery of payments already made, etc.*; see [Articles 42-44, 47-50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

PIs do not become party to the GA, but they are the key actors in charge of the research activities. However, it is the host institution that subscribes to the financial and legal obligations under the GA — since it is the beneficiary.

Examples:

1. A PI can choose its own research team. This team is the research team of the PI and thus different from that of a network or consortium. The engagement of the team follows the rules of the beneficiary and its country.
2. PIs must be supported by their host institution during the entire action duration.

In order to govern their internal relationship (during the action), PIs and their host institutions must sign a **supplementary agreement (SA)** (see [Article 32](#)). The supplementary agreement can NOT replace the employment/engagement contract and is not sufficient by itself.

Specific cases (PIs):

PI employed/engaged by a third party — Exceptionally, the PI may be employed/engaged not by the beneficiary but by a third party (from an EU Member State or H2020 associated country), i.e.:

- a third party that provides the PI as in-kind contribution or
- a linked third party (see [Article 8](#)).

This must be specifically justified in the proposal and included in Annex 1.

In this case, the supplementary agreement (see [Article 32](#)) must be signed by the PI, the host institution AND the third party.

Retired PI — The PI may be retired.

Several PIs — ERC Synergy grants have several PIs (but only one principal beneficiary and one of the PIs that acts as 'correspondent PI'). Each host institution must conclude a supplementary agreement with the PI(s) they engage.

No supplementary agreement — NO supplementary agreement is needed for ERC PoC grants.

PI entitled to sign the supplementary agreement — If the PI is entitled to sign the supplementary agreement on behalf of the host institution (because of his/her position in the institution), the supplementary agreement must be counter-signed by another person empowered by the institution. The principal investigator can NOT sign his/her own supplementary agreement for both parties.

Grant portability — If the GA is transferred to another host institution (see [Article 56a](#)) a new supplementary agreement must be signed with the new host institution (and forwarded to the Agency). The GA cannot be amended before the PI has sent the new supplementary agreement.

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled [insert title of the action] — [insert acronym] ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. ERC frontier research actions

What? ERC frontier research grants fund:

- ground-breaking, high-gain/high-risk research at the frontiers of knowledge ('frontier research') in any field of research (except nuclear energy), by one (or more) principal investigator(s) and their research team.

ERC actions are normally mono-beneficiary actions, but can also be multi-beneficiary.

ERC actions are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'European Research Council' (e.g. [ERC-2015-STG](#)).

- i** For more information on ERC actions, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and the [H2020 grants fact sheets](#).
- i** For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#), the [ERC home page](#) or the [ERC Work Programme](#) and the [call and topics pages](#) of the call.
- i** For examples of successful ERC actions, see the [ERC website](#).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6, [and 14]). [OPTION to be used if Article 9 or 14a applies: It also shows the estimated costs of the beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see Article 9)] [and][international partners (see Article 14a)].]

[...]



1. Budget categories


The ERC MGA uses in principle the same budget categories as the General MGA.

Budget categories of the ERC MGA:

- direct personnel costs
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs for SME owners without salary
 - costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary
- direct costs of subcontracting
- other direct costs
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
 - costs of internally invoiced goods and services
- indirect costs.

Thus, the ERC MGA does NOT have:

- personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure
- direct costs of providing financial support to third parties
- specific cost categories.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs

The grant reimburses **100%** of the beneficiaries' [*and linked third parties*] eligible costs for the action (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms ('**forms of costs**'):

(a) for **direct personnel costs**:

- as actually incurred costs ('**actual costs**') or
- on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices ('**unit costs**').

Personnel **costs for SME owners** or **beneficiaries that are natural persons** not receiving a salary (see Article 6.2, Points A.4 and A.5) must be declared on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (**unit costs**);

(b) for **direct costs of subcontracting**: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);

(c) for **direct costs of providing financial support to third parties**: not applicable;

(d) for **other direct costs**:

- for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**unit costs**);
- for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);

(e) for **indirect costs**: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Article 6.2, Point E ('**flat-rate costs**');

(f) for **specific cost category(ies)**: not applicable.

[...]



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For ERC actions, the reimbursement rate is set at **100 %** (see the [ERC Work Programme](#)).

2. Cost forms

For ERC actions, the **cost forms** are in principle the **same** as in the **General MGA** (see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the ERC MGA does not use all the **unit costs** and **flat-rates** of the General MGA:

Like the General MGA, it uses the unit cost for personnel costs on the basis of the beneficiaries' usual accounting practices (average personnel costs), the unit costs for SME owners and beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary, the unit costs for internally invoiced goods and services and the flat-rate of 25% for indirect costs.⁷³

It does NOT use the unit costs of category F 'specific cost categories' of the General MGA.

⁷³ See Article 29(1) and 33(2) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#) and Commission Decision C(2013) 8197 of 3 December 2013 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for the personnel costs of the owners of small and medium-sized enterprises and beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation and under the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-2018). Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf.

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

[...]

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. direct personnel costs;
- B. direct costs of subcontracting;
- C. not applicable;
- D. other direct costs;
- E. indirect costs;
- F. not applicable.

‘Direct costs’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see Point E below).

‘Indirect costs’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

[...]

6.5 **Ineligible costs**

‘Ineligible costs’ are:

[...]

- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget); in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action [./];/

[...]



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions per budget category.

The ERC MGAs use in principle the same budget categories (**covering** the same **types of costs**) as the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)) — with the exception of categories C. ‘financial support to third parties’ and F ‘specific cost categories’.

The same **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules** apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, ERC grants have the following **specificities**:

- for category A ‘personnel costs’:

Costs for 'teaching buy-outs' — If the beneficiaries hire substitutes to perform some of the PI's duties that are not linked to the ERC grant (*e.g. teaching*), these costs are NOT eligible.

PI employed/engaged by a third party — If the PI is employed/engaged by a third party (which thus pays his/her salary and seconds him/her to work on the premises of the beneficiary, for free or against reimbursement, the salary costs may be declared as direct personnel costs (if for free: under budget category A.1 'costs for employees (or equivalent)' (Article 6.2.A.1); if against payment: under budget category A.3 'seconded persons' (Article 6.2.A.3)).

- for category D 'other direct costs':

Recruitment costs — For ERC frontier research grants, recruitment costs, if clearly attributable to the action, are eligible as 'other direct costs', even for the unsuccessful candidates (because recruitment is part of the activities of such actions — unlike for actions under the General MGA or ERC PoC actions).

Purchase of scientific publications — Costs for scientific publications (*e.g. books, manuscripts, articles, digital copies, etc.*) may be eligible, if their direct link to the action and their necessity for the action is demonstrated.

Costs related to protection of the action's results — Are eligible. Given the increasing emphasis on the protection of inventions, the PIs are encouraged to protect their intellectual property rights properly. Costs related to protection of other intellectual property are NOT eligible.

Costs related to open access — Costs for open access to publications (including monographs) and to research data are eligible if incurred during the action duration. This includes costs charged by data repositories or data centres for the storage and maintenance of the research data generated by the action. With explicit agreement by the Agency, it can also include fees levied for a membership scheme (if this is a requirement for publishing with open access or if membership is a pre-condition for significantly lower article processing charges).

Costs related to scientists' visits — Short-term scientists' visits undertaken in the framework of an international agreement on scientific and technological cooperation ([ERC Implementing Arrangements](#)⁷⁴) are eligible even if the scientist is not mentioned as a team member. Annex 1 does not need to be amended (i.e. the scientist and research visit do not need to be mentioned).

⁷⁴ See <http://erc.europa.eu/implementing-arrangements>

6.5 Ineligible costs

'Ineligible costs' are:

[...]

- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget); in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action [././;]

[...]



1. Ineligible costs

The rules on **ineligible costs** are the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 6.5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

⚠ Combining H2020 & other EU grants — ERC grants do NOT prevent **PIs** from applying independently (i.e. in their own name) for further EU funding for other actions or for the same action, but for costs that are not eligible (or not declared) under the ERC grant.

The fact that the funding rate for ERC grants is 100% does not mean that the grant pays for 100% of the costs of the ERC action. Therefore cost items not declared under the ERC grant may be covered by other EU funding.

i For more information on how to combine EU funding from different programmes, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) (links to Regional funding).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see Article 11);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see Article 12);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14a).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the Agency and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For ERC actions, the same rules on third party involvement apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The PI must in principle, be hosted and engaged by the principal beneficiary. However, in exceptional cases, the PI can be engaged by a third party (*e.g. a third party that makes the PI available to work in the host institution; see Articles 11 and 12*).

Example: Research agencies that put the PIs at disposal of different universities.

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

[...]

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiaries must keep the records and documentation supporting the costs declared, in particular the following:

- (a) for **actual costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the costs declared, such as contracts, subcontracts, invoices and accounting records. In addition, the beneficiaries' usual cost accounting practices and internal control procedures must enable direct reconciliation between the amounts declared, the amounts recorded in their accounts and the amounts stated in the supporting documentation;
- (b) for **unit costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the number of units declared. Beneficiaries do not need to identify the actual eligible costs covered or to keep or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount per unit.

In addition, for **unit costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices**, the beneficiaries must keep adequate records and documentation to prove that the cost accounting practices used comply with the conditions set out in Article 6.2.

The beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* may submit to the Commission, for approval, a certificate (drawn up in accordance with Annex 6) stating that their usual cost accounting practices comply with these conditions ('**certificate on the methodology**'). If the certificate is approved, costs declared in line with this methodology will not be challenged subsequently, unless the beneficiaries have concealed information for the purpose of the approval.

- (c) for **flat-rate costs**: adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the eligibility of the costs to which the flat-rate is applied. The beneficiaries do not need to identify the costs covered or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount declared at a flat-rate.

In addition, **for personnel costs** (declared as actual costs or on the basis of unit costs), the beneficiaries must keep **time records** for the number of hours declared. The time records must be in writing and approved by the persons working on the action and their supervisors, at least monthly. In the absence of reliable time records of the hours worked on the action, the Agency may accept alternative evidence supporting the number of hours declared, if it considers that it offers an adequate level of assurance.

As an exception, for **persons working exclusively on the action**, there is no need to keep time records, if the beneficiary signs a **declaration** confirming that the persons concerned have worked exclusively on the action.

[...]



1. Records for personnel costs — Hours worked for the action

For ERC actions, the same rules on record-keeping apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The principal beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the scientific and financial reports set out in this Article. The financial report includes the requests for payments.

The reports must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Scientific reporting — Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘**scientific reporting periods**’:

- SRP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- SRP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]
- SRP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]
- [same for other SRPs]
- final SRP: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]

The beneficiary must submit to the Agency a:

- ‘**periodic scientific report**’ within 60 days after the end of each period (except the last one) and
- ‘**final scientific report**’ within 60 days after the end of the last reporting period.

The **periodic scientific report** must include:

- (a) information about the **scientific progress** of the work;
- (b) **achievements and results** of the action, such as publications and a declaration of any major change of scientific strategy;
- (c) information on whether and how open access has been provided to these results (see Article 29);
- (d) a summary of the achievements of the action for publication by the Agency.

The **final scientific report** must:

- (a) present the **final results, achievements and conclusions** of the action, and how they have been disseminated (including via scientific publications) (see Article 29);
- (b) contain a summary of the achievements of the action, for publication by the Agency.

20.3 Financial reporting — Payment requests — Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘**financial reporting periods**’:

- FRP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- FRP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]
- FRP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]
- [same for other FRPs]
- final FRP: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]

The beneficiary must— within 60 days after the end of each period — submit to the Agency a ‘**financial report**’ for each reporting period.

The **financial report** must contain:

- (a) information on the eligible costs, including a ‘**breakdown of direct costs table**’ and a ‘**budget follow-up table**’;
- (b) an ‘**individual financial statement**’ (see Annex 4) from the beneficiary [*and from each linked third party*] for the reporting period concerned.

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs; see Article 6) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiary [*and linked third parties*] must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in a financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency. If an individual financial statement is not submitted for a reporting period, it may be included in the periodic financial report for the next reporting period.

The final financial statements must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).

The beneficiary [*and each linked third party*] must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
 - the costs declared are eligible (see Article 6);
 - the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, audits and investigations (see Article 22), and
 - for the last reporting period: that all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3);
- (a) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of each interim payment and payment of the balance to be paid by the Agency to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);]**[OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
 - (d) a ‘**summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including the **request for interim payment** (or — for the last financial reporting period — the **request for payment of the balance**);
 - (e) for the last financial reporting period only: a ‘**certificate on the financial statements**’ (see Annex 5) for the beneficiary [*and linked third party*], if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 5.2 and Article 6.2).

20.4 Currency for financial statements and conversion into euro

Financial statements must be drafted in euro.

Beneficiaries [*and linked third parties*] with accounting established in a currency other than the euro must convert the costs recorded in their accounts into euro, at the average of the daily exchange rates published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union*, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

If no daily euro exchange rate is published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question, they must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates published on the Commission’s website, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

Beneficiaries [*and linked third parties*] with accounting established in euro must convert costs incurred in another currency into euro according to its usual accounting practices.

20.5 Language of reports

All reports (scientific and financial reports, including financial statements) must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

20.6 Consequences of non-compliance

If the reports submitted do not comply with this Article, the Agency may suspend the payment deadline (see Article 47) and apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

If the principal beneficiary breaches its obligation to submit the reports and if it fails to comply with this obligation within 30 days following a written reminder, the Agency may terminate the Agreement (see Article 50) or apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Reports

What & When? For ERC actions, the principal beneficiary must submit both:

- a **periodic scientific report** after the end of each scientific reporting period (except the last one), with:
 - information about the scientific progress of the work
 - the achievements and results of the action, *such as publications and a declaration of any major change of scientific strategy*
 - information on whether and how open access has been provided to these results (see [Article 29](#))
 - a summary of the achievements of the action, for publication by the Agency.
- a **final scientific report** at the end of the action, with:
 - the final results, achievements and conclusions of the action, and how they have been disseminated (including via scientific publications) (see [Article 29](#))
 - a summary of the achievements of the action, for publication by the Agency.

Example: For a five-year grant, there are two scientific reporting periods, so a 'periodic scientific report' is due for months 1-30 and a 'final scientific report' for months 31-60.

In addition, the principal beneficiary must submit a **financial report** at the end of each financial reporting period, with:

- a narrative containing information on the eligible costs, including a breakdown of direct costs table and a budget follow-up table
- an individual financial statement
- a summary financial statement, created automatically by the IT system (on the basis of all financial statements submitted by the beneficiaries and linked third parties for the reporting period), which counts as the request of payment
- for the last financial reporting period only: a certificate on the financial statements (CFS).

Example: For a five-year grant, there are four financial reporting periods, so a financial report is due for months 1-18, 19-36, 37-54 and 55-60.

How? All reports must be prepared and submitted directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#), see [Article 20 H2020 General MGA](#)).

2. Reporting periods

Like for the General MGA, ERC actions are divided into reporting periods.

The ERC MGA has, however, different reporting periods for financial and scientific reports.

Their length is defined in the signed GA. Normally, the financial reporting period is **18 months**, whereas scientific reports are due **halfway through** and at the **end** of the project.

***Example:** For a five-year grant, there are four financial reporting periods (months 1-18, 19-36, 37-54 and 55-60) and two scientific reporting periods (months 1-30 and 31-60).*

Reports must be submitted within 60 days after each period ends.

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

[...]

26.3 Rights of third parties (including personnel and the principal investigator[s])

If third parties (including personnel and the principal investigator[s]) may claim rights to the results, the beneficiary concerned must ensure that it complies with its obligations under the Agreement.

If a third party generates results for a beneficiary, the beneficiary concerned must obtain all necessary rights (transfer, licences or other) from the third party, in order to be able to respect its obligations as if those results were generated by the beneficiary itself.

If obtaining the rights is impossible, the beneficiary must refrain from using the third party to generate the results.

[...]



1. Third parties with rights on results

For ERC actions, the same rules on third party rights apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 26 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Due to the key role of the PI, it is however particularly important that agreements between the host institution and the PI allow the host institution to comply with its obligations under the GA — either by foreseeing a transfer of ownership to the host institution or by providing it with appropriate access rights (with a right to sub-license).

ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 29 — DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING [...]

29.2 Open access to scientific publications

Each beneficiary must ensure open access (free of charge, online access for any user) to all peer-reviewed scientific publications relating to its results.

In particular, it must:

- (a) as soon as possible and at the latest on publication, deposit a machine-readable electronic copy of the published version or final peer-reviewed manuscript accepted for publication in a repository for scientific publications.

Moreover, the beneficiary must aim to deposit at the same time the research data needed to validate the results presented in the deposited scientific publications.

- (b) ensure open access to the deposited publication — via the repository — at the latest:
 - (i) on publication, if an electronic version is available for free via the publisher, or
 - (ii) within six months of publication (twelve months for publications in the social sciences and humanities) in any other case.
- (c) ensure open access — via the repository — to the bibliographic metadata that identify the deposited publication, which must include a persistent identifier.

29.3 Open access to research data

[OPTION 1 for actions participating in the Open Research Data Pilot (in line with the provisions in the ERC work programme): Regarding the digital research data generated in the action ('data'), the beneficiaries must:

- (a) *deposit in a research data repository and take measures to make it possible for third parties to access, mine, exploit, reproduce and disseminate — free of charge for any user — the following:*
 - (i) *the data, including associated metadata, needed to validate the results presented in scientific publications as soon as possible;*
 - (ii) *other data, including associated metadata, as specified in the data management plan;*
- (b) *provide information — via the repository — about tools and instruments at the disposal of the beneficiaries and necessary for validating the results (and — where possible — provide the tools and instruments themselves).*

This does not change the obligation to protect results in Article 27, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36, the security obligations in Article 37 or the obligations to protect personal data in Article 39, all of which still apply.

As an exception, the beneficiaries do not have to ensure open access to specific parts of their research data if the achievement of one of the action objectives, as described in Annex 1, would be jeopardised by making those specific parts of the research data openly accessible. In this case, the data management plan must contain the reasons for not giving access.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

[...]



1. Open access to scientific publications


For ERC actions, the rules for open access to scientific publications are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 29 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Beneficiaries must ensure **open, free-of-charge access** to the end-user to **peer-reviewed scientific publications** relating to their results.

'Peer-reviewed publications' means publications that have been evaluated by other scholars.

Monographs, book chapters and other long-text publications are considered to be peer-reviewed, if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- at least one independent expert (i.e. external to the publishing house) has reviewed the manuscript before acceptance for publication. For monographs in the strict sense (i.e. not edited collections), external review of a substantial part of the work is sufficient to be considered peer-reviewed
- the external reviewer must not be a scientific series editor or a commercial editor related to the publication in question. For edited collections, it must not be the editor of the collection
- PhD theses and habilitations for professorial degrees are considered peer-reviewed if they are formally published (because the review by external experts is generally part of the assessment of the candidate's research work for the PhD degree or the 'venia legendi').

 Other types of publications, such as non-peer-reviewed articles as well as non-peer reviewed monographs, books, conference proceedings and 'grey literature' (i.e. informally published material not having gone through a standard publishing process, e.g. reports), are not covered by the open access obligation.

Best practice: However, to ensure fuller and wider access, beneficiaries are encouraged to provide open access also to these other types of scientific publications (where possible).

ERC grants have however the following **specificities**:

The ERC Scientific Council recommends the use of subject-specific repositories:

- for publications in the Life Sciences domain: Europe PubMed Central (<http://europepmc.org>)
- for publications in the Physical Sciences and Engineering domain: arXiv (<http://arxiv.org>).

If there is no appropriate discipline specific repository, researchers should make their publications available in institutional repositories or in centralized ones, such as Zenodo (<http://zenodo.org>). For monographs, book chapters and other long-text publications, the recommended repository is the OAPEN Library (<http://oapen.org>).


With explicit agreement by the Agency, the extended deadline for publications for grants in the social sciences and humanities domain (i.e. 12 months) can exceptionally be granted also for specific publications of grants in other domains, if the specific publication can be considered to relate to the social sciences and humanities. This must be requested within the 6 months originally foreseen.

Moreover, for publications after the end of the action, if beneficiaries cannot provide open access within the time limits set by Article 29.2 without incurring additional costs for gold open access,

they may choose green open access with an extended embargo period which goes beyond six/twelve months.

Finally, the ERC MGA foresees lighter requirements for bibliographic metadata, focusing only on a persistent identifier (i.e. a stable address/marker to identify the publication, such as a digital object identifier (DOI)⁷⁵ or other systems).

Best practice: If the repository allows for this, beneficiaries of ERC grants are strongly encouraged to ensure that the bibliographic metadata also includes additional information such as the European Research Council (ERC) as funding source, the grant number, the title of the action and its acronym, the publication date, and the length of the embargo period (if applicable). For ease of tracking, beneficiaries should include the digital object identifier for the 'European Research Council' (<http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100000781>) in the funding acknowledgement field in their metadata, in addition to the digital object identifier for 'Horizon 2020' (<http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100007601>).

 For more guidance, see *Open Access on the ERC website, the ERCEA Implementation Guidelines and the ERC Scientific Council's Open Access Guidelines for research results funded by the ERC.*

2. Open access to research data

This option will be inserted in the GA for ERC frontier research actions that participate in the Open Research Data Pilot (in line with provisions in the [ERC Work Programme](#)).


The rules on open access to research data are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 29 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The ERC MGA has however the following **specificities**:

The data management plan (DMP) must address the following issues (the use of the [ERC template](#) is strongly recommended but not mandatory):

1. Making data Findable
2. Making data openly Accessible
3. Making data Interoperable
4. Increase data Re-use
5. Allocations of resources and data security

Moreover the DMP can provide justification for NOT ensuring open access to specific parts of the research data. For ERC actions, the exception allowing beneficiaries not to give access to specific parts of their research data applies not only if this would jeopardise the *main* objective of the action, but *any* one of the action objectives.)

 For ERC frontier research actions, the main objective is always a purely scientific one. The justification for non-disclosure therefore does NOT need to be the scientific objective of the action but can refer to other objectives, such as the intended later commercialisation of the scientific findings.

A first version of the data management plan must be submitted within the first 6 months of the project implementation and should then be updated during the lifetime of the project, as needed.

The beneficiaries may **opt out** of the pilot at any stage — both before signing the GA and afterwards (through an amendment; see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)). No reasons have to be provided for opting out. By opting out, they free themselves retroactively from the obligations associated with taking part in the pilot.

⁷⁵ For more information, see <http://www.doi.org/>.

ARTICLE 32 — WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR[S] AND [HIS/HER][THEIR] TEAM

ARTICLE 32 — WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR[S] AND [HIS/HER][THEIR] TEAM

32.1 Obligations towards the principal investigator[s] and [his/her][their] team

The beneficiaries must respect the following working conditions for the principal investigator[s] and [his/her][their] team:

- (a) take all measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers²⁸ — in particular regarding working conditions, transparent recruitment processes based on merit and career development — and ensure that the principal investigator[s], researchers and third parties involved in the action are aware of them;
- (b) enter — before signature of the Agreement — into a 'supplementary agreement' with the[ir] principal investigator, that specifies:
 - (i) the obligation of the beneficiary to meet its obligations under the Agreement;
 - (ii) the obligation of the [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: corresponding*] principal investigator to supervise the scientific and technological implementation of the action [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and the obligation of the other principal investigator(s) to supervise the scientific and technological implementation of their part of the action and to contribute to the overall proper implementation of the action*];
 - (iii) the obligation of the [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: corresponding*] principal investigator to assume the responsibility for the scientific reporting for the beneficiary and contribute to the financial reporting [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and the obligation of the other principal investigator(s) to contribute to the scientific and financial reporting*];
 - (iv) the obligation of the principal investigator to meet the time commitments for implementing the action as described in Annex 1;
 - (v) the obligation of the principal investigator to apply the beneficiary's usual management practices;
 - (vi) the obligation of the principal investigator to inform the principal beneficiary [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and, where applicable, his/her beneficiary and the other principal investigator(s)*] immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect the Agreement (see Article 17) , such as:
 - a planned transfer of the action (or part of it) to a new beneficiary (see Article 56a);
 - any personal grounds affecting the implementation of the action;
 - any changes in the information that was used as a basis for signing the supplementary agreement;
 - any changes in the information that was used as a basis for awarding the grant;
 - (vii) the obligation of the principal investigator to ensure the visibility of EU funding in communications or publications and in applications for the protection of results (see Articles 27, 28, 29 and 38);
 - (viii) the obligation of the principal investigator to uphold the intellectual property rights of the beneficiary during the implementation of the action and afterwards;

- (ix) the obligation of the principal investigator to maintain confidentiality (see Article 36);
- (x) for a transfer of the action (or part of it) to a new beneficiary (see Article 56a): the obligation of the principal investigator to:
 - propose to the principal beneficiary [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and, where applicable, to his/her beneficiary*] (in writing) to what extent the action will be transferred and the details of the transfer arrangement [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and, if the transfer is done by (one of) the other principal investigator(s), the obligation of the corresponding principal investigator to verify that the principal investigator has informed his/her beneficiary and the principal beneficiary*];
 - provide a statement to the principal beneficiary [*OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: and, where applicable, his/her beneficiary*] with the detailed results of the research up to the time of transfer;
- (xi) the right of the Commission and the Agency, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-fraud Office (OLAF) to exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the principal investigator;
- (xii) the applicable law and the country in which disputes must be settled;
- (c) provide the principal investigator[s] with a copy of the signed Agreement;
- (d) guarantee the principal investigator[s] scientific independence, in particular for the:
 - (i) use of the budget to achieve the scientific objectives;
 - (ii) authority to publish as senior author and invite as co-authors those who have contributed substantially to the work;
 - (iii) preparation of scientific reports for the action;
 - (iv) selection and supervision of the other team members (hosted [*and engaged*] by the beneficiary or other legal entities), in line with the profiles needed to conduct the research and in accordance with the beneficiary's usual management practices;
 - (v) possibility to apply independently for funding;
 - (vi) access to appropriate space and facilities for conducting the research;
- (e) provide — during the implementation of the action — research support to the principal investigator[s] and the[ir] team members (regarding infrastructure, equipment, access rights, products and other services necessary for conducting the research);
- (f) support the principal investigator[s] and provide administrative assistance, in particular for the:
 - (i) general management of the work and his/her team
 - (ii) scientific reporting, especially ensuring that the team members send their scientific results to the principal investigator[s];
 - (iii) financial reporting, especially providing timely and clear financial information;
 - (iv) application of the beneficiary's usual management practices;

- (v) general logistics of the action;
- (vi) access to the electronic exchange system (see Article 52);
- (g) inform the principal investigator[s] immediately (in writing) of any events or circumstances likely to affect the Agreement (see Article 17);
- (h) ensure that the principal investigator[s] enjoy[s] adequate:
 - (i) conditions for annual, sickness and parental leave;
 - (ii) occupational health and safety standards;
 - (iii) insurance under the general social security scheme, such as pension rights;
- (i) allow the transfer of the Agreement to a new beneficiary ('portability'; see Article 56a).

[...]

²⁸ Commission Recommendation 2005/251/EC of 11 March 2005 on the European Charter for Researchers and on a Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers (OJ L 75, 22.3.2005, p.67).



1. Working conditions — Rights for the PI and his/her team

In view of the key role of the PI and his/her team in ERC actions, the ERC MGA foresees a series of obligations in order to guarantee best possible working conditions and scientific autonomy for them.

Main obligations (towards the PI):

- **Scientific autonomy**, to achieve the action's objectives under the best possible conditions, and within the time agreed
- **Competitive working conditions**, always in accordance with national law and institutional rules
- **Support for managing the action** (*e.g. access to infrastructure; legal, financial and administrative support*)
- Access to and protection of **intellectual property rights**
- Allow and facilitate the transfer of the GA to another host institution (**grant portability**).

2. Supplementary agreement — Obligations on the PI

The ERC MGA foresees that the PI and its host institution must sign a supplementary agreement (SA), in order to formalise the commitments in the GA also towards the PI.

Main obligations (of the PI):

- **Supervision** of the scientific and technological implementation of the action
- Responsibility for the **scientific reporting** and contribution to the **financial reporting**
- Meeting the **time commitments** for implementing the action

The PI must ensure a sufficient time commitment and presence throughout the course of the project to guarantee its proper execution.

The two time commitment obligations are as follows:

- % of working time that the PI must work on the action
- % of working time that the PI must work in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country.

To be operational, these two percentages must be translated into working hours, i.e.:

- minimum number of hours that the PI must work in the action over its duration
- minimum number of hours that the PI must work in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country over the duration of the action.

The calculation should be done as follows:

Step 1 — For each year of the action, the percentages of PI commitment are applied to:

- a) either the annual hours of a standard full time equivalent at the host institution, or
- b) 1720 annual hours (this option must be used in all cases when it is not possible to determine the number of hours of a full time equivalent at the host institution).

The standard full time equivalent (or 1720 annual hours) works as a ceiling: they apply even if the PI works in total *more* hours (i.e. has other parallel affiliations, freelance activities or work-related obligations or works more hours on the action). In this case, the time commitment is capped at 100% and the hours are calculated on the standard full time equivalent (or 1720 annual hours).

By contrast, if the PI works in total *less* hours than the standard full time equivalent (or 1720 annual hours), the time commitment obligation will be reduced proportionally.

To do so, the percentage of PI commitment will be applied to the total work of the PI (instead of to options a) or b) above).

The total work of the PI will be determined by adding up all her/his hours of remunerated work, including under contracts with entities other than the host institution.

Example: *The % of PI commitment to the ERC action is 50%. The PI works 60 % part time at the host institution and has another contract with a different entity to work 350 hours over the year. A full time equivalent at the host institution works 1750 hours per year. The hours that the PI works in total are = 60 % of 1750 + 350 = 1 050 + 350 = 1 400. Since this amount is lower than the full time equivalent, the time commitment obligation will be: 1 400 x 50 % = 700*

Step 2 — Sum up the results for each year of Step 1 to get the total number of hours over the action duration.

The percentages must be reached for the overall action duration (NOT annually or per reporting period).

The PI's time commitments obligations must be fulfilled even if no personnel costs are charged for him/her to the project.

- Applying the host institution's usual management practices
- Informing the principal beneficiary immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect the GA
- Ensure the **visibility of EU funding**

- Upholding the **intellectual property rights** of the host institution

This obligation is not limited to *respecting* the host institution's intellectual property rights (IPRs). The PI must *actively* inform it if s/he becomes aware of any violations.

Example: *The PI reads a research article and discovers a violation of the host institution's project IPRs. S/he is obliged to inform the host institution.*

- Maintaining **confidentiality**.

The 'supplementary agreement' is an agreement between the host institution and the PI, to set out their internal arrangements for implementing the grant.

It is **purely internal**; the EU/Euratom is NOT party and has NO responsibility for it (nor for any adverse consequences).

It should cover all the practical issues that may arise in the context of the grant implementation (in particular the ones listed in Article 32.1(b)) and must remain in place at least for the action duration (*see Article 3*) (— without any interruptions, even if the PI works only part-time on the ERC project).

It must NOT contain any provisions **contrary to the GA**. (Provisions that contradict the GA are considered void and cannot be opposed to the Agency.)

It can NOT replace an employment or engagement contract between the PI and its host institution (which remain necessary under national labour and social laws).

It must be concluded **before GA signature** (or in case of portability before submission of the amendment request) and a copy must be sent to the Agency.

A [template \[ODT format\]](#) for a model supplementary agreement is available. (The model is not mandatory; beneficiaries may use other clauses, provided they benefit the research action and do not contradict the GA.)

Specific cases (supplementary agreement):

PI employed/engaged by a third party — The supplementary agreement must be signed by the PI, the host institution *and* the third party.

Several PIs — For ERC Synergy grants (i.e. ERC frontier research grants with several PIs), each host institution must conclude a supplementary agreement with the PI(s) they engage.

No supplementary agreement — NO supplementary agreement is needed for ERC PoC grants.

PI entitled to sign the supplementary agreement — If the PI is entitled to sign the supplementary agreement on behalf of the host institution (because of his/her position in the institution), the supplementary agreement must be counter-signed by another person empowered by the institution. The principal investigator can NOT sign his/her own supplementary agreement for both parties.

Grant portability — If the GA is transferred to another host institution (*see Article 56a*), a new supplementary agreement must be signed with the new host institution (and forwarded to the Agency). The new agreement must take effect from the grant transfer date (or before). The GA cannot be amended before the PI has sent the new supplementary agreement.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

[...]

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem and the ERC logo

Unless the Agency requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

- (a) display the European Union emblem and the ERC logo and
- (b) include the following text:

For communication activities: “This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No [number])”.

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: “This [*infrastructure*][*equipment*][*insert type of result*] is part of a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No [number])”.

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem and the ERC logo must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem and the ERC logo without first obtaining approval from the Agency.

This does not, however, give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem, the ERC logo or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

[...]



1. Visibility of EU funding

For ERC actions, the same rules on visibility of EU funding apply as in the General MGA (see [Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In addition, the beneficiaries must however make **specific reference to the European Research Council (ERC)**. Thus, the clause acknowledging funding is slightly adapted and the beneficiaries must also use the ERC logo.

 For more information on using the ERC logo, see [the ERC home page](#)

ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

56.1 Accession of the beneficiaries mentioned in the Preamble

The other beneficiaries must accede to the Agreement by signing the Accession Form (see Annex 3) in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52) within 30 days after its entry into force (see Article 58) *[OPTION if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested: and, for beneficiaries for which the [Commission][Agency] has requested joint and several liability of a linked third party, by also submitting — at accession — a declaration on joint and several liability (see Annex 3a) signed by the third party]*.

They will assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of its entry into force (see Article 58).

If a beneficiary does not accede to the Agreement within the above deadline, the principal beneficiary must — within 30 days — request an amendment to make any changes necessary to ensure proper implementation of the action. This does not affect the Agency's right to terminate the Agreement (see Article 50).

56.2 Addition of new beneficiaries

In justified cases, the beneficiaries may request the addition of a new beneficiary.

For this purpose, the principal beneficiary must submit a request for amendment in accordance with Article 55. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new beneficiary in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

New beneficiaries must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

56.1 Addition of new beneficiaries

In justified cases, the beneficiary may request the addition of a new beneficiary.

For this purpose, the beneficiary must submit a request for amendment in accordance with Article 55. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new beneficiary in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

New beneficiaries must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of their accession specified in the Accession Form (see Annex 3).

If a new beneficiary is added, the grant becomes a multi-beneficiary grant and *[OPTION 1: the preamble and the following Articles of the ERC Multi-beneficiary Model Grant Agreement will apply: [...][...][...][same for further articles]]* *[OPTION 2: the ERC Multi-beneficiary Model Grant Agreement will apply]*.



1. Addition of new beneficiaries

For ERC actions, the rules on addition of beneficiaries are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 56 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, unlike the General MGA, ERC *mono*-beneficiary grants can become *multi*-beneficiary grants, by addition of a new beneficiary according to this provision.

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY — PORTABILITY OF THE GRANT

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY — PORTABILITY OF THE GRANT

56a.1 Conditions

[The][A] principal investigator may request the transfer of the action (or his/her part of it) to a new beneficiary, provided that the objectives of the action remain achievable.

The principal beneficiary [OPTION for SyG and other ERC grants with more than one principal investigator: or, where applicable, his/her beneficiary] may object only on the basis that the transfer is not possible under national law.

56a.2 Procedure

The principal beneficiary must formally notify a request for amendment to the Agency (see Article 55).

56a.3 Effects

The former beneficiary must agree with the principal investigator and the new beneficiary on a plan to transfer the intellectual property rights under the Agreement to the new beneficiary

The Agency will request the former beneficiary to transfer to the new beneficiary any part of the pre-financing (see Article 21) not covered by an approved financial report.

If requested by the principal investigator, the Agency may require the former beneficiary to transfer to the new beneficiary the equipment purchased and used exclusively for the action (against reimbursement of the costs that have not yet been depreciated). The former beneficiary may object only on the basis that the transfer is not possible under national law.



1. Transfer of the GA (portability)

ERC grants can be **transferred** to a new host institution ('new beneficiary') — at any time during the action.

⚠ Transfer — The rights and obligations under the GA are transferred from the host institution to a new host institution — without passing via termination (Article 50) or addition of a new beneficiary (Article 56).

NO transfer before the amendment has been accepted by the Agency. Transfers without a formal amendment are void and may result in GA termination. The former host institution remains fully responsible until the Agency has approved the amendment.

The transfer may be based on any **ground** that is beneficial for the PI and supports achieving the action's objectives.

The former host institution ('former beneficiary') may **oppose** a transfer only if it is not allowed under national law. It may however **negotiate** transfer conditions (including transfer of team members, intellectual property rights and equipment) with the new host institution, taking into account the views of the PI.

2. Procedure

The PI should first contact the host institution that signed the GA (i.e. the former host institution).

Record-keeping

Best practice: Beneficiaries and PIs should keep records of the consultation and decision-making process for each portability case.

The former host institution must submit a **request for amendment** to the Agency.


For the amendment the rules of the General MGA apply (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The **transfer date** will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. If the new host institution joins the action, the transfer date must be the same date as the accession date. Retroactive dates are allowed, but only in exceptional cases and with a specific justification (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The amendment request must include the Accession Form (i.e. the statement to take over all rights and obligations under the GA) of the new host institution (signed directly in the Funding & Tenders Portal), the PI's justification letter and a supplementary agreement signed between the new host institution and the PI

The **financial reporting periods** will be automatically adapted to match the transfer date (unless the transfer date coincides with the end of a financial reporting period). **Scientific reporting periods** will usually remain unchanged (since the transfer normally has no effect on the scientific implementation of the action).

 For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

3. Effects

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days from either the transfer date or the date of signature of the amendment, whichever is the latest — submit:

- a financial report to the Agency (for the open period until transfer)
- a report on the distribution of payments (only in case of multi-beneficiary GA)
- if the costs reach the threshold set out in Article 20:, a certificate on the financial statement (CFS) (— even if this is not the last reporting period).

These documents will be used for calculating the interim payment due to the former beneficiary. The Agency will moreover instruct the former host institution to transfer the remaining pre-financing to the new host institution.

If the PI requests it, the Agency may require the former host institution to **transfer equipment** that was purchased and used exclusively for the action.

The former host institution may oppose this only if it is not possible under national law. It may however negotiate transfer conditions with the new host institution, taking into account the views of the PI.

Thus, the new host institution should make its best effort to buy the equipment fully used for the project. If it does so, it may declare the costs of this reimbursement (and any other related costs, i.e. dismantling, transfer and installation), if they fulfil the eligibility conditions set out in [Article 6](#).

Specific cases (portability):

Several PIs— In ERC synergy grants (i.e. ERC frontier research grants with several PIs), each PI has the right to transfer his/her part of the action. They must inform and consult the other PIs, to ensure that the action's objectives can still be achieved.

Former host institution remains beneficiary — If certain team members (or equipment) stay with the former host institution, while the PI moves to a new host institution, the GA is transferred and changed into a multi-beneficiary grant agreement (allowing both the former host institution and the new host institution to participate in the grant). The former host institution stays on as beneficiary, the organisation that hosts and engages the PI is the new host institution. If the former host institution remains only for a limited time (*e.g. to ensure a smooth handover*), it can then be terminated at a later stage, via a beneficiary termination (see [Article 50.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

II.3 H2020 ERC PoC MGA: Annotations

The H2020 ERC PoC MGA is very similar to the H2020 ERC MGA.

Like the ERC frontier research grants, the ERC PoC grants have a principal beneficiary (who is also the host institution) and a principal investigator.

There are however NO specific provisions on the working conditions of the PI and his/her team ([Article 32 H2020 ERC MGA](#)), no supplementary agreement and no grant portability ([Article 56a H2020 ERC MGA](#)).

The following annotations will only highlight the biggest differences. For the rest, the annotations to the ERC MGA apply *mutatis mutandis*.

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. ERC PoC actions

What? ERC PoC funds:

- a 'proof of concept' to verify the innovation potential of an idea from an ERC frontier research project.

ERC PoC grants can cover any activities that develop research output towards new commercial or societal applications (*e.g. making a 'business plan', technical issues and overall direction, intellectual property rights positioning and strategy, budgeting and other commercial parameters; connections to later stage funding; establishing a company; demonstration, testing, prototyping, piloting, design*).

ERC PoC grants can NOT cover activities under the ERC frontier research grant (*e.g. dissemination or publications of the results of the ERC frontier research action; pure research, especially research with no commercial potential*) or activities which are the result of research not performed under the ERC actions (*e.g. results of research not funded by the ERC action*).

ERC PoC actions are normally mono-beneficiary actions, but can also be multi-beneficiary.

ERC actions are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'European Research Council' (*e.g. [ERC-2015-PoC](#)*).

i For more information on ERC actions, see *the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and the [H2020 grants fact sheets](#)*.

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see *the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#), the [ERC home page](#) or the [ERC Work Programme](#) and the [call and topics pages](#) of the call*.

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The principal beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the report(s) set out in this Article. The financial report(s), include(s) the request(s) for payments.

The report(s) must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

[OPTION 1 by default (for actions with one RP): 20.2 Reporting periods

The action has one reporting period:

- RP: from month 1 to month

20.3 Report — Request for payment of the balance

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days following the end of the reporting period — submit a report to the Agency.

The report must include the following:

- (a) a summary for publication by the Agency;*
- (b) an **overview of the results** of the action, including the deliverables identified in Annex 1 and explanations justifying the differences between the work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out;*
- (c) detailed information on the costs declared, including a **'breakdown of direct costs table'**;*
- (d) an **'individual financial statement'** (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary [and from each linked third party].*

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs, flat-rate costs; see Article 6) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiaries [and linked third parties] must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in the individual financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency.

*The individual financial statements must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).*

*Each beneficiary [and each linked third party] must **certify** that:*

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;*
- the costs declared are eligible (see Article 6);*
- the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22), and*
- that all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3).*

- (e) **[OPTION A if the JRC is a beneficiary:** information on the amount of each interim payment and payment of the balance to be paid by the Agency to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);]**[OPTION B: not applicable;]**
- (f) a **'summary financial statement'**, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including the **request for payment of the balance**;
- (g) a **'certificate on the financial statements'** (drawn up in accordance with Annex 5) for each beneficiary [and linked third party], if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 5.2 and Article 6.2).]

[OPTION 2 for actions with several RPs: 20.2 Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following reporting periods:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- RP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]
- RP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]
- [same for other RPs]
- RPN: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]

20.3 Reports — Requests for interim payments and payment of balance

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days following the end of each reporting period — submit a report to the Agency.

The **report** must include the following:

- (a) a summary for publication by the Agency;
- (b) an **overview of the progress of work** towards the objectives of the action, including the deliverables identified in Annex 1 and explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out;
- (c) detailed information on the costs declared, including a **'breakdown of direct costs table'** and a **'budget follow-up table'**;
- (d) an **'individual financial statement'** (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary [and from each linked third party], for the reporting period concerned.

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs; see Article 6) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiaries [and linked third parties] must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in the individual financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency.

If an individual financial statement is not submitted for a reporting period, it may be included in the report for the next reporting period.

The individual financial statements of the last reporting period must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).

*Each beneficiary [and each linked third party] must **certify** that:*

- *the information provided is full, reliable and true;*
 - *the costs declared are eligible (see Article 6);*
 - *the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22), and*
 - *for the last reporting period: that all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3);*
- (e) **[OPTION A if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of each interim payment and payment of the balance to be paid by the Agency to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION B: not applicable;]**
- (f) a **'summary financial statement'**, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including the **request for interim payment** (or — for the last reporting period — the **request for payment of the balance**);
- (g) *for the last reporting period only:*
- *an **overview of the results** of the action, including the deliverables identified in Annex 1 and explanations justifying the differences between the work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out;*
 - *a **'certificate on the financial statements'** (drawn up in accordance with Annex 5) for each beneficiary [and linked third party], if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 5.2 and Article 6.2).]*

20.4 Currency for financial statements and conversion into euro

Financial statements must be drafted in euro.

Beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* with accounting established in a currency other than the euro must convert the costs recorded in their accounts into euro, at the average of the daily exchange rates published in the C series of the Official Journal of the European Union, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

If no daily euro exchange rate is published in the Official Journal of the European Union for the currency in question, they must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates published on the Commission's website, calculated over the corresponding reporting period.

Beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* with accounting established in euro must convert costs incurred in another currency into euro according to their usual accounting practices.

20.5 Language of reports

The report(s) (including financial statements) must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

20.6 Consequences of non-compliance

If the report(s) submitted do not comply with this Article, the Agency may suspend the deadline for payment (see Article 47) and apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

If the principal beneficiary breaches its obligation to submit report(s) and if it fails to comply with this obligation within 30 days following a written reminder, the Agency may terminate the Agreement (see Article 50) or apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Reports

What & When? For ERC PoC actions, the principal beneficiary must submit **periodic reports** — only one if there is one reporting period, otherwise one at the end of each reporting period.

The report(s) must include the all of the following:

- a summary for publication by the Agency

The summary is a brief description of the action, presenting its objectives and the results achieved. It must be easy to read and understandable to a non-specialised audience. This will enable the Agency to publish it on the Commission's website right away.

The principal beneficiary must ensure that material submitted to the Agency for publication does not include any confidential material.

- an overview of progress towards the action's objectives (or an overview of the action's results for the last reporting period)
- information on the eligible costs, including a table showing the breakdown of direct costs and a budget follow-up table
- an individual financial statement.

In addition, a summary financial statement, which counts as the request of payment, will be created automatically by the IT system, on the basis of all financial statements submitted by the beneficiaries and linked third parties for each reporting period.

Moreover, for the last reporting period, a certificate on the financial statements (CFS) will have to be added (if necessary).

How? All reports must be prepared and submitted directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) (see [Article 20 H2020 General MGA](#)).

2. Reporting period(s)

ERC PoC actions normally have only **one reporting period** (with one pre-financing payment; see [Article 21](#)).

As a general rule, this single reporting period lasts **18 months** (and thus the entire action duration).

If provided in the GA, an ERC PoC action may however also have more reporting periods.

II.4 H2020 ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA: Annotations

Like the H2020 ERC PoC MGA, the H2020 ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA is very similar to the H2020 ERC MGA.

As the ERC frontier research grants, the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants have a principal beneficiary (who is also the host institution) and a principal investigator.

There are however NO specific provisions on the working conditions of the PI and his/her team, and there is no supplementary agreement ([Article 32 H2020 ERC MGA](#)). Furthermore, there is no right of the PI to portability of the grant ([Article 56a H2020 ERC MGA](#)) (although for mono-beneficiary grants there is the possibility, in duly justified cases, to transfer the grant to a new beneficiary; see [Article 56.3](#)).

Also, under the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA the grant payment is based on pre-fixed lump sum amount and not on actual costs reimbursement.

The following annotations will only highlight the biggest differences. For the rest, the annotations to the ERC MGA apply *mutatis mutandis*.

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. ERC PoC actions

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot funds:

- a 'proof of concept' to verify the innovation potential of an idea from an ERC frontier research project.

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants can cover any activities that develop research output towards new commercial or societal applications (*e.g. making a 'business plan', technical issues and overall direction, intellectual property rights positioning and strategy, budgeting and other commercial parameters; connections to later stage funding; establishing a company; demonstration, testing, prototyping, piloting, design*).

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants can NOT cover activities under the ERC frontier research grant (*e.g. dissemination or publications of the results of the ERC frontier research action; pure research, especially research with no commercial potential*) or activities which are the result of research not performed under the ERC actions (*e.g. results of research not funded by the ERC action*).

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions are normally mono-beneficiary actions, but can also be multi-beneficiary.

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'European Research Council' (*e.g. ERC-2019-PoC*).

i For more information on ERC actions, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and [the H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#), [the ERC home page](#) or [the ERC Work Programme and the call and topics pages of the call](#).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated lump sum breakdown

The ‘estimated lump sum breakdown’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the lump sum shares for the work per beneficiary [*and linked third party*].

The estimated lump sum breakdown does not prescribe how the EU contribution is to be used by the beneficiaries. It shows the amounts that the Agency commits to pay if the action is implemented properly, regardless of the costs actually incurred.

4.2 Lump sum share transfers

The estimated lump sum shares indicated in Annex 2 can be adjusted by transfers of amounts between the beneficiaries, but only via an amendment (see Article 55).



1. Estimated lump sum breakdown

Differently from the ERC frontier research grants and the standard ERC PoC, the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants do not have any budget categories. Instead, they have a lump sum (for multi-beneficiary grants, broken down per beneficiary).

For multi-beneficiary grants, the breakdown is used to determine:

- the share of the lump sum to which each beneficiary is entitled for the work
- the maximum amount for which each beneficiary is liable in case of improper implementation of the work.

Example: *On a grant involving three beneficiaries, the Agency carries out a review after the payment of the balance. The review concludes that 50% of the work attributed to beneficiary A was not implemented as indicated in Annex 1. On those grounds, the Agency decides to reduce the grant. According to Annex 2, EUR 50 000 was allocated to beneficiary A. Therefore, beneficiary A will be liable in proportion to its tasks or parts of the action not implemented (i.e. in case of a 50% reduction, beneficiary A will be liable for EUR 25 000).*

2. Lump sum share transfers

Unlike in the General MGA, transfers of ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot shares are only possible via a formal amendment (i.e. increasing the share of a beneficiary and decreasing the share of another under a multi-beneficiary action).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The ‘**maximum grant amount**’ is **EUR 150.000** (one hundred and fifty thousand euros).

5.2 Form of grant

The grant takes the **form** of a lump sum contribution for the implementation of the action.




1. Grant amount and form of grant

How much & which form? The ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA does not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but is a **lump sum grant** (see [Decision C\(2018\) 5960](#)).

The use of the lump sum will be set out in the ERC Work Programme.

The lump sum is a fixed amount of EUR 150 000 for each grant. This amount takes already into account the H2020 ERC funding rate.

There are no cost eligibility conditions. If the action is correctly implemented (in line with the description in Annex 1), the beneficiaries are entitled to receive the fixed lump sum amount.

 The lump sum is deemed to **cover ALL** (direct and indirect) **costs** for the action and it can NOT be increased.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum for the approved work and reduction for non-approved work

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum for the approved work and reduction for non-approved work

The amount of the lump sum corresponds to the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) declared by the beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* for the approved work in the financial statement at the payment of the balance (see Article 20.4).

The grant is reduced (see Article 43) for the part of the work that has not been delivered or cannot be approved at the payment of the balance).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is further reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than improper implementation; see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Step 1 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 2.



1. Final grant amount

For ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants, the rules on the final grant amount are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

Moreover, since they are lump sum grants (and the amount declared cannot be higher than the amount fixed in Annex 2 anyways), there is NO need to calculate the:

- limit to the maximum grant amount or
- reduction due to the no-profit rule.

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 22) — the Agency reduces the grant (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations including improper implementation; see Article 43), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’ for the beneficiary concerned by the findings.

This amount is calculated by the Agency by calculating the concerned beneficiary’s share in the grant amount reduced in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 43.2).



1. Revised final grant amount

For ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants, the rules on the revised final grant amount are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.4 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services;
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment;
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge;
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1;
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1;
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1

in accordance with the specific rules set out in Article 10 to 14a.

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the Agency and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.




1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Third parties involved in the action

The rules of the General MGA on third party involvement are only partly applicable to the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA.

The General MGA rules on third party involvement are limited in PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions to subcontracting ([Article 13](#)) and linked third parties ([Article 14](#)).

The articles for purchases ([Article 10](#)) and in-kind contributions ([Articles 11](#) and [12](#)) are not applicable to the PoC Lump Sum MGA. Beneficiaries may make purchases and use any in-kind contributions from third parties following their internal practices but not subject to other rules (as long as they are needed to carry out the work of the action).

 For all types of third party involvement (purchases, in-kind contributions, subcontracts and linked third parties), the **costs** are **ALL covered** by the lump sum. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

13.1 Specific rules for subcontracting action tasks

Subcontracting may cover only a limited part of the action.

The tasks to be implemented for each subcontract must be set out in Annex 1. The Agency may however approve subcontracts not set out in Annex 1 without amendment (see Article 55), if:

- they are specifically justified in the final report and
- they do not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the Agency (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries must ensure that the Agency, the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their subcontractors.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Subcontracting

The rules on subcontracting for ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Articles 8 and 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA has however the following **specificities**:

The estimated subcontracting costs do NOT need to be included in Annex 1 or shown in the table of estimated costs in Annex 2 (there is no such table for the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot; Annex 2 is not the budget, but the estimated lump sum for mono-beneficiary grants/lump sum breakdown for multi-beneficiary grants). By contrast, the tasks to be subcontracted must still be indicated in Annex 1, as it happens in the General MGA.

The principles of best value for money and no conflict of interest are not explicitly mentioned in Article 13. Nonetheless, they must still be complied with and confirmed by the beneficiaries at proposal stage.

The obligations in Article 13.1 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but 'other obligations'. In case of breach, the Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

The grant may also be reduced for breach of obligations which occurred at proposal stage (see [Article 43 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiaries must — for a period of [*OPTION 1 by default: five*][*OPTION 2 for low value grants: three*] years after the payment of the balance — keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the proper implementation of the action.

They must make them available upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see Article 22), the beneficiaries must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiaries must keep the original documents. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The Agency may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance

Records and other supporting documentation on the scientific and technical implementation of the action must be in line with the accepted standards in the respective field.

The beneficiaries do not need to keep record about the costs actually incurred for implementing the action.

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Records and other supporting documentation


For ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions, beneficiaries do not need to keep records on their actual costs; they only need to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence (documentation, records) that the action tasks (as described in Annex 1) were properly carried out.

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available. It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until the end of the respective procedure.

The records and supporting documentation must:

- refer to the implementation of the action, in line with the accepted standards in the respective field (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)) and
- prove that the tasks or part of the action allocated to the beneficiary in Annex 1 were implemented properly (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)).

By contrast, timesheets or invoices for costs actually incurred are NOT needed.

 If the beneficiary cannot provide evidence of the proper implementation of the action, the grant may be **reduced** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The principal beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the final report set out in this Article. This report includes the request for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

The action has one ‘**reporting period**’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]

20.3 Periodic reports — Requests for interim payments

Not applicable

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The principal beneficiary must submit — within 60 days following the end of the reporting period — the final report, which includes the request for payment of the balance.

The **final report** must include the following:

- a **summary** for publication by the Agency.
- an **overview of the results** of the action, including the deliverables identified in Annex 1 and explanations justifying the differences between the work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.
- a ‘**breakdown of direct cost table**’: not applicable
- an ‘**individual financial statement**’ (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary [*and each linked third party*], for the reporting period.

The individual financial statement must declare the lump sum share indicated in Annex 2, for the work that was completed in the reporting period.

The beneficiaries may exceptionally also declare a partial lump sum share for the part of the work that was not completed (e.g. due to scientific or technical reasons), if agreed by the Agency.

Lump sum shares which are not declared in the financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency.

The beneficiaries [*and each linked third party*] must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the work has been completed and that the action in general has been properly implemented (see Article 7);
- the proper implementation can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).

(e) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of the payment of the balance to be paid by the Agency to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]*

(f) a ‘**summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including the request for payment of the balance;

(g) a ‘**certificate on the financial statements**’: not applicable

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Not applicable

20.6 Currency for financial statements

Financial statements must be drafted in euro.

20.7 Language of reports

The final report (including financial statements) must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

20.8 Consequences of non-compliance

If the final report submitted does not comply with this Article, the Agency may suspend the payment deadline (see Article 47) and apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

If the principal beneficiary breaches its obligation to submit the report and if it fails to comply with this obligation within 30 days following a written reminder, the Agency may terminate the Agreement (see Article 50) or apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Reports

What & When? For ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions, the principal beneficiary must submit a **final report** at the end of the action.

The report must include the following:

- a summary for publication by the Agency

The summary is a brief description of the action, presenting its objectives and the results achieved. It must be fit for publication and written in an easy to read language for a non-specialised audience. This will enable the Agency to publish it on the Commission’s website right away.

The principal beneficiary must ensure that material submitted to the Agency for publication does not include any confidential material.

- an overview of the results towards the action’s objectives
- an individual financial statement.

The final report includes the completed work and the corresponding lump sum shares per beneficiary.

In principle, lump sum shares should be claimed only for work fully accomplished as described in Annex 1. However, if agreed by the Agency, the beneficiary may exceptionally declare partial lump sum shares for work not completed, for example, due to technical reasons.

Best practice: In case of doubt, the beneficiary should contact the Agency about work partially accomplished.



The Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment.
Example: The beneficiary argues that a new research methodology made the planned methodology obsolete. The new methodology could have been accepted via an amendment.
- non-implementation linked to financial reasons
Example: The in-depth market study turned out to be more expensive than initially expected and the beneficiary decided to provide less support than planned.

How? The final report must be **prepared** by the **principal beneficiary** and the other **beneficiaries together**, directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

In practice, the following steps will apply:

Step 1 — The principal beneficiary will indicate in the Funding & Tenders Portal if the work foreseen in Annex 1 has been fully accomplished during the action duration.

Step 2 — The beneficiaries will have to sign individual financial statements to confirm proper implementation of their parts of the work and submit them to the principal beneficiary. All of them will be part of the final report.

Step 3 — The principal beneficiary will submit the final report to the Agency.

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the principal beneficiary:

- one **pre-financing payment**;
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20).

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiaries with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The amount of the pre-financing payment will be EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

The Agency will — except if Article 48 applies — make the pre-financing payment to the principal beneficiary within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest.

An amount of EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)], corresponding to 5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the Agency from the pre-financing payment and transferred into the ‘Guarantee Fund’.

*[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: Moreover, the part of the pre-financing payment related to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) ([**insert amount** (insert amount in words)]) is not paid to the principal beneficiary, but kept by the Agency for the JRC.]*

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the lump sum for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the Agency will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report and the lump sum shares for the work declared. Their approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of their content.

The part of the work that has not been delivered or cannot be approved at the payment of the balance will reduce the grant (see Articles 5 and 43).

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the Agency by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)

minus

pre-financing made}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the beneficiary together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the beneficiary
 - is negative, it will be recovered.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiary's consent — against any other amount owed by the beneficiary to the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount indicated, for the beneficiary, in the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annex 2).



1. Payments to be made

ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot actions have only one reporting period (see [Article 20.2](#)), with one pre-financing payment.

There are NO interim payments.

The balance is paid on approval of the final report.

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries

Beneficiary termination

How much? The amount due at beneficiary termination will be calculated by the Agency on the basis of the termination report, the payments already made and the report on the distribution of payments.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 21.3](#), [44.1.1](#), [50.2.2](#) and [50.3.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs, but simply takes into account the lump sum share for the beneficiary's accepted work.

Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may accept also *part* of a lump sum share for work partially accomplished by the beneficiary.

Example for calculating the amount due at beneficiary termination:

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 150 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per beneficiary (Annex 2):

	Lump sum shares for the work	Total EU contribution
Beneficiary A	50 000	50 000
Beneficiary B	70 000	70 000
Beneficiary C	30 000	30 000
Total	150 000	150 000

The participation of beneficiary B is terminated before the end of the project. The reason for terminating its participation is the non-availability anymore of a biosafety level 4 laboratory which has been damaged by a fire during the course of the action and where proof of concept activities for the second virus should have been also performed. Therefore, this beneficiary cannot complete its tasks due to force majeure (see [Article 51 H2020 General MGA](#)). On those grounds, the Agency decides to accept for that beneficiary a part of the lump sum shares proportionate to the tasks carried out by the beneficiary in the action (e.g. 50%). According to the distribution of payment, the principal beneficiary had transferred EUR 50 000 as pre-financing to beneficiary B.

Amount due:

Lump sum shares for partly accepted work = EUR 70 000 – 50% of EUR 70 000 = EUR 35 000

Beneficiary B amount due: EUR 35 000.

Beneficiary balance:

Payments received by beneficiary B (according to the report on the distribution of payment): EUR 50 000.

Beneficiary B balance: EUR 35 000 (amount due) - EUR 50 000 (payment received) = - EUR 15 000

Since the payment received by Beneficiary B exceeds the amount of the lump sum share is entitled for its part of the work it has fully accomplished, there is a recovery of EUR 15 000 (see Article 50).

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount to be paid (or recovered) at payment of the balance (final payment) will be calculated by the Agency on the basis of the financial statements, the final grant amount and the payment already made.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.3, 21.4 and 44.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs, but simply sums up all the lump sum shares of the beneficiaries for the accepted work. Work partially accomplished due to scientific or technical reasons may exceptionally be accepted by the Agency.

 The Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment.

Example: The beneficiary argues that a new research methodology made the planned methodology obsolete. The new methodology could have been accepted via an amendment.

- non-implementation linked to financial reasons

Example: The in-depth market study turned out to be more expensive than initially expected and the beneficiary decided to provide less support than planned.

Step 2 (Limit to the maximum grant amount) and 3 (Calculation of receipts & deduction of profit) do not apply to ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants.

Step 4 (Grant reductions) relates to grant reductions for breaches *other* than non-implementation (because non-implementation is already taken into account for Step 1).

All other steps follow the General MGA.

Example for calculating the payment of the balance:

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B and C).

Beneficiary A is in charge of scaling-up activities, beneficiary B is in charge of health and safety testing activities and beneficiary C is in charge of additional screening of target molecules as well as in charge of establishing a worldwide patent landscape mapping and an IPR strategy accordingly.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 150 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per beneficiary (Annex 2):

	Lump sum shares for the work	Total EU contribution
Beneficiary A	100 000	100 000
Beneficiary B	20 000	20 000
Beneficiary C	30 000	30 000
Total	150 000	150 000

Case 1:

At the end of the action, it appears that beneficiaries A and B fully completed their tasks. However beneficiary C completed only its tasks related to health and safety testing while it failed to establish a worldwide patent landscape mapping. The Agency decides to reduce by 10 % the lump sum share of beneficiary C corresponding to the proportion of the undelivered part of its work. In addition, the consortium breached its obligation to promote the action and its results under Article 38. The Agency decides to reduce the maximum grant amount by 10 % for that reason.

Final grant amount:

Lump sum share for accepted work of beneficiary A + Lump sum share for accepted work of beneficiary B + partial lump sum share for partly accepted work of beneficiary C = 100 000 + 20 000 + (30 000 – 10% of 30 000)

Amount resulting from Step 1: EUR 147 000

Grant reduction for other breaches: 10% grant reduction for the action = maximum grant amount – 10 % (reduction) = 150 000 – 15 000 = EUR 135 000.

Amount resulting from Step 2: EUR 135 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 135 000

Final grant amount: = EUR 135 000.

Case 2:

Work of beneficiary B and work of beneficiary C were not carried out (i.e. representing a total lump sum shares of EUR 50 000) and therefore the Agency reduced the grant accordingly.

Final grant amount:

Lump sum shares for accepted work: EUR 100 000

No grant reductions for other breaches.

Final grant amount: EUR 100 000 (→ beneficiary shares: A = EUR 100 000; B = EUR 0; C = EUR 0)

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 127 500.

Balance: EUR 100 000 (final grant amount) - EUR 127 500 (payments made) = EUR - 27 500 (excess payments of EUR 27 500).

Offsetting against Guarantee Fund release: EUR 27 500 - EUR 7 500 (i.e. 5% of EUR 150 000) = EUR 20 000

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from the consortium: EUR 20 000 (negative balance of EUR 20 000 must be paid back by the principal beneficiary, otherwise the Agency will start a recovery procedure against the beneficiaries that received excess funding).

Recovery from the principal beneficiary:

The principal beneficiary does not reimburse the Agency and sends the report on the distribution of the payments among beneficiaries (distribution: A= EUR 75 000; B= EUR 25 000; C = 27 500).

Recovery from beneficiaries:

Identifying the beneficiaries with excess funding:

A: $\{(EUR\ 100\ 000 / (100\ 000 + 0) * 100\ 000 = EUR\ 100\ 000\ (share\ in\ the\ final\ grant)\} - EUR\ 75\ 000$
(payments received) = EUR 25 000 (no payment in excess)

B: $\{0 / (100\ 000 + 0 + 0) * 100\ 000 = EUR\ 0\ (share\ in\ the\ final\ grant)\} - EUR\ 25\ 000\ (payments\ received) =$
EUR – 25 000 (excess payment of EUR 25 000)

C: $\{0 / (100\ 000 + 0 + 0) * 100\ 000 = EUR\ 0\ (share\ in\ the\ final\ grant)\} - EUR\ 27\ 500\ (payments\ received) =$
EUR – 27 500 (excess payment of EUR 27 500)

Beneficiaries B and C received payment in excess of its EU contribution and will have to reimburse the Agency.

Beneficiary A will have to recover the money owed to it (if any) from the other beneficiaries in the consortium.

Division of the debt:

Relative share of total payments in excess:

Total payments made in excess = 52 500.

B: $25\ 000 / 52\ 500 = 47.62\ %$.

C: $27\ 500 / 52\ 500 = 52.38\ %$.

Total to be recovered: EUR 20 000.

B: $47.62\ % \times 20\ 000 = EUR\ 9\ 524$

C: $52.38\ % \times 20\ 000 = EUR\ 10\ 476$

Audit implementation after payment of the balance — Revised final grant amount

The amount to be recovered (or paid) after the final payment will be calculated by the Agency on the basis of the final grant amount and the revised final grant amount.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.4 and 44.1.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Re-calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply; only Step 2 (Grant reductions) applies.

All other steps follow the General MGA (see for the calculations [Article 21 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Examples for calculating recoveries after payment of the balance:**Case 1:**

Grant with three beneficiaries (A, B, C).

Beneficiary A is in charge of scaling-up activities, beneficiary B is in charge of health and safety testing activities on 50 samples and beneficiary C is in charge of identifying application domains and establishing a market deployment strategy accordingly.

Maximum grant amount: EUR 150 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per beneficiary (Annex 2):

	Lump sum shares for the work	Total EU contribution
Beneficiary A	100 000	100 000
Beneficiary B	40 000	40 000
Beneficiary C	10 000	10 000
Total	150 000	150 000

Final grant amount:

Final grant amount: EUR 100 000 + EUR 40 000 + EUR 10 000 = EUR 150 000.

The Agency carries out a review on the action and finds out that beneficiary B carried out its health and safety testing activities on half of the number of samples foreseen (i.e. 25 out of 50). The Agency therefore reduces the lump sum share of beneficiary B in proportion of the non-implemented work, i.e. 50% of EUR 40 000.

Beneficiary revised final grant amount:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary B: EUR 40 000 (accepted lump sum shares) — EUR 20 000 (rejected lump sum shares) = EUR 20 000

Beneficiary B revised final grant amount: EUR 20 000.

The other beneficiaries final grant amounts (i.e. their final grant amount shares) remain unchanged.

Beneficiary balance:

The share of beneficiary B in the final grant amount = EUR 40 000 / 150 000 x 150 000 = EUR 40 000.

Balance: EUR 20 000 (revised final grant amount) – EUR 40 000 (final grant share) = EUR -20 000 (excess of EUR 20 000).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary B: EUR 20 000 (negative balance of EUR 20 000 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

22.1 Checks, reviews and audits by the Agency and the Commission

22.1.1 Right to carry out checks

The Agency or the Commission will — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — check the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement, including assessing deliverables and reports.

[...]

22.1.2 Right to carry out reviews

The Agency or the Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out reviews on the proper implementation of the action (including assessment of deliverables and reports), compliance with the obligations under the Agreement and continued scientific or technological relevance of the action.

[...]

22.1.3 Right to carry out audits

The Agency or the Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out audits on the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

[...]



1. Checks, reviews and audits

The rules on checks, reviews and audits are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 22 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since (differently from ERC frontier research grants and the standard ERC PoC grants), ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants do not use actual costs, their scope will differ as follows:

- there will be no *financial* checks, reviews, or audits to check costs (since beneficiaries have no obligation to document the costs incurred for the action, even if they remain subject to the accounting rules under the applicable national law).
- checks, reviews, and audits will focus on the implementation of the action (in particular full accomplishment of the work as described in Annex 1 of the GA), or on other aspects of the grant agreement, *such as promoting the action, visibility of EU funding, dissemination and exploitation of results, management of intellectual property, etc.*

Specific cases (audits):

Audits for periodical assessment of simplified cost forms — The Agency or the Commission may also audit the accounting records of beneficiaries to obtain general information about real costs of cost items for which it has fixed unit costs, flat-rate or lump sums (for statistical purposes or to gather data to assess the adequacy of its unit cost, flat rate or lump sum). Such audits have no consequences for the beneficiaries that were audited.

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The Agency will — **after termination of a beneficiary’s participation, at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

Each beneficiary’s financial responsibility in case of recovery is limited to its own debt [*OPTION if Article 14 applies*: (including undue amounts paid by the Agency for lump sum shares declared by its linked third parties)], except for the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see Article 21.4).

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary’s participation

If recovery takes place after termination of a beneficiary’s participation (including the principal beneficiary), the Agency will claim back the undue amount from the beneficiary concerned, by formally notifying it a debit note (see Article 50.2 and 50.3). This note will specify the amount to be recovered, the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by ‘**offsetting**’ it — without the beneficiary’s consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU’s financial interests, the Agency or the Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) [*OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the Agency: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum grant amount indicated, for the linked third party, in the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annex 2) and/or*][*OPTION 2: not applicable;*]

- (c) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [, *Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty*] and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the Agency will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the principal beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund;
- requesting the principal beneficiary to submit a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiaries within 30 days of receiving notification, and
- inviting the principal beneficiary to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the principal beneficiary a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If the principal beneficiary does not repay the Agency by the date in the debit note and has not submitted the report on the distribution of payments: the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount set out in the debit note from the principal beneficiary (see below).

If the principal beneficiary does not repay the Agency by the date in the debit note, but has submitted the report on the distribution of payments: the Agency will:

- (a) identify the beneficiaries for which the amount calculated as follows is negative:

{{{beneficiary's approved lump sum share

[plus

its linked third parties' approved lump sum shares]}},

divided by

the total of all beneficiaries' *[and linked third parties']* lump sum shares for the approved work calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3)},

minus

{pre-financing received by the beneficiary}}.

- (b) formally notify to each beneficiary identified according to point (a) a **debit note** specifying the terms and date for payment. The amount of the debit note is calculated as follows:

{{amount calculated according to point (a) for the beneficiary concerned

divided by

the sum of the amounts calculated according to point (a) for all the beneficiaries identified according to point (a)}

multiplied by

the amount set out in the debit note formally notified to the principal beneficiary}.

[...]

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, for a beneficiary, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5) is lower than its share of the final grant amount, it must repay the difference to the Agency.

The beneficiary's share of the final grant amount is calculated as follows:

{beneficiary's approved lump sum share

[plus

its linked third parties' approved lump sum shares]}

divided by

the total of all beneficiaries' *[and linked third parties']* lump sum shares for the approved work calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3).

[...]



1. Recovery of undue amounts

The rules on recovery of undue amounts are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 44 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

47.1 Conditions

The Agency may — at any moment — suspend the payment deadline (see Article 21.2 to 21.4) if a request for payment (see Article 20) cannot be approved because:

- (d) it does not comply with the provisions of the Agreement (see Article 20);
- (e) the final report has not been submitted or is not complete or additional information is needed, or
- (f) there is doubt about amounts requested for payment (including the need for a grant reduction) and additional checks, reviews, audits or investigations are necessary.

47.2 Procedure

The Agency will formally notify the principal beneficiary of the suspension and the reasons why.

The suspension will **take effect** the day notification is sent by the Agency (see Article 52).

If the conditions for suspending the payment deadline are no longer met, the suspension will be **lifted** — and the remaining period will resume.

If the suspension exceeds two months, the principal beneficiary may request the Agency if the suspension will continue.

If the payment deadline has been suspended due to the non-compliance of the final report (see Article 20) and the revised report or statement is not submitted or was submitted but is also rejected, the Agency may also terminate the Agreement or the participation of the beneficiary (see Article 50.3.1(I)).



1. Suspension of the payment deadline (EU)

The rules on the suspension of the payment deadline are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 47 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Since there are no cost eligibility conditions about which there could be doubts, the suspension ground for ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants targets more generally any kinds of doubts about the amounts requested for payment (including doubts linked to work implementation).

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

[...]

50.1.2 Effects

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit the final report (see Article 20.4).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), the lump sum will not be paid.

The Agency will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21.4) on the basis of the report submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only part of the work completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see Article 43).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

[...]

50.2.2 Effects

The principal beneficiary must — within 30 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned and
- (ii) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a '**termination report**' from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the final report (see Article 20.4).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the Agency (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 55).

The Agency will — on the basis of the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — **calculate** the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the payments received by the beneficiary exceed this amount.

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum share for the approved part of the work

If the beneficiary's part of the work is approved, the grant amount for the beneficiary corresponds to its lump sum share (see Annex 2) for the approved work declared in the termination report.

Only the part of the work completed by the beneficiary before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

If the grant is reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than non-implementation of the action; see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

The amount due will be the lower of the two amounts.

[...]

50.3 Termination of the Agreement or of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the Agency

[...]

50.3.3 Effects

(a) for termination of the Agreement:

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit the final report (see Article 20.4).

If the Agreement is terminated for breach of the obligation to submit the report (see Articles 20.8 and 50.3.1(l)), the principal beneficiary may not submit any report after termination. If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), the lump sum will not be paid.

The Agency will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21.4) on the basis of the report submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only part of the work completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

This does not affect the Agency's right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative sanctions (Article 45).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to termination by the Agency (see Article 46).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

(a) for **termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries**:

The principal beneficiary must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned;
- (ii) a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks and the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annexes 1 and 2) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination is notified after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be submitted unless the beneficiary concerned is the principal beneficiary. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new principal beneficiary, and
- (iii) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a **termination report** from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the final report Article 20.4).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the Agency (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 56).

The Agency will — on the basis of the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — **calculate** the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the pre-financing payment received by the beneficiary exceeds this amount.

The **amount which is due** is calculated in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum share for the approved part of the work

If the beneficiary's part of the work is approved, the grant amount for the beneficiary corresponds to its lump sum share (see Annex 2) for the approved work declared in the termination report.

Only the part of the work completed by the beneficiary before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

If the grant is reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than non-implementation of the action; see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

The amount due will be the lower of the two amounts.

[...]



1. GA termination (consortium or EU)

The rules on GA termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

2. Beneficiary termination (consortium or EU)

The rules on beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 56 — ACCESSION TO THE AGREEMENT

56.1 Accession of the beneficiaries mentioned in the Preamble

The other beneficiaries must accede to the Agreement by signing the Accession Form (see Annex 3) in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52), within 30 days after its entry into force (see Article 58) *[OPTION if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested: and for beneficiaries for which the Agency has requested joint and several liability of a linked third party, by also submitting — at accession — a declaration on joint and several liability (see Annex 3a) signed by the third party].*

[...]

56.2 Addition of new beneficiaries

In justified cases, the beneficiaries may request the addition of a new beneficiary.

For this purpose, the principal beneficiary must submit a request for amendment in accordance with Article 55. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new beneficiary in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

New beneficiaries must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of their accession specified in the Accession Form (see Annex 3).

If a new beneficiary is added to a mono-beneficiary grant, the grant becomes a multi-beneficiary grant and the provisions will be applied accordingly.

Article 56.3 Transfer to a new beneficiary

In justified cases, the beneficiary of a mono-beneficiary grant may request the transfer of the action to a new beneficiary, provided that this would not call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.


For this purpose, the beneficiary must submit a request for amendment in accordance with Article 55. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new beneficiary in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

The new beneficiary must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreement with effect from the date of their accession specified in the Accession Form (see Annex 3).



1. Transfer of the GA

In justified cases, ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot mono-beneficiary grants can be **transferred** to a new beneficiary.

 **Transfer** — The rights and obligations under the GA are transferred from the beneficiary to a new beneficiary — without passing via termination (*Article 50*) or addition of a new beneficiary (*Article 56.2*).

NO transfer before the amendment has been accepted by the Agency. Transfers without a formal amendment are void and may result in GA termination. The former beneficiary remains fully responsible until the Agency has approved the amendment.

The transfer may NOT entail changes to the GA which would call into question the decision to award the ERC PoC Lump Sum Pilot grant.

Example: The Agency will not accept the transfer to a host institution established in a third country.

2. Procedure


The former beneficiary must submit a **request for amendment** to the Agency.

For the amendment, the rules of the General MGA apply (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The **transfer date** will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. The transfer date must be the same date as the accession date of the new beneficiary. Retroactive dates are allowed, but only in exceptional cases and with a specific justification (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The amendment request must include the Accession Form (i.e. the statement to take over all rights and obligations under the GA) of the new beneficiary (signed directly in the Funding & Tenders Portal) and the PI's justification letter.

 For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

3. Effects

The former beneficiary must submit — within 60 days from the transfer date or the date of signature of the amendment, whichever is the latest — a transfer report, with information on:

- the progress of the work and
- the financial statement (for the open period until transfer).

This report is for information purposes only. The Agency will use it to decide on how to split the lump sum share and instruct the former beneficiary to **transfer the remaining pre-financing** to the new beneficiary.

The financial statement for the open reporting period until transfer must also be uploaded later on with the final report (by the new beneficiary, together with its own financial statement).

The lump sum share will be split between the former beneficiary and the new beneficiary — on the basis of the part performed by the former beneficiary and the remaining part to be given to the new beneficiary. If the pre-financing received by the former beneficiary (see [Article 21](#)) exceeds the amount of its accepted lump sum share, the Agency will request the former beneficiary to transfer the difference to the new beneficiary.

The former and the new beneficiary must agree on arrangements, where necessary, concerning the transfer of the equipment, materials and/or services purchased for the principal investigator.

III. Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) MGAs

III.1 Background information and approach

The Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) Model Grant Agreements are used for grants for MSCA only, i.e.:

- MSCA-ITN
- MSCA-IF
- MSCA-RISE
- MSCA-COFUND and
- MSCA-SNLS.

The MSCA MGAs follow the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 MSCA-ITN MGA deviates from the General MGA — Multi as follows:

- Article 4.2 (MSCA-ITN specific conditions for budget transfers)
- Article 5.2 (specific reimbursement rate and form of costs)
- Article 5.3 (no Step 3 (reduction due to the no-profit rule))
- Article 6 (specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Article 8 (specific resources to implement the action)
- Articles 9-16, 41.4 and 41.5 (not applicable)
- Article 18.1.2 (record keeping only for number of units declared and amounts paid to researchers)
- Article 19 (MSCA-ITN specific deliverables)
- Articles 20.4 (no certificate)
- Article 20.6 (currency for financial statement)
- Article 21.7 (option for periodic instalments for distribution of payments by coordinator)
- Article 25.5 and 31.6 (access rights for researchers)
- Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 (reference to MSCA funding)
- Article 32 (specific obligations for recruitment and working conditions)
- Article 38.1.1 (reference to ‘mainstream media coverage’)
- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action (specific)
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement (specific)
- Annexes 5 and 6 Not applicable

The H2020 MSCA-IF MGA deviates from the General MGA — Mono as follows:

- Article 3 (notified starting date)
- Articles 4.2, 9-16, 41.4 and 41.5 (not applicable)
- Article 5.2 (specific reimbursement rate and form of costs)
- Article 5.3 (no Step 3 (reduction due to the no-profit rule))
- Article 6 (specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Article 8 (specific resources to implement the action)
- Article 18.1.2 (record keeping only for number of units declared and amounts paid to researchers)
- Articles 20.3 and 20.4 (options for reporting in case of only one RP; no certificate)
- Article 20.6 (currency for financial statement)
- Article 25.5 and 31.6 (access rights for the researcher)
- Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 (reference to MSCA funding)
- Article 32 (specific obligations for recruitment and working conditions)
- Article 38.1.1 (reference to 'mainstream media coverage')
- Article 49.1 (MSCA-IF specific conditions for suspension of the action implementation)
- Article 50.3 (MSCA-IF specific conditions for termination)
- Article 55 (MSCA-IF specific conditions for amendments)
- Article 56a (MSCA-IF portability)
- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action (specific)
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement (specific)
- Annexes 5 and 6 Not applicable

The H2020 MSCA-RISE MGA deviates from the General MGA — Multi as follows:

- Article 4.2 (specific conditions for budget transfer)
- Article 5.2 (specific reimbursement rate and form of costs)
- Article 5.3 (no 'Step 3 (reduction due to the no-profit rule)')
- Article 6 (specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Article 8 (specific resources to implement the action)
- Articles 9 - 16, 41.4 and 41.5 (not applicable)
- Article 18.1.2 (record keeping only for number of units declared)
- Article 19 (MSCA-RISE specific deliverables)
- Articles 20.4 (no certificate)
- Article 20.6 (currency for financial statement)
- Article 25.5 and 31.6 (access rights for staff members)
- Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 (reference to MSCA funding)
- Article 32 (MSCA specific obligations for recruitment and working conditions)
- Article 38.1.1 (reference to 'mainstream media coverage')
- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action (specific)
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement (specific)
- Annexes 5 and 6 Not applicable

The H2020 MSCA-COFUND MGA deviates from the General MGA — Mono as follows:

- Article 4.2 (not applicable)
- Article 5.2 (specific reimbursement rate and form of costs)
- Article 5.3 (no ‘Step 3 (reduction due to the no-profit rule)’))
- Article 6 (specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Article 8 (specific resources to implement the action)
- Articles 9-14, 16, 32, 41.4 and 41.5 (not applicable)
- Article 15 (provisions for support to or implementation of a programme)
- Article 18.1.2 (record keeping only for number of units declared and amounts paid to researchers)
- Article 19 (MSCA-COFUND specific deliverables)
- Article 20.4 (no certificate)
- Article 20.6 (currency for financial statement)
- Article 25.5 (access rights for the researcher)
- Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 (reference to MSCA funding)
- Article 38.1.1 (reference to ‘mainstream media coverage’)

- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action (specific)
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement (specific)

The H2020 MSCA-SNLS MGA deviates from the General MGA — Mono as follows:

- Article 1 (linked grant)
- Article 4 (estimated lump sum breakdown, lump sum share transfers)
- Article 5 (maximum grant amount and form of grant)
- Article 6 (not applicable)
- Articles 9-16 (not applicable)
- Article 18 (special provisions for record-keeping)
- Articles 20, 21 (specific provisions for reporting and payments)
- Articles 23a-34 (not applicable)
- Article 37 (not applicable)
- Article 42 (not applicable)
- Article 44 (specific provisions for calculation of recoveries)
- Article 56 (not applicable)
- Article 56a (portability)

- Annex 2 Estimated lump sum breakdown
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement
- Annexes 5 and 6 Not applicable

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Articles 9-14, Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for MSCA are added:

- Article 2 (MSCA-ITN; MSCA-IF; MSCA-RISE; MSCA-COFUND; MSCA-SNLS)
- Article 4.1 (MSCA-ITN budget categories; MSCA-IF budget categories; MSCA-RISE budget categories; MSCA-COFUND budget categories; MSCA-SNLS estimated lump sum breakdown and lump sum share transfers)
- Article 8 (MSCA-ITN rules on third party involvement; MSCA-IF rules on third party involvement; MSCA-RISE rules on third party involvement; MSCA-COFUND rules on third party involvement; MSCA-SNLS rules on third party involvement)

III.2 H2020 MSCA-ITN MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. MSCA-ITN actions

What? MSCA-ITN funds:

- joint research training and/or doctoral programmes for early-stage researchers (implemented by networks of beneficiaries from the academic and the non-academic sector from different countries).

MSCA-ITN takes the form of European Training Networks (ETN), European Industrial Doctorates (EID) or European Joint Doctorates (EJD).

MSCA-ITN are multi-beneficiary actions.

They are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions' (e.g. [H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015](#)).

i For more information on MSCA-ITN, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets*.

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the H2020 Work Programme (section 3 MSCA) and the call and topics pages of the call*.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

The estimated budget breakdown indicated in Annex 2 may be adjusted by transfers of amounts between beneficiaries.

This does not require an amendment according to Article 55, if the action is implemented as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for all actions except EID with only two beneficiaries: However, no more than 40% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1) may be allocated to beneficiaries located in the same country or to any one international European interest organisation or international organisation.]




1. Budget categories

The MSCA-ITN MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Budget categories of the MSCA-ITN MGA:

- costs for recruited researchers
- institutional costs.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

2. Budget transfers

The beneficiaries may redistribute person-months between *them* (as compared with the original planning set out in Annex 2). This redistribution can be done without requesting an amendment (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)) — provided that it does not imply a substantial change to the action as described in Annex 1.

 The maximum grant amount (see Article 5) can however **NOT** be increased.

Best practice: The coordinator should **inform** the Agency in advance of any redistribution of person-months, in order to establish if an amendment is needed. Changes that only concern the consortium-internal arrangements on the redistribution of the unit costs for management and indirect costs do NOT need to be notified to the Agency.

Example: Beneficiary X was unable to implement six person-months, so the consortium informs REA of a redistribution of these months to beneficiary Y. REA agreed to this, but since beneficiary Y is located in a country where a higher country coefficient correction applies than in the country of beneficiary X, the budget transfer can only give 4.5 months to beneficiary Y.

A redistribution of person-months will also have an impact on the institutional unit costs (since they are also paid according to the person-months declared for recruited researchers).

Redistributions are subject to the following:

- no impact on the action as described in Annex 1
- If the transfer of person-months implies a substantial change of Annex 1, a formal amendment (see *Article 55*) is required.
- correct application of the country correction coefficient
- the minimum and maximum recruitment durations per researcher
- for all actions except for EID with only two beneficiaries: a maximum of 40% of the budget may be allocated to beneficiaries established in the same country (or to the same international European interest organisation or international organisation).

The 40% is determined on the basis of the maximum grant amount.

Example: *In an action with a maximum grant amount of EUR 3 000 000, beneficiaries from one country may not receive more than EUR 1 200 000 (= 40%). If the final grant amount is lower (e.g. because beneficiaries from other countries implement less person-months than expected) the original 40% ceiling of EUR 1 200 000 will be maintained (beneficiaries will not be penalised for the non-execution of person-months by other beneficiaries).*

Transfers between *budget categories* are formally NOT possible, because all budget categories are linked to the *same* person-months declared for the recruited researchers (and it is therefore technically not possible to move budgeted amounts from one budget category to another).



Budget flexibility — There is however some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- Redistributions of institutional unit costs within the consortium are allowed, but should be done via an internal agreement.
- Institutional unit costs should be shared with entities with a capital or legal link which incurred costs for research training activities AND with partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- Research, training and networking unit costs should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to increase the salary of a researcher or to organise additional training activities).
- Unit costs for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to increase the salary of a researcher).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The '**maximum grant amount**' is EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses **100%** of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following form ('**form of costs**'):

- (a) for **costs for recruited researchers** (living, mobility and family allowances): on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2 ('**unit costs**') and
- (b) for **institutional costs** (research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs): on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2 (**unit costs**).



1. Maximum grant amount

The maximum grant amount set out in this Article can NOT be exceeded.

For MSCA-ITN, the maximum grant amount is limited to a maximum unit cost equivalent of 540 person-months (and for EID with only two beneficiaries: 180 person-months).

2. Reimbursement rate

How much? For MSCA-ITN, the reimbursement rate is set at **100%** (see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#)).

3. Cost forms

The MSCA MGAs do not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but the **unit costs** set out in [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)⁷⁶.

For MSCA-ITN, these are unit costs for:

- costs for recruited researchers: living allowance, mobility allowance and family allowance (if applicable)

⁷⁶ Commission Decision C(2017)6855 amending Decision C(2013) 8194 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf

- institutional costs: research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs.

These are fixed amounts that must be multiplied by the number of months the recruited researchers spent on research training activities (person-months); they can NOT be changed.

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#).

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21.4) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to eligible costs (unit costs; see Article 6) declared by the beneficiaries and approved by the Agency (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1, it will be limited to the latter.

5.3.3 Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 3.

[...]



1. Final grant amount

For MSCA, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since MSCA grants use only unit costs, there is NO reduction due to the no-profit rule in MSCA MGAs (Step 3 of Article 5.3 of the General MGA).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 General conditions for costs to be eligible

Unit costs are eligible ('eligible costs') if:

(a) they are calculated as follows:

{ amounts per unit set out in Annex 2
multiplied by
the number of actual units }.

(b) the number of actual units complies with the following:

- the units must be actually used or produced in the period set out in Article 3;
- the units must be necessary for implementing the action or produced by it, and
- the number of units must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular supported by records and documentation (see Article 18).



1. Eligible costs

The grant can **only reimburse eligible costs** (i.e. costs that comply with the general and specific conditions set out in this Article) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**').

⚠️ ONLY eligible costs may be entered into the estimated budget for the action (see Article 4) or declared in the financial statements (see Article 20).

Record-keeping & burden of proof — The **burden of proof** for eligibility is on the beneficiaries. They must keep sufficient supporting documents (see Article 18) to show that the person-months they declare are eligible.

Compliance with eligibility rules may be subject to a **check or audit** by the Commission/Agency. Any ineligible costs found will be **rejected** (see Article 42).

Article 6.1 refers to general eligibility conditions, applicable per cost form (for MSCA: only unit costs; see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category (see [Article 4](#)).

2. General conditions for unit costs to be eligible

The general conditions for eligibility of unit costs are the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 6.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible, if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following two budget categories:



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The MSCA MGAs have their **own budget categories**, with their own **types of costs, eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

- types of costs covered by the budget category
- cost form under which the costs must be declared (for MSCA: unit costs)
- eligibility conditions
- how the costs must be calculated.

A. Costs for recruited researchers (A.1 Living allowance, A.2 Mobility allowance and A.3 Family allowance) are eligible, if:

- (a) the number of units declared:
- (i) corresponds to the actual number of months spent by the recruited researchers on the research training activities and
 - (ii) does not exceed 36 months (per researcher)[;][, and]
 - (iii) *[OPTION for EID: are, for each researcher, spent to at least 50% with one or more beneficiaries or partner organisations from the non-academic sector.]*
 - (iv) *[OPTION for EID: if spent on inter-sectorial mobility between academic and non-academic beneficiaries (i.e. not partner organisations): are international (i.e. spent between beneficiaries established in different countries).]*
 - (v) *[OPTION for EJD: are, for at least two-thirds of the recruited researchers, spent in a joint, multiple or double degree programme with two or more beneficiaries or partner organisations established in EU Member States or associated countries.]*
- (b) the recruited researchers comply with the following conditions:
- (i) be recruited by the beneficiary under an **employment contract** (or other direct contract with equivalent benefits, including social security coverage) or — if not otherwise possible under national law — under a fixed-amount-fellowship agreement with minimum social security coverage;
 - (ii) be employed for at least 3 months;
 - (iii) be employed full-time, unless the Agency has approved a part-time employment for personal or family reasons;
 - (iv) be working exclusively for the action;
 - (v) not have resided in the country of the recruiting beneficiary for more than 12 months in the 3 years immediately before the recruitment date (and not have carried out their main activity (work, studies, etc.) in that country) – unless as part of a procedure for obtaining refugee status under the Geneva Convention⁶.
- For beneficiaries that are international European interest organisations or international organisations: not have spent with the beneficiary more than 12 months in the 3 years immediately before the recruitment date.
- (vi) be — at the date of recruitment — an ‘**early stage researcher**’ (i.e. in the first four years of his/her research career and not have a doctoral degree);
 - (vii) *[OPTION for 1 EJD: be enrolled in a doctoral programme leading to the award of a joint, multiple or double degree in at least one EU Member State or associated country [;][.]]*
 - (viii) *[OPTION for 2 EID: be enrolled in a doctoral programme.]*
- (c) the costs have been fully incurred for the benefit of the recruited researchers.

This latter condition is met if:

{{**total remuneration costs** (salaries, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration under the employment contract or other direct contract) or **total fixed-amount fellowship costs** for the researcher during the action

plus

total mobility costs (household, relocation and travel expenses and, if they must be paid under national law, taxes, duties and social security contributions) for the researcher during the action}

plus

total family costs for the researcher during the action}

divided by

the number of actual units}

is equal to or higher than the following amount:

{{amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as living allowance

plus

amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as mobility allowance}

plus

if it is due, amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as family allowance}.

The family allowance is due if the researcher has a family at the time of recruitment.

‘Family’ means persons linked to the researcher by marriage (or a relationship with equivalent status to a marriage recognised by the legislation of the country where this relationship was formalised) or dependent children who are actually being maintained by the researcher.

⁶ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.



1. Researcher costs (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for the recruited researchers, by providing for:

- a monthly living allowance (A.1) — to cover the employment or fixed-amount fellowship with social security coverage (i.e. gross amount, including compulsory deductions under national law, *such as employer/employee social security contributions and direct taxes*)
- a monthly mobility allowance (A.2) — to cover costs related to their mobility (*e.g. relating to travel and accommodation*)

This allowance covers *private* costs of the researchers, not professional costs (which are covered by budget category B.1 ‘research, training and networking costs’)

AND

- a monthly family allowance (A.3) — for researchers with family, to reduce family-related obstacles to researcher mobility.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is NO flexibility as regards the *use* of the researcher unit costs (i.e. living, mobility and family allowances). They must be fully used for each researcher; they can NOT be used to pay other researchers or other types of costs (*see Article 6.2.A(c)*).

What not? Management and indirect costs of the beneficiaries are not covered under this budget category; they are covered under category B ‘institutional costs’ ([Article 6.2.B](#)).

Example: *Costs for student registration, access to student services (library, computing, etc.), teaching, supervision, examination and graduation can only be covered by the institutional unit costs.*

1.2 The costs must be **declared** as the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855⁷⁷](#) and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:


- for the living allowance: **EUR 3 270** per researcher per month (person-month)

To ensure equal treatment and purchasing power parity for all researchers, the amount for the living allowance is adapted per country, by applying a **country-specific correction coefficient**.

The list of coefficients is published in the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call.

On the basis of this list, the beneficiaries must determine the coefficient for each researcher when s/he is recruited — according to the country of recruitment. This coefficient normally does NOT change during the action (unless the researcher is formally employed — not only seconded to — by another beneficiary in another country during the action).

- for the mobility allowance: **EUR 600** per person-month
- for the family allowance: **EUR 500** per person-month.

 For the latest information on the amounts and the country correction coefficients, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for MSCA grants is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiaries must only indicate the number of implemented person-months (for researchers recruited under the action) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

1.3 The costs (in practice for MSCA: the person-months) must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. the declared number of person-months must be linked to the implementation of the action, be incurred during the action duration, be identifiable and verifiable, etc.; see [Article 6.1](#))

Costs NOT incurred during the periods of research training activities (*e.g. during maternity/parental leave*) are ineligible.

- be incurred for researchers that:
 - are — at the date of recruitment — **early-stage researchers** (i.e. NOT have a doctoral degree AND be in the first 4 years (full time equivalent research experience) of their research career)

'**Date of recruitment**' means the first day of the employment of the researcher for the purposes of the action (i.e. the starting date indicated in the employment contract/equivalent direct contract; see [Article 32](#)). The Agency may however exceptionally accept a different date, if it is linked to the recruitment and justified (*e.g. by differences in the employment procedure in the country of recruitment*).

Example: *The date of the formal letter offering the position under the action or the date of the signature of the employment contract can also be accepted by the Agency as recruitment date.*

⁷⁷ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf

Years of '**research career**' are counted from the date on which the researcher obtained a degree entitling him/her to embark on a doctoral programme (either in the country in which it was obtained or in which s/he is recruited) — even if the doctorate was never started or envisaged.

- are recruited under an **employment contract/equivalent direct contract** (i.e. other contract with equivalent benefits and social security coverage), including:
 - sickness, parental, unemployment and invalidity benefits
 - pension rights and
 - benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases.

If national law prevents them from recruiting researchers under an employment contract/equivalent direct contract, beneficiaries may — exceptionally and subject to the Agency's prior agreement — offer a **fixed-amount fellowship** with minimum social security coverage, including:

- sickness, parental and invalidity benefits and
- benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases.

In this case, the living allowance will be reduced by 50%.

The social security coverage must be guaranteed for the entire recruitment of the researcher (i.e. also during secondments, if any).

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — Beneficiaries must always recruit researchers directly. Recruitments via other means (*e.g. temporary work agency*) are NOT allowed.


- as a rule, be employed **full-time**

Part-time employment can be accepted:

- subject to prior approval by the Agency
- on personal or family grounds only (i.e. not for professional reasons) and
- if limited to a maximum of 50% of the time spent under the action.

In the case of part-time employment, costs are reported as a pro-rata of the full-time unit cost.

Example: For one month of 50% part-time employment, 0.5 units should be reported.

 While it is not possible to request *part-time* employment for **professional reasons**, beneficiaries may — in exceptional cases — request an *interruption* of the employment. If the Agency agrees (beforehand and in writing), there is no need for an amendment or GA suspension; it is sufficient NOT to declare the months not worked.

- **work exclusively** on the research training activities

Work outside the action is not allowed. Complementary skills training (*e.g. teaching activity as part of the research training*) is possible, but must NOT jeopardise the research training activities (and must be set out in Annex 1 of the GA).

- are supported for **at least 3 months**

Person-months linked to shorter contracts will not be eligible.

However, this requirement is linked to the *duration of the contract* and not to the actual duration of the training. If the contract is set out for a longer duration, but

the training is interrupted within the first three months for reasons not linked to the beneficiary (*e.g. because the researcher resigns*), the costs may still be claimed.

- comply — at the date of recruitment — with the **mobility rule**, i.e. not have resided or carried out their main activity (*work, studies, etc.*) in the country of the recruiting beneficiary for more than 12 months in the 3 years immediately before the recruitment date (— unless the beneficiary is an 'international European interest organisation'⁷⁸ or international organisation, for whom the mobility rule is limited to time spent *with* them)

The mobility rule applies only to the **first recruitment** under the action. For instance, it does not apply to beneficiaries or partner organisations to which the researcher is sent or seconded afterwards.

Short stays (such as holidays), compulsory national services (such as mandatory military service) and procedures for obtaining refugee status under the [Geneva Convention](#)⁷⁹ are NOT counted.

- for the doctoral programmes (EJD and EID): are enrolled in a **doctoral programme**

For EJD:

- the PhD-programme must lead to the award of:
 - a joint degree (i.e. a single diploma issued by at least two higher education institutions offering an integrated programme and recognised officially in the countries in which the degree-awarding institutions are located) or
 - a double or multiple degree (i.e. two or more separate national diplomas issued by two or more higher education institutions and recognised officially in the countries in which the degree-awarding institutions are located).

in at least one EU Member State or H2020 associated country

and

- at least two-thirds of the recruited researchers must be enrolled in a joint, double or multiple degree programme within Europe, i.e. between two or more beneficiaries or partner organisations established in EU Member States or Horizon 2020 associated countries.

The degree must not necessarily be awarded within the action duration. However, if requested by the Agency, the beneficiary must be able to provide proof that the researcher actually received (or failed the examination for) the doctoral degree.

- have been **fully incurred for** the benefit of the **researchers** (i.e. fully paid to the individuals for whom they are claimed)

The beneficiary's costs (gross amount) for researcher remuneration, mobility and family allowances must be at least as high as the living, mobility and family allowances set out in Annex 2. Lower costs are considered as underpayment.

Social security contributions and taxation (under national law) are to be counted as part of the beneficiary's costs for the recruited researchers (gross amount).

⁷⁸ For the definition, see Article 2.1(12) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No [1290/2013](#): 'international European interest organisation' means an international organisation, the majority of whose members are Member States or associated countries, and whose principal objective is to promote scientific and technological cooperation in Europe.

⁷⁹ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.

MSCA-ITN researchers must NOT be requested to pay tuition fees for their research training and/or PhD degree programme (neither from their own funds, nor from the researcher unit cost). The costs that are usually covered by such tuition fees (e.g. *student registration, access to student services (library, computing, etc.), teaching, supervision, examination and graduation*) can be reimbursed under category B 'institutional costs'.

The mobility and family allowances are due to the researchers for each month worked.

Mobility and family allowances can be paid to the researcher in various ways, *for example as:*

- *part of his/her salary*
- *flight tickets for private travels, directly purchased or reimbursed by the beneficiary (work-related travels must be paid under category B.1 'research, training and networking costs')*
- *rental costs, directly paid or reimbursed by the beneficiary.*

Any form is acceptable, provided that:


- both sides agree
- it is allowed under national law

AND

- there is no underpayment.

Example: *Researcher X decides to rent an apartment of 750 EUR/month. If the beneficiary is willing to sign the rental agreement on behalf of the researcher and the researcher agrees with this form of payment, the beneficiary will use 750 EUR of the total amount of 1 100 EUR (mobility & family allowance) to pay the rent. The remaining 350 EUR must be paid as part of the salary (or in another acceptable form).*

Example: *Researcher Y intends to travel to his/her home country for the summer holidays. S/he requests the beneficiary to directly purchase the tickets for him/her, and the beneficiary agrees to do so. The tickets cost 450 EUR and the beneficiary pays the remaining 150 EUR of the mobility allowance as part of the salary (or in another acceptable form).*

 Usually, payment as part of the salary is the **simplest** way to pay these allowances.

Amounts to be paid in **other currencies** must be converted into euro as follows:

- if a daily rate is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question: amounts must be converted into euro at the average of the daily exchange rates published over the corresponding reporting period, as reported on the [ECB website](#)⁸⁰
- if no daily rate is published: amounts must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates over the reporting period, using the [currency converter](#) on the Commission's website⁸¹.

For ease of implementation, monthly allowances for the recruited researchers can be calculated using a **conservative exchange rate**, if a corrective payment is then made (to the researchers) immediately after the end of the reporting period. This must be clearly explained in the employment contract/equivalent direct contract or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement.

Example: *Researcher X is recruited in a country outside the euro-zone. The average exchange rate is normally EUR 0.9 but this fluctuates from time to time. In order to ensure that the researcher receives a regular monthly income and to avoid exchange rate losses, the beneficiary chooses to apply a conservative exchange rate of EUR 0.87 and to make a*

⁸⁰ Available at <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/index.en.html>.

⁸¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/budget/contracts_grants/info_contracts/inforeuro/inforeuro_en.cfm.

payment to correct any underpayment at the end of the reporting period. The researcher is fully informed of this procedure at the time of recruitment.

The Agency will check if the allowances are used fully for the benefit of the researchers. Any underpayments, if not corrected, may lead to a rejection of costs (for that researcher).

- for the family allowance: be incurred for researchers who have — at the date of the recruitment — a family, i.e.:
 - persons linked to them by:
 - marriage or
 - a relationship with equivalent status to marriage (under the law of the country or region in which this relationship was formalised)
 - or
 - dependent children who are actually being maintained by the researcher.


1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:


- for the living allowance:

amount per unit (see Annex 2) **x** number of months actually spent by the researchers on the research training activities ('number of implemented person-months')
- for the mobility allowance:

amount per unit (see Annex 2) **x** number of implemented person-months
- for the family allowance:

amount per unit (see Annex 2) **x** number of implemented person-months

 Beneficiaries may declare a **maximum of 36 person-months** per researcher. Person-months exceeding this number will be rejected by the Agency.

 For EID: at least 50% of the number of person-months declared for each researcher must have been spent in the non-academic sector.

This time does not have to be consecutive and may be split in time and between different beneficiaries/partner organisations/entities with a capital or legal link. The 50% must be reached by the end of the action (not before, e.g. by the end of a specific reporting period).

If the researcher unexpectedly leaves the action earlier (for reasons not linked to the beneficiary, e.g. because s/he resigns), the Agency may decide to nevertheless accept the costs — despite the 50% rule.

Inter-sectoral mobility between beneficiaries must be international (i.e. spent between beneficiaries established in different countries).

For **partial months** of recruitment, a pro-rata unit cost of 1/30 will be reimbursed for each day (for ease of implementation, each month is considered to have 30 days).

Example: If the researcher spent 20 months and 15 days on the action, the calculation for the 15 days is: $15/30 = 0.5$. In this case, insert 20.5 as number of person-months.

Periods in which a researcher was **absent** for more than 30 consecutive days (for reasons other than normal annual leave) can NOT be charged to the action (and must be removed from the financial statement, by changing the researcher declaration).

Examples:

1. If the researcher is absent for 30 consecutive days (or less), the costs incurred during this period can be charged to the action.

2. If the researcher is absent for 31 consecutive days (or more), no costs can be charged for this period (from the first day of the absence).

B. Institutional costs (B.1 Research, training and networking costs and B.2 Management and indirect costs) are eligible if the costs for the recruited researchers (living allowance, mobility allowance, family allowance; see above) are eligible.



1. Institutional costs (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category covers:

- research, training and networking costs (B.1) — for:
 - costs for training and networking activities that contribute directly to the researchers' career development (*e.g. participation in conferences, trips related to work on the action, training, language courses, seminars, lab material, books, library records, publication costs*)
 - costs for research expenses
 - costs for visa-related fees (even if incurred before the recruitment date) and travel expenses
 - additional costs arising from each secondment of 6 months or less which require mobility from the place of residence (*e.g. travel and accommodation costs*)

***Example:** A researcher does doctoral research at a beneficiary in Italy for 36 months. During this time, she goes on a 4-month secondment to Poland. The beneficiary must cover her travel and accommodation costs in Poland for this 4-month period with the research, training and networking costs category.*
- management and indirect⁸² costs (B.2) — for the beneficiary's additional costs in connection with the action.

⚠ Project management — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- Redistributions of institutional unit costs within the consortium are allowed, but should be done via an internal agreement.
- Institutional unit costs should also be shared with entities with a capital or legal link which incurred costs for research training activities AND with partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- Research, training and networking unit costs should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of a researcher or to organise additional training activities, to cover travel and accommodation costs for secondments of more than 6 months in line with the consortium internal arrangements*).
- Unit costs for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of a researcher*).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)⁸³ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

⁸² For the definition, see [Article 6.2 of the H2020 General MGA](#): 'indirect costs' are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

This is currently:

- for research, training and networking costs: **EUR 1 800** per researcher per month (person-month)
- for management and indirect costs: **EUR 1 200** per person-month (for beneficiaries that already receive an EU/Euratom operating grant⁸⁴ in the same period: only management costs, i.e. EUR 600 — unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action; see [Article 6.2.E H2020 General MGA](#)).

In practice, the declaration of institutional costs for MSCA grants is completely automatized because the IT system automatically calculates them on the basis of the number of person-months declared under budget category A 'costs for recruited researchers'.


1.3 The **eligibility** of the institutional costs is directly linked to (and conditional on) the eligibility of the costs for the recruited researchers.

Institutional costs (research, training and networking costs, management and indirect costs) are eligible only if ALL the eligibility conditions for the recruited researchers are met. Person-months which are not eligible for budget category A 'costs for recruited researchers' are also not eligible for budget category B 'institutional costs'.

Institutional costs can NOT be claimed separately (*e.g. management and indirect costs cannot be claimed without living allowance, etc.*).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) like the costs for recruited researchers:

- for the research, training and networking costs:
amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) **x** number of implemented person-months (declared for the researcher unit cost)
- for the management and indirect costs:
amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) **x** number of implemented person-months (declared for the researcher unit cost)

 **Budget flexibility** — Even if the consortium redistributes parts of the institutional unit costs, the reporting is always based on the implemented person-months.

⁸³ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit_costs_msca_en.pdf.

⁸⁴ For the definition, see *Article 121(1)(b) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012*: 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting EU policy.

6.3 Ineligible costs

‘Ineligible costs’ are:

- (a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (in Article 6.1), and in particular costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation (see Article 49);
- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget), in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.
- (c) *[OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: insert name of excluded cost category]*.

6.4 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- call upon **entities with a capital or legal link** to the beneficiaries⁷, to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. hosting and training of researchers);
- call upon **partner organisations** to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. hosting and training researchers during secondments).

In this case, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.

⁷ 'Entities with a capital or legal link' are entities that have a link with the beneficiary, in particular, a legal or capital link, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For MSCA actions, the rules from the General MGA for third party involvement do NOT apply.

Instead, MSCA-ITN allows the beneficiaries to use:

- entities with a capital or legal link to them

and

- partner organisations

to carry out work under the action (**third parties involved in the action**).



Beneficiaries using third parties remain **fully responsible** for them under the GA.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, beneficiaries should make internal arrangements (e.g. conclude partnership agreements with partner organisations).

Third parties involved in the action do not sign the GA (see [Article 1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Their costs are considered already covered by the unit cost paid to the beneficiaries; no additional costs will be reimbursed by the Agency. (Beneficiaries are however encouraged to share the unit costs received with them).

Entities with a capital or legal link are similar to **linked third parties** under [Article 14 of the H2020 General MGA](#) (i.e. entities with a link to a beneficiary, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation).

Examples (entities with a capital or legal link):

1. A university is beneficiary of an MSCA-ITN grant (i.e. recruits the researchers), but part of the research training under the action takes place at its university hospital (which is part of the same structure, but a separate legal entity).

2. A large multi-national company (which uses a foundation or a subsidiary for all its HR management, including concluding the employment contracts and paying the staff) participates in an MSCA-ITN action as entity with a capital or legal link implementing the research training activities, while the foundation or subsidiary participates as beneficiary (because it can recruit the researchers).

3. A university hospital (which is part of the public health system without legal personality on its own and which uses a foundation for its HR management) participates in an MSCA-ITN action as entity with a capital or legal link implementing research training activities, while the foundation participates as beneficiary (because it can recruit the researchers).

Entities with a capital or legal link may be used for hosting and training of researchers (including during secondments) — and can even implement ALL those activities under the action. But they can NOT recruit the researchers (only beneficiaries can recruit the researchers).

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, name of the entity, type of the link with the beneficiary, tasks to be carried out and time spent with them).


Contrary to linked third parties under [Article 14 of the H2020 General MGA](#), however, entities with a capital or legal link can NOT claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiaries).

Entities with a capital or legal link must fulfil the same conditions for participation and funding under H2020 as beneficiaries (for instance, be established in an EU Member State, H2020 associated country or third country listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

 For more information on conditions for participation and funding, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#).

Partner organisations are third parties involved in the action without a (capital or legal) link to the beneficiary.

They may be used to provide training and host researchers, but only during **secondments**.

 **Secondments** — For MSCA-ITN, secondments are (optional) additional periods of research training with another beneficiary or a partner organisation (from any country) in order to further enrich the training experience of the researchers.

They are limited to a **maximum of 30%** of the time that is declared for research training activities (i.e. the person-months for the researcher) — except in EID or EJD.

If the researcher unexpectedly leaves the action earlier (for reasons not linked to the beneficiary; e.g. because s/he resigns), the Agency may decide to nevertheless accept the costs — despite the 30% rule.

The beneficiaries remain **fully responsible** for the action implementation (in accordance with the GA) also during a secondment.

Partner organisations can NOT recruit the researchers (only beneficiaries can recruit the researchers).

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, tasks to be carried out and time spent with them).

They cannot claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiaries).

Partner organisations can be established anywhere (EU Member State, H2020 associated country or non-associated third country).

Specific cases (third parties involved in the action):


Authorisation to administer — Coordinators that are secondary or higher education establishments and coordinators that are public bodies may exceptionally delegate the administration of the payments to another legal entity (third party), in most cases a foundation.

The third party must fulfil the following conditions:

- it must have been granted an ‘authorisation to administer’ by the coordinator

AND

- it must be affiliated, controlled or set up by the coordinator in order to handle its administrative affairs, including receiving and administering EU funds.

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

 Coordinators using a third party with authorisation to administer remain **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

In this case, the option in Article 41.2(b) for authorisations to administer must be added to the grant agreement. The bank account number to be provided under Article 21.8 must be that of the entity with the authorisation to administer and the payments will be transferred directly to it. The entity must therefore be registered in the [Participant Register](#) and validated by the Commission/Agency. It will get its own PIC — although it is not a beneficiary.

Since MSCA-ITN uses unit costs, no additional costs arise for the action. The costs of the entity are covered by the unit costs paid to the coordinator (see [Article 6](#)).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

[...]

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiaries must keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the number of units declared and that the costs for recruited researchers (living allowance, mobility allowance, family allowance) have been fully incurred for the benefit of the researchers.

[...]




1. Records and other supporting documentation


For MSCA-ITN, simplified rules on record-keeping apply: Beneficiaries only need to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to prove that the person-months declared are correct, i.e.:

- the employment contracts (or other suitable documents, *e.g. fixed-fellowship agreements*)
- proof that:
 - the eligibility conditions for the researchers were complied with (*e.g. CV showing the researcher's seniority, copies of diplomas, documents relating to recruitment procedure*)
 - the researchers actually worked on the action (*e.g. lab books, scientific articles, library records*)
 - the obligations set out in [Article 32](#) were complied with
 - the living, mobility and family allowances (including the employer's compulsory social security payments) were fully paid to the researchers.

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (3 years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

 Costs that are not supported by appropriate and sufficient evidence may be **rejected** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

 Beneficiaries that throw away supporting documents will bear the **full risk** of cost rejection by the Agency.

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must:

- submit a '**progress report**' within 30 days after one year from the starting date of the action;
- organise a '**mid-term meeting**' between the beneficiaries, entities with a capital or legal link, partner organisations and the Agency before the deadline for the submission of the report for RP 1 (reporting period 1);
- establish a **supervisory board** of the network;
- submit any **other deliverables** identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

The beneficiaries must:

- submit a '**researcher declaration**' within 20 days after the recruitment of each researcher.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary or the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the Agency may apply any of the measures provided for in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverable: Progress report

What & When? The coordinator must — within 30 days after the first year of the action — send to the Agency a progress report, to inform it about progress on the action.

How? The report must be uploaded directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

2. Deliverable: Mid-term meeting

What & When? The coordinator must — before the deadline for the periodic report for RP 1 — organise a mid-term meeting.

Best practice: It is good practice to send the Agency a draft version of the periodic report (in good time before the meeting).

3. Deliverable: Researcher declaration

What & When? The beneficiary must submit to the Agency a researcher declaration for each recruited researcher — within **20 days** after the recruitment date.

It is important to give correct and complete information in the researcher declaration since the data will be automatically inserted in Annex 4 (financial statement), for calculating the living, mobility and family allowance units. If the researcher declaration is not provided, it will NOT be possible to declare person-months for that researcher.

How? The researcher declarations must be prepared and submitted directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

[...]

25.5 Access rights for researchers

The beneficiaries must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the recruited researchers to background necessary for their research training activities under the action.

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

[...]

31.6 Access rights for researchers

The beneficiaries must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the recruited researchers to results necessary for their research training activities under the action.

[...]



1. Access rights for researchers

In view of the key role of the recruited researchers in MSCA-ITN, the MSCA-ITN MGA foresees special access rights for them (in addition to those of the General MGA; see [Articles 25 and 31 H2020 General MGA](#)):

The beneficiaries must give the recruited researchers **access** to both **background** AND **results** necessary for their research training activities.

‘Background’ means any data, know-how or information — whatever its form or nature (tangible or intangible), including any rights such as intellectual property rights — that:

- is held by beneficiaries before they accede to the GA
- is needed to implement the action or exploit the results

AND that

- has been identified by the beneficiaries in accordance with Article 24.

‘Results’ means any (tangible or intangible) output of the action, such as data, knowledge or information — whatever its form or nature, whether it can be protected or not — that is generated in the action as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights.

Beneficiaries must moreover ensure that **partner organisations** and **entities with a capital or legal link** give similar access.

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RECRUITED RESEARCHERS

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RECRUITED RESEARCHERS

32.1 Obligations towards recruited researchers

The beneficiaries must respect the following recruitment and working conditions for the researchers recruited under the action:

- (a) take all measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers¹⁶ and ensure that the researchers are aware of them;
- (b) advertise and publish vacancies internationally, including on the web-sites requested by the Agency;
- (c) recruit the researchers, following an open, transparent, impartial and equitable recruitment procedure, on the basis of:
 - (i) their scientific skills and the relevance of their research experience;
 - (ii) the impact of the proposed training on the researcher's career;
 - (iii) a fair gender representation (by promoting genuine equal access opportunities between men and women throughout the recruitment process);
- (d) ensure that no conflict of interest exists in or arises from the recruitment;
- (e) ensure that the researchers enjoy at the place of the implementation at least the same standards and working conditions as those applicable to local researchers holding a similar position;
- (f) ensure that the employment contract, other direct contract or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement (see Article 6) specifies:
 - (i) the starting date and duration of the research training activities under the action;
 - (ii) the monthly support for the researcher under this Agreement (in euro and, if relevant, in the currency in which the remuneration is paid);
 - (iii) the obligation of the researcher to work exclusively for the action;
 - (iv) the obligation of the researcher not to receive for activities carried out in the frame of the action, other incomes than those received from the beneficiary (or other entity mentioned in Annex 1);
 - (v) the obligation of the researcher to inform the beneficiary as soon as possible of any events or circumstances likely to affect the Agreement (see [Article 17](#));
 - (vi) the arrangements related to the intellectual property rights between the beneficiary and the researcher — during implementation of the action and afterwards;
 - (vii) the obligation of the researcher to maintain confidentiality (see Article 36);
 - (viii) the obligation of the researcher to ensure the visibility of EU funding in communications or publications and in applications for the protection of results (see Articles 27, 28, 29 and 38);
- (g) assist the researchers in the administrative procedures related to their recruitment;
- (h) inform the researchers about:
 - the description, conditions, location and the timetable for the implementation of the research training activities under the action and the name of the supervisor;
 - the rights and obligations of the beneficiary toward the researcher under this Agreement;
 - the obligation of the researcher to complete and submit — at the end of the training — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency;

- (i) ensure that the researchers do not receive, for activities carried out in the frame of the action, other incomes than those received from the beneficiaries (or other entity mentioned in Annex 1);
- (j) ensure that the researchers do not have to bear any costs for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1;
- (k) host the researchers at their premises (or at the premises of an entity with a capital or legal link);
- (l) provide training and the necessary means for implementing the action (or ensure that such training and means are provided by entities with a capital or legal link);
- (m) ensure that the researchers are adequately supervised;
- (n) ensure that a career development plan is established and support its implementation;
- (o) ensure an appropriate exposure to the non-academic sector;
- (p) limit secondments to a maximum of 30% of the actual months spent implementing the research training activities under the action.

[...]



1. Recruitment and working conditions — Rights for the researchers

In view of the key role of the recruited researchers in MSCA-ITN, the MSCA-ITN MGA foresees a series of obligations in order to guarantee objective recruitment procedures and best possible working conditions.


Main obligations (towards the researcher):

- Advertise and **publish vacancies** internationally
Beneficiaries must publish vacancies as widely as possible, including on the [EURAXESS Jobs Portal](#)⁸⁵. They may also use their networks to ensure the widest possible dissemination of vacancies and information about the application process.
- Follow an open, transparent, impartial and equitable **recruitment procedure** (i.e. merit based, taking into account potential for their professional development and promoting equal access for women and men)
- Ensure that **no conflict of interest** exists in or arises from the recruitment (i.e. take or refrain from action, as appropriate, where the impartial and objective performance of recruitment duties is compromised for reasons involving:
 - family
 - emotional life
 - political or national affinity
 - economic interest or
 - any other shared interest)
- Ensure that the researchers enjoy at the place of the implementation at least the **same standards** and **working conditions** as those applicable to local researchers holding a similar position
- **Host** the researchers at their premises (or those of an entity with a capital or legal link) and **provide training** as well as the necessary means for implementing the action (or ensure that this is provided by the entity with a capital or legal link).

⁸⁵ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/>

If the Agency finds that a beneficiary is not supporting the research training activities in line with Annex 1 of the GA, it may reduce the grant.

- Ensure that the researchers are adequately **supervised**
- Ensure that a **career development plan** is established and support its implementation
- Ensure an appropriate **exposure to the non-academic sector**
- Ensure that **secondments** are **limited** to a **maximum** of **30 %** of the months declared for research training activities (i.e. the person-months for the researcher) — except in EID or EJD, provided that it is in line with the proposal description and in compliance with the conditions set out in the call.

 **Secondments** — For MSCA-ITN, secondments are (optional) additional periods of research training with another beneficiary or a partner organisation (from any country), in order to further enrich the training experience of the researchers (see [Article 8](#)).

If the researcher unexpectedly leaves the action earlier (for reasons not linked to the beneficiary; e.g. because s/he resigns), the Agency may decide to nevertheless accept the costs — despite the 30% rule.

- Ensure that the researchers do NOT receive (for activities carried out in the frame of the action) **other incomes** than those received from the beneficiaries (or any other entity referred to in Annex 1)
- Ensure that the researchers do NOT have to bear **any costs** for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1

Recruited researchers must NOT be requested to pay visa-related fees or tuition fees for their research training and/or PhD degree programme (e.g. *student registration*, *access to student services* (e.g. *library, computing, etc.*), *teaching, supervision, examination and graduation*) neither from their own funds, nor from the researcher unit cost.

- Comply with the arrangements on **intellectual property rights**
Assistance with intellectual property rights can be obtained via the [IPR Helpdesk](#)⁸⁶.
- **Assist** the researchers in the **administrative procedures** related to their recruitment (e.g. *helping with visa procedures or preparing the career development plan*)
Assistance with relocating researchers can be obtained via [EURAXESS Services](#)⁸⁷.
- **Inform** the researchers about key elements of the grant

Thus, beneficiaries must provide researchers with information on:

- basic facts about the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions, to make the researcher aware that s/he is a **MSCA fellow**, including:
 - the researcher's rights and obligations and
 - where to look for details ([MSCA website](#), [Funding & Tenders Portal](#), Marie Curie Alumni Association (MCAA), etc.)
- the specific action in question (e.g. *planned secondments, events, etc.*) and
- whom they have appointed to supervise them for the duration of the research training activities (including during secondments with other beneficiaries and/or partner organisations).

Moreover, the employment contract/equivalent direct contract (or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement) contract must clearly state:

- the starting date of research training activities under the action and its duration

⁸⁶ Available at <https://www.iprhelpdesk.eu/>.

⁸⁷ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/>.

- the allowances that the researcher is entitled to receive (and if this is paid in a currency other than the euro, the exchange rate; *for the exchange rate, see Article 6.2 H2020 General MGA*).

The employment contract can state the total/annual salary — as long as it is possible to determine the monthly salary (*e.g. by dividing the annual salary by 12*).

2. Employment contract — Obligations on the researchers

The MSCA-ITN GA foresees that there must be an employment contract/equivalent direct contract (or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement), in order to formalise the commitments in the GA also towards the researchers.

Main obligations (of the researchers):

- **Work exclusively** on the research training activities under the action
This also means that a researcher can NOT hold two MSCA grants at the same time or engage in another professional activity or employment. Complementary skills training (*e.g. teaching activity as part of the research training*) is possible, but must NOT jeopardise the research activities (and must be set out in Annex 1 of the GA).
- **Not** receive (for activities carried out in the frame of the action) **other incomes** than those received from their beneficiary (or any other entity referred to in Annex 1)
Example: If the researcher's allowances fall short of the legal minimum salary in the country in question, the beneficiary (or other entity in Annex 1) may pay a top-up to make up the difference.
- **Inform** their beneficiary as soon as possible of any events or circumstances likely to affect the GA (*such as, significant changes to their career development plan or personal circumstances affecting the foreseen activities*)
- Comply with the arrangements related to the **intellectual property rights**
- Maintain **confidentiality**
- Ensure the **visibility of EU funding**
Researchers must acknowledge funding under the MSCA grant in publications, communications or patent applications.
- Complete and submit — at the end of the training — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — the follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Communication activities by beneficiaries

38.1.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results

The beneficiaries must **promote the action** and its results, by providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public) in a strategic and effective manner.

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 or the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

Before engaging in a communication activity expected to have a **mainstream media coverage** the beneficiaries must inform the Agency (see Article 52).

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the Agency requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

- (a) display the EU emblem and
- (b) include the following text:

For communication activities: "This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: "This [*infrastructure*][*equipment*][*insert type of result*] is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the Agency.

This does not, however, give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

[...]



1. Communication activities (consortium) — Promoting the action — Mainstream media coverage

For MSCA, the rules for promoting the action are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 38.1.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, beneficiaries must inform the Agency not only before a communication activity expected to have a *major* media impact, but before any activity expected to have **mainstream media coverage** (i.e. coverage in any forms of media, e.g. *print, TV, radio and electronic*, addressing a non-specialist, non-scientific audience, e.g. *local, regional or national news*). This allows the

Agency to ensure maximum publicity and to disseminate the coverage further — through social media, news updates, etc.

2. Visibility of EU funding

For MSCA, the same rules on visibility of EU funding apply as in the General MGA (see [Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In addition, the beneficiaries must make specific reference to the MSCA grant. Thus, the clause acknowledging EU funding is slightly adapted.

III.3 H2020 MSCA-IF MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. MSCA-IF actions

What? MSCA-IF funds:

- the recruitment of an experienced researcher for research training activities ('fellowship').

MSCA-IF take the form of European Fellowships (EF) and Global Fellowships (GF). European Fellowships include three additional funding strands: the 'Career Restart Panel', the 'Reintegration Panel' and the 'Society & Enterprise Panel'.

The MSCA-IF annotations are equally applicable to the Widening Fellowships (see [H2020 Work Programme \(section 15 Spreading Excellence and Widening Participation\)](#)).

MSCA-IF are mono-beneficiary actions.

They are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions' (e.g. [H2020-MSCA-IF-2014](#)).

i For more information on MSCA-IF, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and the [H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) or the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) and the [call and topics pages of the call](#).

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

The duration of the action will be [insert number] months as of [*OPTION 1 by default: the first day of the month following the date the Agreement enters into force (see Article 58)*] [*OPTION 2 if needed for the action: the effective starting date notified by the beneficiary, which must be within [insert number] months from the date the Agreement enters into force*] [*OPTION 3 if needed for the action: [insert date]*]⁴ (**‘starting date of the action’**).

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.



1. Action starting date

For MSCA-IF, the rules on the action starting date are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the MSCA-IF MGA provides for an additional option, where the actual starting date must be *notified* by the beneficiary (i.e. is not set by the Agency, but depends on the beneficiary).

In this case, there will be a **maximum deadline for notification** set out in Article 3 for both starting the action and notifying this to the Agency (normally 12 months from the entry into force of the GA).

The notification must be done directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

Late notification will delay the pre-financing payment (see [Article 21.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

If the action does not start or the starting date is not notified in time, the Agency may terminate the GA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down for the beneficiary, by **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

Not applicable




1. Budget categories

The MSCA-IF MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Budget categories of the MSCA-IF MGA:

- costs for the recruited researcher
- institutional costs.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

2. Budget transfers

Given that MSCA-IF is a mono-beneficiary action, there is NO redistribution of person-months between *beneficiaries*.

Transfers between *budget categories* are formally NOT possible, because all budget categories are linked to the *same* person-months declared for the recruited researcher (and it is therefore technically not possible to move budgeted amounts from one budget category to another).

 **Budget flexibility** — There is however some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- The institutional unit cost should be shared with entities with a capital or legal link which incurred costs for research training activities AND with partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (*including for GF outgoing phase; see Article 8*).
- The research, training and networking unit cost should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of the researcher or to organise additional training activities*).
- The unit cost for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of the researcher*).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses **100 %** of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (**insert amount in words**)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following form ('**form of costs**'):

- (a) for **costs for the recruited researcher** (living, mobility and family allowances): on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2 ('**unit costs**') and
- (b) for **institutional costs** (research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs): on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2 (**unit costs**).



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For MSCA-IF, the reimbursement rate is set at **100 %** (see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#)).

2. Cost forms

The MSCA MGAs do not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but the **unit costs** set out in [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)⁸⁸.

For MSCA-IF, these are unit costs for:

- costs for the recruited researcher: living allowance, mobility allowance and family allowance (if applicable)
- institutional costs: research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs.

These are fixed amounts that must be multiplied by the number of months the recruited researchers spent on research training activities (person-months); they cannot be changed.

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#).

⁸⁸ Commission Decision C(2017)6855 amending Decision C(2013) 8194 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21.4) — in the following steps:

Step 1 – Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

Step 2 – Limit to the maximum grant amount

Step 3 – Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to the eligible costs (unit costs; see Article 6) declared by the beneficiary and approved by the Agency (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1, it will be limited to the latter.

5.3.3 Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced maximum grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 3.

[...]



1. Final grant amount

For MSCA, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since MSCA grants use only unit costs, there is NO reduction due to the no-profit rule in MSCA MGAs (Step 3 of Article 5.3 of the General MGA).

ARTICLE 6 – ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 General conditions for costs to be eligible

Unit costs are eligible ('eligible costs') if:

(a) they are calculated as follows:

{ amounts per unit set out in Annex 2
multiplied by
the number of actual units }.

(b) the number of actual units complies with the following:

- the units must be actually used or produced in the period set out in Article 3;
- the units must be necessary for implementing the action or produced by it, and
- the number of units must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular supported by records and documentation (see Article 18).



1. Eligible costs

The grant **can only reimburse eligible costs** (i.e. costs that comply with the general and specific conditions set out in this Article) (**'reimbursement of eligible costs grant'**).

⚠️ ONLY eligible costs may be entered into the estimated budget for the action (see Article 4) or declared in the financial statements (see Article 20).

Record-keeping & burden of proof — The **burden of proof** for eligibility is on the beneficiary. It must keep sufficient supporting documents (see Article 18) to show that the person-months it declares are eligible.

Compliance with eligibility rules may be subject to a **check or audit** by the Commission/Agency. Any ineligible costs found will be **rejected** (see Article 42).

Article 6.1 refers to general eligibility conditions, applicable per cost form (for MSCA: only unit costs; see Article 5).

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category (see Article 4).

2. General conditions for unit costs to be eligible

The general conditions for eligibility of unit costs are the same as in the General MGA (see Article 6.1 H2020 General MGA).

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible, if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following two budget categories:



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The MSCA MGAs have their **own budget categories**, with their own **types of costs, eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

- types of costs covered by the budget category
- cost form under which the costs must be declared (for MSCA : unit costs)
- eligibility conditions
- how the costs must be calculated.

A. Costs for the recruited researcher (A.1 Living allowance, A.2 Mobility allowance and A.3 Family allowance) are eligible, if:

- (a) the number of units declared:
- (i) corresponds to the actual number of months spent by the recruited researcher on the research training activities and
 - (ii) does not exceed [*OPTION 1 by default: 24*] [*OPTION 2 for EF-CAR: 36*] [*OPTION 3 for GF: 36*] months;
- (b) the recruited researcher complies with the following conditions:
- (i) be recruited by the beneficiary under an **employment contract** (or other direct contract with equivalent benefits, including social security coverage) or — if not otherwise possible under national law — under a fixed-amount-fellowship agreement with minimum social security coverage, including periods of secondment to '**partner organisations**';
 - (ii) be employed full-time, unless the Agency has approved a part-time employment for professional, personal or family reasons (see Article 55), and
 - (iii) be working exclusively for the action.
- (c) the costs have been fully incurred for the benefit of the recruited researcher.

This latter condition is met if:

{{**total remuneration costs** (salaries, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration under the employment contract or other direct contract) or **total fixed-amount fellowship costs** for the researcher during the action

plus

total mobility costs (household, relocation and travel expenses and, if they must be paid under national law, taxes, duties and social security contributions) for the researcher during the action}

plus

total family costs for the researcher during the action}

divided by

the number of actual units}.

is equal to or higher than the following amount:

{{amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as living allowance

plus

amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as mobility allowance}

plus

if it is due, amount per unit cost set out in Annex 2 as family allowance}.



1. Researcher costs (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for the recruited researcher, by providing for:

- a monthly living allowance (A.1) — to cover the employment or fixed-amount fellowship with social security coverage (i.e. gross amount, including compulsory

deductions under national law, such as employer/employee social security contributions and direct taxes)

- a monthly mobility allowance (A.2) — to cover costs related to his/her mobility (e.g. relating to travel and accommodation)

This allowance covers *private* costs of the researcher, not professional costs (which are covered by category B.1 'research, training and networking costs').

AND

- a monthly family allowance (A.3) — if the researcher has family, to reduce family-related obstacles to researcher mobility.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is NO flexibility as regards the *use* of the researcher unit costs (i.e. living, mobility and family allowances). They must be fully used for the researcher; they can NOT be used to pay other types of costs (see Article 6.2.A(c)).

What not? Management and indirect costs of the beneficiary are not covered under this budget category; they are covered under category B 'institutional costs' (Article 6.2.B).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by Decision C(2017)6855⁸⁹ and set out in Annex 2 and 2a of the GA.

This is currently:

- for the living allowance: **EUR 4 880** for the researcher per month (person-month)

To ensure equal treatment and purchasing power parity for all researchers, the amount for the living allowance is adapted per country, by applying a **country-specific correction coefficient**.

The coefficient for the researcher is set by the Agency during grant preparation — on the basis of the list of coefficients of the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call and according to the country of recruitment (i.e. the country of the beneficiary).

For GF: there will be two different country-specific correction coefficients:

- one for the outgoing phase: the coefficient of the country where the researcher is hosted (i.e. the country of the partner organisation)
- one for the return phase: the coefficient of the country where the researcher returns to (i.e. the country of the beneficiary).
- for the mobility allowance: **EUR 600** per person-month
- for the family allowance: **EUR 500** per person-month.

ℹ For the latest information on the amounts and the country correction coefficients, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for MSCA grants is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiary must only indicate the number of implemented person-months (for the researcher recruited under the action) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

⁸⁹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf.

1.3 The costs (in practice for MSCA: the person-months) must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. the declared number of person-months must be linked to the implementation of the action, be incurred during the action duration, be identifiable and verifiable, etc.; see [Article 6.1](#))

Costs NOT incurred during the action implementation (*e.g. during maternity/parental leave*) are ineligible.

- be incurred for a researcher who:
 - is — at the date of the call deadline — an **experienced researcher** (i.e. have a doctoral degree OR have at least four years (full time equivalent research experience) of research career).

Years of '**research career**' are counted from the date when the researcher obtained a degree entitling him/her to embark on a doctoral programme (either in the country in which it was obtained or in which s/he is recruited) — even if the doctorate was never started or envisaged.

- is recruited under an **employment contract/equivalent direct contract** (i.e. other direct contract with equivalent benefits and social security coverage), including:
 - sickness, parental, unemployment and invalidity benefits
 - pension rights and
 - benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases

If national law prevents it from recruiting the researcher under an employment contract/equivalent direct contract, the beneficiary may — exceptionally and subject to the Agency's prior agreement — offer a **fixed-amount fellowship** with minimum social security coverage, including:

- sickness, parental and invalidity benefits and
- benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases.

In this case, the living allowance will be reduced by 50%.

The social security coverage must be guaranteed for the entire action (i.e. also during optional secondments, if any, and for the GF outgoing phase).

For GF: The third country partner organisation that hosts the beneficiary in the GF outgoing phase can (exceptionally) issue an additional employment contract to ensure equivalent coverage during the stay in the third country.

Example: *A German university recruits the fellow for the total duration of the action (i.e. provides the main employment contract under the action) and sends him/her to a US university. Continuing the German social security during the stay in the US would be so expensive, that the beneficiary asks the US partner organisation to conclude an additional employment contract, in order to insure the researcher in the US.*

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — The beneficiary must always recruit the researcher directly. Recruitments via other means (*e.g. temporary work agency*) are NOT allowed.

- as a rule, be employed **full-time**

Part-time employment can be accepted:

- subject to prior approval by the Agency
- on personal and family grounds


- on professional grounds (except during the outgoing phase for GF) in agreement with the beneficiary
- if limited to a maximum of 50% of the time spent under the action

Part-time employment for professional reasons can be requested in order to pursue supplementary activities, *such as creating a company, pursuing another research project, engaging in advanced studies not related to the MSCA grant, etc.* It cannot be requested in order to participate in another MSCA grant at the same time.

When part-time employment for professional reasons is accepted, the beneficiary must ensure reliable **time records** (*e.g. timesheets*) either on paper or in a computer-based time recording system.

In the case of part-time employment, costs are reported as pro-rata of the full-time unit cost.

Example: For one month of 50% part-time employment, 0.5 units should be claimed.

 If NOT possible to request *part-time* employment for **professional reasons**, beneficiaries may request a GA suspension to *interrupt* the employment (see [Article 49.1.1.2](#)).

- **work exclusively** on the research training activities

Work outside the action is NOT allowed (— unless part-time for professional reasons was approved). Complementary skills training (*e.g. teaching activity as part of the research training*) is possible, but must not jeopardise the research activities (and must be set out in Annex 1 of the GA).

- have been **fully incurred for** the benefit of the **researcher** (i.e. fully paid to the individual for whom they are claimed)

The beneficiary's costs (gross amount) for researcher remuneration, mobility and family allowance must be at least as high as the living, mobility and family allowances set out in Annex 2. Lower costs are considered as underpayment.

Social security contributions and taxation (under national law) are to be counted as part of the beneficiary's costs for the recruited researcher (gross amount).

The mobility and family allowances are due to the researchers for each month worked.

Mobility and family allowances can be paid to the researcher in various ways, *for example as:*

- *part of his/her salary*
- *flight tickets for private travels, directly purchased or reimbursed by the beneficiary (work-related travels must be paid under category B.1 'research, training and networking costs')*
- *rental costs paid or reimbursed by the beneficiary, etc.*

Any form is acceptable, provided that:


- both sides agree
- it is allowed under national law

AND

- there is no underpayment.

Example: Researcher X decides to rent an apartment of 750 EUR/month. If the beneficiary is willing to sign the rental agreement on behalf of the researcher and the researcher agrees with this form of payment, the beneficiary will use 750 EUR of the total amount of 1 100 EUR (mobility & family allowance) to pay the rent. The remaining 350 EUR must be paid as part of the salary (or in another acceptable form).

Example: Researcher Y intends to travel to his/her home country for the summer holidays. S/he requests the beneficiary to directly purchase the tickets for him/her, and the beneficiary agrees to do so. The tickets cost 450 EUR and the beneficiary pays the remaining 150 EUR of the mobility allowance as part of the salary (or in another acceptable form).


 Usually, payment as part of the salary is the **simplest** way to pay these allowances.

Amounts to be paid in **other currencies** must be converted into euro as follows:

- if a daily rate is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question: amounts must be converted into euro at the average of the daily exchange rates published over the corresponding reporting period, as reported on the [ECB website](#)⁹⁰
- if no daily rate is published: amounts must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates over the reporting period, using the [currency converter](#) on the Commission's website⁹¹.

For ease of implementation, the monthly allowances for the recruited researcher can be calculated using a **conservative exchange rate**, if a corrective payment is then made (to the researcher) immediately after the end of the reporting period. This must be clearly explained in the employment contract/equivalent direct contract or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement.

Example: Researcher X is recruited in a country outside the euro-zone. The average exchange rate is normally EUR 0,9 but this fluctuates from time to time. In order to ensure that the fellow receives a regular monthly income and to avoid exchange rate losses, the beneficiary chooses to apply a conservative exchange rate of EUR 0,87 and to make a payment to correct any underpayment at the end of the reporting period. The fellow is fully informed of this procedure at the time of recruitment.

 **Other conditions** — Although NOT formal cost eligibility conditions for MSCA-IF (because already checked by the Agency during the selection procedure), the recruited researcher must — at the date of the call deadline —:

- comply with the **mobility rule**, i.e. not have resided or carried out his/her main activity (*work, studies, etc.*) in the country of the beneficiary for more than 12 months in the 3 years immediately before to the call deadline (— unless the beneficiary is an 'international European interest organisations' or international organisations, for whom the mobility rule is limited to time spent *with* them)

Short stays (such as holidays), compulsory national services (such as mandatory military service) and procedures for obtaining refugee status under the Geneva Convention (1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol) are NOT counted.

For EF Career Restart Panel, Reintegration Panel and Society & Enterprise Panel: specific mobility rule — not more than 3 years in the 5 years immediately before the call deadline

For GF: the mobility rule is checked for the country of the partner organisation, not for the country of the beneficiary (i.e. where the outgoing phase takes place, not where the return phase takes place).

- for GF and EF Reintegration Panel: be nationals or **long-term residents** of a EU Member State or an H2020 associated country.

'Long-term resident' means a period of legal and continuous residence in a EU Member States or H2020 associated countries for 5 or more consecutive years. Periods outside these countries will be taken into account if shorter than 6 consecutive months and all in all not more than 10 months.

⁹⁰ Available at <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/index.en.html>

⁹¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/budget/contracts_grants/info_contracts/inforeuro/inforeuro_en.cfm

For EF Reintegration Panel: The long-term residence requirement will not apply to researchers who have obtained refugee status under the Geneva Convention (1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol) in a EU Member State or H2020 associated country.

- for EF Career Restart Panel: not have been **active** in research for at least 12 months immediately before the call deadline
 - ‘Active in research’ means being employed or holding a scholarship. Parental leaves and unpaid leaves of absence will not be counted as periods of active engagement in research, even if a formal employment relationship exists during these periods. Publication activities or mere association to a university are not taken into account either.
- for the family allowance: have a **family**, i.e.
 - persons linked to the researcher by:
 - marriage or
 - a relationship with equivalent status to marriage (under the law of the country or region in which this relationship was formalised)
 - or
 - dependent children who are actually being maintained by the researcher.

The Agency will check if the allowances are used fully for the benefit of the researcher. Any underpayments, if not corrected, may lead to a rejection of costs for the researcher.

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:

- for the living allowance:

amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) **x** number of months actually spent by the researchers on the research activities ('number of implemented person-months')
- for the mobility allowance:

amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) **x** number of implemented person-months
- for the family allowance:

amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) **x** number of implemented person-months

 The beneficiary may declare a **maximum** of **24 person-months** for the researcher (or **36 months** for GF and EF Career Restart Panel). Person-months exceeding this number will be rejected by the Agency.

For **partial months** of recruitment, a pro-rata unit cost of 1/30 will be reimbursed for each day (for ease of implementation, each month is considered to have 30 days).

Example: If the researcher spent 20 months and 15 days on the action, the calculation for the 15 days is: $15/30 = 0.5$. In this case, insert 20.5 as number of person-months.

Periods in which a researcher was **absent** for more than 30 consecutive days (for reasons other than normal annual leave) can NOT be charged to the action (and must be removed from the financial statement, by requesting an amendment to suspend the action; see [Article 49.1.1.2](#)).

Examples:

1. If the researcher is absent for 30 consecutive days (or less), the costs incurred during this period can be charged to the action.
2. If the researcher is absent for 31 consecutive days (or more), no costs can be charged for this period (from the first day of the absence) and the action must be suspended.

B. Institutional costs (B.1 Research, training and networking costs and B.2 Management and indirect costs) are eligible if the costs for the recruited researcher (living allowance, mobility allowance, family allowance; see above) are eligible.



1. Institutional costs (B.1): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category covers:

- research, training and networking costs (B.1) — for:
 - costs for training and networking activities that contribute directly to the researcher’s career development (*e.g. participation in conferences, trips related to the work of the action, training, language courses, seminars, lab material, books, library records, publication costs*)
 - costs for research expenses
 - costs for visa-related fees (even if incurred before the recruitment date) and travel expenses
 - additional costs arising from secondments (*e.g. travel costs and accommodation costs for optional secondments*)
- management and indirect⁹² costs (B.2) — for the beneficiary’s additional costs in connection with the action.

⚠ Project management — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- The institutional unit costs should be shared with entities with a capital or legal link which incurred costs for research training activities AND with partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (including for GF outgoing phase; see [Article 8](#)).
- The research, training and networking unit cost should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of the researcher or to organise additional training activities*).
- The unit cost for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (*e.g. to increase the salary of the researcher*).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)⁹³ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:

- for research, training and networking costs: **EUR 800** for the researcher per month (person-month)

⁹² For the definition, see [Article 6.2 of the H2020 General MGA](#): ‘**indirect costs**’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

⁹³ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf

- for management and indirect costs: **EUR 650** per person-month (if the beneficiary already receives an EU/Euratom operating grant⁹⁴ in the same period: only management costs, i.e. EUR 325 — unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action; see [Article 6.2.E H2020 General MGA](#)).

In practice, the declaration of institutional costs for MSCA grants is completely automatized because the IT system automatically calculates them on the basis of the number of person-months declared under budget category A 'costs for the recruited researcher'.

1.3 The **eligibility** of the institutional costs is directly linked to (and conditional on) the eligibility of the costs for the recruited researcher.

Institutional costs (research training and networking costs, management and indirect costs) are eligible only if ALL the eligibility conditions for the recruited researcher are met. Person-months which are not eligible for budget category A 'costs for the recruited researcher' are also not eligible for budget category B 'institutional costs'.

Institutional costs can NOT be claimed separately (*e.g. management and indirect costs cannot be claimed without living allowance, etc.*).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) like the costs for the recruited researcher:

- for the research, training and networking costs:
amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) x number of implemented person-months (declared for the researcher cost)
- for the management and indirect costs:
amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) x number of implemented person-months (declared for the researcher cost)

6.3 Ineligible costs

'Ineligible costs' are:

- costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (in Article 6.1), in particular costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation (see Article 49);
- costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget), in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.
- [OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: insert name of excluded cost category]*.

6.4 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

⁹⁴ For the definition, see [Article 121\(1\)\(b\) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#): 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting EU policy.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiary must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may:

- call upon entities with a capital or legal link to the beneficiary⁵, to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. hosting and training of the researcher);
- call upon partner organisations to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. hosting and training the researcher during secondment).

In this case, the beneficiary retains sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.

⁵ 'Entities with a capital or legal link' are entities that have a link with the beneficiary, in particular, a legal or capital link, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation.




1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For MSCA actions, the rules from the General MGA for third party involvement do NOT apply.

Instead, MSCA-IF allows the beneficiary to use:

- entities with a capital or legal link to it
- and
- partner organisations

to carry out work under the action (**third parties involved in the action**).

 A beneficiary using third parties remains **fully responsible** for them under the GA.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, the beneficiary should make internal arrangements (e.g. conclude partnership agreements with partner organisations).

Third parties involved in the action do not sign the GA (see [Article 1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Their costs are considered already covered by the unit cost paid to the beneficiary; no additional costs will be reimbursed by the Agency. (The beneficiary is however encouraged to share the unit costs received with them.)

Entities with a capital or legal link are similar to **linked third parties** under [Article 14](#) of the [H2020 General MGA](#) (i.e. entities with a link to the beneficiary, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation).

Examples (entities with a capital or legal link):

1. A university is beneficiary of an MSCA-IF grant (i.e. recruits the researcher), but part of the research training under the action takes place at its university hospital (which is part of the same structure, but a separate legal entity).

2. A large multi-national company (which uses a foundation or a subsidiary for all its HR management, including concluding the employment contracts and paying the staff) participates in an MSCA-IF action as entity with a capital or legal link implementing research training activities, while the foundation or subsidiary participates as beneficiary (because it can recruit the researchers).

3. A university hospital (which is part of the public health system without legal personality on its own and which uses a foundation for its HR management) participates in an MSCA-IF action as entity with a capital or legal link implementing research training activities, while the foundation participates as beneficiary (because it can recruit the researchers).

Entities with a capital or legal link may be used for hosting and research training of researchers (including during a secondment) — and can even implement ALL those activities under the action. But they can NOT recruit the researcher (only the beneficiary can recruit the researcher).

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, name of the entity, type of link with the beneficiary and tasks to be carried out).

Contrary to linked third parties under [Article 14 of the H2020 General MGA](#), however, entities with a capital or legal link can NOT claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiary).

Entities with a capital or legal link must fulfil the same conditions for participation and funding under H2020 as the beneficiary (for instance, be established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country).

Example: For the EF Society & Enterprise Panel, the entities with a capital or legal link must also be from the non-academic sector.

 For more information on conditions for participation and funding, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#).

Partner organisations are third parties involved in the action without a (capital or legal) link to the beneficiary.

They may be used to provide training and host researchers, but only during **a secondment**.

 **Secondments** — For MSCA-IF, secondments are either:

- (optional) additional periods of research training with a partner organisation (from an EU Member State or H2020 associated country), in order to further enrich the training experience of the researcher
- or
- for GF: (mandatory) outgoing phase with a partner organisation (from a third country).

Optional secondments are limited to a **maximum of 3 months** (for actions with duration of up to 18 months) and **6 months** (for actions with duration of more than 18 months).

The beneficiary remains **fully responsible** for the action implementation (in accordance with the GA) also during a secondment.

Partner organisations can NOT recruit the researcher (only the beneficiary can recruit the researcher).

For GF: The third country partner organisation that hosts the beneficiary in the GF outgoing phase can (exceptionally) issue an additional employment contract to ensure equivalent social security coverage during the stay in the third country (see [Article 6](#)).

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, tasks to be carried out).

They cannot claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiary).

Partner organisations for optional secondments must be established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country; partner organisations for GF outgoing phase must be established in a non-associated third country.

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

[...]

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiary must keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the number of units declared and that the costs for the recruited researcher (living allowance, mobility allowance, family allowance) have been fully incurred for the benefit of the researcher.

[...]




1. Records and other supporting documentation


For MSCA-IF, simplified rules on record-keeping apply: The beneficiary only needs to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to prove that the person-months declared are correct, i.e.:

- the employment contract (or other suitable documents, *e.g. fixed-fellowship agreement*)
- proof that:
 - the eligibility conditions for the researcher were complied with (*e.g. CV showing the researcher's seniority, copies of diplomas, documents relating to recruitment procedure*)
 - the researcher actually worked on the action (*e.g. lab books, scientific articles, library records*)
 - the obligations set out in [Article 32](#) were complied with
 - the living, mobility and family allowances (including the employer's compulsory social security payments) were fully paid to the researcher
 - in case of part-time for professional reasons, the work for the action has been reliably recorded (*through time-sheets, logbooks, counters etc.*).

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (3 years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

 Costs that are not supported by appropriate and sufficient evidence may be **rejected** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

 Beneficiaries that throw away supporting documents will bear the **full risk** of cost rejection by the Agency.

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

[...]

25.5 Access rights for the researcher

The beneficiary must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the recruited researcher to background necessary for their research training activities under the action.

[...]

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

[...]

31.6 Access rights for the researcher

The beneficiary must — on a royalty-free basis — give, access to the recruited researcher to results necessary for the research training activities under the action.

[...]



1. Access rights for the researcher

In view of the key role of the recruited researcher in MSCA-IF, the MSCA-IF MGA foresees special access rights for him/her (in addition to those of the General MGA; see [Articles 25 and 31 H2020 General MGA](#)):

The beneficiary must give the recruited researcher **access** to both **background** AND **results** necessary for his/her research training activities.

‘Background’ means any data, know-how or information — whatever its form or nature (tangible or intangible), including any rights such as intellectual property rights — that:

- is held by the beneficiary before it accedes to the GA (i.e. before grant signature)
- is needed to implement the action or exploit the results

AND that

- has been identified by the beneficiary in accordance with Article 24.

‘Results’ means any (tangible or intangible) output of the action, such as data, knowledge or information — whatever its form or nature, whether it can be protected or not — that is generated in the action as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights.

The beneficiary must moreover ensure that **partner organisations** and **entities with a capital or legal link** (if any) give similar access.

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE RECRUITED RESEARCHER

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR THE RECRUITED RESEARCHER

32.1 Obligations towards the recruited researcher

The beneficiary must respect the following recruitment and working conditions for the researcher recruited under the action:

- (a) take all measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers¹² and ensure that the researcher is aware of them;
- (b) ensure that the researcher enjoys at the place of the implementation at least the same standards and working conditions as those applicable to local researchers holding a similar position;
- (c) ensure that the employment contract, other direct contract or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement (see Article 6) specifies:
 - (i) the name of the supervisor for the research training activities as indicated in Annex 1;
 - (ii) the starting date and duration of the research training activities under the action;
 - (iii) the monthly support for the researcher under this Agreement (in euro and, if relevant, in the currency in which the remuneration is paid);
 - (iv) the obligation of the researcher to work exclusively for the action;
 - (v) the obligation of the researcher not to receive for activities carried out in the frame of the action, other incomes than those received from the beneficiary (or other entity mentioned in Annex 1);
 - (vi) the obligation of the researcher to inform the beneficiary as soon as possible of any events or circumstances likely to affect the Agreement (see Article 17);
 - (vii) the arrangements related to the intellectual property rights between the beneficiary and the researcher — during implementation of the action and afterwards;
 - (viii) the obligation of the researcher to maintain confidentiality (see Article 36);
 - (ix) the obligation of the researcher to ensure the visibility of EU funding in communications or publications and in applications for the protection of results (see Articles 27, 28, 29 and 38);
 - (x) *[OPTION for GF: the obligation of the researcher to carry out a mandatory return period of 12 months at the premises of the beneficiary;]*
- (d) assist the researcher in the administrative procedures related to the recruitment;
- (e) inform the researcher about:
 - the description, conditions, location and the timetable for the implementation of the research training activities under the action and the name of the supervisor;
 - the rights and obligations of the beneficiary toward the researcher under this Agreement;
 - the obligation of the researcher to complete and submit — at the end of the research training activities — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency;

- (f) ensure that the researcher does not receive, for activities carried out in the frame of the action, other incomes than those received from the beneficiary (or other entity mentioned in Annex 1);
- (g) ensure that the researcher does not have to bear any costs for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1;
- (h) host the researcher at its premises (or at the premises of an entity with a capital or legal link);
- (i) provide training and the necessary means for implementing the action (or ensure that such training and means are provided by entities with a capital or legal link);
- (j) ensure that the researcher is adequately supervised;
- (k) ensure that — at the beginning of the research training activities — a career development plan is established together with the supervisor;
- (l) support the secondment of the researcher to a partner organisation in a Member State or associated country as set out in Annex 1:
 - for actions with a duration up to 18 months: for a maximum of three months or
 - for actions with a duration of more than 18 months: for a maximum of six months;
- (m) *[OPTION for GF: support a maximum of 3 months spent by the researcher with the beneficiary (or an entity with a capital or legal link and/or a partner organisation) before the outgoing phase and the return of the researcher to the beneficiary (or an entity with a capital or legal link) to carry out a mandatory return period of 12 months.]*

[...]



1. Recruitment and working conditions — Rights for the researcher

In view of the key role of the recruited researcher in MSCA-IF, the MSCA-IF MGA foresees a series of obligations in order to guarantee best possible working conditions.

Main obligations (towards the researcher):

- Ensure that the researcher enjoys at the place of the implementation at least the **same standards** and **working conditions** as those applicable to local researchers holding a similar position
- **Host** the researcher at its premises (or at those of an entity with a capital or legal link) and **provide training** as well as the necessary means for implementing the action (or to ensure that this is provided by the entity with a capital or legal link).
If the Agency finds that the beneficiary is not supporting the research training activities in line with Annex 1 of the GA, it may reduce the grant.
- Ensure that the researcher is adequately **supervised**
- Ensure that — at the beginning of the research training activities — a **career development plan** is established and support its implementation
- **Support secondments** of the researcher (if any) and ensure that they are **limited** to a **maximum of 3 months** (for actions of up to 18 months) or **6 months** (for actions of more than 18 months)

⚠ Secondments — For MSCA-IF, secondments can be:

- (optional) additional periods of research training with a partner organisation (from an EU Member State or H2020 associated country), in order to further enrich the training experience of the researcher
- and/or
- for GF: (mandatory) outgoing phase with a partner organisation (from a third country) (see [Article 8](#))

For GF: Also support time spent by the researcher with the beneficiary (or an entity with a capital or legal link and/or a partner organisation) before the outgoing phase (limited to a maximum of 3 months) and the researcher's return (mandatory return-period must always be 12 months)

- Ensure that the researcher does NOT receive (for activities carried out in the frame of the action) **other incomes** than those received from the beneficiary (or any other entity referred to in Annex 1)
- Ensure that the researcher does NOT have to bear **any costs** for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1

The recruited researcher must NOT be requested to pay visa-related fees or tuition fees for the research training neither from his/her own funds, nor from the researcher unit cost.

- Comply with the arrangements on **intellectual property rights**.
Assistance with intellectual property rights can be obtained via the [IPR Helpdesk](#)⁹⁵.
- **Assist** the researcher in the **administrative procedures** related to his/her recruitment (*e.g. helping with visa procedures or preparing the career development plan*)
Assistance with relocating researchers can be obtained via [EURAXESS Services](#)⁹⁶.
- **Inform** the researcher about key elements of the grant

Thus, the beneficiary must provide the researcher with information on:

- basic facts about the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions, to make the researcher aware that s/he is a **MSCA fellow**, including:
 - the researcher's rights and obligations and
 - where to look for details ([MSCA website](#), [Funding & Tenders Portal](#), Marie Curie Alumni Association (MCAA), etc.)
- the specific action in question (*e.g. planned secondments, events, etc.*) and
- whom they have appointed to supervise him/her for the duration of the research training activities, including during optional secondments/GF outgoing phase with partner organisations.

Moreover, the employment contract/equivalent direct contract (or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement) contract must clearly state:

- the starting date of research training activities under the action and its duration
The starting date and duration must match those in Article 3 of the GA.
- the allowances that the researcher is entitled to receive (and if this is paid in a currency other than the euro, the exchange rate; *for the exchange rate, see [Article 6](#)*).

The employment contract can state the total/annual salary — as long as it is possible to determine the monthly salary (*e.g. by dividing the annual salary by 12*).

⁹⁵ Available at <https://www.iprhelpdesk.eu/>.

⁹⁶ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/>.

2. Employment contract — Obligations on the researcher

The MSCA-IF GA foresees that there must be an employment contract/equivalent direct contract (or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement), in order to formalise the commitments in the GA also towards the researcher.

Main obligations (of the researcher):

- **Work exclusively** on the research training activities

This also means that a researcher can NOT hold two MSCA grants at the same time, or engage in another professional activity or employment — unless part-time employment for professional reasons has been approved (see Article 55). Complementary skills training (e.g. teaching activity as part of the research training) is possible, but must NOT jeopardise the research activities (and must be set out in Annex 1 of the GA).

- **Not** receive (for activities carried out in the frame of the action) **other incomes** than those received from the beneficiary (or any other entity referred to in Annex 1)

Example: If the researcher's allowances fall short of the legal minimum salary in the country in question, the beneficiary (or other entity in Annex 1) may pay a top-up to make up the difference.

- **Inform** the beneficiary as soon as possible of any events or circumstances likely to affect the GA (such as, significant changes to their career development plan or personal circumstances affecting the foreseen activities)

- Comply with the arrangements related to the **intellectual property rights**

- Maintain **confidentiality**

- Ensure the **visibility of EU funding**

The researcher must acknowledge funding under the MSCA grant in publications, communications or patent applications.

- Complete and submit — at the end of the training — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — the follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Communication activities by the beneficiary

38.1.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results

The beneficiary must **promote the action** and its results, by providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public) in a strategic and effective manner.

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 or the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

Before engaging in a communication activity expected to have a **mainstream media coverage** the beneficiary must inform the Agency (see Article 52).

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the Agency requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

- (a) display the European Union emblem and
- (b) include the following statement:

For communication activities: “This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number].”

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: “This *[infrastructure][equipment][insert type of result]* is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number].”

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of its obligations under this Article, the beneficiary may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the Agency.

This does not, however, give it the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, it may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

[...]



1. Communication activities (beneficiary) — Promoting the action — Mainstream media coverage

For MSCA, the rules for promoting the actions are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 38.1.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the beneficiary must inform the Agency not only before a communication activity expected to have a *major* media impact, but before any activity expected to have **mainstream media coverage** (i.e. coverage in any forms of media, e.g. print, TV, radio and electronic, addressing a non-specialist, non-scientific audience, e.g. local, regional or national news). This

allows the Agency to ensure maximum publicity and to disseminate the coverage further — through social media, news updates, etc.

2. Visibility of EU funding

For MSCA, the same rules on visibility of EU funding apply as in the General MGA (see [Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In addition, the MSCA-IF beneficiary (in all actions except [MSCA-IF Widening Fellowships](#)) must make specific reference to the MSCA grant. Thus, the clause acknowledging EU funding is slightly adapted.

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

49.1 Suspension of the action implementation by the beneficiary

49.1.1 Conditions — Procedure

49.1.1.1 The beneficiary may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if exceptional circumstances — in particular *force majeure* (see Article 51) — make implementation impossible or excessively difficult.

In this case, the beneficiary must immediately formally notify suspension to the Agency (see Article 52), stating:

- (a) the reasons why and
- (b) the expected date of resumption.

The suspension will **take effect** the day this notification is received by the Agency.

Once circumstances allow for implementation to resume, the beneficiary must immediately formally notify the Agency and request an **amendment** of the Agreement to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement or the participation of a beneficiary has been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be **lifted** with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment. This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

Costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation are not eligible (see Article 6).

49.1.1.2 The beneficiary may request suspension of the action implementation (or any part of it) for **professional, personal or family reasons** (including parental leave).

For this purpose, the beneficiary must formally notify a request for **amendment** (to make the necessary changes and to set the date of resumption) in accordance with Article 55.

The suspension **will take effect** on the date set out in the amendment.

Costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation are not eligible (see Article 6).

[...]



1. GA suspension — Additional grounds for suspension: Professional, personal or family reasons (beneficiary)

In addition to the normal grounds for GA suspension (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)), the beneficiary in MSCA-IF actions may request suspension for professional, personal or family grounds of the recruited researcher (*including parental leave, long-term sick leave or another professional opportunity*).

Suspension must be requested if the researcher is absent for more than 30 consecutive days (for reasons other than normal annual leave).

2. Procedure

The beneficiary must submit a request for amendment, indicating:

- the starting and (planned) end date of the suspension and
- the (planned) resumption date when the action will be resumed (i.e. automatically end date +1 day).

In principle, suspensions (other than for parental leave) should **not exceed 30 %** of the action duration.

For the amendment, the rules of the General MGA apply (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

3. Effects

Costs incurred during the suspension are NOT eligible (see [Article 6.3](#)).

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

55.1 Conditions

The Agreement may be amended, unless the amendment entails changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

Amendments may be requested by any of the parties.

The beneficiary may, in particular, request a change of the time spent on the action (part-time employment) for professional, personal or family reasons (including parental leave).

[...]




1. Amendments — Additional ground for amendments: Change to part-time employment (beneficiary)

What? For MSCA-IF, the beneficiary may request an amendment to change the time the researcher spends on the action (i.e. from full-time to part-time, part-time to full-time or to a different percentage of part-time) — on professional, personal or family grounds (including parental leave).

 If NOT possible to request *part-time* employment for **professional reasons**, beneficiaries may request a GA suspension to *interrupt* the employment (see [Article 49.1.1.2](#)).

How? The amendment should be requested immediately (retroactive change is possible, if justified; see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The action duration will be automatically adapted (to extend the action in line with the part-time regime, i.e. transform the full-time-equivalent number of months into the real number of months, so that the maximum grant amount can stay the same and the costs can be declared at the pro-rata of the working time; AT23 clause) and the reporting periods will be changed (to adapt them to the new action duration; AT25 clause).

 For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY

56a.1 Conditions

The beneficiary may request that the research training activities are transferred to a new beneficiary, if there are serious reasons affecting its capacity to implement the action (without being entitled to any additional EU funding for doing so).

56a.2 Procedure

The beneficiary must formally notify a **request for amendment** to the Agency (see Article 55).

The request must include:

- the reasons why;
- the date the change takes effect;
- the opinion of the researcher and its supervisor;
- a proposal for the necessary changes, including — if necessary — the appointment of the new supervisor and the Accession Form for the new beneficiary (see Annex 3).

The change **will take effect** on the day set out in the amendment.

56a.3 Effects

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement will be **amended** to introduce the necessary changes in order to reallocate the tasks of the former beneficiary (see Article 55).

In this case, the former beneficiary must:

- transfer immediately the remaining contribution to the new beneficiary and
- submit — within 30 days from the change — a '**transfer report**', containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20).

The maximum grant amount will be split between the former beneficiary and the new beneficiary, on the basis of the number of actual units in line with Article 6.


The former and the new beneficiary must agree on arrangements concerning the management of intellectual property rights and other issues under the Agreement.

If the Agency considers that the reasons provided do not justify the transfer, it will reject the request specifying the grounds for the rejection.



1. Transfer of the GA

MSCA-IF grants can be **transferred** to a new beneficiary.

 **Transfer** — The rights and obligations under the GA are transferred from the beneficiary to a new beneficiary — without passing via termination (Article 50) or addition of a new beneficiary (Article 56).

NO transfer before the amendment has been accepted by the Agency. Transfers without a formal amendment are void and may result in GA termination. The former beneficiary remains **fully responsible** until the Agency has approved the amendment.

The transfer may be based on any **ground** that is linked to the beneficiary and affects objectives of the action (i.e. implementing the research training activities).

***Examples:** Internal reorganisation affecting implementation of the action; dismantling of the research team involved in the action; departure of the supervisor from the beneficiary's premises; serious conflict between the researcher and the supervisor.*

Personal circumstances of the researcher (e.g. other professional opportunities, personal or family commitments) are NOT considered valid grounds for transferring the GA to a new beneficiary. These must be addressed by suspending the action (see [Article 49.1](#)) or a switch to part-time employment (see [Article 55](#)).

The transfer may NOT entail changes to the GA which would call into question the decision to award the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

***Example:** The transfer will not be accepted if the new beneficiary does not fulfil the eligibility conditions or does not have sufficient financial and operational capacity.*

2. Procedure

The former beneficiary must submit a **request for amendment** to the Agency.


For the amendment, the rules of the General MGA apply (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The **transfer date** will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. The 'transfer date' should normally be the date the researcher starts working with the new beneficiary. In order to allow for full synchronisation of dates, chose the entry into force of the amendment (i.e. not a fixed date) as transfer date and put this date also as starting date in the employment contract for the researcher. If there is a gap in employment (between transfer date and employment starting date at the new beneficiary), request an amendment to suspend the action (AT82; must be done before the end of the action).

The amendment request must include the Accession Form (i.e. the statement to take over all rights and obligations under the Agreement) of the new beneficiary (signed directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

The **maximum grant amount/action duration** will be adapted to take into account the country correction coefficient of the new beneficiary.

 The maximum grant amount (see [Article 5](#)) will **NOT** be **increased**.

If the new beneficiary is in a country with a lower country correction coefficient, the maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)) will be lowered.

If the new beneficiary is in a country with a higher country correction coefficient, the action duration will normally be adapted, so that the maximum grant amount can stay the same. If (exceptionally) the action duration is not changed, the beneficiary must top-up the salary of the researcher and pay the difference between the former and the new correction coefficient (using e.g. the management and indirect costs or own funding).

i For more guidance on amendments, see the *Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual*.

3. Effects

The former beneficiary must submit — within 30 days from the transfer date or the date of signature of the amendment, whichever is the latest — a transfer report, with information on:

- the progress and
- the financial statement (for the open period until transfer).

This report is for information purposes only. The Agency will use it to check the eligible costs and instruct the former beneficiary to **transfer the remaining pre-financing** to the new beneficiary.

The financial statement for the open reporting period until transfer must also be uploaded later on with the next periodic report (by the new beneficiary, together with its own financial statement).

The maximum grant amount will be split between the former beneficiary and the new beneficiary — on the basis of the researcher months already spent with the former beneficiary.

The former and the new beneficiary must agree on arrangements, where necessary, concerning intellectual property and other issues.

III.4 H2020 MSCA-RISE MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. MSCA-RISE actions

What? MSCA-RISE funds:

- the exchange of staff members (between beneficiaries or between a beneficiary and a partner organisation) for research and innovation activities ('secondments').

⚠ Secondments — For MSCA-RISE, secondments are the core activity of the action (see [Article 8](#)).

MSCA-RISE are multi-beneficiary actions.

They are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions' (e.g. [H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014](#)).

i For more information on MSCA-RISE, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets*.

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the H2020 Work Programme (section 3 MSCA) and the call and topics pages of the call*.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

The estimated budget breakdown indicated in Annex 2 may be adjusted by transfers of amounts between the beneficiaries.

This does not require an amendment according to Article 55, if the action is implemented as described in Annex 1.



1. Budget categories

The MSCA-RISE MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Budget categories of the MSCA-RISE MGA:

- costs for seconded staff members
- institutional costs.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

2. Budget transfers

The beneficiaries may redistribute person-months between *them* (as compared with the original planning set out in Annex 2). This redistribution can be done without requesting an amendment (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)) — provided that it does not imply a substantial change to the action as described in Annex 1.

 The maximum grant amount (see Article 5) can however **NOT** be **increased**.

Best practice: The coordinator should **inform** the Agency in advance of any redistribution of person-months, in order to establish if an amendment is needed. Changes that only concern the consortium-internal arrangements on the redistribution of the unit costs for management and indirect costs do NOT need to be notified to the Agency.

Example: Beneficiary A plans to second 24 person-months, but can finally only implement 22. At the same time, beneficiary B is able to implement 2 additional person-months compared to the original planning. In this case, the budget transfer between the beneficiaries is possible without an amendment.

A redistribution of person-months will also have an impact on the institutional unit costs (since they are also paid according to the person-months declared for seconded staff members).

Redistributions are subject to the following:

- no impact on the action as described in Annex 1

If the transfer of person-months implies a substantial change of Annex 1, a formal amendment is required.

- the minimum and maximum secondment duration.

Transfers between *budget categories* are formally NOT possible, because all budget categories are linked to the *same* person-months declared for the seconded staff (and it is therefore technically not possible to move budgeted amounts from one budget category to another).

 **Budget flexibility** — There is however some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- Redistributions of institutional unit costs within the consortium are allowed, but should be done via an internal agreement.
- Institutional unit costs should also be shared with entities with a capital or legal link and partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- Research, training and networking unit costs should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to cover travel and subsistence costs of a staff member, to organise additional training activities or horizontal networking events).
- Unit costs for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to cover travel and subsistence costs a staff member, to organise additional training activities or horizontal networking events).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses **100 %** of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms ('**form of costs**')

- (a) for **costs for seconded staff members**: on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2 ('**unit costs**') and
- (b) for **institutional costs** (research, training and networking costs, management and indirect costs): on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2 (**unit costs**).



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For MSCA-RISE, the reimbursement rate is set at 100% (see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#)).

2. Cost forms

The MSCA MGAs do not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but the **unit costs** set out in [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)⁹⁷.

For MSCA-RISE, these are unit costs for:

- costs for seconded staff members: top-up allowance
- institutional costs: research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs.

These are fixed amounts that must be multiplied by the number of months the staff were seconded and spent on research and innovation activities (person-months); they cannot be changed.

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#).

⁹⁷ Commission Decision C(2017)6855 amending Decision C(2013) 8194 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21.4) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate

Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to eligible costs (unit costs; see Article 6) declared by the beneficiaries and approved by the Agency (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1, it will be limited to the latter.

5.3.3 Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 3.

[...]



1. Final grant amount

For MSCA, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since MSCA grants use only unit costs, there is NO reduction due to the no-profit rule in MSCA MGAs (Step 3 of Article 5.3 of the General MGA).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 General conditions for costs to be eligible

Unit costs are eligible ('eligible costs'), if:

(i) they are calculated as follows:

{amounts per unit set out in Annex 2
multiplied by
the number of actual units}.

(ii) the number of actual units complies with the following:

- the units must be actually used or produced in the period set out in Article 3;
- the units must be necessary for implementing the action or produced by it, and
- the number of units must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular supported by records and documentation (see Article 18).



1. Eligible costs

The grant can **only reimburse eligible costs** (i.e. costs that comply with the general and specific conditions set out in this Article) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**').

⚠️ ONLY eligible costs may be entered into the estimated budget for the action (see Article 4) or declared in the financial statements (see Article 20).

Record-keeping & burden of proof — The **burden of proof** for eligibility is on the beneficiaries. They must keep sufficient supporting documents (see Article 18) to show that the person-months they declare are eligible.

Compliance with eligibility rules may be subject to a **check or audit** by the Commission/Agency. Any ineligible costs found will be **rejected** (see Article 42).

Article 6.1 refers to general eligibility conditions, applicable per cost form (for MSCA: only unit costs; see Article 5).

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category (see Article 4).

2. General conditions for unit costs to be eligible

The general conditions for eligibility of unit costs are the same as in the General MGA (see Article 6.1 H2020 General MGA).

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible, if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following two budget categories:



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The MSCA MGAs have their **own budget categories**, with their own **types of costs, eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

- types of costs covered by the budget category
- cost form under which the costs must be declared (for MSCA: unit costs)
- eligibility conditions
- how the costs must be calculated.

A. Costs for seconded staff members are eligible, if:

- (a) the number of units declared:
- (i) corresponds to the actual number of months spent by the seconded staff members on the research and innovation activities and
 - (ii) does not exceed 12 months (per seconded staff member);
- (b) the seconded staff members comply — at the date of secondment — with the following conditions:
- (i) be one of the following:
 - an **‘early stage researcher’** (i.e. in the first four years of his/her research career and not have a doctoral degree);
 - an **‘experienced researcher’** (i.e. in possession of a doctoral degree or have at least four years of research experience), or
 - **administrative, managerial or technical staff** supporting research and innovation activities under the action, and
 - (ii) have been actively engaged in or linked to research and innovation activities for at least 1 month at the sending:
 - beneficiary (or entity with a capital or legal link⁶ to it and located in the same country), or
 - partner organisation (or entity with a capital or legal link to it and located in the same country).
- (c) the secondments comply with the following conditions:
- (i) last at least 1 month and no longer than 12 months (per secondment);
 - (ii) be between different countries;
 - (iii) for secondments within the EU (or associated countries)⁷: be between different sectors (academic and non-academic)⁸;
 - (iv) for secondments from EU (or associated countries): be from a beneficiary (or entity with a capital or legal link) established in a EU Member State (or associated country) to a partner organisation (or entity with a capital or legal link) established in a non-EU Member State (or non-associated country), and
 - (v) for secondments to EU (or associated countries): be from a partner organisation (or entity with a capital or legal link) established in a country listed in General Annex A of the Main Work Programme to a beneficiary (or entity with a capital or legal link) established in a EU Member State (or associated country).

⁶ **‘Entities with a capital or legal link’** are entities that have a link with the beneficiary or partner organisations, in particular, a legal or capital link, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation.

⁷ For the definition, see Article 2.1(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in “Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)” (**‘Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013’**) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p.81): **‘associated country’** means a third country which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in Article 7 of the H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.

⁸ For secondments for entities with a capital link or legal link to the beneficiaries or partner organisations: only the sector (academic or non-academic) of the beneficiary counts; the entity will be considered to belong to the same sector as their beneficiary.



1. Seconded staff costs (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for the seconded staff members, by providing for:

- a monthly top-up allowance (A) — for travel, accommodation and subsistence costs relating to the secondment.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is NO flexibility as regards the *use* of the seconded staff unit costs (i.e. top-up allowance). They must be fully used for the staff member (to cover the travel, accommodation and subsistence costs of the staff member); they can NOT be used to pay other staff members or other types of costs (see [Article 32](#)).

⚠ Secondments — For MSCA-RISE, secondments are the core activity of the action (see [Article 8](#)).

What not? Research, training and networking costs and management and indirect costs of the beneficiaries are not covered under this budget category; they are covered under category B 'institutional costs' ([Article 6.2.B](#)).

1.2 These costs must be **declared as** the unit cost fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855⁹⁸](#) and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:

- for the top-up allowance: **EUR 2 100** per seconded staff member per month (person-month).

i For the latest information on the amount, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for MSCA grants is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiaries must only indicate the number of implemented person-months (for staff members seconded under the action) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

1.3 The costs (in practice for MSCA: the person-months) must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. the declared number of person-months must be linked to the implementation of the action, be incurred during the action duration, be identifiable and verifiable, etc.; see [Article 6.1](#))
- be incurred for staff members that — at the date of secondment —:
 - are one of the following three:
 - **early stage researchers** (i.e. NOT have a doctoral degree AND be in the first four years (full time equivalent research experience) of their research career)
 - **experienced researchers** (i.e. have a doctoral degree OR at least four years (full time equivalent research experience) of research career), or

⁹⁸ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit_costs_msca_en.pdf.

- **administrative, managerial or technical staff supporting research and innovation activities** (i.e. actively involved in the R&D activities of the organisation and not with a purely administrative role).

Examples (not eligible administrative, managerial or technical staff):

1. Ms B, employed by beneficiary B as an accountant, is not eligible for secondment as her tasks are not directly related to support research and innovation activities.

2. Mr Y, employed by beneficiary/partner organisation Y and carrying out secretarial tasks, is not eligible for secondment because he is not directly involved in research and innovation activities.

Years of '**research career**' are counted from the date on which the researcher obtained the degree entitling him/her to embark on a doctoral programme (either in the country in which it was obtained or in which s/he is recruited or from where s/he is seconded) — even if a doctorate was never started or envisaged.

- have already been actively **engaged** in or **linked** to research and innovation activities at the premises of the sending beneficiary/partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link, continuously for at least **1 month** (full time equivalent)

Examples:

1. Mr Y is employed by/has a fellowship with/is a registered PhD candidate of beneficiary Y as from 1 January 2014; Mr Y will not be eligible for a secondment from 1 January 2014 but would be eligible for secondment as from 1 February 2014.

2. Ms X is employed 50% part-time by beneficiary X as from 1 January 2014. Ms X will not be eligible for a secondment from 1 February 2014, but would be eligible for secondment as from 1 March 2014.

The type of relationship (employment contract, fellowship or other) is NOT relevant — as long as it:

- complies with national law
- complies with internal practices and
- during the secondment: confers to the sending beneficiary/partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link the necessary legal means in terms of controls and instructions to ensure the implementation of the activities in line with GA obligations.

If this is not the case, the beneficiary/partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link must conclude a contract which allows this (or a supplementary agreement) — before the secondment.

Example: *A PhD-candidate can be seconded if s/he: has a contract allowing him/her to work full-time on the R&I activities of the action during the secondment under the instructions of the sending beneficiary/partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link.*

- be incurred for secondments:
- that last at **least 1 month** and **no longer than 12 months**

Splitting into several periods is allowed.

Example: *If a secondment is split into two-week periods, the person-month may be claimed (since the one-month minimum is satisfied). However, if the staff member participates only in the first two-week period and the second one never takes place, the beneficiary may not claim any person-months for the secondment.*

Best practice: If the split is not already indicated in Annex 1, the coordinator should inform the Agency before the secondment.

For the minimum duration, only splits within *secondments* of same staff, from same sending beneficiary/partner organisation to same hosting beneficiary/partner organisation can be added. Secondments from/to entities with a capital or legal link

will be counted as secondments from/to the beneficiaries/partner organisations they are linked to.

Example: Two secondments of two weeks of the same staff member to different beneficiaries are not eligible since the minimum duration of 1 month is not reached.

For the maximum duration, all secondments (and all splits) for the *same staff member* must be added.

- that are one of the following three:
 - secondments **between beneficiaries/entities with a capital or legal link** in different EU Member States (or H2020 associated countries) and between different sectors, i.e. academic and non-academic

Both elements must be fulfilled (i.e. location in **different** EU Member States or H2020 associated **countries** AND **different sectors** (academic and non-academic sector)).

'Academic sector' means:

- public or private higher education establishments awarding academic degrees
- public or private non-profit research organisations for whom one of the main objectives is to pursue research or technological development or
- international European interest organisations.

'Non-academic sector' means any socio-economic actor not included in the academic sector.

- secondments from a **beneficiary/entity with a capital or legal link** in an EU Member State (or H2020 associated country) **to a partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link** in a third country

OR

- secondments **from a partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link** in a third country listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#) **to a beneficiary/entity with a capital or legal link** in an EU Member State (or H2020 associated country).

Secondments from/to branches/departments of beneficiaries/partner organisations which are not separate legal entities are NOT eligible, if they are located in countries other than the country of their beneficiary/partner organisation.

Examples:

A and B exchange staff for 12 months within a RISE project. A sends 10 persons to B for one year (i.e. 120 person-months) and B sends the same number to A (also 120 person-months).

Case 1: *A is a beneficiary established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country and B is a partner organisation established in a third country listed in General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme.*

A may claim 240 person-months (120 for its own staff and 120 for the staff seconded by the partner organisation).

Case 2: *A is a beneficiary established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country and B is a partner organisation established in a third country NOT listed in General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme.*

A may claim only 120 person-months (for its own staff; the person-months of the staff seconded by the partner organisation are not eligible).


Case 3: *A and B are both beneficiaries established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country.*

They may each claim 120 person-months (for their own staff).

If a person is a staff member of two or more organisations which participate in a RISE project, s/he can NOT be seconded *from* both organisations.

Example: A researcher is both professor at the university and CEO of its own SME and both organisations are involved in a RISE project. This researcher can only be seconded from one of the two organisations.

By contrast, a staff member can be seconded from one participating organisation to two or more participating organisations in a RISE project — as long as the total person-months per staff member do not exceed 12 (see also [Article 19](#)).

 **Other conditions** — Although NOT a cost eligibility condition for MSCA-RISE, but an 'other obligation' under Article 32:

- the top-up allowance must be fully used for the staff member (i.e. fully used to cover the travel, accommodation and subsistence costs of the staff member for whom it is claimed).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:

- for the top-up allowance:

amount per unit (see [Annex 2](#)) **x** number of months actually spent by the seconded staff members on the research and innovation activities (including travel) ('implemented person-months')

 Beneficiaries may declare a **maximum** of **12 person-months** per seconded staff member. Person-months exceeding this number will be rejected by the Agency.

For **partial months** of recruitment, a pro-rata unit cost of 1/30 will be reimbursed for each day (for ease of implementation, each month is considered to have 30 days).

Example: A staff member is seconded from a beneficiary to a partner organisation from 12 March to 25 August. The calculation is five months (from 12 March to 11 August) plus 14/30 (for the 14 days from 12 to 25 August). In this case, insert 5.47 as number of person-months.


B. Institutional costs (B.1 Research, training and networking costs and B.2 Management and indirect costs) are eligible if the costs for the seconded staff members (see above) are eligible.



1. Institutional costs (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers**:

- research, training and networking costs (B.1) — for:
 - costs for training, transfer of knowledge and networking activities
 - costs for research expenses
- management and indirect⁹⁹ costs (B.2) — for the beneficiary's additional costs in connection with the action.

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

 **Budget flexibility** — There is some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- Redistributions of institutional unit costs within the consortium are allowed, but should be done via an internal agreement.
- Institutional unit costs should also be shared with entities with a capital or legal link and partner organisations which incurred costs for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- Research, training and networking unit costs should be used for the research, training and networking activities foreseen in Annex 1, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to cover travel and subsistence costs of a staff member, to organise additional training activities or horizontal networking events).
- Unit costs for management and indirect costs should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to cover travel and subsistence costs of a staff member, to organise additional training activities or horizontal networking events).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)¹⁰⁰ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:

- for research, training and networking costs: **EUR 1 800** per researcher per month (person-month)
- for management and indirect costs: **EUR 700** per person-month (for beneficiaries that already receive an EU/Euratom operating grant¹⁰¹ in the same period: only management costs, i.e. EUR 350 — unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action; see [Article 6.2.E H2020 General MGA](#)).

⁹⁹ For the definition, see [Article 6.2 of the H2020 General MGA](#): 'indirect costs' are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

¹⁰⁰ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit_costs_msc_en.pdf.

¹⁰¹ For the definition, see [Article 121\(1\)\(b\) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012](#): 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting EU policy.

In practice the declaration of institutional costs for MSCA grants is completely automatized because the IT system automatically calculates them on the basis of the number of person-months declared under budget category A 'costs for seconded staff members'.


1.3 The **eligibility** of the institutional costs is directly linked to (and conditional on) the eligibility of the costs for seconded staff members.

Institutional costs (research training and networking costs, management and indirect costs) are eligible only if ALL the eligibility conditions for the seconded staff members are met. Person-months which are not eligible for budget category A 'costs for seconded staff members' are also not eligible for budget category B 'institutional costs'.

Institutional costs can NOT be claimed separately (*e.g. management and indirect costs cannot be claimed without top-up allowance*).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) like the costs for seconded staff members:

- for the research, training and networking costs:
 - amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) x number of implemented person-months (declared for the seconded staff unit cost)
- for the management and indirect costs:
 - amount per unit (*see Annex 2*) x number of implemented person-months (declared for the seconded staff unit cost)

 **Budget flexibility** — Even if the consortium redistributes parts of the institutional unit costs, the reporting is always based on the implemented person-months.

6.3 Ineligible costs

'Ineligible costs' are:

- (a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (in Article 6.1), in particular costs incurred during suspension of the action implementation (see Article 49);
- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget), in particular, indirect costs if the beneficiary is already receiving an operating grant financed by the EU or Euratom budget in the same period, unless it can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.
- (c) *[OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: insert name of excluded cost category]*.

6.4 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION – THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- call upon partner organisations to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. seconding and hosting staff);
- call upon entities with a capital or legal link to the beneficiaries or to partner organisations⁹, to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. seconding and hosting staff).

In this case, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.

⁹ 'Entities with a capital or legal link' are entities that have a link with the beneficiary or partner organisations, in particular, a legal or capital link, which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For MSCA actions, the rules from the General MGA for third party involvement do NOT apply.

Instead, MSCA-RISE allows the beneficiaries to use:

- partner organisations
- and
- entities with a capital or legal link to a beneficiary or a partner organisation

to carry out work under the action (**third parties involved in the action**).



Beneficiaries using third parties remain **fully responsible** for them under the GA.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, beneficiaries should make internal arrangements (e.g. conclude partnership agreements with partner organisations).

Third parties involved in the action do not sign the GA (see [Article 1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Their costs are considered already covered by the unit cost paid to the beneficiaries; no additional costs will be reimbursed by the Agency. (Beneficiaries are however encouraged to share the unit costs received with them.)

Partner organisations are third parties involved in the action without a (capital or legal) link to a beneficiary.

They may participate in the exchanges by seconding and hosting staff.

⚠️ Secondments — For MSCA-RISE, secondments are the core activity of the action. They can be between beneficiaries/entities with a capital or legal link OR between beneficiaries/entities with a capital or legal link and partner organisations (from a third country).

They must have a **minimum** duration of **1 month** and a **maximum** duration of **12 months** (per seconded staff member).

The beneficiaries remain **fully responsible** for the action implementation (in accordance with the GA) during the secondments.

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, tasks to be carried out).

They cannot claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiaries).

Partner organisations must be established in a non-associated third country (i.e. not EU Member State or H2020 associated country).

Entities with a capital or legal link are similar to **linked third parties** under [Article 14 of the H2020 General MGA](#) (i.e. entities with a link to a beneficiary or a partner organisation — which is neither limited to the action nor established for the sole purpose of its implementation).

They may participate in the exchanges by seconding and hosting staff.

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, name of the entity, type of the link with the beneficiary/partner organisation and tasks to be carried out).

Contrary to linked third parties under [Article 14 of the H2020 General MGA](#), however, entities with a capital or legal link can NOT claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiaries).

Entities with a capital or legal link must fulfil the same conditions for participation and funding under H2020 as the beneficiary/partner organisation they are linked to (for instance, if linked to a beneficiary: be established in an EU Member State or H2020 associated country; if linked to a partner organisation: be established in a non-associated third country AND be established in the same country as their beneficiary/partner organisation).

Examples (eligible entities with capital or legal link):

1. University X (established in France) is part of a Joint Research Unit (JRU) with beneficiary Y (also established in France). Mr. X is employed by university X and is to be sent on a secondment to beneficiary Z. University X will be considered as entity with a capital or legal link to beneficiary Y and can therefore second Mr. X.
2. SME X (established in Portugal) is a subsidiary of beneficiary Y (also established in Portugal). Ms. X is employed by SME X and is to be sent on a secondment to partner organisation Z. SME X will be considered as entity with a capital or legal link to beneficiary Y and can therefore second Ms. X.
3. Mr. X is formally employed by foundation X (established in Spain) which does the HR management for beneficiary Y (also established in Spain). Mr. X actually works with beneficiary Y and should be sent on a secondment to beneficiary Z. Foundation X will be considered as entity with a capital or legal link to beneficiary Y and can therefore second Mr. X.

Examples (ineligible entities with capital or legal link):

1. SME X (established in Germany) is a subsidiary of applicant Y (established in Greece). Ms. X is employed by SME X and is to be sent on a secondment to partner organisation Z. Since SME X is not established in the same country as applicant Y, it cannot act as entity with a capital or legal link for the purposes of a MSCA-RISE grant. Therefore, Ms X cannot be seconded.
2. University X (validated as academic; established in Sweden) is member of an association of universities (applicant Y; validated as non-academic). Mr. X is employed by university X and is to be sent on a secondment to applicant Z (validated as academic). University X cannot act as entity with a capital or legal link of applicant Y for the purposes of the MSCA-RISE grant because this would circumvent the inter-sector mobility rules (mix of academic and non-academic).

i For more information on conditions for participation and funding, see the [Main H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#).

Specific cases (third parties involved in the action):


Authorisation to administer — Coordinators that are secondary or higher education establishments and coordinators that are public bodies may exceptionally delegate the administration of the payments to another legal entity (third party), in most cases a foundation.

The third party must fulfil the following conditions:

- it must have granted an 'authorisation to administer' by the coordinator

AND

- it must be affiliated, controlled or set up by the coordinator in order to handle its administrative affairs, including receiving and administering EU funds.

 **Project management** — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

 Coordinators using a third party with authorisation to administer remain **fully responsible** for it under the GA.

- In this case, the option in Article 41.2(b) for authorisations to administer must be added to the grant agreement. The bank account number to be provided under [Article 21.8](#) must be that of the entity with the authorisation to administer and the payments will be transferred directly to it. The entity must therefore be registered in the [Participant Register](#) and validated by the Commission/Agency. It will get its own PIC — although it is not a beneficiary.

Since MSCA-RISE uses unit costs, no additional costs arise for the action. The costs of the entity are covered by the unit costs paid to the coordinator (see [Article 6](#)).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

[...]

Art. 18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiaries must keep adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the number of units declared.

[...]



1. Records and other supporting documentation

For MSCA-RISE, simplified rules on record-keeping apply: Beneficiaries only need to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to prove that the person-months declared are correct, i.e.:

- proof that:
 - the eligibility conditions for the seconded staff members were complied with (*e.g. CV showing the researcher's seniority, copies of diplomas*)
 - the secondment was eligible (*e.g. agreement on the secondment; travel documents and/or access rights for the host organisation's premises to show the duration; lab books, registration documents, scientific articles, library records to show research and innovation activities*)


The nature of the records and supporting documents to be kept by the beneficiary must be adequate to show the duration of the secondments clearly and unequivocally.

- the obligations set out in [Article 32](#) were complied with

Thus for the obligation to fully use the unit cost for seconded staff members (to cover their travel, accommodation and subsistence costs): the beneficiaries must keep proof of the amounts paid (*e.g. bank transfers to the staff member, travel tickets, hotel accommodation, etc.*).

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (3 years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until these procedures end.

 Costs that are not supported by appropriate and sufficient evidence may be **rejected** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

 Beneficiaries that throw away supporting documents bear the **full risk** of cost rejection by the Agency.

Specific cases (record-keeping):

Documents for staff of the partner organisations and entities with a capital or legal link — For secondments from partner organisations/entities with a capital or legal link, it is the beneficiary that must keep the documents for the staff of the partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link.

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must:

- submit a 'progress report' within 30 days after the end of each year, except when the periodic and final reports are due;
- organise a 'mid-term meeting' between the beneficiaries, partner organisations, entities with a capital or legal link and the Agency before the deadline for the submission of the report for RP 1 (reporting period 1);
- submit any other deliverables identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

The beneficiaries must:

- submit a 'researcher declaration' within 20 days of the secondment of each seconded staff member.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary or the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the Agency may apply any of the measures provided for in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverable: Progress report

What & When? The coordinator must — after each year except if a periodic report is due (i.e. normally after the first and third year of the action) — submit to the Agency a progress report, to inform it about progress on the action.

How? The report must be uploaded directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

2. Deliverable: Mid-term meeting

What & When? The coordinator must — before the deadline for the periodic report for RP 1 — organise a mid-term meeting.

Best practice: It is good practice to send the Agency a draft version of the periodic report (in good time before the meeting).

3. Deliverable: Researcher declaration

What & When? The beneficiary must submit to the Agency a 'researcher declaration' for each seconded staff member — within **20 days** from the start of the secondment.

It is important to give correct and complete information in the researcher declaration, since this information will be used to determine the eligibility of the person-months that are declared.

If a **secondment** is **split** in several stays of shorter duration, the researcher declaration must only be submitted once — at the beginning of the secondment — and indicate each split.

***Example:** Beneficiary A is sending Mr A to partner organisation B for one year, though split in two half-year periods. In this case, A has to submit the researcher declaration only at the beginning of the first period of secondment, indicating the split. No additional declaration is necessary for the second half.*

If a staff member is seconded to **more than one beneficiary/partner organisation** within the action duration, several researcher declarations must be submitted (within 20 days from the start date of each secondment). Secondments to entities with a capital or legal link will be counted as secondments to the beneficiary/partner organisation they are linked to and must therefore be covered by the same researcher declaration.

***Example:** Beneficiary A is sending Mr A to partner organisation B for half a year and to beneficiary C for half a year. In this case, the researcher declaration has to be submitted twice, each time within 20 days from the beginning of the secondment to a different beneficiary/partner organisation.*

How? The researcher declarations must be prepared and submitted directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

Specific case (deliverables):

Researcher declaration for staff of the partner organisations and entities with a capital or legal link — For secondments from partner organisations/entities with a capital or legal link, it is the beneficiary that must submit the researcher declarations.

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

[...]

25.5 Access rights for seconded staff members

The beneficiaries must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the seconded staff members to background necessary for their research and innovation activities under the action.

[...]

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

ARTICLE 31 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS

[...]

31.6 Access rights for seconded staff members

The beneficiaries must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the seconded staff members to results necessary for their research and innovation activities under the action.

[...]



1. Access rights for seconded staff members

In view of the key role of the seconded staff members in MSCA-RISE, the MSCA-RISE MGA foresees special access rights for them (in addition to those of the General MGA; see [Articles 25 and 31 H2020 General MGA](#)):

The beneficiaries must give the seconded staff members **access** to both **background** AND **results** necessary for their research and innovation activities.

‘Background’ means any data, know-how or information — whatever its form or nature (tangible or intangible), including any rights such as intellectual property rights — that:

- is held by beneficiaries before they accede to the GA
- is needed to implement the action or exploit the results

AND that

- has been identified by the beneficiaries in accordance with Article 24.

‘Results’ means any (tangible or intangible) output of the action, such as data, knowledge or information — whatever its form or nature, whether it can be protected or not — that is generated in the action as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights.

Beneficiaries must moreover ensure that **partner organisations** and **entities with a capital or legal link** give similar access.

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR SECONDED STAFF MEMBERS

ARTICLE 32 — RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR SECONDED STAFF MEMBERS

32.1 Obligations towards seconded staff members

The beneficiaries must respect the following **recruitment and working conditions** for the seconded staff member under the action:

- (a) take all measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers¹⁷ and ensure that the seconded staff members are aware of them;
- (b) ensure that the rights and obligations of the seconded staff members remain unchanged during the secondment;
- (c) ensure that seconded staff members are reintegrated after the secondment;
- (d) ensure that the seconded staff members enjoy at the place of the implementation at least the same standards and working conditions as those applicable to local persons holding a similar position;
- (e) ensure that the seconded staff members are covered by an appropriate medical insurance scheme;
- (f) ensure that the staff members are seconded full-time;
- (g) ensure that the seconded staff members have the relevant expertise for the action;
- (h) inform the seconded staff members about:
 - the description, conditions, location and the timetable for the implementation of the secondment under the action;
 - the rights and obligations of the beneficiary toward the seconded staff members under this Agreement;
 - the obligation of the seconded staff members to complete and submit — at the end of the secondment — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — the follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency;
 - the arrangements related to the intellectual property rights between the beneficiary and the seconded staff members — during implementation of the secondment and afterwards;
 - the obligation of the seconded staff members to maintain confidentiality (see Article 36);
 - the obligation of the seconded staff members to ensure the visibility of EU funding in communications or publications and in applications for the protection of results (see Articles 27, 28, 29 and 38);
- (i) assist the seconded staff members in the administrative procedures related to their secondment;
- (j) use the costs of seconded staff members (see Article 6) to contribute to their subsistence and mobility.
- (k) ensure that the seconded staff members do not have to bear any costs for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1.

32.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

¹⁷ Commission Recommendation No 251/2005/EC of 11 March 2005 on the European Charter for Researchers and on a Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers (OJ L 75, 22.3.2005, p. 67).



1. Recruitment and working conditions — Rights for the seconded staff members

In view of the key role of the seconded staff members in MSCA-RISE, the MSCA-RISE MGA foresees a series of obligations in order to guarantee best possible working conditions for them.

Main obligations (towards the staff members):

- Ensure that the seconded staff members have the **relevant expertise** to participate in the action
The profiles of the staff to be seconded must be in line with the tasks and objectives described in Annex 1.
- Ensure that the seconded staff members enjoy at least the **same standards** and **working conditions** at the place of secondment as those applicable to persons holding a similar position there
- Ensure that the seconded staff members are covered by an appropriate **medical insurance** scheme
- Ensure that the staff members are seconded **full-time**.
For part-time staff members this may require a change of their relationship (i.e. conclude a contract or a supplementary agreement), to allow the secondment to be implemented on a full-time basis.
- Ensure that the **rights and obligations remain unchanged** during the secondment

 **Secondments** — For MSCA-RISE, secondments are the core activity of the action (see [Article 8](#)).

Beneficiaries and partner organisations must:

- not penalise the staff members or reduce their rights due to the participation in the action and
- in particular, since their normal remuneration is not covered by the grant (which is only a top-up allowance to cover the travel, accommodation and subsistence costs of the secondment), continue to pay them as before, according to their internal practices.

Changes in favour of the seconded staff member are of course accepted.

- Ensure that the seconded staff members are **reintegrated** (into the beneficiary/partner organisation/entity with a capital or legal link) after the secondment, so as to allow for a transfer of knowledge and maximise the impact of the action (as regards knowledge-sharing and long-term collaboration)
- Comply with the arrangements on **intellectual property rights**.
Assistance with intellectual property rights can be obtained via the [IPR Helpdesk](#)¹⁰².

¹⁰² Available at <https://www.iprhelppdesk.eu/>.

- **Assist** the seconded staff members in the **administrative procedures** related to their secondment (*e.g. helping with visa procedures*)
- **Inform** the staff member about key elements of the grant

Beneficiaries must inform seconded staff members of all details concerning the secondment and the research and innovation activities (*such as description, conditions, location, and timetable for implementation*).

- Ensure that the costs for seconded staff members are **fully used for** the benefit of the **seconded staff** members

They can be:

- paid directly to the seconded staff member in advance or via different instalments or
- managed centrally by the beneficiary according to the specific needs of the secondment.
- Ensure that the seconded staff members do not have to bear **any costs** for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1.

The seconded staff members must NOT be requested to pay tuition fees for their research and innovation training neither from their own funds, nor from the staff member unit cost.

2. Obligations on the seconded staff members

Although they do not need to be explicitly reflected in their employment contract (or fellowship or other relationship), some of the basic obligations under the MSCA-RISE MGA must also be respected by the seconded staff members.

Main obligations (of the staff member):

- Comply with the arrangements related to the **intellectual property rights**
- Maintain **confidentiality**
- Ensure the **visibility of EU funding**
Seconded staff members must acknowledge funding under the MSCA grant in publications, communications or patent applications.
- Complete and submit — at the end of the secondment — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — the follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Communication activities by the beneficiaries

38.1.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results

The beneficiaries must **promote the action** and its results, by providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public) in a strategic and effective manner.

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 or the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

Before engaging in a communication activity expected to have a **mainstream media coverage** the beneficiaries must inform the Agency (see Article 52).

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the Agency requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

(a) display the EU emblem and

(b) include the following text:

For communication activities: "This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: "This [infrastructure][equipment][insert type of result] is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the Agency.

This does not, however, give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

[...]



1. Communication activities (consortium) — Promoting the action — Mainstream media coverage

For MSCA, the rules for promoting the action are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 38.1.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the beneficiaries must inform the Agency not only before a communication activity expected to have a *major* media impact, but before any activity expected to have **mainstream media coverage** (i.e. coverage in any forms of media, e.g. *print, TV, radio and electronic*, addressing a non-specialist, non-scientific audience, e.g. *local, regional or national news*). This

allows the Agency to ensure maximum publicity and to disseminate the coverage further — through social media, news updates, etc.

2. Visibility of EU funding

For MSCA, the same rules on visibility of EU funding apply as in the General MGA (see [Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In addition, the beneficiaries must make specific reference to the MSCA grant. Thus, the clause acknowledging EU funding is slightly adapted.

III.5 H2020 MSCA-COFUND MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. MSCA-COFUND actions

What? MSCA-COFUND funds:

- a regional, national or international doctoral or fellowship training programme for training, mobility and career development of researchers (— implemented by the beneficiary or a partner organisation).

MSCA-COFUND cover doctoral programmes for early-stage researchers (DP) and fellowship programmes for experienced researchers (FP).

MSCA-COFUND are mono-beneficiary actions.

They are funded under Part I of Horizon 2020, 'Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions' (e.g. [H2020-MSCA-COFUND-2014](#)).

i For more information on MSCA-COFUND, see the *Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual* and the *H2020 grants fact sheets*.

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see the *Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual* or the *H2020 Work Programme (section 3 MSCA)* and the *call and topics pages of the call*.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down for the beneficiary, by **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

Not applicable




1. Budget categories

The MSCA-COFUND MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Budget categories of the MSCA-COFUND MGA:

- costs for researchers:
 - costs for researchers in programmes implemented by the beneficiary
 - costs of providing financial support to costs for researchers in programmes implemented by a partner organisation
- management costs.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

2. Budget transfers

Given that MSCA-COFUND is a mono-beneficiary action, there is NO redistribution of person-months between *beneficiaries*.

Transfers between *budget categories* are formally NOT possible because all budget categories are linked to the *same* person-months declared for the recruited researchers (and it is therefore technically not possible to move budgeted amounts from one budget category to another).

 **Budget flexibility** — There is however some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- The management unit cost should be shared with partner organisations which incurred costs for the implementation of a programme or for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- The management unit cost should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may also be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to increase the salary of the researchers).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses **50 %** of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following form ('**form of costs**'):

- (a) for **costs for researchers in a programme implemented by the beneficiary** (living allowance): on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2 ('**unit costs**');
- (b) for **costs of providing financial support to costs for researchers in a programme implemented by a partner organisation**: on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2 (**unit costs**) and
- (c) for **management costs**: on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2 (**unit costs**).



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For MSCA-COFUND, the reimbursement rate is set at **50 %** (see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#)).

2. Cost forms

The MSCA MGAs do not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but the **unit costs** set out in [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)¹⁰³.

For MSCA-COFUND, these are unit costs for:

- costs for researchers: living allowance
- management costs.

These are fixed amounts that must be multiplied by the number of months the recruited researchers spent on research training activities (person-months); they cannot be changed.

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#).

¹⁰³ Commission Decision C(2017) 6855 amending Decision C(2013) 8194 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 – Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

Step 2 – Limit to the maximum grant amount

Step 3 – Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to eligible costs (unit costs; see Article 6) declared by the beneficiary and approved by the Agency (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1, it will be limited to the latter.

5.3.3 Step 3 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced maximum grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced maximum grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 or
- the reduced maximum grant amount following Step 3.



1. Final grant amount

For MSCA, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since MSCA grants use only unit costs, there is NO reduction due to the no-profit rule in the MSCA MGAs (Step 3 in the Article 5.3 General MGA).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 General conditions for costs to be eligible

Unit costs are eligible ('eligible costs'), if:

(a) they are calculated as follows:

{ amounts per unit set out in Annex 2
multiplied by
the number of actual units };

(b) the number of actual units complies with the following conditions:

- the units must be actually used or produced in the period set out in Article 3;
- the units must be necessary for implementing the action or produced by it, and
- the number of units must be identifiable and verifiable, in particular supported by records and documentation (see Article 18).



1. Eligible costs

The grant can **only reimburse eligible costs** (i.e. costs that comply with the general and specific conditions set out in this Article) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**').

⚠️ ONLY eligible costs may be entered into the estimated budget for the action (see Article 4) or declared in the financial statements (see Article 20).

Record-keeping & burden of proof — The **burden of proof** for eligibility is on the beneficiary. It must keep sufficient supporting documents (see Article 18) to show that the person-months it declares are eligible.

Compliance with eligibility rules may be subject to a **check or audit** by the Commission/Agency. Any ineligible costs found will be **rejected** (see Article 42).

Article 6.1 refers to general eligibility conditions, applicable per cost form (for MSCA: only unit costs; see Article 5).

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category (see Article 4).

2. General conditions for unit costs to be eligible

The general conditions for eligibility of unit costs are the same as in the General MGA (see Article 6.1 H2020 General MGA).

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible, if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following two budget categories:



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The MSCA MGAs have their **own budget categories**, with their own **types of costs, eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

- types of costs covered by the budget category
- cost form under which the costs must be declared (for MSCA: unit costs)
- eligibility conditions
- how the costs must be calculated.

A. Costs for researchers ('living allowance')

A.1 **Costs for researchers in a programme implemented by the beneficiary** are eligible, if the number of units declared corresponds to the actual number of months spent by the researchers on the research training activities and if the conditions set out in Article 15.1.1 are met.

A.2. **Costs of providing financial support to costs for researchers in a programme implemented by a partner organisation** are eligible, if the number of units declared corresponds to the actual number of months spent by the researchers on the research training activities and if the conditions set out in Article 15.1.1 are met.



1. Researcher costs (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs for the researchers (recruited either by the beneficiary or by the partner organisation implementing the programme), by providing for:

- a monthly living allowance (A.1 or A.2) — to cover the employment or fixed-amount fellowship with social security coverage (i.e. gross amount, including compulsory deductions under national law, *such as employer/employee social security contributions and direct taxes*).

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is NO flexibility as regards the *use* of the researcher unit cost (i.e. living allowance). It must be fully used for each researcher; it can NOT be used to pay other researchers or other types of costs (see [Article 15](#)).

What not? Other costs of the beneficiary are not covered. Management costs are covered under budget category B 'management costs' (see [Article 6.2.B](#)). Other costs (*such as mobility and family allowances, research, training and networking costs, indirect costs*) are NOT eligible under the grant; they may be funded through other sources (including other EU programmes).

⚠ Combining H2020 & other EU grants — A MSCA-COFUND grant does NOT prevent the beneficiary or partner organisations from applying for further (non-H2020) EU funding for their programme — for costs that are not eligible (or not declared) under the MSCA-COFUND grant.

i For more information on how to combine EU funding from different programmes, see the [Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) (links to *Regional funding*).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)¹⁰⁴ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.


This is currently:

- for the living allowance:
 - for early-stage researchers in doctoral programmes: EUR 3 870 per researcher per month (person-month)

¹⁰⁴ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf

- for experienced researchers in fellowship programmes: EUR 5 480 per person-month.

 The unit cost that the beneficiary receives is however **EUR 1 935** and **EUR 2 740** respectively (because of the MSCA COFUND reimbursement rate).

 For the latest information on the amounts, see the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for MSCA grants is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiary must only indicate the number of implemented person-months (for researchers recruited under the action) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

1.3 Moreover, the costs (in practice for MSCA: the person-months) must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. the declared number of person-months must be linked to the implementation of the action, be incurred during the action duration, be identifiable and verifiable, etc.; see [Article 6.1](#))
- fulfil the **additional cost eligibility conditions** set out in [Article 15.1.1](#).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:

- for the living allowance:

amount per unit (see [Annex 2](#)) \times number of months actually spent by the recruited researchers on the research training activities ('number of implemented person-months')

For **partial months** of recruitment, a pro-rata unit cost of 1/30 will be reimbursed for each day (for ease of implementation, each month is considered to have 30 days).

Example: If the researcher spent 20 months and 15 days on the project, the calculation for the 15 days is: $15/30 = 0.5$. In this case, insert 20.5 as number of person-months.

Periods in which a researcher was **absent** for more than 30 consecutive days (for reasons other than normal annual leave) can NOT be charged to the action (and must be removed from the financial statement, by changing the researcher declaration).

Examples:

1. If the researcher is absent for 30 consecutive days (or less), the costs incurred during this period can be charged to the action.
2. If the researcher is absent for 31 consecutive days (or more), no costs can be charged for this period (from the first day of the absence)

B. Management costs are eligible if the costs of the researchers (living allowance; see above) are eligible.



1. Management costs (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** only the:

- management costs (B) — for direct costs of managing the action.

⚠ Project management — Coordination and administration tasks are considered **action tasks**.

⚠ Budget flexibility — There is some flexibility as regards the *use* of the amounts received:

- The management unit cost should be shared with partner organisations which incurred costs for the implementation of a programme or for hosting secondments (see [Article 8](#)).
- The management unit cost should be used for the management of the action, but unused amounts may be used for other action-related purposes (e.g. to increase the salary of the researchers).

What not? Other costs (such as research, training and networking costs AND indirect costs) are NOT eligible under the grant; they may be funded through OTHER sources (including other EU programmes).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit cost fixed by [Decision C\(2017\) 6855](#)¹⁰⁵ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:

- for management costs: EUR 650 per researcher per month (person-month).

⚠ The unit cost that the beneficiary receives is however **EUR 325** (because of the MSCA COFUND reimbursement rate).

i For the latest information on the amount, see *the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#) in force at the time of the call*.

In practice, the declaration of management costs for MSCA-COFUND grants is completely automatized because the IT system automatically calculates them on the basis of the number of person-months declared for the researcher costs.

1.3 The **eligibility** of the management costs is directly linked to (and conditional on) the eligibility of the costs for researchers.

Management costs are eligible only if ALL the eligibility conditions for the researchers are met. Person-months which are not eligible for budget category A 'costs for researchers' are also not eligible for budget category B 'management costs'.

Management costs can NOT be claimed separately (i.e. management costs cannot be claimed without living allowance).

1.4 The **costs** are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) like the costs for researchers:

¹⁰⁵ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_msca_en.pdf.

- for the management costs:

amount per unit (see Annex 2) **x** number of implemented person-months (declared for the researcher cost)

6.3 Ineligible costs

‘Ineligible costs’ are:

- (a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (in Article 6.1 and 6.2);
- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU or Euratom budget).
- (c) *[OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: **insert name of excluded cost category**]*.

6.4 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiary must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may:

- call upon partner organisations to implement certain action tasks described in Annex 1 (i.e. implementing a doctoral or fellowship programme including recruiting researchers or hosting and training researchers during a secondment).

In this case, the beneficiary retains sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For MSCA actions, the rules from the General MGA for third party involvement do not apply.

Instead, MSCA-COFUND allows the beneficiary to use:

- partner organisations

to carry out work under the action (**third parties involved in the action**).



A beneficiary using third parties remains **fully responsible** for them under the GA.

Best practice: In order to be able to fulfil this obligation, the beneficiary should make internal arrangements (e.g. conclude partnership agreements with partner organisations).


Third parties involved in the action do not sign the GA (see [Article 1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Their costs are considered already covered by the unit cost paid to the beneficiary; no additional costs will be reimbursed by the Agency. (The beneficiary is however encouraged to share the unit costs received with them.)

Partner organisations are third parties involved in the action without a (capital or legal) link to the beneficiary.

They may be used to:

- implement a doctoral or fellowship programme (i.e. recruit the researchers)
- provide training and hosting of researchers during a secondment (see [Article 15](#)).

 **Secondments** — For MSCA-COFUND, secondments can be:

- (optional) additional periods of research training with a partner organisation, in order to further enrich the training experience of the researchers

and/or

- for fellowship programmes similar to MSCA-IF GF: (mandatory) outgoing phase with a partner organisation (from a third country).

The beneficiary remains **fully responsible** for the action implementation (in accordance with the GA) also during secondments.

Partner organisations can recruit the researchers (and MUST do so if it is the partner organisation that implements the doctoral or fellowship programme; see [Article 15.1.1\(b\)](#)).

Their involvement must be clearly described in Annex 1 (in particular, tasks to be carried out).

They cannot claim costs separately (since their costs are covered by the unit costs paid to the beneficiary).

Partner organisations that implement a doctoral or fellowship programme must be established in an EU Member State, H2020 associated country, or third country listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#). Partner organisations hosting secondments can be established anywhere.

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF A [DOCTORAL] [FELLOWSHIP] PROGRAMME

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF A [DOCTORAL] [FELLOWSHIP] PROGRAMME

15.1 Rules for providing financial support to or implementation of a [doctoral][fellowship] programme

15.1.1 The beneficiary must ensure that the [doctoral][fellowship] programme (implemented by itself or a partner organisation) complies with the following conditions:

(a) **types of programme:**

[OPTION 1 for DPs: The programme must concern research training activities for recruited researchers that lead to the award of a doctoral degree ('doctoral programme');]

[OPTION 2 for FPs: The programme must concern fellowships for research training activities for recruited researchers ('fellowship programme').]

For fellowships where the main part of the research training activity does not take place in an EU Member State or associated country⁵, the return phase to an EU Member State or associated country may not be more than 50% of the total duration of the research training activity;]

(b) **categories of persons** that may be supported by the programme:

[OPTION 1 for DPs: The doctoral programme must support researchers, who — at the date of the call deadline or recruitment —:

- *are 'early-stage researchers' (i.e. in the first four years of their research careers and not have a doctoral degree);*
- *show **transnational mobility** by carrying out the research training activities in a country (or — in case of international European interest organisations — with this organisation) where they have not resided or carried out their main activity for more than 12 months in the 3 years immediately before the call deadline or recruitment — unless:*
 - *otherwise specified in Annex 1 for existing programme or*
 - *this time was as part of a procedure for obtaining refugee status under the Geneva Convention⁶;*
- *are nationals or long-term residents of an EU Member State or associated country⁷, in case of research training activities carried out in a country other than an EU Member State or associated country;*
- *fulfil any additional conditions set out in Annex 1.]*

[OPTION 2 for FPs: The fellowship programme must support researchers, who — at the date of the call deadline or recruitment —:

- *are 'experienced researchers' (i.e. in possession of a doctoral degree or have at least four years of research experience);*

- show **transnational mobility** by carrying out the research training activities in a country (or — in case of international European interest organisations — with this organisation) where they have not resided or carried out their main activity for more than [**OPTION A by default: 12 months in the 3**][**OPTION B for actions with activities similar to the MSCA-IF Society and Enterprise Panel, Career Restart Panel and Reintegration Panel: 3 years in the 5**] years immediately before to the call deadline or recruitment — unless:
 - otherwise specified in Annex 1 for existing programmes or;
 - this time was part of a procedure for obtaining refugee status under the Geneva Convention⁸;
- are nationals or long-term residents of an EU Member State or associated country, in case of research training activities carried out in a country other than an EU Member State or associated country;
- fulfil any additional conditions set out in Annex 1.]

Researchers that are already permanently employed by the entity where the research training activities take place may not be supported.

(c) **procedure and criteria for selecting researchers** in the programme:

[OPTION 1 for DPs: Researchers must be selected following an open, transparent, merit-based, impartial and equitable selection procedure, as described in Annex 1.

Vacancies must be internationally advertised and published (including on the web-sites requested by the Agency and must indicate the minimum gross salary to be offered to the researches, as set out in Annex 1).]

[OPTION 2 for FP: Researchers must be selected following an open, transparent, merit-based, impartial and equitable selection procedure, based on international peer review, as described in Annex 1.

The selection committee(s) must bring together diverse expertise, have an adequate gender balance and include members from other countries and with relevant experience to assess the candidates.

Fellowships must be granted via regular calls that are internationally advertised and published (including on the web-sites requested by the Agency) and have fixed deadlines or regular cut-off dates.

There must be no more than 4 deadlines or cut-off dates per year.

The calls must indicate the minimum gross salary to be offered to the researchers, as set out in Annex 1.]

(d) **conditions for the recruitment** of researchers under the programme:

- researchers must be recruited under an **employment contract** (or other direct contract with equivalent benefits, including social security coverage) or — if not otherwise possible under national law — under a fixed-amount-fellowship agreement with minimum social security coverage;
- researchers must be recruited for at least 3 months;
- *[OPTION 1 for DPs: for researchers recruited under an employment contract (or other direct contract with equivalent benefits, including social security): the total remuneration costs (salaries, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration) for each researcher per month are equal to or higher than EUR 2 709.*

For researchers recruited under a fixed-amount-fellowship agreement: the total costs of each fixed-amount fellowship per month are equal to or higher than EUR 1 354.50;]

- *[OPTION 2 for FPs: for researchers recruited under an employment contract (or other direct contract with equivalent benefits, including social security): the total remuneration costs (salaries, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration) for each researcher per month are equal to or higher than EUR 3 836;].*

For researchers recruited under a fixed-amount-fellowship agreement: the total costs of each fixed-amount fellowship per month are equal to or higher than EUR 1 918.]

- researchers should not have to bear any costs for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1.

15.1.2 In addition, the beneficiary must:

- for programmes implemented by itself:
 - take measures to implement the principles set out in the Commission Recommendation on the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers⁹ and ensure that the researchers are aware of them;
 - ensure that researchers enjoy — wherever the research training activities take place — the same standards of safety and occupational health as those of local researchers holding a similar position;
 - ensure that researchers are provided with the means to carry out the research training activities (including the infrastructure, equipment and products);
 - ensure that researchers receive appropriate assistance in all administrative procedures before national authorities;
 - ensure that researchers are employed full-time, unless duly justified for reasons associated with personal or family reasons;
 - ensure that researchers work exclusively on the research training activities;
 - ensure that the research training activities (including activities raising ethical issues and research on human embryos or human embryonic stem cell) comply with the ethical principles set out in Article 34;
 - ensure that the researchers are informed that they are ‘Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellows’;
 - ensure that researchers are paid in accordance with their contract (employment contract, other direct contract or fixed-amount fellowship agreement);
 - ensure that the contract (employment contract, other direct contract or fixed-amount fellowship agreement) complies with the provisions of this Agreement and specifies the research training activities;
 - ensure that the contract (employment contract, other direct contract or fixed-amount fellowship agreement) specifies arrangements relating to confidentiality and intellectual property rights (in particular to access to background, use of results, promoting the action) — during the research training activities and afterwards;
 - inform the researchers about their obligation to complete and submit — at the end of the research training activities — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — a follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency;
 - *[OPTIONS for DPs: ensure a fair gender representation in the recruited researchers (by promoting genuine equal access opportunities between men and women throughout the recruitment process);*

- *appoint a supervisor with adequate experience to provide the researchers with academic support and a career plan.]*
- for programmes implemented by a partner organisation:
 - ensure that the partner organisation complies with the obligations set out in this Article and
 - ensure that the partner organisation allows that the Agency, the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Article 22 and 23.

15.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches its obligations under Article 15.1.1, the costs of the researchers will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If the beneficiary breaches its obligations under Article 15.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to the application of any of the other measures provided for in Chapter 6.

⁵ For the definition, see Article 2.1(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in “Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)” (**‘Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013’**) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p.81): ‘associated country’ means a third country which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.

⁶ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.

⁷ For the definition, see Article 2.1(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in “Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)” (**‘Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013’**) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p.81): ‘associated country’ means a third country which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.

⁸ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.

⁹ Commission Recommendation No 251/2005/EC of 11 March 2005 on the European Charter for Researchers and on a Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers (OJ L 75, 22.3.2005, p. 67).



1. Providing financial support — Implementing a doctoral/fellowship programme

MSCA-COFUND can provide support both for a programme implemented by the beneficiary itself or for a programme of a partner organisation (which the beneficiary funds by providing financial support).

‘Providing financial support’ means that the beneficiary passes on the EU support it receives via the MSCA-COFUND grant to the partner organisation that implements the doctoral/fellowship programme (also called ‘cascade funding’).

⚠ Article 15 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 15.1.1) and ‘other obligations’ (in Article 15.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Types of programme — Categories of persons

The beneficiary/partner organisation must implement one of the following **types of** research training **programme** supporting transnational mobility:

- doctoral programme (DP), i.e. a programme with research training activities for recruited early-stage researchers that leads to the award of a doctoral degree

The degree does not necessarily need to be awarded within the action duration. However, if requested by the Agency, the beneficiary must be able to provide proof that the researcher actually received (or failed the examination for) the doctoral degree.

OR

- fellowship programme (FP), i.e. a programme with fellowships for recruited experienced researchers.

The **researchers** must — at the date of the call deadline or recruitment —:

- be one of the following two:
 - **early-stage researchers** (i.e. NOT have a doctoral degree AND be in the first four years (full-time-equivalent research experience) of their research career) or
 - **experienced researchers** (i.e. have a doctoral degree OR have at least four years (full-time-equivalent research experience) of research career).

Years of '**research career**' are counted from the date when the researcher obtained a degree entitling him/her to embark on a doctoral programme (either in the country in which it was obtained or in which s/he is recruited) — even if the doctorate was never started or envisaged.

- comply with the **mobility rule**, i.e. not have resided or carried out their main activity (*work, studies, etc.*) in the country of the beneficiary/partner organisation for more than:
 - for fellowship programmes similar to MSCA-IF Society and Enterprise Panel, Career Restart Panel and Reintegration Panel: 3 years in the 5 years immediately before the recruitment date or call deadline
 - for all other programmes (doctoral programmes and other fellowship programmes): 12 months in the 3 years immediately before the recruitment date or call deadline

— unless Annex 1 of the GA specifies otherwise for an already existing programme or the beneficiary/partner organisation is an 'international European interest organisation'¹⁰⁶, for whom the mobility rule is limited to time spent *with* them.

Exceptions in Annex 1 are only possible for existing programmes (NO exceptions for new programmes).

Short stays (such as holidays), compulsory national services (such as mandatory military service) and procedures for obtaining refugee status under the [Geneva Convention](#)¹⁰⁷ are NOT counted.

Researchers are NOT eligible if they are already permanently employed by the entity where the research training activities take place.

- if the programme is implemented by a partner organisation established in a **third country** (only third countries listed in [General Annex A to the H2020 Work Programme](#)) or if a secondment to a partner organisation in a non-associated third country constitutes the **main part** of the research training activities: be nationals or **long-term residents** of a EU Member State or H2020 associated country.

¹⁰⁶ For the definition, see Article 2.1(12) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: '**international European interest organisation**' means an international organisation, the majority of whose members are Member States or associated countries, and whose principal objective is to promote scientific and technological cooperation in Europe.

¹⁰⁷ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.

'Long-term resident' normally means a period of legal and continuous residence in EU Member States or H2020 associated countries for 5 or more consecutive years. Periods outside these countries will be taken into account if shorter than 6 consecutive months and all in all not more than 10 months.

For fellowship programmes similar to MSCA-IF EF Reintegration Panel: The long-term residence requirement will not apply to researchers who have obtained refugee status under the Geneva Convention¹⁰⁸ in a EU Member State or H2020 associated country.

NO other restrictions on researcher nationality or researcher origin or destination allowed.

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Selection procedure

The beneficiary/partner organisation must select researchers in line with the following criteria:

- open
- transparent
- merit-based
- impartial
- equitable
- described in Annex 1 and
- for fellowship programmes: based on international peer review.

For doctoral programmes: the beneficiary/partner organisation must publish vacancies as widely as possible

- at international level and
- on web-sites requested by the Agency (e.g. on [EURAXESS Jobs](#)¹⁰⁹).

Vacancies must indicate the minimum gross salary to be offered to the researchers, as set out in Annex 1.

For fellowship programmes: the beneficiary/partner organisation must publish calls for proposals (with a **maximum** of **4** fixed deadlines or regular cut-off dates **per year**) as widely as possible:

- at international level and
- on web-sites requested by the Agency (e.g. on [EURAXESS Jobs](#)).

The calls must indicate the minimum gross salary to be offered to the researchers, as set out in Annex 1.

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Recruitment and working conditions

The beneficiary/partner organisation must recruit the researchers under an **employment contract/equivalent direct contract** (i.e. other direct contract with equivalent benefits and social security coverage), including:

- sickness, parental, unemployment and invalidity benefits

¹⁰⁸ 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol.

¹⁰⁹ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/>.

- pension rights and
- benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases.

If national law prevents them from recruiting researchers under an employment contract/equivalent direct contract, the beneficiary/partner organisation may — exceptionally and subject to the Agency's prior agreement — offer a **fixed-amount fellowship** with minimum social security coverage, including:

- sickness, parental and invalidity benefits and
- benefits for accidents at work and occupational diseases.

In this case, the living allowance will be reduced by 50%.

The social security coverage must be guaranteed for the entire recruitment of the researcher (i.e. also during secondments, if any).

Staff provided by a temporary work agency — The beneficiary/partner organisation must always recruit researchers directly. Recruitments via other means (*e.g. temporary work agency*) are NOT allowed.

The researchers must be recruited for **at least 3 months**.

Researchers recruited under an employment contract/equivalent direct contract must receive a **minimum remuneration** — monthly **living allowance** (i.e. gross amount including salary, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration) and, if applicable, a single mobility allowance for the researcher to take up the doctoral or fellowship programme (to be funded through other sources) — of:

- for early-stage researchers (doctoral programmes): EUR 2 709
- for experienced researchers (fellowship programmes): EUR 3 836.

These amounts are halved for **fixed-amount fellowship agreements** (i.e. for early stage researchers EUR 1 354,50; for experienced researchers EUR 1 918).

Lower payments are considered as underpayment.

 Since the MSCA-COFUND grant reimburses 50% of the researcher unit cost (i.e. EUR 1 935 and EUR 2 740 respectively), this means that the grant covers only a part of the minimum remuneration. The **beneficiary must bear** ('co-fund') the **difference of EUR 774 or EUR 1096** per researcher.

It also means that there can be **NO budget flexibility**. The researcher unit cost must have been fully incurred for the benefit of the researcher (i.e. fully paid to the individual for whom they are claimed). There can be no amounts left (which the beneficiary could use for other action-related activities).

The total cost of the doctoral/fellowship programme, in particular the amounts to be provided for the benefit of the researchers and for the hosting organisation, must be specified in Annex 1.

A beneficiary with accounting in a **currency other than the euro** must ensure that — by the end of the doctoral/fellowship programme — the average monthly allowance (over the duration of the programme) respects the above-mentioned minimum.

Amounts to be paid in **other currencies** must be converted into euro as follows:

- if a daily rate is published in the C series of the *Official Journal of the European Union* for the currency in question: amounts must be converted into euro at the

average of the daily exchange rates published over the corresponding reporting period, as reported on the [ECB website](#)¹¹⁰

- if no daily rate is published: amounts must be converted at the average of the monthly accounting rates over the reporting period, using the [currency converter](#) on the Commission’s website¹¹¹.

For ease of implementation, monthly allowances for the recruited researchers can be calculated using a **conservative exchange rate**, if a corrective payment is then made (to the researchers) immediately after the end of the reporting period. This must be clearly explained in the employment contract/equivalent direct contract or fixed-amount-fellowship agreement.

The Agency will check if the living allowance was used fully for the benefit of the researchers. Any underpayments, if not corrected, may lead to a rejection of costs (for that researcher).

Recruited researchers should not have to bear **any costs** for the implementation of the action as described in Annex 1.

For doctoral programmes: recruited researchers must NOT be requested to pay visa-related fees or tuition fees for their research training and/or PhD programme (*e.g. student registration, access to student services (library, computing, etc.), teaching, supervision, examination and graduation*) neither from their own funds, nor from the researcher unit cost.

For fellowship programmes: the recruited researchers must NOT be requested to pay visa-related fees or tuition fees for their research training neither from their own funds, nor from the researcher unit cost.

5. ‘Other obligations’

In addition to the additional cost eligibility conditions in [Article 15.1.1](#), the beneficiary/partner organisation must comply with the following ‘other obligations’:

- the researchers must:
 - be **employed full-time**, unless duly justified for reasons associated with personal or family reasons.


This also means that a researcher can NOT benefit from two MSCA grants at the same time.

Part-time employment can be accepted:

- subject to prior approval by the Agency
- on personal and family grounds only (i.e. NOT for professional reasons) and
- if limited to a maximum of 50% of the time spent under the action.

In the case of part-time employment, costs are reported as pro-rata of the full-time unit cost.

Example: For one month of 50% part-time employment, 0.5 units should be claimed.

 While it is not possible to request part-time employment for **professional reasons**, the beneficiary may — in exceptional cases — request an interruption of the employment. If the Agency agrees (beforehand and in writing), there is no need for an amendment or GA suspension; it is sufficient NOT to declare the months not worked.

¹¹⁰ Available at <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/index.en.html>.

¹¹¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/budget/contracts_grants/info_contracts/inforeuro/inforeuro_en.cfm.

- **work exclusively** on the research training activities carried out under the action (i.e. may not combine several activities)

Complementary skills training (*e.g. a teaching activity as part of the research training*) is possible, but must NOT jeopardise the research training activities.

- complete and submit — at the end of the training — the evaluation questionnaire and — two years later — follow-up questionnaire provided by the Agency
- the contract must set out arrangements relating to **confidentiality** and **intellectual property rights**.

Assistance with intellectual property rights can be obtained via the [IPR Helpdesk](#)¹¹².

- for doctoral programmes: the beneficiary/partner organisation must:
 - ensure **gender balance** regarding the recruited researchers
 - appoint a **supervisor** with adequate experience to provide the researchers with academic support and a career development plan.

¹¹² Available at <https://www.iprhelpdesk.eu/>.

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

[...]

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiary must keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the number of units declared and the total remuneration or fixed-amount fellowship costs of the recruited researchers.

[...]




1. Records and other supporting documentation

For MSCA-COFUND, simplified rules on record-keeping apply: The beneficiary only needs to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence to prove that the person-months declared are correct, i.e.:

- the employment contracts (or other suitable documents, *e.g. fixed-fellowship agreements*)
- proof that:
 - the eligibility conditions for the researchers were complied with (*e.g. CV showing the researchers' seniority, copies of diplomas, documents relating to selection procedure*)
 - the researchers actually worked on the project (*e.g. lab books, scientific articles, library records*)
 - the obligations set out in [Article 15](#) were complied with
 - the minimum remuneration was paid to the researchers.

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (3 years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

 Costs that are not supported by appropriate and sufficient evidence may be **rejected** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

 Beneficiaries that throw away supporting documents bear the full risk of cost rejection by the Agency.

Specific case (record-keeping):

Documents for a programme implemented by a partner organisation — For a programme implemented by a partner organisation, it is the beneficiary that must keep the documents also for the partner organisation.

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The beneficiary must

- submit a '**researcher declaration**' within 20 days of the start of the research training activities, for each researcher;
- organise a '**mid-term meeting**' with the Agency;
- submit any **other deliverables** identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the Agency may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverable: Researcher declaration

What & When? The beneficiary must submit to the Agency a researcher declaration for each recruited researcher — within **20 days** of the start of the research training activities of the researcher.

It is important to give correct and complete information in the researcher declaration since the data will be automatically transmitted to Annex 4 (financial statement), for calculating the living allowance units. If the researcher declaration is not provided, it will NOT be possible to declare person-months for that researcher.

How? The researcher declarations must be prepared and submitted directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

2. Deliverable: Mid-term meeting

What & When? The beneficiary must organise a mid-term meeting with the Agency to present the implementation of the action in the light of the schedule in Annex 1.

Best practice: Please contact the Agency for the timing.

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

ARTICLE 25 — ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND

[...]

25.5 Access rights for the researcher

The beneficiary must — on a royalty-free basis — give access to the recruited researcher to background necessary for their research training activities under the action.

[...]



1. Access rights for the researcher

In view of the key role of the recruited researchers in MSCA-COFUND, the MSCA-COFUND MGA foresees special access rights for them (in addition to those of the General MGA; see [Articles 25 and 31 H2020 General MGA](#)):

The beneficiary must give the recruited researchers **access** to both **background** AND **results** necessary for their research training activities.

‘Background’ means any data, know-how or information — whatever its form or nature (tangible or intangible), including any rights such as intellectual property rights — that:

- is held by the beneficiary before it accedes to the GA (i.e. before grant signature)
- is needed to implement the action or exploit the results

AND that

- has been identified by the beneficiary in accordance with Article 24.

‘Results’ means any (tangible or intangible) output of the action, such as data, knowledge or information — whatever its form or nature, whether it can be protected or not — that is generated in the action as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights.

The beneficiary must moreover ensure that **partner organisations** (if any) give similar access.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Communication activities by the beneficiary

38.1.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results

The beneficiary must **promote the action** and its results, by providing targeted information to multiple audiences (including the media and the public) in a strategic and effective manner.

This does not change the dissemination obligations in Article 29, the confidentiality obligations in Article 36 or the security obligations in Article 37, all of which still apply.

Before engaging in a communication activity expected to have a **mainstream media coverage** the beneficiaries must inform the Agency (see Article 52).

38.1.2 Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem

Unless the Agency requests or agrees otherwise or unless it is impossible, any communication activity related to the action (including in electronic form, via social media, etc.) and any infrastructure, equipment and major results funded by the grant must:

- (a) display the EU emblem and
- (b) include the following text:

For communication activities: "This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

For infrastructure, equipment and major results: "This [infrastructure][equipment][insert type of result] is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [number]."

When displayed together with another logo, the EU emblem must have appropriate prominence.

For the purposes of their obligations under this Article, the beneficiaries may use the EU emblem without first obtaining approval from the Agency.

This does not, however, give them the right to exclusive use.

Moreover, they may not appropriate the EU emblem or any similar trademark or logo, either by registration or by any other means.

[...]



1. Communication activities (beneficiary) — Promoting the action — Mainstream media coverage

For MSCA, the rules for promoting the action are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 38.1.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the beneficiary must inform the Agency not only before a communication activity expected to have a *major* media impact, but before any activity expected to have **mainstream media coverage** (i.e. coverage in any forms of media, e.g. *print, TV, radio and electronic*,

addressing a non-specialist, non-scientific audience, *e.g. local, regional or national news*). This allows the Agency to ensure maximum publicity and to disseminate the coverage further — through social media, news updates, etc.

2. Visibility of EU funding

For MSCA, the same rules on visibility of EU funding apply as in the General MGA (see [Articles 27.3, 28.2, 29.4 and 38.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

In addition, the beneficiary must make specific reference to the MSCA grant. Thus, the clause acknowledging EU funding is slightly adapted.

III.6 H2020 MSCA-SNLS MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT OF THE GRANT AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 1 – SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT

[...] This grant is **linked** to another MSCA **grant** (number [insert number] — [insert acronym]).



1. Linked grant

MSCA-SNLS grants are always linked to another MSCA grant of the beneficiary (main grant – IF, ITN, RISE or Cofund).

The MSCA-SNLS provides support only to researchers or staff members with disabilities who are eligible under the linked MSCA GA.

Moreover, the MSCA-SNLS grant shares the fate of the main grant (*e.g. if the main grant is suspended or terminated, the MSCA-SNLS grant may also be suspended or terminated; see [Articles 49.2 and 50.3](#)*).

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the acquisition of the special needs items or services described in Annex 1, which are needed to allow the concerned researcher or staff member to participate in the linked grant (**‘action’**).



2. Action: MSCA-SNLS

What? MSCA-SNLS grants fund additional costs that MSCA researchers and staff members with disabilities face due to the increased costs of their mobility during another MSCA action (main grant).

MSCA-SNLS is awarded for the acquisition of special needs items or services (*e.g. assistance by third persons, adaptation of work environment, additional travel/transportation costs*) to allow the recruited or seconded researcher/staff member to participate in the main MSCA action.

What not? Additional costs entailed by the recruited or seconded researcher/staff member but already covered by another source (*e.g. social security or health insurance*) cannot be funded under the MSCA-SNLS.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated lump sum breakdown

The '**estimated lump sum breakdown**' for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the lump sum shares, per work package (i.e. special needs items or services).

The estimated lump sum breakdown does not prescribe how the EU contribution is to be used by the beneficiary. It shows the amounts that the Agency commits to pay if the action is implemented properly, regardless of the costs actually incurred.

4.2 Lump sum share transfers

The estimated lump sum shares indicated in Annex 2 cannot be adjusted by transfers of amounts between work packages (not even via an amendment; see Article 55).



1. Estimated lump sum breakdown

Since MSCA-SNLS grants do not use eligible costs, the MSCA-SNLS MGA does not have any budget categories. Instead, it has either a single lump sum or, if several special needs items or services are needed, a lump sum breakdown.

For technical reasons, each item or service must be considered a separate work package.

The breakdown is used to determine:

- the share of the lump sum to which the beneficiary is entitled for each work package.
- the maximum amount of grant reduction that can be applied for improper implementation of a work package.

***Example:** Annex 2 sets out EUR 10 000 for work package 1 (WP1) – Special assistance services for the entire action duration (12 months). The Agency carries out a review on the grant and concludes that WP1 was not properly implemented because only part of the activities of that work package were actually carried out (i.e. assistance was provided for 11 months instead of 12 months). The Agency will reduce the grant in proportion to the improper implementation of WP1 up to a maximum of EUR 10 000 (the share of the lump sum allocated to that work package).*

2. Lump sum share transfers

Given that MSCA-SNLS is a mono-beneficiary action, there is NO redistribution between beneficiaries.

Transfers between *work packages* are NOT possible, because the lump sum shares have been fixed for each special needs item or service.

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The ‘maximum grant amount’ is EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

5.2 Form of grant

The grant takes the **form** of a lump sum contribution for the implementation of the action.



1. Grant amount and form of grant

How much & which form? The MSCA-SNLS MGA does not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but is a **lump sum grant**.

The use of the lump sum is set out in the [H2020 Work Programme \(section 3 MSCA\)](#).

The lump sum will be fixed taking into account the H2020 MSCA funding rates. This means that the lump sum fixed in Article 5 does not have to be multiplied again by the funding rate; it is already the net maximum EU funding.

The lump sum is one amount for the entire action. It will be limited to a maximum of EUR 60 000 per researcher/staff member and will be available as of 2019.

There are no cost eligibility conditions. If the action is correctly implemented, the beneficiary is entitled to receive the lump sum fixed in the GA.

 The lump sum is deemed to cover ALL (direct and indirect) costs and cannot be increased.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages and reduction of non-approved work packages

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages and reduction of non-approved work packages

The amounts of the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) for the approved work packages declared by the beneficiary are added together.

The grant is reduced (see Article 43) for all other work packages (i.e. all work packages that have not been delivered or cannot be approved at the payment of the balance).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is further reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than improper implementation; see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Step 1 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 2.



1. Final grant amount

For MSCA-SNLS grants, the rules on the final grant amount are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

Moreover, since they are lump sum grants (and the amount declared cannot be higher than the amount fixed in Annex 2 anyways), there is NO need to calculate the:

- limit to the maximum grant amount or
- reduction due to the no-profit rule.

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 22) — the Agency reduces the grant (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations including improper implementation); see Article 43), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’.

This amount is calculated by the Agency by reducing the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 43.2).

1. Revised final grant amount

For MSCA-SNLS grants, the rules on the revised final grant amount are also in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.4 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION


The beneficiary must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may:

- purchase goods, works and services ;
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1;

in accordance with the specific rules set out in Article 10 to 14a.

In these cases, the beneficiary retains sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Third parties involved in the action

For MSCA-SNLS actions, NEITHER the rules from the General MGA NOR from the MSCA main grant for third party involvement apply.

There are NO special rules for purchases or subcontracting. The beneficiary may make purchases or subcontract tasks if this is needed for the special needs items or services.

 For all types of third party involvement (purchases and/or subcontracts), the **costs** are **ALL covered** by the lump sum. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiary must — for a period of three years after the payment of the balance — keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the proper implementation of the action.

It must make them available upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see Article 22), the beneficiary must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiary must keep the original documents. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The Agency may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance.

Records and other supporting documentation on the implementation of the action must be in line with the accepted standards in the respective field.

The beneficiary does not need to keep record about the costs actually incurred for implementing the action.

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.




1. Records and other supporting documentation

For MSCA-SNLS actions, the beneficiary does not need to keep full records on its actual costs; it only needs to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence (documentation, records) that the special needs items or services were provided to the researcher/staff member as described in Annex 1.

The records and supporting documentation must also prove that:

- the eligibility conditions for the researcher/staff member as per MSCA main grant were complied with
- the special need(s) of the researcher/staff member was certified by a competent national authority.

By contrast, timesheets or invoices are NOT needed. The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available. It must be correctly archived — for at least 3 years (because not above 60 000 EUR) after the balance is paid or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

 If the beneficiary cannot provide evidence of the proper implementation of the action, the grant may be **reduced** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the technical and financial reports set out in this Article. These reports include the request for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting period

The action has one reporting period:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]

20.3 Periodic reports — Requests for interim payments

Not applicable

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The beneficiary must submit — within 60 days following the end of the reporting period — a final report.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a **'final technical report'** containing:

- (i) an **overview of the results**.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

- (ii) a summary for publication by the Agency: not applicable;

- (iii) the answers to the **'questionnaire'**: not applicable;

- (b) a **'final financial report'** containing a **'financial statement'** (see Annex 4) which includes the **request for payment of the balance**.

The financial statement must declare the lump sum shares indicated in Annex 2, for the **work packages** that were completed.

If agreed by the Agency, the beneficiary may exceptionally also declare work packages that were not completed.

Lump sum shares which are not declared in the financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency.

The beneficiary must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the work packages have been completed and that the action in general has been properly implemented (see Article 7);

- the proper implementation can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Not applicable

[...]



1. Reports

What & When? For MSCA-SNLS actions, the beneficiary must submit a **final periodic report** at the end of the action.

Each report is composed of a technical and a financial report, however, the financial report is not to declare costs but completed work packages and corresponding lump sum shares (one or more, depending on the number of special needs items or services which are covered by the grant).

In principle, lump sum shares should be claimed only for special needs items and services that were fully provided to the researcher/staff member concerned. However, if agreed by the Agency, the beneficiary may exceptionally declare partial lump sum shares for work packages that were not completed, for example, due to technical reasons or reasons related to the researcher/staff member.

Best practice: In case of doubt, the beneficiary should contact the Agency about partial work packages.



The Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment.

***Example:** The beneficiary argues that it did not have enough time to complete the WP. The duration of the action could have been extended via an amendment for the beneficiary to have enough time.*

- non-implementation linked to financial reasons

***Example:** The special needs service turned out to be more expensive than initially expected and the beneficiary decided to provide less support than planned.*

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the beneficiary:

- one **pre-financing payment**;
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20).

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

[OPTION 1 by default: The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiary with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The amount of the pre-financing payment will be EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

The Agency will — except if Article 48 applies — make the pre-financing payment to the beneficiary within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3) whichever is the latest.

An amount of EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)], corresponding to 5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the Agency from the pre-financing payment and transferred into the 'Guarantee Fund'.]

[OPTION 2 if the JRC is the beneficiary: The Agency will make a pre-financing payment of EUR [insert amount including the 5% to be paid to the Guarantee Fund (insert amount in words)], within 30 days from the submission of a debit note from the JRC after the signature of the 'Arrangement'.

The JRC agrees that the amount of EUR [insert amount: 5% of the grant amount intended for the JRC (insert amount in words)], corresponding to its contribution to the Guarantee Fund (see Article 21.2), is transferred in its name by the Agency to the Guarantee Fund.]

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining lump sum shares for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the Agency will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report and the lump sum shares and work packages declared. Their approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of their content.

Incomplete work packages, and work packages that have not been delivered or cannot be approved will reduce the grant (see Articles 5 and 43).

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the Agency by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)

minus

pre-financing made}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the beneficiary together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the beneficiary
 - is negative, it will be recovered.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiary's consent — against any other amount owed by the beneficiary to the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount indicated, for the beneficiary, in the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annex 2).

[...]



1. Payments to be made

MSCA-SNLS actions have only one reporting period (see [Article 20.2](#)), with one pre-financing payment.

There are NO interim payments.

The balance is paid when the action ends.

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount to be paid (or recovered) at payment of the balance (final payment) will be calculated by the Agency on the basis of the financial statement, the final grant amount and the payments already made.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.3, 21.4 and 44.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs, but simply sums up all the lump sum shares for the accepted work packages. Partial work packages can exceptionally be accepted (i.e. shares for work packages that were not

completed, for example due to technical reasons or reasons related to the researcher/staff member).

Examples:

1. The beneficiary of an ongoing MSCA-SNLS GA cannot complete the pending work packages because the person providing assistance to the MSCA-RISE staff member with special mobility needs is no longer able to provide the service and the staff member in question does not want to replace his/her assistant. Therefore, the beneficiary requests termination of the MSCA-SNLS GA. The Agency decides to accept part of the lump sum shares for the uncompleted work packages that is proportionate to the tasks already carried out under the MSCA-SNLS action.

2. The participation of an early-stage researcher (ESR) with physical impairment has been terminated in the linked MSCA-ITN GA due to personal circumstances of the researcher (e.g. another professional opportunity). Given that the grounds for termination of the MSCA-SNLS are not linked to the beneficiary, the Agency decides to exceptionally accept partial work packages that were initiated but could not be fully completed. The Agency will however verify if the reported lump sum shares for the uncompleted work packages are proportionate to the tasks carried out.



The Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment.

Example: The beneficiary argues that it did not have enough time to complete the WP. The duration of the action could have been extended via an amendment for the beneficiary to have enough time.

- non-implementation linked to financial reasons

Example: The special needs service turned out to be more expensive than initially expected and the beneficiary decided to provide less support than planned.

Steps 2 (Limit to the maximum grant amount) and 3 (Calculation of receipts & deduction of profit) do not apply to MSCA-SNLS grants.

Step 4 (Grant reductions) relates to grant reductions for breaches *other* than non-implementation (because non-implementation is already taken into account for Step 1).

All other steps follow the General MGA.

Audit implementation after payment of the balance — Revised final grant amount

The amount to be recovered (or paid) after the final payment will be calculated by the Agency on the basis of the final grant amount and the revised final grant amount.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.4 and 44.1.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Re-calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply; only Step 4 (Grant reductions) applies.

All other steps follow the General MGA.

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

22.1 Checks, reviews and audits by the Agency and the Commission

22.1.1 Right to carry out checks

The Agency or the Commission will — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — check the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement, including assessing deliverables and reports.

[...]

22.1.2 Right to carry out reviews

The Agency or the Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out reviews on the proper implementation of the action (including assessment of deliverables and reports), compliance with the obligations under the Agreement and continued relevance of the action.

[...]

22.1.3 Right to carry out audits

The Agency or the Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out audits on the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

[...]



1. Checks, reviews and audits

The rules on checks, reviews and audits are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 22 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since MSCA-SNLS grants do not use eligible costs, their scope will differ as follows:

- there will be no *financial* checks, reviews, or audits to check costs (since the beneficiary has no obligation to document the costs incurred for the action, even if they remain subject to the accounting rules under the applicable national law).
- checks, reviews, and audits will focus on the *technical* implementation of the action (in particular whether the special needs items and services have been provided to the researcher/staff member as described in Annex 1 of the GA).

Specific cases (audits):

Audits for periodical assessment of simplified cost forms — The Commission/Agency may also audit the accounting records of the beneficiary to obtain general information about real costs of cost items for which it has fixed unit costs, flat-rate or lump sums (for statistical purposes or to gather data to assess the adequacy of its unit cost, flat rate or lump sum). Such audits have no consequences for the beneficiary.

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The Agency will — **at the payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

Not applicable

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the Agency will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund; and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency or the Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:

(i) not applicable;

(ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC¹² applies.

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than the final grant amount, the beneficiary must repay the difference to the Agency.

The Agency will formally notify a **pre-information letter** to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency or the Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) not applicable;
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.



1. Recovery of undue amounts

The rules on recovery of undue amounts are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 44 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for MSCA-SNLS grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

SECTION 3 SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

47.1 Conditions

The Agency may — at any moment — suspend the payment deadline (see Article 21.2 to 21.4) if a request for payment (see Article 20) cannot be approved because:

- (a) it does not comply with the provisions of the Agreement (see Article 20);
- (b) the technical or financial report has not been submitted or is not complete or additional information is needed, or
- (c) there is doubt about the amounts requested for payment (including the need for a grant reduction) and additional checks, reviews, audits or investigations are necessary.

47.2 Procedure

The Agency will formally notify the beneficiary of the suspension and the reasons why.

The suspension will **take effect** the day notification is sent by the Agency (see Article 52).

If the conditions for suspending the payment deadline are no longer met, the suspension will be **lifted** — and the remaining period will resume.

If the suspension exceeds two months, the beneficiary may request the Agency if the suspension will continue.

If the payment deadline has been suspended due to the non-compliance of the technical or financial report (see Article 20) and the revised report or statement is not submitted or was submitted but is also rejected, the Agency may also terminate the Agreement (see Article 50.3.1(l)).



1. Suspension of the payment deadline (EU)

The rules on the suspension of the payment deadline are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 47 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The MSCA-SNLS MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Since there are no cost eligibility conditions about which there could be doubts, the suspension ground for MSCA-SNLS grants targets more generally any kinds of doubts about the amounts requested for payment (including doubts linked to work package implementation).

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

49.1 Suspension of the action implementation, by the beneficiary

49.1.1 Conditions

The beneficiary may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if exceptional circumstances — in particular *force majeure* (see Article 51) — make implementation impossible or excessively difficult.

49.1.2 Procedure

The beneficiary must immediately formally notify to the Agency the suspension (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the expected date of resumption.

The suspension will **take effect** the day this notification is received by the Agency.

Once circumstances allow for implementation to resume, the beneficiary must immediately formally notify the Agency and request an **amendment** of the Agreement to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement has been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be **lifted** with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment.

This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

During the suspension, no work may be done. Ongoing work packages must be interrupted and no new work packages may be started.

49.2 Suspension of the action implementation, by the Agency

49.2.1 Conditions

The Agency may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if:

- (a) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed or is suspected of having committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (b) the beneficiary or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (extension of findings from other grants to this grant; see Article 22.5.2);

(c) not applicable;

(d) the action implementation of the linked grant (see Article 2) is suspended.

49.2.2 Procedure

Before suspending implementation of the action, the Agency will formally notify the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to suspend the implementation and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the Agency does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the suspension. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The suspension will **take effect** five days after confirmation notification is received by the beneficiary (or on a later date specified in the notification).

It will be **lifted** if the conditions for resuming implementation of the action are met.

The beneficiary will be formally notified of the lifting and the Agreement will be **amended** to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement has already been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be lifted with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment. This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

During the suspension, no work may be done. Ongoing work packages must be interrupted and no new work packages may be started.

The beneficiary may not claim damages due to suspension by the Agency (see Article 46).

Suspension of the action implementation does not affect the Agency's right to terminate the Agreement (see Article 50), reduce the grant or recover amounts unduly paid (see Articles 43 and 44).



1. GA suspension (consortium or EU)

The rules on GA suspension are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For *full* GA suspension (action is entirely suspended), all on-going work packages (i.e. special needs items or services) must be interrupted and no new work packages may be started.

For *partial* GA suspensions (suspension of specific work package(s)), other work packages may be continued and new work packages may be started.

2. GA suspension — Additional ground for suspension: Suspension of main grant (EU)

In addition to the normal grounds for GA suspension (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)), the Agency may suspend the MSCA-SNLS action if the main grant (to which the MSCA-SNLS grant is linked) is suspended.

***Example:** The beneficiary of an ongoing MSCA-IF grant has requested GA suspension for family grounds of the recruited researcher with visual impairment. The beneficiary has already fully adapted the main work environment but the adaptation of a small laboratory is still missing. The Agency will suspend the MSCA-SNLS GA until the MSCA-IF action will be resumed.*

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiary

[...]

50.1.2 Effects

The beneficiary must submit — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no lump sum shares will be taken into account.

The Agency will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21) on the basis of the report submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see Article 43).

After termination, the beneficiary's obligations (in particular Article 20, 22, 23, 36, 38, 40, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

Not applicable

50.3 Termination of the Agreement, by the Agency

50.3.1 Conditions

The Agency may terminate the Agreement if:

- (a) not applicable;
- (b) a change to the beneficiary's legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) not applicable;
- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see Article 51) or suspended by the beneficiary (see Article 49.1) and either:
 - (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) the beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;
- (f) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no lump sum shares will be taken into account.

The Agency will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21) on the basis of the report submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the Agency.

This does not affect the Agency's right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative sanctions (Article 45).

The beneficiary may not claim damages due to termination by the Agency (see Article 46).

After termination, the beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, 36, 38, 40, 43 and 44) continue to apply.



1. GA termination

The rules on GA termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for MSCA-SNLS grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

2. GA termination — Additional ground for termination: Termination of main grant or researcher/staff member's participation in main grant (EU)

In addition to the normal grounds for GA termination (see [Article 50.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), the Agency may terminate the MSCA-SNLS action if the main grant or the researcher/staff member's participation in the main grant (to which the MSCA-SNLS grant is linked) is terminated.

Example: *The beneficiary of MSCA-COFUND grant has committed substantial errors and irregularities and the Agency has decided to terminate the GA. The MSCA-COFUND GA is linked to MSCA-SNLS GA which foresees the construction of multiple ramps at the premises of the beneficiary to create an accessible work environment. The termination of the MSCA-COFUND GA serves as a ground for termination of the MSCA-SNLS GA by the Agency.*

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 55 — AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT

55.1 Conditions

The Agreement may be amended, unless the amendment entails changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

Amendments may be requested by any of the parties.

55.2 Procedure

The party requesting an amendment must submit a request for amendment signed in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

The request for amendment must include:

- the reasons why;
- the appropriate supporting documents, and

The Agency may request additional information.

If the party receiving the request agrees, it must sign the amendment in the electronic exchange system within 45 days of receiving notification (or any additional information the Agency has requested). If it does not agree, it must formally notify its disagreement within the same deadline. The deadline may be extended, if necessary for the assessment of the request. If no notification is received within the deadline, the request is considered to have been rejected.

An amendment **enters into force** on the day of the signature of the receiving party.

An amendment **takes effect** on the date agreed by the parties or, in the absence of such an agreement, on the date on which the amendment enters into force.



1. Amendments

The rules for amendments are the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Given the parallelism of the MSCA-SNLS grants and the main grant, there may be a need to amend both in a synchronised manner.

***Example:** If the time spent by the researcher or staff member on the linked MSCA action is changed (e.g. for MSCA-IF from full-time to part-time), the duration of the MSCA-SNLS action may also have to be adapted accordingly.*

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY

ARTICLE 56a — TRANSFER OF THE AGREEMENT TO A NEW BENEFICIARY

56a.1 Conditions

If the linked grant (see Article 1) is transferred to a new beneficiary, the beneficiary may also request the transfer of this action.

56a.2 Procedure

The beneficiary must formally notify a **request for amendment** to the Agency (see Article 55).

The request must include:

- the reasons why;
- the date the change takes effect;
- the opinion of the researcher or staff member;
- a proposal for the necessary changes, including the Accession Form for the new beneficiary (see Annex 3).

The change **will take effect** on the day set out in the amendment.

56a.3 Effects

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement will be **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 55).

In this case, the former beneficiary must:

- transfer immediately the remaining contribution to the new beneficiary and
- submit — within 30 days from the change — a **‘transfer report’**, containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20).

The lump sum will be split between the former beneficiary and the new beneficiary, on the basis of the part performed by the former beneficiary and the remaining part to be given by the new beneficiary. If the pre-financing received by the former beneficiary (see Article 21) exceeds the amount of the lump sum recalculated for the former beneficiary, the Agency will request the former beneficiary to transfer to the new beneficiary any part of the pre-financing received in excess.

Where necessary, the former and the new beneficiary must agree on arrangements concerning the transfer of any equipment, materials and/or services purchased and used for the researcher or staff member concerned. The former beneficiary may object only on the basis that the transfer is technically not possible or not possible under national law.

If the Agency considers that the reasons provided do not justify the transfer, it will reject the request specifying the grounds for the rejection.



1. Transfer of the GA

MSCA-SNLS grant can be **transferred** to a new beneficiary if the main grant (MSCA-IF, MSCA-ITN, MSCA-RISE or MSCA-COFUND) is transferred to it.

Transfer of the main grant agreement may cover:

- 'transfer' in the sense of Article 56a MSCA-IF MGA
- change of beneficiary due to 'partial takeover'
- addition of a new beneficiary to the main grant, which will host the researcher/staff member with special needs.

⚠ Transfer — The rights and obligations under the GA are transferred from the beneficiary to a new beneficiary — without passing via termination (*Article 50*) or addition of a new beneficiary (*Article 56*).

NO transfer before the amendment has been accepted by the Agency. Transfers without a formal amendment are void and may result in GA termination. The former beneficiary remains fully responsible until the Agency has approved the amendment.

The transfer may NOT entail changes to the GA which would call into question the decision to award the MSCA-SNLS grant.

Example: The transfer will not be accepted if the special needs item or service is eligible for funding by another source in the country of the new beneficiary (e.g. social security or health insurance system).

2. Procedure

The former beneficiary must submit a **request for amendment** to the Agency.

For the amendment, the rules of the General MGA apply (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The **transfer date** will be added to the Preamble of the GA.

The date must be selected in the amendment request. The transfer date must be the same date as the accession date of the new beneficiary. Retroactive dates are allowed, but only in exceptional cases and with a specific justification (see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The amendment request must include the Accession Form (i.e. the statement to take over all rights and obligations under the GA) of the new beneficiary (signed directly in the Funding & Tenders Portal).

i For more guidance on amendments, see the [Guide on amendment types & supporting documents and the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#).

3. Effects

The former beneficiary must submit — within 30 days from the transfer date — a transfer report, with information on:

- the progress of the work and
- the financial statement (for the open period until transfer).

This report is for information purposes only. The Agency will use it to decide on how to split the lump sum and instruct the former beneficiary to **transfer** the **remaining pre-financing** to the new beneficiary.

The financial statement for the open reporting period until transfer must be also uploaded with the final report (by the new beneficiary, together with its own financial statement).

The lump sum will be split between the former beneficiary and the new beneficiary — on the basis of the part performed by the former beneficiary and the remaining part to be given to the new beneficiary. If the pre-financing received by the former beneficiary (see [Article 21](#)) exceeds the amount of the lump sum recalculated for the former beneficiary, the Agency will request the former beneficiary to transfer to the new beneficiary any part of the pre-financing received in excess.

The former and the new beneficiary must agree on arrangements, where necessary, concerning the transfer of the equipment, materials and/or services purchased for the researcher/staff member.

IV. SME Instrument MGAs

IV.1 Background information and approach

The SME Instrument Model Grant Agreements are used for SME Instrument actions only, i.e.:

- SME Ph1 Lump Sum
- SME Ph2.

The SME Instrument MGAs follow the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 4 (estimated budget of the action)
- Article 5 (maximum grant amount, form of grant and reimbursement rate)
- Article 6 (lump sum specific form of costs)
- Article 7 (specific provision for SME Ph1)
- Article 8, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23a-33, 37, 39 (not applicable)
- Article 10 (SME Ph1 specific provision for purchase)
- Article 13 (SME Ph1 specific provision subcontracting)
- Article 18 (SME Ph1 specific provision for record-keeping)
- Article 20 (SME Ph1 specific reporting provisions)
- Article 21 (SME Ph1 specific payment provisions)
- Article 36 (SME Ph1 specific provision on confidentiality)
- Article 38 (SME Ph1 specific provision on promoting the action)
- Article 42 (SME Ph1 specific provision for lump-sum)
- Article 50 (SME Ph1 specific provision for lump-sum)

- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement

The H2020 SME Ph2 MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 5.2 (SME Ph2 specific provisions on reimbursement rate)
- Article 13 (SME Ph2 specific provisions on subcontracting)
- Article 26.3 (SME Ph2 ownership of results, rights of third parties)

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Articles 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23a - 33, 37, 39 H2020 SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA, Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific explanation or interpretation for SME Instrument actions are added:

for SME Ph1 Lump Sum:

- Article 2 ([SME Ph1 actions](#))
- Article 8 ([SME Ph1 rules on third party involvement](#))

For SME Ph2:

- Article 2 ([SME Ph2 actions](#))
- Article 4.1 ([SME Ph2 budget categories](#))

- Article 6 ([SME Ph2 eligible and ineligible costs](#))
- Article 8 ([SME Ph2 rules on third party involvement](#))
- Article 20 ([SME Ph2 reporting](#))

The annotations (for both Ph1 and Ph2) are based on the mono-beneficiary version, since it is the most common for SME Instrument actions. They normally also apply to multi-beneficiary grant agreements. Where there are significant differences (i.e. Articles 21, 41, 44 and 50), the multi-beneficiary version of the Article is shown.

IV.2 H2020 SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. SME Instrument Ph1 Lump Sum actions

What? SME Instrument Ph1 Lump Sum funds:

- the feasibility study for an innovation idea (i.e. a 'proof of concept' or 'business plan' for new, altered or improved product, process or service).

The feasibility study of Phase 1 should cover all topics that are necessary to assess quality and potential of the innovation idea (*e.g. risk assessment, market study, user involvement, intellectual property management, innovation strategy development, partner search, feasibility of concept etc.*).

The innovation idea must have commercial potential and considerable novelty for its sector (i.e. for technological innovation ideas: 'technology readiness level' of 6 or above; see [General Annex G to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

The implementation in Phase 2 must then focus on innovation activities (*e.g. demonstration, testing, prototyping, piloting, scaling-up, miniaturisation, design, market replication*) but may also include some research.

If provided for in the work programme/call, an SME Instrument Ph2 action may exceptionally concern pre-dominantly *research* activities. In this case, it will be funded with a GA based on the General MGA (instead of the SME Instrument Ph2 MGA).

SME actions are normally mono-beneficiary actions, but can also be multi-beneficiary.

SME actions are funded under Part II of Horizon 2020, 'Innovation in SMEs' (*e.g. H2020-SMEINST-01-2016-2017*).

i For more information on SME Instrument Ph1 actions, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets*.

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the General Annexes to the H2020 Work Programme and the call and topics pages of the call*.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the budget category, the estimated eligible costs and the form of costs (see Articles 5 and 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

Not applicable



1. Budget category

The SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Since these grants consist in a single lump sum, there is only one budget category.

Budget category of the SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA:

- costs for the feasibility study (direct and indirect costs)

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The **maximum grant amount** is EUR 50 000 (fifty thousand euros).

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses 70% of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR 71 429 (seventy one thousand four hundred and twenty nine).

Eligible costs (see Article 6) for the costs for the feasibility study must be declared as the lump sum set out in Annex 2 (i.e. under the form of 'lump sum costs').




1. Maximum grant amount — Reimbursement rate — Cost forms

How much & which form? The SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA does not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but only the **lump sum** set out in [Decision C\(2013\) 8198](#)¹¹³.

The lump sum has been set at EUR 71 429, to give a round amount of EUR 50 000 when the reimbursement rate of 70 % is applied. It is one amount (for the entire consortium).

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#). If the action is correctly implemented, the beneficiaries are entitled to receive this fixed amount of EU funding (lump sum).

 The lump sum is deemed to **cover ALL** (direct and indirect) **costs** that are incurred for the feasibility study. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

¹¹³ Commission Decision C(2013) 8198 of 10 December 2013 authorising the reimbursement on the basis of a lump sum for SME Instrument Phase 1 actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-ph1_en.pdf.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The final grant amount depends on the proper implementation of the action in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the Agency — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to the eligible costs (lump sum costs; see Article 6) declared by the beneficiary and approved by the Agency (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations— Reduced maximum grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the Agency will calculate the reduced maximum grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

In this case, the final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained in Step 1 or
- the amount obtained in Step 2.



1. Final grant amount

For SME Ph1 grants, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since they are lump sum grants (and the amount declared is pre-filled by the system), there is NO need to calculate the:

- limit to the maximum grant amount or
- reduction due to the no-profit rule.

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 22) — the Agency rejects costs (see Article 42) or reduces the grant (see Article 43), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’.

This **amount** is calculated by the Agency on the basis of the findings, as follows:

- in case of **rejection of costs**: by applying the reimbursement rate to the revised eligible costs approved by the Agency;
- in case of **reduction of the grant**: in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 43.2).

In case of **rejection of costs and reduction of the grant**, the revised final grant amount will be the lower of the two amounts above.



1. Revised final grant amount

For SME Ph1 grants, the rules on the calculation of the revised final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, for SME Ph1 Lump Sum grants, improper implementation of the action leads to *ineligibility* of the costs (NOT to grant *reduction*). Therefore, the grant reduction mentioned in this provision does not relate to improper implementation of the action (but only to other breaches and substantial errors, irregularities and fraud; see [Article 43](#)).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 Eligible costs

Costs for the budget category:

A. Costs for the feasibility study (direct and indirect costs)

are eligible ('eligible costs'), if they correspond to the lump sum set out in Annex 2 and if the corresponding tasks or parts of the action have been properly implemented in accordance with Annex 1.




1. Eligible costs

The SME Ph1 MGA has its **own budget category**, with its own **types of costs, eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

2. Costs for the feasibility study (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

2.1 What? The budget category A 'costs for the feasibility study' **covers** the (direct and indirect costs) for the feasibility study for the innovation idea (see [Article 2](#)).

 The lump sum is deemed to **cover ALL** (direct and indirect) **costs** that are incurred for the feasibility study. **NO** other costs will be reimbursed.

2.2 These costs must be **declared as** the amount fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8198](#)¹¹⁴ and indicated in Annex 2 of the GA (currently EUR 71 429 per action).

 Since SME Ph1 grants have a **reimbursement rate** of **70%**, the lump sum that the beneficiaries receive is **EUR 50 000**.

In practice, the declaration of costs for SME Ph1 grants is completely automatized. The coordinator only needs to sign and submit the financial statement (pre-filled by the IT system).

2.3 They must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- the action tasks must have been carried out as described in Annex 1.

2.4 NO calculation is necessary (since it is a fixed global amount).

By signing the financial statement (for multi-beneficiary actions: one common financial statement for the consortium; see [Article 20](#)), the beneficiaries automatically declare the amount of EUR 71 429 as the total eligible costs of the action (the amount is fixed and pre-filled by the IT system).

¹¹⁴ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-ph1_en.pdf.

6.2 Ineligible costs

‘Ineligible costs’ are:

- (a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (see Article 6.1) and
- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU and Euratom budget.
- [(c) **OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme:** *[insert name of excluded cost category]*].

6.3 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

7.1 General obligation to properly implement the action

The beneficiary must implement the action as described in Annex 1 and in compliance with the provisions of the Agreement and all legal obligations under applicable EU, international and national law.

7.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary does not properly implement the action (or part of it), the corresponding costs will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If the beneficiary breaches any other obligation, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6



1. Consequences of improper implementation

For SME Ph1 Lump Sum grants, 'improper implementation of the action not in accordance with Annex 1' does NOT lead to grant *reduction*, but to the *ineligibility* of the costs (see [Article 6.1](#)).

 If the action is not carried out as described in Annex 1, costs will be **rejected** proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented (see [Article 42](#)).

If the feasibility study is incomplete and thus does not meet the intended objective or is of insufficient quality (e.g. *does not permit to assess the quality and potential of the innovation idea*), ALL costs will be declared ineligible and there will be NO EU financial contribution

By contrast, in case of other serious breaches the grant may be *reduced* (see [Article 43](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiary must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10) and
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13).

In these cases, the beneficiary retains sole responsibility towards the Agency for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

The rules of the General MGA on third party involvement are only partly applicable to the SME Ph1 Lump Sum MGA.

The special rules from the General MGA on third party involvement are limited in SME Ph1 actions to purchases ([Article 10](#)) and subcontracting ([Article 13](#)).

 The costs of purchases and subcontracts are **ALL covered** by the lump sum. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

In-kind contributions (Articles 11 and 12) and linked third parties (Article 14) or international partners (Article 14a) are NOT allowed (since it is a very simple action and moreover targeted at SMEs, the Agency expects the beneficiaries to implement the main part of the work — without relying on third parties).

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

10.1 Rules for purchasing goods, works or services

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may purchase goods, works or services.

The beneficiary must make such purchases ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, it must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

The beneficiary must ensure that the Agency, the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards its contractors.

10.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Purchase of goods, works or services

The rules on contracts for the purchase of goods, works or services for SME Ph1 actions are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 10 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Since SMEs are, by definition, not 'contracting authorities', there is however NO obligation as regards compliance with the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#), [2014/25/EU](#)¹¹⁵ or [2009/81/EC](#) and the national legislation implementing them.

Moreover, the obligations in Article 10.1 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but '*other obligations*'. In case of breach, the Commission/Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

¹¹⁵ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

13.1 Rules for subcontracting action tasks

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may award subcontracts covering the implementation of certain action tasks described in Annex 1.

The beneficiary must award the subcontracts ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, it must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

The beneficiary must ensure that the Agency, the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards its subcontractors.

The beneficiary must ensure that its obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Subcontracting

The rules on subcontracting for SME Ph1 actions are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Articles 8 and 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The SME Ph1 MGA has however the following **specificities**:

Subcontracting is NOT restricted to a limited part of the action.

Moreover, the estimated subcontracting costs do NOT have to be included in Annex 1 or shown in the table of estimated costs of Annex 2 (since they are covered by the lump sum).

Since SMEs are not contracting authorities, there is also NO obligation as regards compliance with the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#), [2014/25/EU](#)¹¹⁶ or [2009/81/EC](#) and the national legislation implementing them.

¹¹⁶ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

Finally, the obligations in Article 13.1 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but '*other obligations*'. In case of breach, the Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiary must — for a period of three years after the balance is paid — keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove that the corresponding tasks or part of the action as described in Annex 1 have been implemented properly. The beneficiary does not need to identify the actual eligible costs covered or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount declared as the lump sum.

It must make them available upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see Article 22), the beneficiary must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiary must keep the original documents. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The Agency may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, costs insufficiently substantiated will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Records and other supporting documentation

For SME Ph1 actions, beneficiaries do not need to keep full records on their actual costs; they only need to keep the evidence (documentation, records) that the action tasks (as described in Annex 1) were properly carried out.

Examples: Evidence means documentation that proves that the tasks were carried out. Describing all the tasks relating to the feasibility study is usually enough.

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit the report

The beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the **final report** set out in this Article. This report includes the request for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting period

The action has one reporting period:

- RP1: from month 1 to month

20.3 Periodic reports — Requests for interim payments

Not applicable

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) — within 60 days following the end of the reporting period — a final report, which includes the request for payment of the balance.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a '**final technical report**' containing a **summary** with:
 - (i) an overview of the results;
 - (ii) the conclusions on the action;
 - (iii) the answers to the '**questionnaire**', covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements.
- (b) a '**final financial report**' containing a '**financial statement**' (see Annex 4), which includes the **request for payment of the balance**.

The financial statement must detail the eligible costs (lump sum costs; see Article 6 and Annex 2).

Amounts which are not declared in the financial statement will not be taken into account by the Agency.

The beneficiary must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the costs declared are eligible (i.e. that the action has been properly implemented; see Article 6);
- the costs (i.e. the proper implementation of the action) can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Not applicable

20.6 Currency for financial statements

The financial statement must be drafted in euro.

20.7 Language of report

The report (technical and financial final report, including the financial statement) must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

[...]



1. Reports

What & When? At the end of the action, the beneficiary (for multi-beneficiary actions: the coordinator) must submit a **final periodic report**, containing a technical and a financial report.

2. Reporting period

SME Ph1 actions have only one reporting period (with one pre-financing payment; see [Article 21](#)).

3. Final financial report: Financial statement

The final financial statement (for multi-beneficiary actions: one common financial statement for the consortium) is automatically pre-filled by the system (with EUR 50 000 as final grant amount and EUR 71 429 as the total eligible costs of the action; see [Article 5](#)).

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the beneficiary:

- one **pre-financing payment**;
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20).

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiary with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The amount of the pre-financing payment will be EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

The Agency will — except if Article 48 applies — make the pre-financing payment to the beneficiary within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest.

An amount of EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)], corresponding to 5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the Agency from the pre-financing payment and transferred into the ‘**Guarantee Fund**’

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the eligible costs incurred by the beneficiary for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the Agency will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the Agency by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{ final grant amount (see Article 5.3)

minus

pre-financing made }.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the beneficiary together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the beneficiary
 - is negative, it will be recovered.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiary's consent — against any other amount owed by the beneficiary to the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the beneficiary, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2).

21.5 Notification of amounts due

The Agency will formally notify to the beneficiary the amount due and specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 44.

[...]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

[...]

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the eligible costs incurred by the beneficiaries for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the Agency will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the Agency by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)

minus

pre-financing made}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the coordinator together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the coordinator
 - is negative, it will be recovered from the coordinator.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the coordinator's consent — against any other amount owed by the coordinator to the Agency, the Commission or an[other] executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

[...]



1. Payments to be made — No interim payments

SME Ph1 actions have only one reporting period (see [Article 20.2](#)), with one pre-financing payment.

There are NO interim payments.

The balance is paid when the action ends.

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Pre-financing payment

How much? The pre-financing payment for SME Ph1 actions is up to 50% of the maximum grant amount (i.e. up to EUR 25 000).

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount of the payment of the balance depends on the overall financial situation of the action, after calculation of the final grant amount (see [Article 5.3](#)).

ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY

ARTICLE 36 — CONFIDENTIALITY

36.1 General obligation to maintain confidentiality

The parties must keep confidential any data, documents or other material (in any form) that is identified as confidential at the time it is disclosed ('**confidential information**').

They may use confidential information to implement the Agreement.

The Agency may disclose confidential information also to its staff, other EU institutions and bodies.

The confidentiality obligations no longer apply if:

- (a) the disclosing party agrees to release the other party;
- (b) the information was already known by the recipient or is given to him without obligation of confidentiality by a third party that was not bound by any obligation of confidentiality;
- (c) the recipient proves that the information was developed without the use of confidential information;
- (d) the information becomes generally and publicly available, without breaching any confidentiality obligation, or
- (e) the disclosure of the information is required by EU or national law.

36.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Confidential information

The SME Ph1 MGA foresees lighter provisions on confidentiality than the General MGA.

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

ARTICLE 38 — PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING

38.1 Obligation to promote the action and its results — Information on EU funding — Obligation and right to use the EU emblem — Disclaimer excluding Agency and Commission responsibility — Agency and the Commission right to use materials, documents or information

The beneficiary must promote the action and its results.

Any communication activity related to the action must:

- (c) display the EU emblem and
- (d) include the following text:

“This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No [number]”.

Any communication activity related to the action must indicate that it reflects only the author’s view and that the Agency and the Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

The Agency and the Commission may use, for its own communication and publicising activities, information relating to the action, documents notably summaries for publication and public deliverables as well as any other material such as pictures or audio-visual material received from the beneficiary (including in electronic form).

The right to use the beneficiary’s materials, documents and information includes:

- (a) **use for its own purposes** (in particular, making them available to persons working for the Agency, the Commission or any other EU institution, body, office or agency or body or institutions in EU Member States; and copying or reproducing them in whole or in part, in unlimited numbers);
- (b) **distribution to the public** (in particular, publication as hard copies and in electronic or digital format, publication on the internet, as a downloadable or non-downloadable file, broadcasting by any channel, public display or presentation, communicating through press information services, or inclusion in widely accessible databases or indexes);
- (c) **editing or redrafting** for communication and publicising activities (including shortening, summarising, inserting other elements (such as meta-data, legends, other graphic, visual, audio or text elements), extracting parts (e.g. audio or video files), dividing into parts, use in a compilation);
- (d) **translation**;
- (e) giving **access in response to individual requests** under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, without the right to reproduce or exploit;
- (f) **storage** in paper, electronic or other form;
- (g) **archiving**, in line with applicable document-management rules, and
- (h) the right to authorise **third parties** to act on its behalf or sub-license the modes of exploitation set out in points (b),(c),(d) and (f) to third parties if needed for the communication and publicising activities of the Agency or the Commission.

38.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Communication activities (consortium) — Promoting the action — Visibility of EU funding

The SME Ph1 MGA foresees lighter requirements for promoting the action and acknowledging EU funding.

ARTICLE 41 —BENEFICIARY’S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 —BENEFICIARY’S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

41.1 Role and responsibility towards the Agency

The beneficiary has full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiary is itself responsible for:

- (a) monitoring that the action is implemented properly (see Article 7);
- (b) informing the Agency immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see Article 17);
- (c) submitting the deliverables and the report to the Agency (see Articles 19 and 20);
- (d) submitting to the Agency in good time any documents or information required by it

and may not delegate or subcontract these tasks to any third party.

41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities

Not applicable

[...]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES’ ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

41.1 Roles and responsibilities towards the Agency

The beneficiaries have full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiaries are jointly and severally liable for the **technical implementation** of the action as described in Annex 1. If a beneficiary fails to implement its part of the action, the other beneficiaries become responsible for implementing this part (without being entitled to any additional EU funding for doing so), unless the Agency expressly relieves them of this obligation.

The **financial responsibility** of each beneficiary is governed by Articles 44, 45 and 46.

41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities

The internal roles and responsibilities of the beneficiaries are divided as follows:

- (a) Each **beneficiary** must:
 - (i) keep information stored in the Participant Portal Beneficiary Register (via the electronic exchange system) up to date (see Article 17);

(iii) inform the coordinator immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see Article 17);

(iv) submit to the coordinator in good time:

- the data needed to draw up the technical report (see Article 20);
- ethics committee opinions and notifications or authorisations for activities raising ethical issues (see Article 34);
- any other documents or information required by the Agency or the Commission under the Agreement, unless the Agreement requires the beneficiary to submit this information directly to the Agency or the Commission.

(b) The **coordinator** must:

- (i) monitor that the action is implemented properly (see Article 7);
- (ii) act as the intermediary for all communications between the beneficiaries and the Agency (in particular, providing the Agency with the information described in Article 17), unless the Agreement specifies otherwise;
- (iii) request and review any documents or information required by the Agency and verify their completeness and correctness before passing them on to the Agency;
- (iv) submit the deliverables and the report to the Agency (see Articles 19 and 20);
- (v) ensure that all payments are made to the other beneficiaries without unjustified delay (see Article 21);
- (vi) inform the Agency of the amounts paid to each beneficiary, when required under the Agreement (see Articles 44 and 50) or requested by the Agency.

The coordinator may not delegate or subcontract the above-mentioned tasks to any other beneficiary or third party.

[OPTION to be used when the coordinator is a secondary or higher education establishment or public body and there is an ‘authorisation to administer’ given to a third party created, controlled or affiliated to the coordinator: As an exception, the coordinator delegates the tasks set out in Point 2(b)(v) and (vi) above to [insert name of third party with an authorisation to administer]. The coordinator retains sole responsibility for the EU contribution and for compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.]

[...]



1. Division of roles and responsibilities — Responsibilities towards the Agency

The rules on internal division of roles and responsibilities are similar to the General MGA (see [Article 41 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Since for SME Ph1 multi-beneficiary actions there is however only one (common) financial statement (see [Article 20](#)), there is NO obligation for the beneficiaries to submit their own individual financial statements to the coordinator.

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

42.1 Conditions

The Agency will —**at the payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — reject any costs which are ineligible (i.e. if the action as described in Annex 1 is not properly implemented; see Article 6), in particular following checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

The rejection may also be based on the **extension of findings from other grants to this grant** (see Article 22.5.2).

42.2 Ineligible costs to be rejected — Calculation — Procedure

Ineligible costs will be rejected proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented.

If the rejection of costs does not lead to a **recovery** (see Article 44), the Agency will formally notify the beneficiary of the rejection of costs, the amounts and the reasons why (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5). The beneficiary may — within 30 days of receiving notification — formally notify the Agency of its disagreement and the reasons why.

If the rejection of costs leads to a **recovery**, the Agency will follow the contradictory procedure with ‘**pre-information letter**’ set out in Article 44.

42.3 Effects

If the Agency rejects costs at the **payment of the balance**, it will deduct them from the total eligible costs declared, for the action, in the financial statement (see Article 20.4). It will then calculate the payment of the balance as set out in Article 21.4.

If the Agency rejects costs **after the payment of the balance**, it will deduct the amount rejected from the total eligible costs declared, in the financial statement. It will then calculate the revised final grant amount as set out in Article 5.4.



1. Rejection of ineligible costs

The rules on rejection of ineligible costs are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 42 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for SME Ph1 Lump Sum grants the main cost eligibility condition refers to ‘proper implementation of the action in accordance with Annex 1’ (see [Article 6.1](#)), improper implementation leads to the *ineligibility* of the costs (NOT grant reduction; see also [Article 7](#)). If the action is not carried out as described in Annex 1, the costs will be considered ineligible and rejected proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented.

 Cost rejections will be made for **improper implementation** of the action (see [Article 7](#)).

If the feasibility study is incomplete and thus does not meet the intended objective or is of insufficient quality (e.g. *does not permit to assess the quality and potential of the innovation idea*), ALL costs will be declared ineligible and there will be NO EU financial contribution.

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

43.1 Conditions

The Agency may — **at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — reduce the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), if:

- (a) the beneficiary (or natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles) or
- (b) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decision on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2).

Improper implementation of the action as described in Annex 1 will not lead to a reduction of the grant but to a rejection of costs (see Article 42).

43.2 Amount to be reduced — Calculation — Procedure

The amount of the reduction will be proportionate to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations.

Before reduction of the grant, the Agency will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to reduce the grant, the amount it intends to reduce and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the Agency does not receive any observations or decides to pursue reduction despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the reduction (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21).



1. Reduction of the grant

The rules on rejection of ineligible costs are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 42 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for SME Ph1 Lump Sum grants 'proper implementation of the action in accordance with Annex 1' is an *eligibility* rule and does NOT lead to the *reduction* of the grant (see also [Articles 6.1 and 7](#)), the grant can be reduced only in case of other serious breaches of obligations or substantial errors, irregularities or fraud; see [Article 43 H2020 General MGA](#)).

⚠ Grant reductions will be made for substantial errors, irregularities or fraud and serious breaches of obligation other than proper implementation.

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The Agency will — **at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

Not applicable

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the Agency will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund; and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency or the Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) not applicable;
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than the final grant amount, the beneficiary must repay the difference to the Agency.

The Agency will formally notify a **pre-information letter** to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency or the Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) not applicable;
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The Agency will —at the payment of the balance or afterwards — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

Not applicable

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the Agency will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the coordinator:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund and
- inviting the coordinator to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If the coordinator does not repay the Agency by the date in the debit note, the Agency or the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the coordinator's consent — against any amounts owed to the coordinator by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the coordinator the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) not applicable;
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the coordinator, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than the final grant amount, the coordinator must repay the difference to the Agency.

The Agency will formally notify a **pre-information letter to the coordinator**:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the Agency decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the Agency will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the coordinator's consent — against any amounts owed to the coordinator by the Agency, the Commission or another executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the Agency may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The Agency or the Commission will formally notify the coordinator the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:

- (i) not applicable;
- (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date the Agency or the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the coordinator, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.



1. Recovery of undue amounts

The rules on recovery of undue amounts are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 44 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The SME Ph1 multi-beneficiary MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Since the coordinator alone is financially responsible (for the entire grant), the Agency will recover **ONLY** from it.

Best practice: Beneficiaries should foresee internal arrangements to redistribute the financial responsibility internally in the consortium in a fair way.

There is therefore also **NO** need for a report on the distribution of payments between beneficiaries.

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiary

50.1.1 Conditions and procedure

The beneficiary may terminate the Agreement.

The beneficiary must formally notify termination to the Agency (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

If no reasons are given or if the Agency considers the reasons do not justify termination, the Agreement will be considered to have been '**terminated improperly**'.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.1.2 Effects

The beneficiary must submit — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The Agency will **calculate the final grant amount** (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

In case of **improper termination**, the grant will be reduced by 100% (see Article 43).

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

Not applicable

50.3 Termination of the Agreement, by the Agency

50.3.1 Conditions

The Agency may terminate the Agreement if:

- (a) not applicable;
- (b) a change to the beneficiary's legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) not applicable;
- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see Article 51) or suspended by the beneficiary (see Article 49.1) and either:
 - (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) the beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;

- (f) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;
- (g) the beneficiary does not comply with the applicable national law on taxes and social security;
- (h) the action has lost scientific or technological relevance;
- (i) not applicable;
- (j) not applicable;
- (k) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity;
- (l) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (m) the beneficiary (or the natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**‘extension of findings from other grants to this grant’**; see Article 22.5.2);
- (n) not applicable.

50.3.2 Procedure

Before terminating the Agreement, the Agency will formally notify the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to terminate and the reasons why and
- inviting it, within 30 days of receiving notification, to submit observations and — in case of Point (l.ii) above — to inform the Agency of the measures to ensure compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

If the Agency does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify to the beneficiary **confirmation** of the termination and the date it will take effect. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The termination will **take effect**:

- for terminations under Points (b), (e), (g), (h), and (l.ii) above: on the day specified in the notification of the confirmation (see above);
- for terminations under Points (d), (f), (k), (l.i) and (m) above: on the day after the notification of the confirmation is received by the beneficiary.

50.3.3 Effects

The beneficiary must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The Agency will calculate the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

This does not affect the Agency's right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative and financial sanctions (Article 45).

The beneficiary may not claim damages due to termination by the Agency (see Article 46).

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiaries

50.1.1 Conditions and procedure

The beneficiaries may terminate the Agreement.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the Agency (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

If no reasons are given or if the Agency considers the reasons do not justify termination, the Agreement will be considered to have been '**terminated improperly**'.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.1.2 Effects

The coordinator must submit — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The Agency will **calculate the final grant amount** (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

In case of **improper termination**, the grant will be reduced by 100% (see Article 43).

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

50.2.1 Conditions and procedure

The participation of one or more beneficiaries may be terminated by the coordinator, on request of the beneficiary concerned or on behalf of the other beneficiaries.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the Agency (see Article 52) and inform the beneficiary concerned.

If the coordinator's participation is terminated without its agreement, the formal notification must be done by another beneficiary (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries).

The notification must include:

- the reasons why;
- the opinion of the beneficiary concerned (or proof that this opinion has been requested in writing);
- the date the termination takes effect. This date must be after the notification, and

- a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks (see Annex 1) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be included unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.

If this information is not given or if the Agency considers that the reasons do not justify termination, the participation will be considered to have been **terminated improperly**.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.2.2 Effects

If the request for amendment is rejected by the Agency (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants) the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 55).

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see Article 43) or termination of the Agreement (see Article 50).

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, 36, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.3 Termination of the Agreement or of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the Agency

50.3.1 Conditions

The Agency may terminate the Agreement or the participation of one or more beneficiaries, if:

- (a) one or more beneficiaries do not accede to the Agreement (see Article 56);
- (b) a change to their legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation [*or those of its linked third parties*] is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) following termination of participation for one or more beneficiaries (see above), the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants (see Article 55);
- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see Article 51) or suspended by the coordinator (see Article 49.1) and either:
 - (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) a beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;
- (f) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;

- (g) a beneficiary does not comply with the applicable national law on taxes and social security;
- (h) the action has lost scientific or technological relevance;
- (i) not applicable;
- (j) not applicable;
- (k) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity;
- (l) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (m) a beneficiary (or the natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**‘extension of findings from other grants to this grant’**; see Article 22.5.2);
- (n) not applicable.

50.3.2 Procedure

Before terminating the Agreement or participation of one or more beneficiaries, the Agency will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to terminate and the reasons why and
- inviting it, within 30 days of receiving notification, to submit observations and — in case of Point (l.ii) above — to inform the Agency of the measures to ensure compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

If the Agency does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned **confirmation** of the termination and the date it will take effect. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The termination will **take effect**:

- for terminations under Points (b), (c), (e), (g), (h), and (l.ii) above: on the day specified in the notification of the confirmation (see above);
- for terminations under Points (a), (d), (f), (k), (l.i) and (m) above: on the day after the notification of the confirmation is received.

50.3.3 Effects

(b) for **termination of the Agreement**:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect —, submit the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed

The Agency will calculate the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

This does not affect the Agency's right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative sanctions (Article 53).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to termination by the Agency (see Article 46). After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

(c) for **termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries:**

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks (see Annex 1) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination is notified after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be submitted unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.

If the request for amendment is rejected by the Agency (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the Agency, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 56).

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, 36, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.



1. GA termination (consortium)

The rules on GA termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, for SME Ph1 actions, improper termination by the beneficiaries will lead to a **100% reduction** of the grant.

2. Beneficiary termination (consortium)

The rules on beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the SME Ph1 multi-beneficiary MGA has the following **specificities**:

The notification must include a reallocation of the tasks (Annex 1), but NO reallocation of the estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned.

Moreover, if the GA continues (i.e. it is amended), the termination of the beneficiary will NOT have any effect on the estimated budget (since there is a lump sum for the entire action, i.e. the consortium together).

3. GA or beneficiary termination (EU)

The rules on GA and beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the SME Ph1 multi-beneficiary MGA has the following **specificities**:

The request for amendment (which the coordinator must send 60 days after termination) must include a reallocation of the tasks (Annex 1), but NO reallocation of the estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned.

If the GA continues (i.e. it is amended), the termination of the beneficiary will NOT have any effect on the estimated budget (since there is a lump sum for the entire action, i.e. the consortium as a whole).

IV.3 H2020 SME Ph2 MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.



1. SME Ph2 actions

What? SME Ph2 funds:

- the implementation of an innovation idea (including, prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication) — based on a strategic business plan either developed through Phase 1 or another means.

The implementation of Phase 2 must focus on innovation activities (*e.g. demonstration, testing, prototyping, piloting, scaling-up, miniaturisation, design, market replication*) but may also include some research.

A project may be funded in Phase 2 even if it was not funded in Phase 1.

The innovation idea must have commercial potential and considerable novelty for its sector (i.e. for technological innovation ideas: 'technology readiness level' of 6 or above; see [General Annex G to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

SME actions are normally mono-beneficiary actions, but can also be multi-beneficiary.

SME actions are funded under Part II of Horizon 2020, 'Innovation in SMEs' (*e.g. H2020-SMEINST-05-2016-2017*).

i For more information on SME Instrument Ph2 actions, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the General Annexes to the H2020 Work Programme and the call and topics pages of the call](#).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6, [and 14]).

[...]



1. Budget categories

The SME Ph2 MGA uses the same budget categories as the General MGA.

Budget categories of the SME Ph2 MGA:

- direct personnel costs
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs for SME owners without salary
 - costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary
- direct costs of subcontracting
- other direct costs
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
- indirect costs
- specific cost categories (if option applies).

Thus, the SME Ph2 MGA does NOT have:

- personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs

The grant reimburses **70%** of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**.

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms ('**forms of costs**'):

(a) for **direct personnel costs**:

- as actually incurred costs ('**actual costs**') or
- on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices ('**unit costs**').

Personnel **costs for SME owners** or **if the beneficiary is a natural person** not receiving a salary (see Article 6.2, points A.4 and A.5) must be declared on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (**unit costs**);

(b) for **direct costs of subcontracting**: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);

(c) for **direct costs of providing financial support to third parties**: not applicable;

(d) for **other direct costs**:

- for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**unit costs**);
- for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);

(e) for **indirect costs**: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Article 6.2, Point E ('**flat-rate costs**');

(f) for **specific costs category(ies)**: not applicable.



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For SME Ph2 actions, the reimbursement rate is normally set at 70 % (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

If provided for in the work programme/call, an SME Ph2 action may exceptionally be funded at 100%, with a GA based on the General MGA (instead of the SME Ph2 MGA).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

[...]

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. direct personnel costs;
- B. direct costs of subcontracting;
- C. not applicable;
- D. other direct costs;
- E. indirect costs;
- F. not applicable.

‘Direct costs’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see Point E below).

‘Indirect costs’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.



1. Eligible costs — Ineligible costs

The SME Ph2 MGA uses the **same budget categories** (covering the same **types of costs**) as the General MGA and the same **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules** apply (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since SMEs are by definition NO non-profit legal entities, they can NOT declare additional remuneration (under budget category A ‘personnel costs’; see [Article 6.2.A.1 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION – THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see Article 11);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see Article 12);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the Agency and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For SME Ph2 actions, the same rules on third party involvement apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

13.1 Rules for subcontracting action tasks

13.1.1 If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiary may award subcontracts covering the implementation of certain action tasks described in Annex 1.

The beneficiary must award the subcontracts ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, it must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

[OPTION: In addition, if the value of the subcontract to be awarded exceeds EUR [...], the beneficiaries must comply with the following rules [...]¹¹.]

[OPTION for actions involving PCP or PPI: In addition, for the pre-commercial procurement (PCP) or procurement of innovative solutions (PPI), the beneficiary must follow a transparent and non-discriminatory procedure, including at least the following:

- (a) an ‘open market consultation’ published in the Official Journal of the European Union via a ‘prior information notice (PIN)’ and promoted and advertised widely;*
- (b) a ‘contract notice’ allowing for a time-limit for receipt of tenders of at least 2 months, published in the Official Journal of the European Union and promoted and advertised widely;*
- (c) a ‘request for tenders’ based on functional or performance-based specifications (that take into account the outcome of the open market consultation) and describing the practical set-up for the implementation of the subcontract(s);*
- (d) an objective and non-discriminatory evaluation of the tenders and award of subcontract(s);*
- (e) a ‘contract award notice’ published in the Official Journal of the European Union.*

The beneficiary must also ensure that every prior information notice, contract notice or contract award notice published in relation to the subcontracting includes the following disclaimer:

"This procurement receives funding under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No [number]). The EU is however not participating as a contracting authority in this procurement."]

[OPTION 1 only for actions involving PPI: Participation in PPI tendering procedures must be open on equal terms to tenderers from EU Member States, associated countries¹² and other countries with which the EU has an agreement in the field of public procurement. If the WTO Government Procurement Agreement applies, PPI subcontracts must also be open to tenderers from States that have ratified this agreement.

*If the procurement of the innovative solution (PPI) consists (and is limited to) buying a set of prototypes and/or test products that were developed during a preceding PCP action, the beneficiary does not need to make an open market consultation, contract notice and contract award notice under Points (a), (b) and (e) above. In this case, it must make a request for tenders from at least **three providers** (including the providers that participated in the preceding PCP), in accordance with the negotiated procedure without publication under Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU)²⁴.]*

[OPTION 2 only for actions involving PCP: Subcontracts for pre-commercial procurement must provide for the following:

- the ownership, by the subcontractors, of the intellectual property rights on the results that they generate;*
- the right of the buyer to access results as well as the background necessary to use the results — on a royalty-free basis — for its own use;*

- *the right of the buyer to grant (or to require the subcontractors to grant) non-exclusive licences to third parties to exploit the results — under fair and reasonable conditions — (without the right to sub-licence);*
- *the obligation of the subcontractors to transfer to the buyer the ownership of intellectual property generated by subcontractors during the PCP, if subcontractors fail to commercially exploit the results within the period set out in the subcontract;*
- *the right of the buyer to publish — at the time of the contract award notice — the identity of the winning tenderers and a project summary provided by the winning tenderers, and to publish — after R&D has finished and after consulting the subcontractors — summaries of the results as well as the identities of the subcontractors that successfully completed the last phase of the PCP.*

The beneficiary must ensure that the majority of the research and development work done by the subcontractor(s) (including the work of the main researchers) is located in the EU Member States or associated countries ('place of performance obligation').]

The tasks to be implemented and the estimated cost for each subcontract must be set out in Annex 1 and the total estimated costs of subcontracting must be set out in Annex 2. The Agency may however approve subcontracts not set out in Annex 1 and 2 without amendment according to Article 55, if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic technical report, and
- they do not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the Agency (see Article 37).]

The beneficiary must ensure that the Agency, the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Article 22 and 23 also towards its subcontractors.

13.1.2 The beneficiary must ensure that its obligations under Articles 35, 36 38 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.1, the costs related to the subcontract concerned will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If the beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

¹¹ If the authorising officer decides to set specific rules, they should have due regard for the principle of proportionality, taking into account the value of the contracts and the relative size of the EU contribution in relation to the total cost of the action and the risk. Specific rules must be based on the rules contained in the Financial Regulation. Simply citing the FR without specifying the applicable provisions should be avoided. Specific rules may only be set for the award of contracts of a value higher than EUR 60 000. The authorising officer may set a threshold higher than EUR 60 000 on the basis of a risk assessment.

¹² For the definition, see Article 2.1(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in “Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)” (**‘Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013’**) (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p.81): **‘associated country’** means a third country which is party to an international agreement with the Union, as identified in Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013. Article 7 of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013 sets out the conditions for association of non-EU countries to Horizon 2020.

¹³ See Articles 28 and 31(2)(a) of Directive 2004/18 replaced by Articles 26 and 32(3)(a) of Directive 2014/24/EU and Article 40(3)(b) of Directive 2004/17/EC replaced by Article 50(b) of Directive 2014/25/EU.



1. Subcontracting

The rules on subcontracting for SME Ph2 actions are similar to those of the General MGA (see [Articles 8 and 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The SME Ph2 MGA has however the following **specificities**:

Subcontracting is NOT restricted to a limited part of the action.

Moreover, the Agency will assess compliance with best value for money during the *evaluation* of the proposal (and therefore be able to give higher security on subcontracts that are part of the proposal).

Assurance can only be given on subcontracts that are described in sufficient detail in the proposal.

For already awarded subcontracts: action task(s) that are subcontracted; key information on the award procedure; name of subcontractor, price and object; explanation why the subcontractor and the price are appropriate.

For future subcontracts: action task(s) to be subcontracted; estimated budget; procedure that will be followed to ensure best value for money.

Annex 1 will explicitly identify the subcontracts for which assurance is given by the Agency. (For these subcontracts, beneficiaries have assurance that compliance with best value for money will not be challenged in audits — unless it turns out that the beneficiary did not follow the procedure described or concealed information for the purpose of the approval).

Change to a subcontract — Subcontracts which were assessed during proposal evaluation (and for which assurance was granted) may be changed (via an amendment).

Best practice: Beneficiaries should contact the Agency (via the Funding & Tenders Portal messaging function), if they intend to amend the grant to change a subcontract assessed during proposal evaluation.

The amendment request will not be agreed, if it would result in changes that — if known before awarding the grant — would have had an impact on the award decision (see [Article 55](#)).

Example (acceptable): *the subcontractor went bankrupt*

Examples (not acceptable): *the action tasks subcontracted changed; increase of price of already awarded subcontracts.*

The Agency will examine the change to evaluate its impact on best value for money — and will either:

- grant assurance, on the basis of the justifications provided (similar to those used at the proposal stage)

OR

- refuse it (if it considers that the subcontract is not best value for money or if it cannot be assessed due to a lack of information).

 Changes to a subcontract may only be done if the assurance on best value for money is granted by the Agency.

New subcontracts — New subcontracts (i.e. not already part of the proposal/Annex1), will be assessed by the Agency for best value for money during the amendment procedure.

 If subcontracts are added not via a formal amendment but via the simplified approval procedure: there is NO assessment (and the beneficiary therefore bears the **full risk**).

Finally, for SME Ph2 actions, subcontracts must provide for the right of the beneficiaries to commercially exploit the results generated by subcontractors during the subcontract implementation (by way of transfer of the intellectual property rights, licence or other; see [Article 26.3](#)).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The beneficiary must submit to the Agency (see Article 52) the technical and financial reports set out in this Article.

These reports include the requests for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- RP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]
- RP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]
- [same for other RPs]
- RPN: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]

[...]



1. Reporting periods

The reporting periods for SME Ph2 actions are normally the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 20.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The reporting periods may however be shorter and more frequent than in other H2020 actions.

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

ARTICLE 26 — OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS

[...]

26.3 Rights of third parties (including personnel)

If third parties (including personnel) may claim rights to the results, the beneficiary must ensure that it complies with its obligations under the Agreement.

If a third party generates results for a beneficiary, the beneficiary must obtain all necessary rights (transfer, licences or other) from the third party, in order to be able to respect its obligations as if those results were generated by the beneficiary itself and ensure its possibility to commercially exploit the results (**‘freedom to operate’**).

For this purpose, it must:

- in agreements with employees or third parties involved in the action (such as, for instance, subcontractors): retain the right to commercially exploit the results (at least for the forms of exploitation set out in the ‘commercialisation plan’; see Annex 1), if necessary by agreeing to a licence and
- in all other cases: take measures to obtain, from the third parties, licences for the exploitation.

If obtaining the rights is impossible, the beneficiary must refrain from using third parties to generate the results.

[...]



1. Third parties with rights on results — Freedom to operate

‘Freedom to operate’ means being able to fully commercially exploit the action’s results.

The beneficiaries must safeguard their freedom to operate in all agreements with employees and third parties involved in the action (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Example: in their agreements with subcontractors, beneficiaries must ensure their right to commercially exploit the results of work subcontracted, even if this involves using the subcontractor’s IPR background.

In addition, they may have to obtain licenses to commercially exploit the results from other third parties (i.e. third parties that are not participating in the action implementation).

Example: if a third party that is not involved in the action owns IPRs that may stand in the way of commercially exploiting the results, the beneficiary must obtain the license for commercial exploitation.

V. ERA-NET Cofund MGA

V.1 Background information and approach

The ERA-NET Cofund Model Grant Agreement is used for H2020 ERA-NET Cofund actions only.

The ERA-NET Cofund MGA follows the H2020 General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 ERA-NET Cofund MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 3 (duration of ERA-NET Cofund actions: 60 months)
- Article 5.2 (ERA-NET Cofund specific reimbursement rate and forms of costs)
- Article 6.2 (ERA-NET Cofund specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Articles 8, 10, 11, 12, 13 (reference to ‘transnational projects’ instead of ‘action’)
- Article 15 (provisions for support to or implementation of trans-national projects for the co-funded call)
- Article 16 (provision on access to research infrastructures not applicable)
- Article 19 (ERA-NET Cofund specific deliverables)
- Article 20.1 – 20.5 (ERA-NET Cofund specific reporting provisions)
- Article 21.1 – 21.3, 21.5 (ERA-NET Cofund specific payment provisions)

- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement
- Annex 7 Model for the commitment on availability of funds
- Annex 8 Model for the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Articles 10-13, Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for ERA-NET Cofund actions are added:

- Article 2 (*ERA-NET Cofund actions*)
- Article 4.1 (*ERA-NET Cofund budget categories*)
- Article 8 (*ERA-NET Cofund rules on third party involvement*).

V.2 H2020 ERA-NET Cofund MGA: Annotations

GRANT AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This Agreement ('the Agreement') is between the following parties:

on the one part,

[OPTION 1: the European Union ('the EU', represented by the European Commission ('the Commission'))³,]

[OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community ('Euratom'), represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')⁴,]

[OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] ('the Agency'), under the powers delegated by the European Commission ('the Commission')⁵,]

represented for the purposes of signature of this Agreement by [[function, [Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname],⁶

and

on the other part,

1. 'the coordinator':

[full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], [OPTION for coordinators not receiving EU funding: as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),] represented for the purposes of signing the Agreement by [function, forename and surname]

and the following other **beneficiaries**, if they sign their 'Accession Form' (see Annex 3 and Article 56):

2. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]],

[OPTION for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding: [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full] [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),]

[same for each beneficiary]

[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the 'Administrative Arrangement' (see Annex 3b)].

Unless otherwise specified, references to 'beneficiary' or 'beneficiaries' include the coordinator [OPTION if the JRC participates: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC)].

The parties referred to above have agreed to enter into the Agreement under the terms and conditions below.

By signing the Agreement or the Accession Form [OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: or the Administrative Arrangement], the beneficiaries accept the grant and agree to implement it, under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

The Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1 Description of the action

Annex 2 Estimated budget for the action

2a Additional information on the estimated budget

Annex 3 Accession Forms

[OPTION to be used if Article 14 applies and if joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: 3a Declaration on joint and several liability of linked third parties]

[OPTION if the JRC participates: 3b Administrative Arrangement]

Annex 4 Model for the financial statements

Annex 5 Model for the certificate on the financial statements

Annex 6 Model for the certificate on the methodology

Annex 7 Model for the commitment on availability of funds

Annex 8 Model for the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment

³ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁶ The person representing the Commission/Agency must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation), designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.



1. Participants: Coordinator — Beneficiaries — Linked third parties — Third parties involved in the action

ERA-NET Cofund uses in principle the same concepts for participants as the General MGA (i.e. coordinator, beneficiaries, linked third parties, etc).

However, all entities participating financially to the co-funded joint call for proposals MUST participate as beneficiaries or linked third parties in the GA (NOT as other third parties involved in the action; see [Article 8](#)).

⚠ Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in the action, like beneficiaries. They will therefore be treated for many issues (including cost eligibility; see [Article 6.3 H2020 General MGA](#)) like beneficiaries.

■ New beneficiaries may be added to ERA-NET Cofund actions during grant preparation or later on with an amendment to the grant agreement, however:

- new partners contributing to the co-funded joint call should not join later than the launch of the call; all partners participating in the call have to be members in the consortium or linked third parties
- beneficiaries joining *after* the launch of the call can ONLY execute the payments of the financial support to the third parties (except in case of transfer of rights and obligations; see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled [insert title of the action] — [insert acronym] ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a 'complementary grant' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):

- [insert number] [insert acronym]
- [insert number] [insert acronym].]

[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a 'jointly funded action' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called [insert the name of the third country or international organisation action], as described in Annex 1.]



1. ERA-NET Cofund actions

What? ERA-NET Cofund co-funds:

- a joint call for proposals for trans-national projects (and additional joint activities) by regional/national research and innovation programmes (— implemented or funded by the beneficiaries).

'Funded by the beneficiaries' means funded by providing financial support to third parties. This is normally the case if the beneficiaries are research funders and cash contributions are received from national programmes. In this case, the beneficiaries launch a call for proposals, resulting in grants for third parties. Most ERA-NET Cofund actions are implemented in this way.

'Implemented by the beneficiaries' means that the beneficiaries implement the trans-national projects themselves. This is normally the case for governmental research organisations implementing a research programme based on institutional funding (i.e. not project-based funding). In this case, the co-funded call for proposals is based on in-kind contributions from their institutional funding and the beneficiaries then carry out the selected trans-national projects themselves, either fully or partially.

Only one funded call per GA.

and

- optional: additional activities related to the coordination of national/regional research and innovation programmes.


The additional activities must relate to the coordination of public research and innovation programmes and should focus on preparing and implementing joint activities (including other joint calls without EU co-funding; see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

These activities must be *in addition* to implementing a joint call.

If the additional activities consist in additional calls without top-up funding from the EU, the requirements set out in [Article 15](#) do NOT apply.

Normally, ERA-NET Cofund grants are multi-beneficiary grants; exceptionally they can be *mono-beneficiary* grants for sole participants (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

ERA-NET Cofund actions are funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020 (e.g. [FETPROACT-02-2017](#); [SC5-33-2017](#)).

 For more information on ERA-NET Cofund actions, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

 For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the General Annexes to the H2020 Work Programme and the call and topics pages of the call](#).

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

The **duration of the action** will be **60 months** as of [*OPTION 1 by default: the first day of the month following the date the Agreement enters into force (see Article 58)*] [*OPTION 2 if needed for the action: [insert date]*]⁷ ('starting date of the action').

⁷ This date must be the first day of a month and it must be later than the date of entry into force of the agreement, unless authorised otherwise by the authorising officer, if the applicant can demonstrate the need to start the action before the entry into force of the grant agreement, or the need to start the action on another day than the first day of the month. In any case, the starting date should not be earlier than the date of the submission of the grant application (Article 130 FR).



1. Action duration

For ERA-NET Cofund actions, the action duration is usually **60 months**. (This relatively long time is needed because these actions include call preparation, launch of the call, proposal submission and evaluation, the selection decision, and implementation of the selected transnational projects – which typically takes 36 months.)

If implementation of the action is justifiably delayed, the consortium may request an extension (i.e. request an amendment extending the action duration; see [Article 55 H2020 General MGA](#)). Normally, the duration can NOT however exceed 72 months.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6, [and 14]). [OPTION to be used if Article 9 or 14a applies: It also shows the estimated costs of the beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see Article 9)[and][international partners (see Article 14a)].]

[...]



1. Budget categories

The ERA-NET Cofund MGA uses its own budget categories.

Budget categories of the ERA-NET Cofund MGA:

- direct costs related to trans-national projects
 - direct costs of providing financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects
 - direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries:
 - direct personnel costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - direct costs of subcontracting for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries
 - other direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
- direct coordination costs for additional activities
- indirect costs.

Thus, the ERA-NET Cofund MGA does NOT have:

- personnel costs for SME owners without salary
- personnel costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary
- personnel costs for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure
- specific cost categories.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The ‘**maximum grant amount**’ is EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**.

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs

The grant reimburses **[...%]** of the action’s eligible costs (see Article 6) (‘**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**’) (see in Annex 2).

The **estimated eligible costs** of the action are EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**.

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms (‘**forms of costs**’):

- (a) for **costs of providing financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects**: as actually incurred costs (‘**actual costs**’);
- (b) for **direct personnel costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries**:
 - as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**) or
 - on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (‘**unit costs**’).
- (c) for **direct costs of subcontracting for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries**: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (d) for **other direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries**:
 - for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**unit costs**);
 - for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (e) for **direct coordination costs for additional activities**: on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (**unit costs**);
- (f) for **indirect costs**: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Point C of Article 6.2 (‘**flat-rate costs**’).

[...]



1. Maximum grant amount — Estimated eligible costs

The maximum grant amount and the estimated eligible costs are fixed in Article 5 at grant signature.

At the end of reporting period 1, the beneficiaries must however make an amendment to adjust the initial budget (i.e. Annex 2, estimated eligible and, if needed, maximum grant amount) down to the amount justified by the selection list and the commitments to fund.

- **Best practice:** If the amendment concerns a very limited share of the budget, beneficiaries are invited to first consult the Commission/Agency to verify if the amendment is needed.

2. Reimbursement rate

How much? For ERA-NET Cofund, the reimbursement rate is currently set at **33 %** (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

3. Cost forms

The ERA-NET Cofund MGA, uses the same **cost forms** as the **General MGA** (i.e. actual costs, unit costs and flat rate costs; see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Cost forms of the ERA-NET Cofund MGA:

- **actual costs** for:
 - costs of providing **financial support**
 - **personnel** costs for implementing the trans-national projects (— unless declared as unit cost, see *below*)
 - **subcontracting** costs for implementing the trans-national projects
 - **other direct costs** for implementing the trans-national projects (— unless declared as unit cost, see *below*)
- **unit costs** for:
 - personnel costs calculated by the beneficiaries in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices (**average personnel costs**)
 - costs of **internally invoiced goods and services** calculated by the beneficiaries in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices
 - **coordination costs** for additional activities¹¹⁷
- **flat-rate costs** for:
 - **indirect costs.**

¹¹⁷ Commission Decision C(2013) 8200 of 10 December 2013 authorising the use of reimbursement on the basis of unit costs for ERA-NET Cofund actions under the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_era-net_cofund_en.pdf.

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

[...]

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. direct costs related to trans-national projects;
- B. direct coordination costs for additional activities;
- C. indirect costs.

‘Direct costs’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see Point C below).

‘Indirect costs’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The ERA-NET Cofund MGA has its **own budget categories**. Some of them **cover** however the **same types of costs** as the General MGA, with the same **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules** (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — **for each budget category** — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

1. types of costs covered by the budget category
2. cost form under which the costs must be declared (i.e. actual costs, unit costs, flat rate)
3. eligibility conditions
4. how the costs must be calculated.

A. Direct costs related to trans-national projects

A.1 **Direct costs of providing financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects** are eligible if the conditions set out in Article 15.1.1 are met.

A.2 **Direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries** are eligible, if they comply with the conditions set out in Article 15.1.1 and the following:

A.2.1 Direct personnel costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries**Types of eligible personnel costs**

A.2.1.1 Personnel costs are eligible, if they are related to personnel working for the beneficiary under an employment contract (or equivalent appointing act) and assigned to the implementation of trans-national projects ('**costs for employees (or equivalent)**'). They must be limited to salaries (including during parental leave), social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the **remuneration**, if they arise from national law or the employment contract (or equivalent appointing act).

Beneficiaries that are non-profit legal entities⁸ may also declare as personnel costs **additional remuneration** for personnel assigned to the implementation of trans-national projects (including payments on the basis of supplementary contracts regardless of their nature), if:

- (a) it is part of the beneficiary's usual remuneration practices and is paid in a consistent manner whenever the same kind of work or expertise is required;
- (b) the criteria used to calculate the supplementary payments are objective and generally applied by the beneficiary, regardless of the source of funding used.

'Additional remuneration' means any part of the remuneration which exceeds what the person would be paid for time worked in projects funded by national schemes.

Additional remuneration for personnel assigned to the implementation of trans-national projects is eligible up to the following amount:

- (a) if the person works full time and exclusively on the implementation of trans-national projects during the full year: up to EUR 8 000;
- (b) if the person works exclusively on the implementation of trans-national projects but not full-time or not for the full year: up to the corresponding pro-rata amount of EUR 8 000, or
- (c) if the person does not work exclusively on the implementation of trans-national projects: up to a pro-rata amount calculated as follows:

{{EUR 8 000
divided by
the number of annual productive hours (see below)},
multiplied by
the number of hours that the person has worked on the trans-national projects during the year}.

A.2.1.2 The **costs for natural persons working under a direct contract** with the beneficiary other than an employment contract are eligible personnel costs, if:

- (a) the person works under conditions similar to those of an employee (in particular regarding the way the work is organised, the tasks that are performed and the premises where they are performed);
- (b) the result of the work carried out belongs to the beneficiary (unless exceptionally agreed otherwise), and
- (c) the costs are not significantly different from those for personnel performing similar tasks under an employment contract with the beneficiary.

Calculation

Personnel costs must be calculated by the beneficiaries as follows:

{hourly rate
multiplied by
number of actual hours worked on the implementation of trans-national projects},
plus
for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration to personnel assigned to the implementation of trans-national projects under the conditions set out above (Point A.2.1.1)}.

The number of actual hours declared for a person must be identifiable and verifiable (see Article 18).

The total number of hours declared in EU or Euratom grants, for a person for a year, cannot be higher than the annual productive hours used for the calculations of the hourly rate. Therefore, the maximum number of hours that can be declared for the grant are:

{number of annual productive hours for the year (see below)
minus
total number of hours declared by the beneficiary, for that person for that year, for other EU or Euratom grants}.

The ‘hourly rate’ is one of the following:

- (a) for personnel costs declared as **actual costs** (i.e. budget categories A.2.1.1, A.2.1.2 and A.2.1.3) : the hourly rate is calculated *per full financial year* as follows:

{actual annual personnel costs (excluding additional remuneration) for the person
divided by
number of annual productive hours}.

using the personnel costs and the number of productive hours for each full financial year covered by the reporting period concerned. If a financial year is not closed at the end of the reporting period, the beneficiaries must use the hourly rate of the last closed financial year available.

For the ‘number of annual productive hours’, the beneficiaries may choose one of the following:

- (i) ‘fixed number of hours’: 1 720 hours for persons working full time (or corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time);
(ii) ‘individual annual productive hours’: the total number of hours worked by the person in the year for the beneficiary, calculated as follows:

{annual workable hours of the person (according to the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national law)
plus
overtime worked
minus
absences (such as sick leave and special leave)}.

‘Annual workable hours’ means the period during which the personnel must be working, at the employer’s disposal and carrying out his/her activity or duties under the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation.

If the contract (or applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation) does not allow to determine the annual workable hours, this option cannot be used.

- (iii) ‘standard annual productive hours’: the standard number of annual hours generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices. This number must be at least 90 % of the ‘standard annual workable hours’.

If there is no applicable reference for the standard annual workable hours, this option cannot be used.

For all options, the actual time spent on **parental leave** by a person assigned to the implementation of trans-national projects may be deducted from the number of annual productive hours.

As an alternative, beneficiaries may calculate the hourly rate *per month*, as follows:

{{actual monthly personnel cost (excluding additional remuneration) for the person

divided by

{number of annual productive hours / 12}}.

using the personnel costs for each month and (one twelfth of) the annual productive hours calculated according to either option (i) or (iii) above, i.e.:

- fixed number of hours or
- standard annual productive hours.

Time spent on parental leave may not be deducted when calculating the hourly rate per month. However, beneficiaries may declare personnel costs incurred in periods of parental leave in proportion to the time the person worked on the action in that financial year.

If parts of a basic remuneration are generated over a period longer than a month, the beneficiaries may include only the share which is generated in the month (irrespective of the amount actually paid for that month).

Each beneficiary must use only one option (per full financial year or per month) during each full financial year;

- (b) for personnel costs declared on the basis of **unit costs** (i.e. budget categories A.2.1.1 and A.2.1.2) **calculated in accordance with the beneficiary’s usual cost accounting practices**: the hourly rate calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices, if:

- the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
- the hourly rate is calculated using the actual personnel costs recorded in the beneficiary’s accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories;

The actual personnel costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the personnel costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information, and

- the hourly rate is calculated using the number of annual productive hours (see above).

A.2.2 Direct costs of subcontracting for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if the conditions in Article 13.1.1 are met.

A.2.3 Other direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries

A.2.3.1 Travel costs and related subsistence allowances (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if they are in line with the beneficiary’s usual practices on travel.

A.2.3.2 The **depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets** (new or second-hand) as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts are eligible, if they were purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1 and written off in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practices.

The **costs of renting or leasing** equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.

The only portion of the costs that will be taken into account is that which corresponds to the duration of the trans-national projects implemented by the beneficiary and rate of actual use for the purposes of the transnational projects implemented by the beneficiary.

A.2.3.3 **Costs of other goods and services** (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible, if they are:

- (a) purchased specifically for the trans-national projects implemented by the beneficiary and in accordance with Article 10.1 or
- (b) contributed in kind against payment and in accordance with Article 11.1.

Such goods and services include, for instance, consumables and supplies, dissemination (including open access), protection of results, certificates on the financial statements (if they are required by the Agreement), certificates on the methodology, translations and publications.

A.2.3.4 **Capitalised and operating costs of 'large research infrastructure'**⁹*[OPTION 1 by default: directly used for the trans-national projects implemented by the beneficiary are eligible, if:*

- (a) *the value of the large research infrastructure represents at least 75% of the total fixed assets (at historical value in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure¹⁰);*
- (b) *the beneficiary's methodology for declaring the costs for large research infrastructure has been positively assessed by the Commission ('ex-ante assessment');*
- (c) *the beneficiary declares as direct eligible costs only the portion which corresponds to the duration of the trans-national projects implemented by the beneficiary and the rate of actual use for the purposes of the trans-national projects implemented by the beneficiary, and*
- (d) *they comply with the conditions as further detailed in the annotations to the H2020 Grant Agreements.]*

[OPTION 2 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: Not applicable.]

A.2.3.5 **Costs of internally invoiced goods and services** directly used for the action are eligible, if::

- (a) they are declared on the basis of a unit cost calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices;
- (b) the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
- (c) the unit cost is calculated using the actual costs for the good or service recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories.

The actual costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information;

- (d) the unit cost excludes any costs of items which are not directly linked to the production of the invoiced goods or service.

‘Internally invoiced goods and services’ means goods or services which are provided by the beneficiary directly for the action and which the beneficiary values on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices.

⁸ For the definition, see Article 2.1(14) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for the participation and dissemination in "Horizon 2020 – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)" ('**Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013**') (OJ L 347, 20.1.2013 p.81): '**non-profit legal entity**' means a legal entity which by its legal form is non-profit-making or which has a legal or statutory obligation not to distribute profits to its shareholders or individual members.

⁹ '**Large research infrastructure**' means research infrastructure of a total value of at least EUR 20 million, for a beneficiary, calculated as the sum of historical asset values of each individual research infrastructure of that beneficiary, as they appear in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure.

¹⁰ For the definition see Article 2(6) of Rules for Participation: '**Research infrastructure**' are facilities, resources and services that are used by the research communities to conduct research and foster innovation in their fields. Where relevant, they may be used beyond research, e.g. for education or public services. They include: major scientific equipment (or sets of instruments); knowledge-based resources such as collections, archives or scientific data; e-infrastructures such as data and computing systems and communication networks; and any other infrastructure of a unique nature essential to achieve excellence in research and innovation. Such infrastructures may be 'single-sited', 'virtual' or 'distributed'



1. Costs for trans-national projects (A): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation


1.1 The beneficiaries may declare the following **types of costs** as direct costs related to trans-national projects:

- direct costs of providing financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects
- direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries:
 - direct personnel costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries:
 - basic remuneration (basic salary and complements)
 - for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration ('bonus payments')
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - direct costs of subcontracting for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries
 - other direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries:
 - travel and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment

- other goods and services
- costs of large research infrastructure.

What? These budget categories **cover** costs for the implementation of trans-national projects.

What not? They do not cover the costs for organising the calls (i.e. preparation of the call, selection of the projects etc.) or for additional coordination and networking activities of beneficiaries implementing trans-national project themselves. Such costs are NOT eligible under the ERA-NET grant.

 The beneficiaries must use their own funds for such activities.

1.2 All costs must normally be **declared as** actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(b\)](#)).

Personnel costs (average personnel costs) and costs of internally invoiced goods and services may also be declared as unit cost in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices.

1.3 In principle, the same **eligibility conditions** apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The ERA-NET Cofund MGA has however the following **specificities**:

In some Articles, the conditions in the General MGA must be read as referring to 'trans-national projects' (instead of 'action').

Moreover, there are additional cost eligibility conditions in [Article 15](#) for financial support to third parties (e.g. *selection procedure, compliance with national funding rules*).

Finally, costs for financial support to third parties are usually eligible only AFTER the trans-national projects have ended.

1.4 The same **calculation rules** apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

B. Direct coordination costs for additional activities

Direct coordination costs for additional activities are eligible, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual years in which the beneficiary has carried out the ‘additional activities’ described in Annex 1.

Beneficiaries that implement transnational projects (partially or fully) themselves cannot declare direct coordination costs for additional activities.

**1. Additional coordination costs (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation**

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the direct costs for additional activities related to the coordination of national/regional research and innovation programmes (*see also Article 2*).

1.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit cost fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8200](#)¹¹⁸ and indicated in Annex 2 of the GA (currently **EUR 29 000** per beneficiary per year).

In practice, the declaration of costs for additional activities is very simple and almost completely automatized: The beneficiary must only indicate the number of years (during which such activities were implemented) and the costs are then automatically calculated by the IT system.

1.3 The costs must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; *see Article 6.1(b)*)
- be declared for the **additional coordination activities** described in Annex 1.

Costs for additional coordination activities are limited to the beneficiaries that carry out such activities.

Beneficiaries that implement trans-national projects can NOT declare any additional coordination costs.

1.4 They are **calculated** (automatically by the IT system) as follows:

amount per unit (EUR 29 000) x number of years in which additional activities were carried out

According to [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#), the EU funding for coordination costs should not exceed 20 % of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

 If the additional activities are not properly implemented as described in Annex 1, the grant may be **reduced** (*see Articles 7 and 43*).

Example: Beneficiaries make only two meetings on general strategy.

¹¹⁸ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_era-net_cofund_en.pdf.

C. Indirect costs

Indirect costs are eligible if they are declared on the basis of the flat-rate of 25 % of the eligible direct costs (see Article 5.2 and Points A and B above), from which are excluded:

- (a) costs of subcontracting for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries;
- (b) costs of in-kind contributions provided by third parties which are not used on the beneficiary's premises and
- (c) costs of financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects.

Beneficiaries receiving an operating grant¹¹ financed by the EU or Euratom budget cannot declare indirect costs for the period covered by the operating grant, unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.[...]

¹¹ For the definition, see Article 121(1)(b) of the Financial Regulation: '**operating grant**' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting an EU policy.



1. Indirect costs (C.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

For this budget category, in principle the same rules apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The flat-rate is however calculated on the following eligible direct costs:

- direct costs for the implementation of trans-national projects by the beneficiaries
- direct coordination costs for additional activities.

'Direct costs of providing financial support to third parties implementing trans-national projects' are NOT included.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the **appropriate resources** to implement the action.

If it is necessary for the implementation of the trans-national projects by the beneficiaries, they may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see Article 11);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see Article 12);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14a).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission][Agency]* and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



■ **1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action**

For ERA-NET Cofund actions, generally the same rules on third party involvement apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, entities contributing to the joint call for proposals can only participate as beneficiaries or linked third parties (NOT as other third parties involved in the action).

Linked third parties may participate in all activities under the action. Use of **third party resources** (i.e. purchasing of goods, works or services (Article 10), in-kind contributions (Articles 11 and 12) or subcontractors (Article 13)) is **ONLY** allowed for beneficiaries/linked third parties that **implement trans-national projects** (NOT for beneficiaries that only provide financial support to third parties — with the exception of third parties with authorisation to administer described in the specific cases below)).

Specific cases (third parties involved in the action):

Authorisation to administer — Beneficiaries providing financial support to third parties may exceptionally delegate administrative tasks (such as the administration of payments to the final recipients) to other legal entities (third parties) which they created or control and to which they have granted an 'authorisation to administer' (i.e. to handle their administrative affairs).

Sometimes this also includes the handling of the EU contribution. In this case, the beneficiary will use the bank account of the third party and all payments made to that account will count for the beneficiary. Moreover, the third party will then pass on the EU contribution to the final recipients and those payments will be registered in the accounts of the third party).

The services rendered by these third party are usually qualified as in-kind contributions against payment. If the costs are not recorded in the accounts of the beneficiary (*e.g. there is no invoice from the entity*):

- the beneficiary has to prove that such practice is in line with national law and applicable accounting standards
- the costs must be recorded in the accounts of the third party.

ARTICLE 15 — SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANS-NATIONAL PROJECTS

ARTICLE 15 — SUPPORT TO OR IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANS-NATIONAL PROJECTS

15.1 Rules for providing support to or implementation of trans-national projects

15.1.1 The beneficiaries must **provide financial support** to trans-national projects or **implement** such **projects** (partially or fully) themselves, in accordance with the following conditions:

- (a) types of activity that qualify for financial support and persons or categories of persons that may receive financial support:

The projects must be **trans-national projects**, involving at least two independent entities from two different EU Member States or associated countries;

- (b) **selection procedure** and criteria:

The projects must be selected following a single joint transnational call for proposals.

The beneficiaries must make the selection through a two-step procedure:

- Step 1: review at national or trans-national level
- Step 2: single international peer review.

Only entities that are eligible for funding under the national programmes involved in the joint call may be invited to Step 2.

In Step 2, the beneficiaries must evaluate proposals with the assistance of at least three **independent experts**, on the basis of the following award criteria:

- (a) excellence;
- (b) impact;
- (c) quality and efficiency of the implementation.

Proposals must be ranked according to the evaluation results. The selection must be made on the basis of this **ranking**.

The selection procedure must be followed by an independent expert observer, who must make a report (see Article 20.2a);

- (c) other conditions:

In the case of support to entities that are third parties, the beneficiaries must ensure that [*the Agency,*] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Article 22 and 23 also towards the third parties receiving financial support.

Financial support to entities that are third parties must comply with **national funding rules**.

The **maximum amount of financial support** to a third party and the **criteria for** determining the **exact amount** under national funding rules are set out in Annex 1.

15.1.2 In addition, the beneficiaries must:

- publish a joint call on a dedicated webpage and promote it at national/regional level via their usual channels of communications to potential proposers;
- keep the joint call open for at least 60 days;
- take all lawful steps to ensure confidentiality of information and documents obtained during the evaluation and selection procedures of the joint call.

15.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches its obligations under Article 15.1.1, the costs of the beneficiary for its financial support to the trans-national projects or for the implementation of its trans-national projects will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If the beneficiary breaches its obligations under Article 15.1.2, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Providing financial support — Implementing trans-national projects

A core part of ERA-NET Cofund actions is to provide financial support to third parties (i.e. pass on the EU support they receive via the ERA-NET Cofund grant to recipients that are not party to the GA, also called 'cascade funding').

In this case, the beneficiaries' activity consists in providing the financial support, while it is the final recipients (third parties) that actually implement the trans-national research and/or innovation projects.

 Beneficiaries can in principle NOT also be final recipient (since that is not the purpose of a cascading grant).

However, there are cases where exceptions are permitted (i.e. where the call can be opened also for other beneficiaries of the EU grant or other departments of the same beneficiary).

In this case, the consortium will be asked during grant preparation to:

- identify the beneficiaries which can apply for funding under the co-funded call for proposals
- explain the measures to mitigate (potential) conflict of interest or unequal treatment of applicants and
- reflect this fully in their description of the action (Annex 1 to the GA), both in the context of the description of the co-funded call and in relation to the monitoring of the trans-national projects.

Moreover, beneficiaries must set up information barriers ('Chinese walls') to prevent exchanges or communication that could lead to conflicts of interest or unequal treatment of applicants and ensure independent and fair complaints procedures.

Costs can be declared ONLY by the beneficiary providing the financial support, NOT by the final recipient receiving the financial support (even if it is at the same time beneficiary of the grant and can therefore declare other costs).

If the call is NOT open other beneficiaries of the EU grant or other departments of the same beneficiary, this should also be explicitly mentioned in the description of the action (Annex 1 to the GA).

ERA-NET grants can however also include actions which are implemented by the beneficiaries themselves, if foreseen in the work programme.

 Article 15 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 15.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 15.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Trans-national projects

The projects must be trans-national (i.e. they must involve at least two independent entities from two different EU Member States or H2020 associated countries), both in case of:

- financial support to third parties and
- implementation of the projects by the beneficiaries themselves.

■ Note that additional eligibility conditions may apply in specific cases concerning the minimum number of independent entities (including entities from third countries).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Two-step selection procedure

The beneficiaries must select the trans-national projects according to a two-step procedure, ensuring that:

- only entities that are eligible for funding under the national funding rules are invited to Step 2 and
- consortia can balance the requested funding and available funding per participating EU Member State and H2020 associated country between Steps 1 and 2.

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Independent experts — Observer

In addition to expert evaluators, the beneficiaries must appoint an independent expert as an observer to verify that the selection procedure (and, in particular, the peer review evaluation and the ranking) meets the requirements of Article 15.

The observer's report must be submitted by the coordinator, as part of the periodic report (see [Article 20.3](#)).

5. Additional cost eligibility condition: Ranking list(s) — Joint selection list

The beneficiaries must base their selection (**joint selection list**) on the order of the ranking list (or the ranking lists, if there are different topics).

If proposals have identical scores, the proposals coming from participating EU Member States or H2020 associated countries with still available funding can be given precedence, in order to maximise the number of selected projects.

The ranking list(s) and the joint selection list must be submitted by the coordinator, as part of the periodic report (see [Article 20.3](#)).

6. Additional cost eligibility condition: Compliance with national funding rules

If the beneficiaries provide financial support to third parties, the support must comply with the applicable national funding rules.

The trans-national projects must have been implemented (by the recipients) in compliance with those rules.

Moreover, the beneficiaries must ensure that costs are checked and that their payments are made in compliance with those rules (and certify this; see [Article 20.4](#)).

7. Additional cost eligibility condition: Conditions for support set out in Annex 1 — Maximum amount of financial support

If the beneficiaries provide financial support to third parties, the beneficiaries may not exceed the maximum amount of financial support set out in Annex 1 and must apply the criteria set out in Annex 1 for fixing the exact amount of funding.

This information must already be part of the proposal (see *the [proposal templates](#)*).

For both, the beneficiaries should rely on the applicable national funding rules. If the national rules do not specify a maximum amount, they must enter the amount of their part of the call budget.

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must submit:

- at least 30 days before the expected date of publication of the joint call: **information on the call** and its content;
- at the end of the selection: **information on each selected project** (including data on each participant and abstracts of the project proposal, in a format specified by the [Commission][Agency]), for publication and evaluation purposes;
- *[OPTION 1 by default (two pre-financing payments): in month 36 a 'progress report' containing:*
 - *an explanation of the work carried out by the beneficiaries;*
 - *an overview of the progress towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and other deliverables identified in Annex 1.*

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out;

- *a summary for publication by the [Commission][Agency];]*

[OPTION 2 in case of three pre-financing payments: not applicable;]

- at the end of the action: **information on each implemented project** (including data on each participant and overview of the results, in a format specified by the [Commission][Agency]), for publication and evaluation purposes, and
- any **other deliverables** identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the [Commission][Agency] may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverable: Information on each selected project — Information on each implemented project

What & When? For ERA-NET Cofund actions, the coordinator must — once the trans-national projects have been selected — submit to the Commission/Agency a list of all the projects with certain information.

Example: data on each participant and abstracts of the proposals

This information must be updated by the coordinator at the end of the action, by submitting a list of all implemented projects, together with certain information.

Example: data on each participant and an overview of the results

How? The information must be uploaded directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)

2. Deliverable: Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

What & When? The coordinator must submit to the Commission/Agency — on a yearly basis — information on the cumulative expenditure incurred by the consortium

How? In practice, this mandatory declaration for ERA-NET Cofund (see [Article 20.5](#)) is handled as deliverable and must be uploaded directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

It does NOT need to be set out in Annex 1 (since legally speaking the obligation is not based on Article 19 but on Article 20.5).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The coordinator must submit to the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52) the reports set out in this Article. These reports include the requests for payments and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

[OPTION 1 by default (two pre-financing payments): The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X¹⁹]
- RP2: from month [X+1] to [the last month of the project]

[OPTION 2 in case of three pre-financing payments: The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X²⁰]
- RP2: from month [X+1] to month [36]
- RP3: from month [37] to [the last month of the project]

20.2a Periodic reports — Requests for second [and third] pre-financing payment[s]

The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of the first reporting period.

The periodic report must include the following:

- (a) a **periodic technical report** containing:
 - (i) an **explanation of the work carried out** by the beneficiaries;
 - (ii) an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and other deliverables identified in Annex 1.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

The report must detail the exploitation and dissemination of the results and — if required in Annex 1 — an updated ‘**plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results**’.

The report must indicate the communication activities;

- (iii) a **summary** for publication by the [Commission][Agency];
 - (iv) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’ covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements.
- (b) the **ranking list(s)** of the projects;
 - (c) the **observers’ report on the evaluation**;
 - (d) the **joint selection list** of the projects to be funded;
 - (e) from each beneficiary participating in the joint call, a formal and duly signed ‘**commitment on availability of funds**’ (see Annex 7), and
 - (f) a ‘**statement on the use of the first pre-financing payment**’ (see Annex 8), including the **request for a second pre-financing payment**.

The coordinator must certify that the information provided is full, reliable and true and that it can be substantiated by adequate supporting documentation that will be produced upon request or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Articles 17, 18 and 22).

[OPTION in case of three pre-financing payments: The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of the second reporting period.

The periodic report must include the following:

- (a) a **periodic technical report** (see point (a) above) and
- (b) a **statement on the use of the second pre-financing payment** (see Annex 8), including the **request for a third pre-financing payment**.

The coordinator must certify that the information provided is full, reliable and true and that it can be substantiated by adequate supporting documentation that will be produced upon request or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Articles 17, 18 and 22).]

20.3 Request for interim payments

Not applicable.

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The coordinator must submit the final report within 60 days following the end of the last reporting period.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a '**final technical report**' with a **summary** for publication containing:
 - (i) an overview of the results and their exploitation and dissemination;
 - (ii) the conclusions on the action, and
 - (iii) the socio-economic impact of the action;
- (b) a '**final financial report**' containing:
 - (i) an '**individual financial statement**' (see Annex 4), from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*, for all reporting periods.

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs; see Article 6) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in the individual financial statement will not be taken into account by the *[Commission]**[Agency]*.

The individual financial statements must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).

Each beneficiary *[and each linked third party]* must certify that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the costs declared are eligible (in particular, in case of financial support to third parties, that it has been paid in compliance with the applicable national funding rules; see Article 15);

- the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22), and
 - all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3);
- (ii) an **explanation of the use of resources** and the information on subcontracting (see Article 13) and in-kind contributions provided by third parties (see Articles 11 and 12) from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*;
- (iii) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of payment of the balance to be paid by the [Commission][Agency] to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- (iv) a ‘**summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements and including the **request for payment of the balance**;
- (v) a ‘**certificate on the financial statements**’ (drawn up in accordance with Annex 5) for each beneficiary *[and for each linked third party]*, if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 5.2 and Point A.2.1 of Article 6.2).

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

In addition to the reporting requirements set out above (Article 20.1 to 20.3), the coordinator must inform the *[Commission][Agency]* by *[31 December][30 November]* each year of the cumulative expenditure incurred by the beneficiaries from the starting date of the action.

This information is required for the Commission’s accounting purposes and will not be used to calculate the final grant amount.

[...]

¹⁹ Month X should be the expected end of the selection procedure referred to in Article 15.1.1.

²⁰ Month X should be the expected end of the selection procedure referred to in Article 15.1.1.



1. Reports

What & When? For ERA-NET Cofund actions, the coordinator must submit a **periodic report** after the end of each reporting period (including the final one).

Before the end of the action, these are only technical reports, with information on the technical implementation.

List of documents for the technical reports:

- explanation of the work carried out
- overview of the progress
- summary for publication
- questionnaire (i.e. the structured information requested)
- ranking list of the projects
- observer report on the evaluation

- joint selection list
- commitment on availability of funds (Annex 7)
- statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment (Annex 8).

NO financial reporting is needed during the action (i.e. no financial statements before the final report). The coordinator only has to sign and submit a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment (which includes the request for further pre-financing payments; only the first pre-financing payment is automatic; see *Annex 8*).

How? Each periodic report must be **prepared** by the **coordinator** and the other **beneficiaries together**, directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

2. Reporting periods

ERA-NET Cofund actions are normally divided into 2 reporting periods with 2 pre-financing payments (or exceptionally 3 reporting periods with 3 pre-financing payments, if the Commission/Agency does not have sufficient payment credits to pay the entire amount as a second pre-financing payment; see *Article 21*).

The first (and, if there are 2 pre-financing payments, also the second) reporting period triggers a periodic report.

3. Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Since reporting periods in ERA-NET Cofund actions normally exceed 18 months and ERA-NET Cofund grants are normally above EUR 5 000 000 with a pre-financing payment, all ERA-NET grants contain this clause.

The declaration is normally handled as deliverable (see *Article 19*).

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the coordinator:

- a **first pre-financing** payment;
- a **second pre-financing** payment, on the basis of the request for a second pre-financing payment (see Article 20);
- *[a **third pre-financing** payment, on the basis of the request for a third pre-financing payment (see Article 20);]*
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20)

21.2 Pre-financing payments — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiaries with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest — make a first pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Article 48 applies.

From this amount, an amount of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, corresponding to 5 % of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the *[Commission][Agency]* and transferred into the ‘**Guarantee Fund**’.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a second pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

*[OPTION in case of three pre-financing payments: The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a third pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.]*

If the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment shows that less than 70 % of the previous payment has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70 % threshold and the amount used.

*[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: The parts of the pre-financing payments related to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) (**[insert amounts (insert amounts in words)]**) are not paid to the coordinator, but kept by the *[Commission][Agency]* for the JRC.]*

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

[...]

21.5 Notification of amounts due

When making payments, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify to the coordinator the amount due, specifying whether it concerns the second *[or third]* pre-financing payment or the payment of the balance.

For the payment of the balance, the notification will also specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 45.

[...]



1. Payments to be made — No interim payments

ERA-NET Cofund actions normally are divided into 2 reporting periods (see [Article 20.2](#)) with 2 pre-financing payments (— unless the action is exceptionally divided into 3 reporting periods with 3 pre-financing payments because the Commission/Agency does not have sufficient payment credits).

There are NO interim payments, but the second pre-financing payment (after the end of the first reporting period, i.e. after the evaluation of the trans-national projects) provides beneficiaries with the necessary funds to support or implement the trans-national projects.

The second (or third) pre-financing payment is NOT automatic but must be requested by the coordinator via a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment (see [Article 20](#)).

In this statement, the beneficiaries must simply declare how much of the previous pre-financing was used up, not how much costs were incurred (since ERA-NET Cofund pre-financing is NOT linked to financial reporting).

The balance is paid when the action ends (after the submission of financial reports).

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?


Pre-financing payment

How much? Typically (and depending on the availability of EU budget credits), the amounts of the pre-financing payments for ERA-NET Cofund actions are as follows:

- for the first pre-financing payment: between 10% and 30 % of the maximum grant amount
- for the second pre-financing payment: between 60 % and 80% of the maximum grant amount.

If the GA provides for a 3 pre-financing payments, then the amount is divided as follows:

- 30 % for the first pre-financing payment
- 30 % for the second pre-financing payment
- 30 % for the third pre-financing payment.

 The second/third pre-financing payment will be **reduced**, if — according to the statement on use of the previous pre-financing instalment — the previous pre-financing was **not fully used**:

- if 70% or more of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing is paid in full
- if less than 70% of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing will be reduced by an amount equal to the difference between the percentage actually used and 70%.

VI. PCP/PPI MGA

VI.1 Background information and approach

The PCP/PPI Model Grant Agreement is used for PCP/PPI actions only.

The PCP/PPI MGA follows the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 PCP-PPI MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 5.2 (PCP-PPI specific reimbursement rate and forms of costs)
- Article 6.2 (PCP-PPI specific conditions for eligibility of costs)
- Article 13 (specific provisions for subcontracting of the PCP R&D services/PPI innovative solutions)
- Article 15 (financial support to third parties not applicable)
- Article 19 (PCP-PPI specific deliverables)
- Article 20.1 – 20.5 (PCP-PPI specific reporting provisions)
- Article 21.1 – 21.3, 21.5 (PCP-PPI specific payment provisions)
- Article 23 (PCP-PPI specific impact evaluation provisions)

- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement
- Annex 7 Model for the commitment on availability of resources
- Annex 8 Model for the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Article 15, Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for PCP/PPI actions are added:

- Article 2 (PCP/PPI actions)
- Article 4.1 (PCP/PPI budget categories)
- Article 8 (PCP/PPI rules on third party involvement).

VI.2 H2020 PCP/PPI MGA: Annotations

GRANT AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This Agreement ('the Agreement') is between the following parties:

on the one part,

[OPTION 1: the European Union ('the EU', represented by the European Commission ('the Commission'))³,]

[OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community ('Euratom'), represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')⁴,]

[OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] ('the Agency'), under the powers delegated by the European Commission ('the Commission')⁵,]

represented for the purposes of signature of this Agreement by [[function, [Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname],⁶

and

on the other part,

1. 'the coordinator':

[full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], [OPTION for coordinators not receiving EU funding: as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),] represented for the purposes of signing the Agreement by [function, forename and surname]

and the following other **beneficiaries**, if they sign their 'Accession Form' (see Annex 3 and Article 56):

2. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]],

[OPTION for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding: [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full] [OPTION for beneficiaries with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], as 'beneficiary not receiving EU funding' (see Article 9),]

[same for each beneficiary]

[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the 'Administrative Arrangement' (see Annex 3b)].

Unless otherwise specified, references to 'beneficiary' or 'beneficiaries' include the coordinator [OPTION if the JRC participates: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC)].

The parties referred to above have agreed to enter into the Agreement under the terms and conditions below.

By signing the Agreement or the Accession Form [OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: or the Administrative Arrangement], the beneficiaries accept the grant and agree to implement it, under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

The Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1	Description of the action
Annex 2	Estimated budget for the action
	2a Additional information on the estimated budget
Annex 3	Accession Forms
	<i>[OPTION to be used if Article 14 applies and if joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: 3a Declaration on joint and several liability of linked third parties]</i>
	<i>[OPTION if the JRC participates: 3b Administrative Arrangement]</i>
Annex 4	Model for the financial statements
Annex 5	Model for the certificate on the financial statements
Annex 6	Model for the certificate on the methodology
Annex 7	Model for the commitment on availability of funds
Annex 8	Model for the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment

³ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁶ The person representing the Commission/Agency must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation), designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.



1. Participants: Coordinator — Beneficiaries — Linked third parties — Third parties involved in the action

PCP/PPI uses in principle the same concepts for participants as the General MGA (i.e. coordinator, beneficiaries, linked third parties, etc).

However, all entities contributing financially to the PCP or PPI procurement budget MUST participate as beneficiaries or linked third parties in the GA (NOT as other third parties involved in the action; see [Article 8](#)). They form the buyers group.

⚠ Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in the action, like beneficiaries. They will therefore be treated for many issues (including cost eligibility; see [Article 6.3 H2020 General MGA](#)) like beneficiaries.

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled [insert title of the action] — [insert acronym] ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a 'complementary grant' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):

- [insert number] [insert acronym]
- [insert number] [insert acronym].]

[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a 'jointly funded action' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called [insert the name of the third country or international organisation action], as described in Annex 1.]



1. PCP/PPI actions

What? PCP/PPI funds:

- one single joint public procurement of research and development (R&D) services (PCP) or one joint or several coordinated public procurements for innovative solutions (PPI)

AND

- related additional coordination and networking activities.

For PCP actions, it must be only one PCP per GA; for PPI actions, it can be one joint or several coordinated PPIs per GA.

The additional activities must relate to the joint call for tender, including coordination and networking activities needed to prepare, manage and follow-up the PCP/PPI procurement and other coordination and networking activities to embed the PCP/PPI into a wider set of demand side activities (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).



Examples: activities that aim to remove barriers to introducing an innovative solution on the market (including standardisation, certification and regulation); activities that prepare the ground for cooperation on future PCP or PPI projects.

Coordination activities needed to coordinate the PCP/PPI procurement are mandatory. Other additional activities are optional (e.g. other coordination and networking activities to embed the PCP/PPI into a wider set of demand side activities).

Normally, PCP/PPI grants are multi-beneficiary grants; exceptionally they can be *mono*-beneficiary grants for 'sole participants' (see [General Annex C and D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

PCP/PPI actions are funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020 (e.g. [SEC-09-FCT-2017](#)).

The possibility to have several coordinated PPIs was introduced with GA version 4.0 but is also open to older grant agreements.

-  For more information on PCP/PPI actions, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets*.
-  For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see *the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual or the General Annexes to the H2020 Work Programme and the call and topics pages of the call*.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The '**estimated budget**' for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6, [and 14]). *[OPTION to be used if Article 9 or 14 a applies: It also shows the estimated costs of the beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see Article 9)[and][international partners (see Article 14a)].*

[...]



1. Estimated budget

When submitting the proposal with the estimated eligible costs for PCP/PPI actions, the consortium must decide who will pay the PCP/PPI subcontracts (and who may — by consequence — declare the subcontracting costs), i.e.:

- either the lead procurer (centralised payment; the consortium has a common jointly-committed budget; the lead procurer is mandated to sign the subcontracts and pays all subcontractors; see [Article 13](#)).

OR

- the members of the buyers group (the individual members of the buyers group pay the subcontractors pro rata; see [Article 13](#)).

2. Budget categories

The PCP/PPI MGA uses its **own budget categories**.

Budget categories of the PCP/PPI MGA:

- direct costs of PCP/PPI subcontracting


These are the costs for procuring the R&D services (in the case of PCP) or the innovative solutions (in the case of PPI) (i.e. the estimated procurement price).

Only the beneficiary(ies) that pay the PCP/PPI suppliers can declare PCP/PPI subcontracting costs. Who actually pays the PCP/PPI suppliers depends on the choice made by the consortium when submitting the estimated eligible costs: either the lead procurer or the members of the buyers group (see [Article 4](#)).
- costs of related additional coordination and networking activities
 - direct personnel costs for related additional coordination and networking activities
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs for SME owners without salary
 - costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary

- personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure
- direct costs of subcontracting for related additional coordination and networking activities
- other direct costs for related additional coordination and networking activities
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
- indirect costs for related additional coordination and networking activities
- specific cost categories (if option applies).

Thus, the PCP/PPI MGA does NOT have:

- direct costs of providing financial support to third parties.

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and forms of costs

The grant reimburses [...] %] of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms ('**forms of costs**'):

- (a) for **direct costs of [PCP]/[PPI] subcontracting**: as actually incurred costs ('**actual costs**');
- (b) for **direct personnel costs for related additional coordination and networking activities** [(excluding personnel costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]⁸:
 - as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**) or
 - on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices ('**unit costs**').

Personnel **costs for SME owners or beneficiaries that are natural persons** not receiving a salary (see Article 6.2, Points B.1.4 and B.1.5) must be declared on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (**unit costs**);

- (c) for **direct costs for subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities** [(excluding subcontracting costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]⁹: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (d) for **other direct costs for related additional coordination and networking activities** [(excluding other direct costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹⁰:
 - for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices (**unit costs**);
 - for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (e) for **indirect costs for related additional coordination and networking activities** [(excluding indirect costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹¹: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Point B.4(a),(b) and (c) of Article 6.2 ('**flat-rate costs**');
- (f) [OPTION 1 for specific unit costs (if foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): for [insert name of specific cost category(ies)]¹² that are part of related additional coordination and networking activities: on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2a¹³ (**unit costs**).]

[OPTION 2: specific cost category(ies): not applicable.]

[...]

⁸ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

⁹ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹⁰ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹¹ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹² Insert precise name of the cost (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/lump-sum). For example: 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure'; costs for 'clinical studies'; costs for 'energy efficiency measures in buildings'.

¹³ Annex 2 must clearly show, for each beneficiary (and linked third party) concerned, all the parameters for the unit cost (i.e. the unit(s), the amount(s) per unit, the research installation/infrastructure for which it is used, the clinical study for which it is used, etc.).



1. Reimbursement rates

How much? The PCP/PPI, normally uses the same reimbursement rates as the General MGA (**100%** for research and innovation actions (RIA) and **70% or 100%** for innovation actions (IA) actions; see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)), unless the call provides exceptionally for another rate.

2. Cost forms

The PCP/PPI MGA uses the **same cost forms** as the **General MGA** (i.e. actual costs, unit costs and flat rate costs; see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

[...]

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. Direct costs of [PCP][PPI] subcontracting
- B. Costs for related additional coordination and networking activities

‘Direct costs’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see Point B.4 below).

‘Indirect costs’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The PCP/PPI MGA has its **own budget categories**. Some of them **cover** however the **same types of costs** as the General MGA, with the same **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules** (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For ease of reference, the annotations for Article 6.2 will summarise — for each budget category — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

1. types of costs covered by the budget category
2. cost form under which the costs must be declared (i.e. actual costs, unit costs, flat rate)
3. eligibility conditions
4. how the costs must be calculated.

A. Direct costs of [PCP]/[PPI] subcontracting (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if the conditions in Article 13.1 are met.



1. PCP/PPI subcontracting costs (A): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the costs of the PCP/PPI procurement (i.e. the price paid and the related taxes; for VAT, *see Article 6.5*).

Only costs of R&D services or innovative solutions *subcontracted* by the beneficiaries are eligible. Costs related to R&D and innovation activities carried out by the beneficiaries *themselves* are NOT eligible in PCP/PPI actions.

What not? Indirect costs for the PCP subcontracting are not reimbursed.

1.2 These costs must be **declared as** actual costs (i.e. on the basis of the prices actually paid) (*see Article 5.2(a)*).

1.3 They must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; *see Article 6.1(a)*)
- be incurred for the **PCP/PPI subcontracting** described in Annex 1
- fulfil the **additional** cost eligibility **conditions** set out in [Article 13.1.1](#).

1.4 There is no specific **calculation** method. The costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred.

B. Costs for related additional coordination and networking activities are eligible up to EUR [(insert amount (insert amount in words)¹⁴], if they comply with the following:

B.1 Direct personnel costs for related additional coordination and networking activities [(not covered by Point B.5)]

Types of eligible personnel costs

B.1.1 Personnel costs are eligible, if they are related to personnel working for the beneficiary under an employment contract (or equivalent appointing act) and assigned to the related additional coordination and networking activities ('costs for employees (or equivalent)'). They must be limited to salaries (including during parental leave), social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the **remuneration**, if they arise from national law or the employment contract (or equivalent appointing act).

Beneficiaries that are non-profit legal entities¹⁵ may also declare as personnel costs **additional remuneration** for personnel assigned to the related additional coordination and networking activities (including payments on the basis of supplementary contracts regardless of their nature), if:

- (a) it is part of the beneficiary's usual remuneration practices and is paid in a consistent manner whenever the same kind of work or expertise is required;
- (b) the criteria used to calculate the supplementary payments are objective and generally applied by the beneficiary, regardless of the source of funding used.

'Additional remuneration' means any part of the remuneration which exceeds what the person would be paid for time worked in projects funded by national schemes.

Additional remuneration for personnel assigned to the related additional coordination and networking activities is eligible up to the following amount:

- (a) if the person works full time and exclusively on the related additional coordination and networking activities during the full year: up to EUR 8000;
- (b) if the person works exclusively on the related additional coordination and networking activities but not full-time or not for the full year: up to the corresponding pro-rata amount of EUR 8000, or
- (c) if the person does not work exclusively on the related additional coordination and networking activities up to a pro-rata amount calculated as follows:

{{EUR 8000

divided by

the number of annual productive hours (see below)},

multiplied by

the number of hours that the person has worked on the action during the year}.

B.1.2 The costs for natural persons working under a direct contract with the beneficiary other than an employment contract are eligible personnel cost, if:

- (a) the person works under conditions similar to those of an employee (in particular regarding the way the work is organised, the tasks that are performed and the premises where they are performed);
- (b) the result of the work carried out belongs to the beneficiary (unless exceptionally agree otherwise), and
- (c) the costs are not significantly different from those for personnel performing similar tasks under an employment contract with the beneficiary.

B.1.3 The costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment are eligible personnel costs if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.

B.1.4 Costs of owners of beneficiaries that are small and medium-sized enterprises ('SME owners'), who are working on the related additional coordination and networking activities and who do not receive a salary are eligible personnel costs, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual hours worked on the related additional coordination and networking activities.

B.1.5 **Costs of ‘beneficiaries that are natural persons’** not receiving a salary are eligible personnel costs, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual hours worked on the related additional coordination and networking activities.

[B.1.6 [OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.] [OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Personnel costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]]

Calculation

Personnel costs must be calculated by the beneficiaries as follows:

{ hourly rate
multiplied by
number of actual hours worked on the related additional coordination and networking activities },
plus
for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration to personnel assigned to the related additional coordination and networking activities under the conditions set out above (Point B.1.1)}.

The number of actual hours declared for a person must be identifiable and verifiable (see Article 18).

The total number of hours declared in EU or Euratom grants, for a person for a year, cannot be higher than the annual productive hours used for the calculations of the hourly rate. Therefore, the maximum number of hours that can be declared for the grant are:

{ number of annual productive hours for the year (see below)
minus
total number of hours declared by the beneficiary, for that person for that year, for other EU or Euratom grants }.

The ‘**hourly rate**’ is one of the following:

- (a) for personnel costs declared as **actual costs** (i.e. budget categories B.1.1, B.1.2, B.1.3 [and B.1.6]): the hourly rate is calculated *per full financial year* as follows:

{ actual annual personnel costs (excluding additional remuneration) for the person
divided by
number of annual productive hours }.

using the personnel costs and the number of annual productive hours for each full financial year covered by the reporting period concerned. If a financial year is not closed at the end of the reporting period, the beneficiaries must use the hourly rate of the last closed financial year available.

For the ‘number of annual productive hours’, the beneficiaries may choose one of the following:

- (i) ‘fixed number of hours’: 1 720 hours for persons working full time (or corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time);
- (ii) ‘individual annual productive hours’: the total number of hours worked by the person in the year for the beneficiary, calculated as follows:

{ annual workable hours of the person (according to the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national law)
plus
overtime worked
minus
absences (such as sick leave and special leave)}.

‘Annual workable hours’ means the period during which the personnel must be working, at the employer’s disposal and carrying out his/her activity or duties under the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation.

If the contract (or applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation) does not allow to determine the annual workable hours, this option cannot be used;

- (iii) ‘standard annual productive hours’: the standard number of annual hours generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices. This number must be at least 90% of the ‘standard annual workable hours’.

If there is no applicable reference for the standard annual workable hours, this option cannot be used.

For all options, the actual time spent on **parental leave** by a person assigned to the related additional coordination and networking activities may be deducted from the number of annual productive hours.

As an alternative, beneficiaries may calculate the hourly rate *per month*, as follows:

{actual monthly personnel cost (excluding additional remuneration) for the person

divided by

{number of annual productive hours / 12}}

using the personnel costs for each month and (one twelfth of) the annual productive hours calculated according to either option (i) or option (iii) above, i.e.:

-fixed number of hours;

-standard annual productive hours.

Time spent on **parental leave** may not be deducted when calculating the hourly rate per month. However, beneficiaries may declare personnel costs incurred in periods of parental leave in proportion to the time the person worked on the action in that financial year.

If parts of a basic remuneration are generated over a period longer than a month, the beneficiaries may include only the share which is generated in the month (irrespective of the amount actually paid for that month).

Each beneficiary must use only one option (per full financial year or per month) for during each full financial year;

- (b) for personnel costs declared on the basis of **unit** costs (i.e. budget categories B.1.1, B.1.2, B.1.4, B.1.5 [and B.1.6]): the hourly rate is one of the following:

- for SME owners or beneficiaries that are natural persons: the hourly rate set out in Annex 2a (see Points B.1.4 and B.1.5 above), or
- for personnel costs declared on the basis of the beneficiary’s usual cost accounting practices: the hourly rate calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices, if:
 - the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
 - the hourly rate is calculated using the actual personnel costs recorded in the beneficiary’s accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories.

The actual personnel costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the personnel costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information:

and

- the hourly rate is calculated using the number of annual productive hours (see above).

B.2 Direct costs of subcontracting for related additional coordination and networking activities [(not covered by Point B.5)] (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if the conditions in Article 13.2.1 are met.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Subcontracting costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]

[OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Subcontracting costs for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]

B.3 Other direct costs for related additional coordination and networking activities [(not covered by Point B.5)]

B.3.1 Travel costs and related subsistence allowances (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible if they are in line with the beneficiary's usual practices on travel.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Travel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]

B.3.2 [OPTION 1 by default: The depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts are eligible, if they were purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1 and written off in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practices.

The costs of renting or leasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets contributed in-kind against payment are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.

The only portion of the costs that will be taken into account is that which corresponds to the duration of the related additional coordination and networking activities and rate of actual use for the purposes of the related additional coordination and networking activities.]

[OPTION 2 (alternative to option above) to be used if foreseen in the work programme¹⁶: The cost of purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) (as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts) are eligible if the equipment, infrastructure or other assets was purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1.

The costs of renting or leasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

*The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.]*

[OPTION (in addition to one of the two first options above) for trans-national access to research infrastructure: As an exception, the beneficiaries must not declare such costs (i.e. costs of renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure and other assets) for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure (see Article 16.1).]

[OPTION (in addition to one of the two first options above) for virtual access to research infrastructure, unless the work programme explicitly allows capital investments for virtual access to research infrastructure: As an exception, the beneficiaries must not declare such costs (i.e. costs of renting, leasing, purchasing depreciable equipment, infrastructure and other assets) for providing virtual access to research infrastructure (see Article 16.2).]

B.3.3 Costs of other goods and services (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are eligible, if they are:

- (a) purchased specifically for the related additional coordination and networking activities and in accordance with Article 10.1.1 or
- (b) contributed in kind against payment and in accordance with Article 11.1.

Such goods and services include, for instance, consumables and supplies, dissemination (including open access), protection of results, certificates on the financial statements (if they are required by the Agreement), certificates on the methodology, translations and publications.

[OPTION to be used for trans-national access to research infrastructure: Costs of other goods and services for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.1.1 are met.]

[OPTION to be used for virtual access to research infrastructure: Costs of other goods and services for providing virtual access to research infrastructure are eligible only if also the conditions set out in Article 16.2 are met.]

B.3.4 Capitalised and operating costs of ‘large research infrastructure’¹⁷ [OPTION 1 by default: directly used for the related additional coordination and networking activities are eligible, if:

- (a) the value of the large research infrastructure represents at least 75% of the total fixed assets (at historical value in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure¹⁸);
- (b) the beneficiary’s methodology for declaring the costs for large research infrastructure has been positively assessed by the Commission (*‘ex-ante assessment’*);
- (c) the beneficiary declares as direct eligible costs only the portion which corresponds to the duration of the related additional coordination and networking activities and the rate of actual use for the purposes of the additional coordination and networking activities, and
- (d) they comply with the conditions as further detailed in the annotations to the H2020 Grant Agreements.]

[OPTION 2 for all topics within calls under Part ‘Research Infrastructure’ (except for e-Infrastructure): Not applicable.]

[OPTION 3 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: Not applicable.]

B.3.5 Costs of internally invoiced goods and services directly used for the action are eligible, if:

- (a) they are declared on the basis of a unit cost calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices;

- (b) the cost accounting practices used are applied in a consistent manner, based on objective criteria, regardless of the source of funding;
- (c) the unit cost is calculated using the actual costs for the good or service recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, excluding any ineligible cost or costs included in other budget categories.

The actual costs may be adjusted by the beneficiary on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements. Those elements must be relevant for calculating the costs, reasonable and correspond to objective and verifiable information;

- (d) the unit cost excludes any costs of items which are not directly linked to the production of the invoiced goods or service.

'Internally invoiced goods and services' means goods or services which are provided by the beneficiary directly for the action and which the beneficiary values on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices.

B.4 Indirect costs for related additional coordination and networking activities [(not included in Point B.5)]

Indirect costs are eligible if they are declared on the basis of the flat-rate of 25 % of the eligible direct costs (see Article 5.2 and Points B.1 to B.3), from which are excluded:

- (a) costs of subcontracting [and][;]
- (b) costs of in-kind contributions provided by third parties which are not used on the beneficiary's premises [and][;]
- (c) **[OPTION 1 if Point B.5 applies and the unit cost covers indirect costs: [unit costs under Article 5.2(f) and Point B.5][OPTION 2: not applicable].**

Beneficiaries receiving an operating grant¹⁹ financed by the EU or Euratom budget cannot declare indirect costs for the period covered by the operating grant, unless they can demonstrate that the operating grant does not cover any costs of the action.

B.5 [OPTION 1: [Insert name of specific cost category(ies) that are part of related additional coordination and networking activities][OPTION 2 if no specific cost categories applicable to grant: Specific cost category(ies)]

[OPTION 1a for specific unit costs (if foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): [Insert name of specific cost category²⁰] that are part of related additional coordination and networking activities are eligible, if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the number of actual units, [and if [insert additional eligibility conditions, if any]].]

[same for each specific cost category]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

[...]

¹⁴ This amount must correspond to 30% for PCP and 50% for PPI of the estimated eligible costs set out in Article 5.2.

¹⁵ For the definition, see Article 2.1(14) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013: 'non-profit legal entity' means a legal entity which by its legal form is non-profit-making or which has a legal or statutory obligation not to distribute profits to its shareholders or individual members.

¹⁶ To be used as an exception, only if justified by the nature of the action and the context of the use of the equipment or assets, if provided for in the work programme.

¹⁷ 'Large research infrastructure' means research infrastructure of a total value of at least EUR 20 million, for a beneficiary, calculated as the sum of historical asset values of each individual research infrastructure of that beneficiary, as they appear in its last closed balance sheet before the date of the signature of the Agreement or as determined on the basis of the rental and leasing costs of the research infrastructure.

¹⁸ For the definition see Article 2(6) of the H2020 Framework Programme Regulation No 1291/2013: 'Research infrastructure' are facilities, resources and services that are used by the research communities to conduct research and foster innovation in their fields. Where relevant, they may be used beyond research, e.g. for education or public services. They include: major scientific equipment (or sets of instruments); knowledge-based resources such as collections, archives or scientific data; e-infrastructures such as data and computing systems and communication networks; and any other infrastructure of a unique nature essential to achieve excellence in research and innovation. Such infrastructures may be 'single-sited', 'virtual' or 'distributed'.

¹⁹ For the definition, see Article 121(1)(b) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 ('Financial Regulation No 966/2012') (OJ L 218, 26.10.2012, p.1): 'operating grant' means direct financial contribution, by way of donation, from the budget in order to finance the functioning of a body which pursues an aim of general EU interest or has an objective forming part of and supporting an EU policy.

²⁰ Insert precise name of the cost (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost). For example: 'access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure'. 'costs for clinical studies'. 'costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings'.



1. Additional coordination and networking costs (B.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

1.1 The beneficiaries may declare the following **types of costs** as costs for additional coordination and networking activities related to the joint call for tender:

- direct personnel costs for additional coordination and networking activities:
 - basic remuneration (basic salary and complements)
 - for non-profit legal entities: additional remuneration ('bonus payments')
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs of SME owners without salary
 - costs of beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary'
 - personnel costs for providing trans-national or virtual access to research infrastructure (if option applies)
- direct costs of subcontracting for additional coordination and networking activities
- other direct costs for additional coordination and networking activities:
 - travel and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment
 - other goods and services and
 - costs of large research infrastructure
- indirect costs for additional coordination and networking activities
- specific cost categories (if option applies).

1.2 All costs must normally be **declared as** actual costs.

Personnel costs (average personnel costs) and costs of internally invoiced goods and services may also be declared as unit cost in accordance with the usual cost accounting practices.

Personnel costs of beneficiaries that are SME owners or natural persons not receiving a salary **MUST** be declared as the unit cost fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8197](#)¹¹⁹ and set out in Annex 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This concerns only *beneficiaries* that are SMEs (e.g. *contracting authorities or contracting entities that are SMEs*), not PCP/PPI subcontractors that are SMEs.

1.3 The same **eligibility conditions** apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The PCP/PPI MGA has however the following **specificities**:

¹¹⁹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_sme-owners_natural-persons-no-salary_en.pdf

The costs must be incurred by the beneficiaries for additional coordination and networking activities that are related to the joint call for tender (e.g. *coordination costs for preparing, managing monitoring and following-up the PCP/PPI procurement, costs for other coordination and networking activities to embed the PCP/PPI into a wider set of demand side activities*).

Examples:

1. *The evaluation of the PCP or PPI tender is subcontracted or undertaken by in-house consultants under the responsibility of the consortium participants.*
2. *Resources or equipment for testing innovative solutions are made available by end-users of the targeted innovative solutions (in the form of action tasks undertaken by linked third parties or as in-kind contributions of third parties).*
3. *Costs for validation and testing of solutions provided by the subcontractors.*

1.4 The same **calculation rules** apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

According to [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#), the costs of additional coordination and networking activities are eligible up to a maximum amount of 30% (for PCP)/50% (for PPI) of the total requested grant amount. For each GA, this ceiling is fixed in the GA (see [Article 6.2.B](#)). Thus, the amount of EU funding for additional coordination and networking activities does NOT change, even if the costs actually incurred for PCP/PPI subcontracting end up being less than initially estimated (e.g. *if the buyers group is able to procure at a better price than it had budgeted*).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the **appropriate resources** to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see Article 11);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see Article 12);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14a).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission][Agency]* and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For PCP/PPI actions, generally the same rules on third party involvement apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, entities contributing financially to the joint procurement budget can only participate as beneficiaries or linked third parties (NOT as other third parties involved in the action). They form the buyers group.

Moreover, certain **third party resources** (i.e. purchasing of goods, works or services (Article 10), in-kind contributions (Articles 11 and 12)) are ONLY allowed for **additional coordination and networking activities**.

***Example:** Equipment needed for testing and validating solutions is provided by a third party as in-kind contribution.*

By contrast, **subcontracting** ([Article 13](#)) is possible both for PCP/PPI subcontracting and related additional coordination and networking activities.

Linked third parties (Article 14) may participate in all activities under the action.

In addition, PCP/PPI actions often have **other interested third parties** (preferred partners), which are neither lead procurer nor part of the buyers group nor linked third parties nor third parties providing in-kind contributions, but which have a special interest in closely following the PCP and may therefore be mentioned in Annex 1 (e.g. entities involved in the related additional networking activities; other potential buyers for the solutions that have expressed a special interest in the PCP/PPI).

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS**ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS****13.1 [OPTION 1 for PCP: Rules for the pre-commercial procurement of research and development services**

13.1.1 The beneficiaries will award subcontracts for the PCP research and development services ('PCP R&D services') that are necessary to address the 'common challenge' set out in Annex 1.

The subcontracts must be awarded as one single joint procurement by the beneficiaries concerned (i.e. the 'lead procurer' and the 'buyers group').

The lead procurer must be a 'contracting authority' or 'contracting entity' as defined in Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU).

The buyers group must constitute a 'total jointly committed budget' for payment of the subcontracts.

The 'buyers group', the 'lead procurer', the services to be subcontracted (for each implementation phase ('PCP phase')), their estimated costs and the estimated financial contribution per beneficiary to the 'total jointly committed budget' **must be set out in Annex 1**. The estimated costs of PCP subcontracting per beneficiary must be set out in Annex 2.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries concerned must — throughout the action —:

- guarantee equal treatment of tenderers and subcontractors and avoid any restrictions or distortions of competition;
- avoid any conflict of interest (see Article 35);
- allow for all communications to be made in English (and any additional language(s) they may have chosen).

The subcontracts for pre-commercial procurement must provide for the following:

- the ownership, by the subcontractors, of the intellectual property rights on the results that they generate;
- the right of the buyers to access results — on a royalty-free basis — for their own use;
- the right of the buyers to grant (or to require the subcontractors to grant) non-exclusive licences to third parties to exploit the results — under fair and reasonable conditions — (without the right to sub-licence);
- the obligation of the subcontractors to transfer back to the buyers the ownership of intellectual property generated by subcontractors during the PCP, if subcontractors fail to commercially exploit the results within the period set out in the subcontract;
- the right of the buyers to publish — at the time of the contract award notice — the identity of the winning tenderers and a project summary provided by the winning tenderers, and to publish — after R&D has finished and after consulting the subcontractors — summaries of the results as well as the identities of the subcontractors that successfully completed the last phase of the PCP.

The beneficiaries concerned must ensure that the majority of the research and development work done by the subcontractor(s) (including the work of the main researchers) is located in the EU Member States or associated countries ('place of performance obligation').

The beneficiaries concerned must **prepare, procure and implement** the subcontracts in accordance with the following **requirements**:

(a) For the **'preparation stage'**:

(i) agree (in writing) on their internal procedures for carrying out the joint PCP procurement (**'joint procurement agreement'**);

(ii) make an **open market consultation**, which:

- is published — two months in advance — in the Official Journal of the European Union (via a **'prior information notice (PIN)'**, drawn up in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);
- is promoted and advertised widely;
- is summarised on the project website and other web-sites requested by the [Commission][Agency], together with a list of Q&As raised during the open market consultation;

(iii) prepare **'common tender specifications'**

(b) For the **'procurement/tendering stage'**:

(i) **Step 1**: make a **'contract notice'**, which

- is published by the lead procurer in the Official Journal of the European Union (in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);
- specifies that the procurement concerns a pre-commercial procurement that is exempted from Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU)²⁶;
- specifies a time-limit for receipt of tenders of at least two months;
- allows for the submission of tenders in English (and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);
- is promoted and advertised widely;
- indicates how potential tenderers can obtain the **'request for tenders'**;

and the **request for tenders** inviting all interested economic operators to tender, which:

- identifies the lead procurer, the buyers group and, if applicable, third parties involved in the PCP;
- informs potential tenderers about the outcome and list of Q&As of the market consultation (see above);
- describes the common challenge (using functional or performance based specifications and taking into account the outcome of the open market consultation);
- describes the process for the evaluation and selection of the tenders for the first PCP phase and the intermediate evaluations after each following PCP phase;
- describes the practical set-up for the implementation of the subcontracts;
- describes the minimum requirements that subcontractors must comply with during the PCP;

- describes the arrangements for intellectual property rights, confidentiality, publicity (information about contract award and publication of summaries of R&D results) and rules on applicable law and dispute settlement;

(ii) **Step 2: make an evaluation of the tenders**, ranking them, on the basis of the common tender specifications (see above), according to best value for money criteria and ensuring that the price corresponds to market conditions;

(iii) **Step 3: award the subcontracts** to a minimum of three tenderers offering **best value for money** and a **price corresponding to market conditions**.

The **framework agreements** (one agreement per selected tenderer) must be signed by the lead procurer and set out the terms and conditions that govern the specific contracts.

The **specific contracts** (one agreement per selected tenderer and PCP phase) must be signed by the lead procurer and set out the details of the PCP R&D services purchased by each buyer (in particular, their quantity and price);

(iv) **Step 4: make a ‘contract award notice’** which is published — within 48 days after conclusion of the framework agreements — by the lead procurer in the Official Journal of the European Union (in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);

(c) For the **‘contract implementation stage’**:

(i) monitor that the PCP R&D services are implemented in compliance with the objectives of the action set out in Annex 1;

(ii) **ensure compliance with the planning of resources** set out in Annex 1 and the estimated budget indicated in Annex 2;

(iii) ensure payment of the subcontractors.

The beneficiaries concerned must ensure that the right of the Commission [and the Agency], the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) to exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the subcontractors.

13.1.2 In addition, the beneficiaries concerned must ensure that their obligations under Articles 17.1, 18, 34, 35, 37, 36, 38, 39 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

The beneficiaries concerned must also ensure that every prior information notice, contract notice or contract award notice published in relation to the subcontracting includes the following disclaimer:

“This procurement receives funding under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No [number]. The EU is however not participating as a contracting authority in this procurement.”]


²⁶ See Article 16(f) of Directive 2004/18/EC replaced by Article 14 of Directive 2014/24/EU, and Article 24(e) of Directive 2004/17/EC replaced by Article 32 of Directive 2014/25/EU..



1. PCP subcontracting

In PCP actions, the beneficiaries award PCP subcontracts for research and development services that are necessary to address a common challenge set out in Annex 1 (PCP R&D services). ‘PCP

subcontract' thus means a contract for the purchase of R&D services (identified in Annex 1 as action task).

 For the purposes of the GA, the suppliers selected as a result of the PCP/PPI call for tender are considered 'subcontractors' and the contracts with them are 'subcontracts'. They do NOT become beneficiaries of the GA.

Characteristics of subcontracting:

- Joint subcontracting by some or all of the beneficiaries and linked third parties (buyers group), with a joint procurement in 3 phases

The **buyers group** is the group that finances and undertakes together the joint PCP procurement (i.e. normally the entities that need the purchased service/solution or central purchasing bodies).

For PCP/PPI actions, it must normally be made up of:

- a minimum of 2 public procurers (i.e. 'contracting authorities' or 'contracting entities' as defined in the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁰)

Any entity that fits the definition of a contracting authority or contracting entity as defined in those Directives is considered a public procurer — regardless of whether the EU public procurement Directives themselves apply or not.

***Example:** International entities such as European Research Infrastructure Consortia (ERICs) that fit as majority publicly owned entities the public procurement Directives definition of contracting authorities, but to which those Directives do not apply, are considered public procurers that can participate in the buyers group and are eligible for funding under PCP/PPI actions.*

- that are established in two different EU Member States or H2020 associated countries (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

This is in addition to the general minimum requirements for participation for Horizon 2020 actions (i.e. minimum of 3 independent legal entities established in different EU Member States or H2020 associated countries; see [General Annexes C and D to the H2020 Work Programme](#) — unless the work programme/call allows for the participation of sole participants).

Procurers that are not public procurers, but provide services of public interest and share the same procurement need may also be part of the buyers group.

***Example:** a group of a minimum of two public hospitals from two different EU Member States or H2020 associated countries could be joined by a private hospital or NGO (e.g. Médecins sans frontières).*

The buyers group is NOT open to other types of procurers that are not providing services of public interest, even if they may share the same procurement need (e.g. private company procurers like IBM or EADS that are not providing hospital services cannot be funded as buyers in the above example of a consortium of hospital procurers, even if they would be interested to procure the same software system as the hospital procurers).

Such other entities may be involved in the action as:

¹²⁰ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

- beneficiaries/linked third parties responsible for other action tasks related additional coordination and networking activities (*e.g. certification bodies, end-users*)
 - third parties providing in-kind contributions
- if they are not potential suppliers of solutions sought for by the procurement and have no other type of conflict of interest.

The PCP procurement is split in **3 phases** (solution design, prototyping, original development and testing of a limited set of 'first' products or services) which are implemented via 3 different specific contracts (under the overall PCP framework agreement).

The buyers group must select multiple competing subcontractors (with a minimum of 3 subcontractors for the first PCP phase). At the end of each phase, an intermediate evaluation will take place to identify the subcontractors that successfully completed the phase. A call-off will be made to select the subcontractors with the best value for money offers for the next phase.

In order to obtain a competitive supply chain as a result of the PCP, the buyers group must plan the budget distribution across the PCP phases so that there is enough budget for minimum 2 subcontractors in the last PCP phase 3.

The tenders for the PCP call for tender must therefore contain:

- a detailed offer for phase 1
 - the goals, plans and unit price conditions for phases 2 and 3.
- Concerns **R&D services** addressing a **common challenge** (i.e. a specific procurement need that is part of the mid-to-long-term innovation plans of the buyers group).

The common challenge may have several facets or building blocks, as long as all the beneficiaries in the buyers group share the need for all of them and are willing to co-finance all of them.

If the common challenge is split in several sub-challenges on which different vendors can compete, the minimum requirements of 3 subcontractors for phase 1 and 2 for phase 3 applies per sub-challenge, in order to obtain a competitive supply chain for each sub-challenge.

The procurement must be for an R&D services contract (i.e. a contract with the objective to provide R&D services; *see also [General Annex E to the H2020 Work Programme](#)*).

If required for the provisioning of the R&D services and required by the procurement need of the buyers group, the PCP may include the purchase of supplies (*such as the limited volume of prototypes or first test-products resulting from the R&D*).

Example: *A traffic authority may need to acquire more environmentally-friendly tarmac that was developed and installed during the PCP on a test strip of the road, because the old tarmac was destroyed during the PCP in order to test the new variant and the traffic authority needs to carry out further testing on the tarmac after the PCP is done.*

This does NOT extend to 'quantity production' or 'supply to establish commercial viability or to recover research and development costs' (— otherwise it will not be an R&D services contract and it will not be exempted from the [WTO GPA](#)¹²¹).

Supplies can NOT constitute the majority of the contract value.

- All subcontracts paid from one common budget for the procurement (**common jointly-committed budget**)
- This budget is based on the financial commitments of the beneficiaries and linked third parties in the buyers group and must correspond to the call-for-tender-budget (i.e. the estimated procurement price = estimated direct costs of PCP subcontracting; *see [Articles 4 and 6.2.A](#)*).

¹²¹ PCP procurements are exempted from the WTO GPA (since according to Annex IV of the WTO GPA R&D services are excluded from the scope of the GPA, i.e. both from the national treatment obligation and from the non-discrimination obligation).

- Joint procurement procedure (i.e. joint call for tender, joint evaluation of offers and joint award) is coordinated and led by one beneficiary (**lead procurer**)

The lead procurer may be part of the buyers group or not (i.e. it may also be a beneficiary that is NOT part of the buyers group).

Example: the lead procurer can be a central purchasing body that carries out the procurement for the buyers group, but does not contribute financially to the common jointly committed budget).

The lead procurer must be a 'contracting authority' or 'contracting entity' as defined in the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²².

- **Subcontracts signed** by the lead procurer, in the name and on behalf of the buyers group
The lead procurer must be mandated by the buyers group in the joint procurement agreement.
The subcontracts to be signed are:
 - a framework contract with each selected subcontractor, covering the whole PCP and
 - specific contracts with each selected subcontractor, for each PCP phase.
- **Subcontracts implemented** by the selected subcontractors (PCP implementation)
- **Subcontractors are paid** either by the lead procurer or (pro rata) by the members of the buyers group (based on their individual financial contribution to the jointly-committed budget), depending on the choice of the consortium when it submitted the estimated eligible costs (see [Article 4](#)).

PCP subcontracting is NOT restricted to a limited part of the action (since the PCP subcontracting is the main goal of PCP actions).

There can only be one PCP per PCP action.

 Article 13.1 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 13.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 13.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Procurers, tasks and costs set out in Annexes 1 and 2 — No simplified approval procedure

Annexes 1 and 2 must clearly identify the common challenge (types of R&D services to be procured), the lead procurer, the buyers group and the common jointly-committed budget and the estimated costs per beneficiary, already at the moment of the signature of the GA. Any changes at a later stage (e.g. after the preparation stage of the project) are only possible through an amendment; see [Article 55](#)).

At the end of the preparation stage of the project, the consortium must confirm (in the periodic report; see [Article 20.3](#)) that the lead procurer has not changed and that the buyers group's commitments to the common jointly-committed budget are still valid — or whether changes are needed, based on the feedback of the preparation stage of the project (e.g. the open market consultation).

¹²² New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

For PCP subcontracting, there is NO simplified approval procedure (contrary to the subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities; see [Article 13.2](#)).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Place of performance obligation

The majority (i.e. at least 50%) of the total amount of **work** done by the subcontractors for the PCP implementation (including the work of the main researchers) must be **performed in EU Member States or H2020 associated countries** (as defined in [Article 25 H2020 General MGA](#)).

This includes R&D and operational activities (*e.g. research, development, testing, certifying solutions, etc.*).

The beneficiaries must require subcontractors to comply with this place of performance obligation, including when subcontractors subcontract work out themselves. This obligation must however be clearly set out in the Framework Agreement (*see below*).

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Procurement procedure — Best value for money — Price corresponding to market conditions

The beneficiaries must base the PCP subcontracts on the **best value for money** (*see Articles 10 and 13 H2020 General MGA*).

The tenders for the PCP call for tender must therefore contain:

- a detailed offer for phase 1
- the goals, plans and unit price conditions for phases 2 and 3.

The offers for the call-offs for phase 2 and 3 must contain the detailed offer for phase 2 and phase 3. The price offered for phase 2 and 3 must be based on the unit prices in the framework agreement

The GA foresees additional rules, i.e. that:

- the price must correspond to **market conditions**

This means that the price must normally be lower than the exclusive development price (since subcontractors obtain intellectual property ownership rights (IPRs)).

AND

- a **specific procurement procedure** must be followed.

The main elements of this procedure are as follows:

- the buyers group and the lead procurer must formalise the PCP in a **joint procurement agreement** that:
 - specifies the working arrangements for the joint procurement (*e.g. division of tasks between the lead procurer and the buyers group; signature of the subcontracts, etc.*)
 - sets out the financial arrangements (*e.g. the contributions of each member of the buyers group to the common jointly-committed budget; arrangements for financial transfers between buyers group and/or lead procurer for carrying out the PCP*)
 - specifies in-kind contributions provided by third parties
 - sets out other rights and obligations of the buyers group and lead procurer (*e.g. allocation of IPR-related rights resulting from the PCP; commitment to provide test environments*)

- the procurement must be preceded by an **open market consultation**

This open market consultation must be implemented as a 'technical dialogue' as defined in the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²³.

A prior information notice (PIN) must be published in the [Official Journal of European Union \(OJEU\)](#) and must be promoted widely, in particular via the websites specified by the Commission/Agency and the H2020 National Contact Points (NCPs).

- the procurement must be based on **common procurement specifications** prepared by the buyers group and the lead procurer

These specifications must be based on an analysis of the buyers group's needs (i.e. the common challenge) and take into account the feedback from the open market consultation (meaning that it should be refined based on this feedback, if needed).


They must describe the functionality and performance requirements (including minimum requirements) that solutions must meet, rather than prescribing a specific solution.

- the buyers group and the lead procurer must publish a **contract notice** to launch the **request for tenders**.

The request for tenders does not have to be published. It can be provided on request.

- the buyers group and the lead procurer must **jointly evaluate** the tenders and make a **joint award** decision.

Beneficiaries have to demonstrate — upon request — that the selection of the subcontractors complied with these rules.

 For more guidance and templates for the tender documents, see the [PCP procurement documents \[ODT format\]](#).

5. Additional cost eligibility condition: Minimum content of framework agreement and specific contracts

The beneficiaries must conclude a **framework agreement** (with each selected subcontractor) which sets out the framework conditions for all 3 phases of the PCP implementation, including:

- the practical set-up of the implementation of the subcontracts, in particular:
 - the number, duration and budget of the PCP phases
 - the minimum number of expected subcontractors per PCP phase

¹²³ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

- the procedure for the intermediate evaluations after each PCP phase (in particular, the evaluation criteria and the weightings)
- the process for monitoring of on-going R&D work and reporting obligations of subcontractors
- the procedures for accounting and payments
- an exclusion of contract renegotiations
- the role and the rights and obligations of third parties involved in the implementation of the subcontracts
- the minimum requirements that subcontractors must comply with during the PCP implementation, in particular:
 - compliance with the definition of R&D services (see [General Annex E to the H2020 Work Programme](#))
 - the obligation of the subcontractors to ensure that the majority of the R&D work (including the work of the main researchers) is located in the EU Member States or H2020 associated countries (place of performance obligation)
 - additional national requirements, ethical and/or security requirements (if applicable)
- the arrangements for intellectual property rights, in particular:
 - the ownership, by the subcontractors, of the intellectual property rights on the results that they generate
 - the right of the buyers to access to use the results — on a royalty-free basis — for their own internal use (see [General Annex E to the H2020 Work Programme](#))
 - the right of the buyers to grant (or to require the subcontractors to grant) non-exclusive licences to third parties to exploit the results — under fair and reasonable conditions and without the right to sub-licence

This right serves as a safeguard to ensure a competitive supply chain (during or after the PCP action) in case of problems. It does NOT mean that every subcontractor will always and automatically be obliged to grant non-exclusive licenses to third parties to exploit its results.

***Examples (licences needed):** other providers working for the buyers group need access to the IPR to work for the buyers group; a PCP subcontractor abuses its monopoly situation*

 - the obligation of the subcontractors to transfer results (generated by subcontractors during the PCP implementation) to the buyers, if they fail to commercially exploit the results within the period set out in the framework agreement or use the results to the detriment of the public interest, including security interests (see [General Annex E to the H2020 Work Programme](#))

This right serves as a safeguard to ensure commercial exploitation in the public interest, in case the subcontractors fail to do so themselves. It will be for exceptional cases.
- the right of the buyers to publish:
 - at the time of the contract award notice: the identity of the winning tenderers and a project summary provided by the winning tenderers
 - after R&D has finished (and after consulting the subcontractors): summaries of the results as well as the identities of the subcontractors that successfully completed the last phase of the PCP

The framework agreement remains binding for as long as subcontractors remain in the PCP (i.e. normally until the PCP subcontractor is no longer selected to continue for the next PCP phase).

The beneficiaries must conclude **specific contracts** (with each selected subcontractor and for each PCP phase) must set out the specific conditions applicable to each PCP phase, in particular:

- the details of the PCP R&D services purchased by each buyer
- quantity and
- price.

The phase 1 specific contract is signed (together with the framework agreement) with all the subcontractors selected for phase 1. After phase 1 is finished, a call-off to award the phase 2 specific contracts will be organised among the subcontractors who have successfully completed phase 1. After phase 2 is finished, a call-off to award at least two phase 3 contracts will be organised among the subcontractors who have successfully completed phase 2.

6. Additional cost eligibility condition: Compliance with the planning of resources

To ensure compliance with the resource planning (as set out in Annex 1), the beneficiaries must ensure the timely allocation of resources in order to implement the PCP (including allocating sufficient time and resources for testing solutions in real-life operational end-user environments).

Example: testing facilities or equipment to be provided by the buyers group (or other beneficiaries or third parties).

7. 'Other obligation': Compliance with national procurement rules

The **EU public procurement Directives** [2014/24/EU](#), [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁴ and [2009/81/EC](#) do **NOT apply** to the pre-commercial procurement of R&D services (because such services are exempted¹²⁵).

However, beneficiaries that are 'contracting authorities' or 'contracting entities' (within the meaning of the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁶; see [Article 13 H2020 General MGA](#)) must implement the PCP procurement in compliance with provisions in **national laws on public procurement** that may be applicable to this type of R&D services contracts.

¹²⁴ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

¹²⁵ See [Article 14 of Directive 2014/24/EU](#), [Article 32 of Directive 2014/25/EU](#) and [Article 13\(f\)\(j\) of Directive 2009/81/EC](#).

¹²⁶ Directive 2009/81/EC uses the definitions of Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#) (see [Article 1\(17\) of Directive 2009/81/EC](#)).

[OPTION 2 for PPI: Rules for procurement of the PPI innovative solution(s)]

13.1.1 The beneficiaries will award **subcontracts** for procuring the '**PPI innovative solution(s)**' that are necessary to address the '**common challenge**' set out in Annex 1.

The subcontracts must be awarded in one single joint (or several coordinated) procurement procedure(s) by the beneficiaries concerned (i.e. the 'buyers group' and the 'lead procurer').

The lead procurer must be a 'contracting authority' or 'contracting entity' as defined in Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and, 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU).

The 'buyers group', the 'lead procurer', the innovative solution(s) and their estimated cost **must be set out in Annex 1**. The estimated cost of PPI subcontracting per beneficiary must be set out in Annex 2.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries concerned must — throughout the action —:

- guarantee equal treatment of tenderers and subcontractors and avoid any restrictions or distortions of competition;
- avoid any conflict of interest (see Article 35);
- allow for all communications (with potential tenderers, tenderers and subcontractors) to be made in English (and any additional language(s) they may have chosen).

Participation in PPI tendering procedures must be open on equal terms to tenderers from EU Member States, associated countries and other countries with which the EU has an agreement in the field of public procurement. If the WTO Government Procurement Agreement applies, PPI subcontracts must also be open to tenderers from States that have ratified this agreement.

The beneficiaries concerned must **prepare, procure and implement** the subcontracts in accordance with the following **requirements**:

(a) For the '**preparation stage**':

- (i) identify and agree (in writing) on their internal procedures for carrying out the joint (or coordinated) PPI procurement(s) ('**joint procurement agreement**');
- (ii) **[OPTION 1 for PPI actions that are not limited to the procurement of the limited set of prototypes and/or test products developed during a preceding PCP action: make an 'open market consultation' inviting all interested economic operators to participate, which**
 - is published — two months in advance — by the lead procurer in the Official Journal of the European Union (via a '**prior information notice (PIN)**', drawn up in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);
 - is promoted and advertised widely;
 - is summarised on the project website and other web-sites requested by the [Commission][Agency], together with a list of Q&As raised during the open market consultation;]

[OPTION 2: not applicable]

(iii) *prepare **common tender specifications**, based on the needs analysis of the buyers group;*

(b) *For the **'procurement/tendering stage'**:*

[OPTION 1 for PPI actions that are not limited to the procurement of a set of prototypes and/or test products developed during a preceding PCP action:

(i) **Step 1:** *make one (or several) **'contract notice'**(s), which:*

- *are published by the lead procurer (or beneficiary) in the Official Journal of the European Union (in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);*
- *specify a time-limit for receipt of tenders that is sufficient for the preparation of innovative bids.*

The call(s) for tender must remain open for at least 60 days.

The restricted procedure with shortened time-limit for receipt of tenders may not be used;

- *allow for the submission of tenders in English (and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);*
- *are promoted and advertised widely;*
- *indicate how potential tenderers can obtain the tender documentation and the **'PPI request(s) for tenders'**;*

*and the **tender documentation and PPI request for tenders.***

(ii) **Step 2:** *make one (or several) **evaluation(s) of the tenders**, ranking them, on the basis of the common tender specifications (see above), according to **best value for money** criteria and ensuring that the price corresponds to **market conditions**;*

(iii) **Step 3:** *award the **subcontract(s)** to the tenderer(s) offering best value for money and a price corresponding to market conditions;*

(iv) **Step 4:** *make one (or several) **'contract award notice'**(s), which are published by the beneficiary or lead procurer in the Official Journal of the European Union (in English and any additional language(s) chosen by the buyers group);]*

[OPTION 2 for PPI actions that are limited to the procurement of the set of prototypes and/or test products developed during a preceding PCP action:

(i) **Step 1:** *make one (or several) **request(s) for offers** from at least **three providers**, which — in accordance with the negotiated procedure without publication as provided for in Directives 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) and 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU)²⁷¹ —:*

- *use technical specifications that are functional or performance based;*
- *avoid selection criteria based on restrictive qualification requirements or disproportionate financial guarantees;*
- *specify award criteria based on best value for money*

- describe the practical set-up for the implementation of the subcontracts, in particular:
 - the types of subcontracts that will be concluded with successful tenderers (in particular whether a framework agreement with multiple economic operators will be used or not);
 - describe the arrangements for intellectual property rights
 - describe the arrangements for liability for damages;
- (iv) **Step 2: make one (or several) evaluation(s) of the tenders ranking them, on the basis of the common tender specifications (see above), according to best value for money criteria and ensuring that the price corresponds to market conditions;**
- (v) **Step 3: award the subcontracts to a minimum of three tenderers offering best value for money and a price corresponding to market conditions.]**

(c) For the ‘**contract implementation stage**’:

- (i) monitor that the PPI innovative solutions are implemented compliance with the objectives of the action set out in Annex 1;
- (ii) ensure compliance with the planning of resources set out in Annex 1 and the estimated budget indicated in Annex 2;
- (iii) ensure payment of the subcontractors.

The beneficiaries concerned must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the subcontractors.

13.1.2 In addition, the beneficiaries concerned must ensure that their obligations under Articles 17.1 18, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

Beneficiaries acting as contracting authorities within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) or as contracting entities within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU) must comply with the applicable national law on public procurement.

The beneficiaries concerned must also ensure that every prior information notice, contract notice or contract award notice published in relation to the subcontracting includes the following disclaimer:

‘This procurement receives funding under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No [number]. The EU is however not participating as a contracting authority in this procurement.’

²⁷ See Articles 28 and 31(2)(a) of Directive 2004/18/EU replaced by Article 26 and 32(3)(a) of [Directive 2014/24/EU](#) and Article 40(3)(b) of Directive 2004/17/EC replaced by Article 50(b) of Directive 2014/25/EU.



1. PPI subcontracting

For PPI actions, beneficiaries award PPI subcontracts for procuring the innovative solutions that are necessary to address the common challenge set out in Annex 1 (PPI innovative solution(s)). ‘PPI subcontract’ thus means a contract for the purchase of innovative solutions (identified in Annex 1 as an action task).

The requirements for PPI subcontracting are generally similar as for PCP subcontracting.

However, PPI subcontracting has the following **specificities**:

The PPI procurement covers 2 phases (deployment and operational validation) — but there is no requirement to split the PPI procurement for those 2 phases in different contracts.

The beneficiaries may choose between implementing *one single* or *several coordinated* PPI procurements.

For joint procurements, there is one joint PPI call for tender launched by the lead procurer and one joint evaluation of offers.

For coordinated procurements, there are several separate PPI procurements carried out individually by the different procurers in the buyers group.

Moreover, the buyers group may select one or more subcontractors.

The common challenge does NOT concern R&D services, but the **supply of innovative goods or services**. The buyers group acts as a launch customer (early adopter) of innovative solutions that are not yet available on a large-scale commercial basis.

PPI cannot include the procurement of R&D. Only procurement procedures that do not involve the procurement of R&D can be used for PPI (*e.g. innovation partnership procedure*).

Each PPI action focuses on one concrete common challenge and requires innovative solutions that are to a significant extent similar across countries, making it sensible to procure them together (i.e. the core functionality and performance characteristics must be the same; there may be additional local functionalities — due to differences in the local context of each individual procurer).

Also, there is a common budget for the procurement (**common jointly-committed budget**) only if the buyers group would like to centralise payments. Each buyer may alternatively manage a separate budget for the innovative solutions it buys.

Finally, the subcontracts are **not necessarily signed** by the **lead procurer**; there is not necessarily a **framework agreement**.

The buyers group may choose between direct subcontracts or a framework agreement with specific contracts (with each selected subcontractor).

For joint procurements:

- the buyers group may mandate the lead procurer — in the joint procurement agreement — to sign the framework agreements and/or the specific contracts/direct subcontracts
- if there is no mandate, the general rule is that:
 - framework agreement(s) must be signed by all members of the buyers group together
 - specific contracts must be signed by each buyer individually (for the innovative solution(s) it buys).

PPI subcontracting is not restricted to a limited part of the action (since the PPI subcontracting is the main goal of PPI actions).

 Article 13.1 contains both additional cost eligibility conditions (in Article 13.1.1) and 'other obligations' (in Article 13.1.2).

2. Additional cost eligibility condition: Procurers, tasks and costs set out in Annexes 1 and 2 — No simplified approval procedure

Annexes 1 and 2 must clearly identify the common challenge (type of innovative solutions to be procured), the lead procurer, the buyers group, and the estimated costs per beneficiary, already at the moment of the signature of the GA. Any changes at a later stage (*e.g. after the preparation stage of the project*) are only possible through an amendment; see *Article 55*).

At the end of the preparation stage of the project, the consortium must confirm (in the periodic report; see *Article 20.3*) that lead procurer has not changed and that the buyers group's commitments to the budget for carrying out the PPI are still valid — or whether changes are needed, based on the feedback of the preparation stage of the project (*e.g. the open market consultation*).

For PPI subcontracting, there is NO simplified approval procedure (contrary to the subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities; see *Article 13.2*).

3. Additional cost eligibility condition: Procurement procedure — Best value for money — Price corresponding to market conditions

Like for PCP subcontracts:

- the beneficiaries must base the PPI subcontracts on the **best price-quality ratio**
- the price must correspond to **market conditions**

AND

- a **specific procurement procedure** must be followed.

The main elements of this procedure are as follows:

- the buyers group and lead procurer must formalise the PPI in a **joint procurement agreement** that:
 - specifies the working arrangements (*e.g. division of tasks between the lead procurer and the buyers group; use of a framework agreement or not; signature of subcontracts, etc.*)
 - sets out the financial arrangements (*e.g. budget for the procurement committed per member of the buyers group; arrangements for financial transfers — if any — between members of the buyers group and/or lead procurer for carrying out the PPI*)
 - specifies in-kind contributions provided by linked third parties
 - sets out other rights and obligations of the buyers group and lead procurer (*e.g. IPR-related rights, commitments to provide test environments*)
- the procurement must normally be preceded by an **open market consultation** (— unless the PPI is limited to procuring a limited set of prototypes or test products resulting from a previous PCP action carried out by the same procurers).

The open market consultation must be implemented as a 'technical dialogue' as defined in the EU public procurement Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁷.

¹²⁷ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

A prior information notice (PIN) must be published in the [Official Journal of the European Union](#) and must be promoted widely, in particular via the websites specified by the Commission/Agency and the H2020 National Contact Points (NCPs).

The PIN must contain information on:

- the expected launch date for the call for tender

This date must give potential tenderers sufficient time to adapt their production chain to reach the required functionality or performance requirements.

- whether verification of the market's readiness to deliver the requested quality/price (*e.g. via conformance testing, certification or quality labelling of solutions*) is planned before committing to procure and, if so, at what point in the process.
- the buyers group and the lead procurer must prepare **'common procurement specifications'**

The specifications must be based on an analysis of the buyers group's needs (i.e. the common challenge) and — if there was an open market consultation — take into account the feedback from that consultation.

They must describe the functionality and performance requirements that solutions must meet, rather than prescribing a specific solution.

- the buyers group and the lead procurer must publish a **contract notice** to launch the **request for tenders** (— unless the PPI is limited to procuring a limited set of prototypes or test products resulting from a previous PCP action carried out by the same procurers)

The request for tenders should specify the arrangements for intellectual property rights (*see below*) and the buyers' right to publish summaries of the results and the subcontractors' identities.

The request for tenders does not have to be published. It can be provided on request.

For PPI limited to procuring a limited set of prototypes or test products resulting from a previous PCP action carried out by the same procurers, a request for offers from at least 3 providers (including the providers that successfully completed the last PCP phase in the PCP action) is sufficient.

- the buyers group and the lead procurer must **jointly evaluate** the tenders and make a **joint award** decision.

In case of framework contracts/agreements, there may be multiple award decisions for different specific contracts that may be taken at the appropriate points in time during the framework contract/agreement by the procurers concerned.

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).


Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

Example: for contracts with a number of phases (such as design and build contracts) or contracts where different parts of the solution corresponding to different lots that need to be deployed at different times and/or by different procurers.

Beneficiaries have to demonstrate — upon request — that the selection of the subcontractor complied with these rules.

 For more guidance and templates for the tender documents, see the [PPI procurement documents \[ODT format\]](#).

4. Additional cost eligibility condition: Minimum content of subcontracts

The beneficiaries must conclude subcontract(s) that specify:

- the practical set-up of the implementation of the subcontracts, in particular:
 - the process for monitoring of on-going work and reporting obligations of subcontractors
 - the role and the rights and obligations of third parties involved in the PPI
 - the procedures for accounting and payments
 - an exclusion of contract renegotiations
- the minimum requirements that subcontractors must comply with during the PPI implementation, in particular:
 - implementation of the innovative solutions in accordance with the objectives of the action
 - additional national requirements, ethical and/or security requirements (if applicable)
- the arrangements for intellectual property rights (IPRs), in particular:
 - the ownership by the subcontractor(s) of the intellectual property rights on the results they generate — except in duly justified cases (*e.g. if the party that produced the results is not able to exploit them*)

The intellectual property provisions described here are the minimum requirements that must be respected. Beneficiaries may — if appropriate — specify additional intellectual property provisions (*e.g. regarding access to background, licensing etc.*) if these:

- do not conflict with their obligations under other Articles and
- maximise the incentives for both beneficiaries and subcontractors to use, widely exploit and commercialise the results
- the right of the buyers to publish:
 - at the time of the contract award notice: the identity of the winning tenderer(s) and a project summary provided by the winning tenderer(s)
 - after the contract(s) has(have) finished (and after consulting the subcontractor(s)): summaries of the results as well as the identities of the subcontractor(s)
- the specific conditions for each buyer, in particular:
 - details of the innovative solutions purchased by each buyer
 - quantity and

- price.

If the beneficiaries choose a framework agreement with specific contracts (for the innovative solutions procured by each buyer), the specific conditions for each buyer must be in the specific contracts (and the rest in the framework agreement).

5. Additional cost eligibility condition: Compliance with the planning of resources

To ensure compliance with the resource planning (as set out in Annex 1), the beneficiaries must ensure the timely allocation of resources in order to implement the PPI (including allocating sufficient time and resources for testing solutions in real-life operational end-user environments).

Example: testing facilities or equipment to be provided by the buyers group (or other beneficiaries or third parties).

6. 'Other obligation': Compliance with national procurement rules

Contrary to PCP, the **EU public procurement Directives** [2014/24/EU](#), [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁸ and [2009/81/EC](#) normally **apply** to PPI procurements.

Therefore, the beneficiaries that are 'contracting authorities' or 'contracting entities' (within the meaning of Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#)¹²⁹; see [Article 13 H2020 General MGA](#)) must — like in the General MGA — **also** comply with the applicable **national law on public procurement**.

¹²⁸ New directives in force since 2016:

Directive [2014/24/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65) and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p.65).

Directive [2014/25/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

Old directives:

Directive [2004/18/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Directive [2004/17/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

¹²⁹ Directive 2009/81/EC uses the definitions of Directives [2014/24/EU](#) and [2014/25/EU](#) (see [Article 1\(17\) of Directive 2009/81/EC](#)).

13.2 Rules for subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities

13.2.1 If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may award subcontracts for the ‘related additional coordination and networking activities’ described in Annex 1.

The beneficiaries must award the subcontracts ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, they must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

[OPTION: In addition, if the value of the subcontract to be awarded exceeds EUR [...], the beneficiaries must comply with the following rules: [...].²⁸]

The tasks to be implemented and the estimated cost for each subcontract must be set out in Annex 1 and the total estimated costs of subcontracting per beneficiary must be set out in Annex 2. The [Commission][Agency] may however approve subcontracts not set out in Annex 1 and 2 without amendment according to Article 55, if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic or final technical report, and
- they do not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their subcontractors.

13.2.2 In addition, the beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38, and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

Beneficiaries acting as contracting authorities within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) or as contracting entities within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU) must comply with the applicable national law on public procurement.

13.3 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.1 or 13.2.1, the costs related to the subcontract concerned will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under Article 13.1.2 or 13.2.2, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

²⁸ If the authorising officer decides to set specific rules, they should have due regard for the principle of proportionality taking into account the value of the contracts and the relative size of the EU contributions in relation to the total cost of the action and the risk. Specific rules must be based on the rules contained in the Financial Regulation. Simply citing the FR without specifying the applicable provisions should be avoided. Specific rules may only be set for the award of contracts of a value higher than EUR 60 000. The authorising officer may set a threshold higher than EUR 60 000 on the basis of a risk assessment.



1. Subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities

For the subcontracting of related additional coordination and networking activities, the same rules apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must submit:

- 5 days before its publication: a copy of the prior information notice (PIN) (see Article 13);
- 30 days before its publication: a copy of the contract notice (see Article 13);
- at the end of the tender evaluation [*OPTION for PCP: (and after the intermediate evaluations that precede the start of each new PCP phase (see Article 13))*]:
 - **information on the total number of bids received**, in particular the data on the winning tenderer(s) and abstracts of the winning tenders, for publication and evaluation purposes;
 - **information on the evaluation of tenders**: the final ranking list of the selected projects, final scores and qualitative assessment per evaluation criterion for each received bid, minutes of the evaluation meeting;
 - [*OPTION for PCP: an assessment by the buyers group of the results achieved by each participating tenderer in the previous PCP phase (except for the initial evaluation of tenders at the start of the PCP)*];
- [*OPTION 1 by default (two pre-financing payments): the coordinator must submit in month [Y] a progress report containing:*
 - a '**periodic summary technical report**' for publication by the [Commission][Agency];
 - an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and deliverables identified in Annex 1. This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out;]

[OPTION 2 in case of three pre-financing payments: not applicable;]

- at the end of the action: **information on each subcontract financed by the procurement**, including data on each contractor that participated in the procurement, and overview of the results, for publication and evaluation purposes.

This must include an assessment by the buyers group, based on the validation of solutions, of the final results of each participating tenderer in terms of achieving the performance and functionality requirements of the common tender specifications;

- any **other deliverables** identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

[In addition, the beneficiaries must:

- [*OPTION 1 for PCP: at the end of the action: give a demonstration to the [Commission][Agency] of the test products resulting from the procured research and development services.*]
- [*OPTION 2 for PPI: at the end of the action: give a demonstration to the [Commission][Agency] of the innovative solution(s).*]

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the *[Commission][Agency]* may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverables: Information on the total number of bids received — Information on the evaluation of tenders — Information on each subcontract financed — Assessment of the results

What & When? For PCP/PPI actions, the coordinator must — after the end of the tender evaluation (and, for PCP actions, also after the evaluation for each PCP phase) — submit to the Commission/Agency information on:

- the total number of bids received
- the evaluation of tenders and
- the winning tenderer(s) and abstracts of the winning tenders (using the following [template \[ODT\]](#))

The information must be complemented by the coordinator at the end of the action (and, for PCP actions, also at the end of each PCP phase) by submitting an assessment of the results and conclusions (using the following [template \[ODT\]](#)).

How? The information must be submitted via the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#) Continuous Reporting Module.

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The coordinator must submit to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 52) the reports set out in this Article. These reports include the requests for payments and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

[OPTION 1 by default (two pre-financing payments): The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month $[X^{38}]$
- RP2: from month $[X+1]$ to *[the last month of the project]*

[OPTION 2 in case of three pre-financing payments: The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month $[X^{39}]$
- RP2: from month $[X+1]$ to month $[Y]$
- RP3: from month $[Y+1]$ to *[the last month of the project]*

20.2a Periodic reports — Requests for second *[and third]* pre-financing payment[s]

The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of the first reporting period.

The periodic report must include the following:

- (a) a **periodic technical report** containing:
 - (i) an **explanation of the work carried out** by the beneficiaries;
 - (ii) an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and other deliverables identified in Annex 1.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

The report must detail the exploitation and dissemination of the results and — if required in Annex 1 — an updated ‘**plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results**’.

The report must indicate the communication activities[.][;]

[OPTION for trans-national access to research infrastructure: The report must detail the access activity, indicating the members of the selection panel, the selection procedure, the exact amount of access provided to the user groups, the description of their work, and information on the users (including names, nationality and home institutions);] [OPTION for virtual access to research infrastructure: The reports must detail the access activity, with statistics on the virtual access provided in the period, including quantity, geographical distribution of users and, whenever possible, information/statistics on scientific outcomes (publications, patents, etc.) acknowledging the use of the infrastructure:]

- (iii) a **summary** for publication by the [*Commission*][*Agency*];
 - (iv) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’ covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements.
- (b) **call for tender documents**, including the contract notice, invitation to tender, procurement contracts;
 - (c) a **report on the outcome of the preparation phase** of the procurement (e.g. the open market consultation) and their impact on the call for tender;
 - (d) from each beneficiary participating in the joint procurement, a formal and duly signed ‘**commitment on availability of resources**’ (see Annex 7), and
 - (e) a ‘**statement on the use of the first pre-financing payment**’ (see Annex 8), including the **request for a second pre-financing payment**.

The coordinator must certify that the information provided is full, reliable and true; and it can be substantiated by adequate supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).

[OPTION in case of three pre-financing payments: The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of the second reporting period.

The periodic report must include the following:

- (a) a **periodic technical report** (see point (a) above) and
- (b) a **statement on the use of the second pre-financing payment**, including the **request for a third pre-financing payment**.

The coordinator must certify that the information provided is full, reliable and true; and it can be substantiated by adequate supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).]

20.3 Requests for interim payments

Not applicable.

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The coordinator must submit the final report within 60 days following the end of the last reporting period.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**final technical report**’ with a **summary** for publication containing:
 - (i) an overview of the results and their exploitation and dissemination;
 - (ii) the conclusions on the action, and
 - (iii) the socio-economic impact of the action;
- (b) a ‘**final financial report**’ containing:
 - (i) an ‘**individual financial statement**’ (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary [*and from each linked third party*], for all reporting periods.

The individual financial statement must detail the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs; see Article 6) for each budget category (see Annex 2).

The beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* must declare all eligible costs, even if — for actual costs, unit costs and flat-rate costs — they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Amounts which are not declared in the individual financial statement will not be taken into account by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The individual financial statements must also detail the **receipts of the action** (see Article 5.3.3).

Each beneficiary *[and each linked third party]* must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
 - the costs declared are eligible (in particular, that the costs for subcontracts comply with the conditions in Article 13);
 - the costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22), and
 - all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3);
- (ii) an **explanation of the use of resources** and the information on subcontracting (see Article 13) and in-kind contributions provided by third parties (see Articles 11 and 12) from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*;
- (iii) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of payment of the balance to be paid by the [Commission][Agency] to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- (iv) a ‘**summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements and including the **request for payment of the balance**;
- (v) a ‘**certificate on the financial statements**’ (drawn up in accordance with Annex 5) for each beneficiary *[and for each linked third party]*, if it requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 5.2 and Article 6.2).

[...]

³⁸ Month X should be the end of the preparation phase and submission of second pre-financing request.

³⁹ Month X should be the end of the preparation phase and submission of second pre-financing request.



1. Reports

What & When? For PCP/PPI actions, the coordinator must submit a periodic report after the end of each reporting period (including the final one).

Before the end of the action, these are only technical reports, with information on the technical implementation.

List of documents for the technical reports:

- explanation of the work carried out
- overview of the progress

- summary for publication
- questionnaire (i.e. the structured information requested)
- call for tender documents (including contract notice, invitation to tender and procurement contracts)
- report on outcome of the preparation phase (*e.g. open market consultation*)
- commitment on availability of funds (Annex 7)
This ensures that the PCP/PPI subcontracting costs are covered.
- statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment (Annex 8)

NO financial reporting during the action (i.e. no financial statements before the final report). The coordinator only has to sign and submit a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment (which includes also the request for further pre-financing payments; only the first pre-financing payment is automatic; see *Annex 7*)

How? Each periodic report must be **prepared** by the **coordinator** and the other **beneficiaries together**, directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

2. Reporting periods

Normally, PCP/PPI actions are divided into 2 reporting periods (with 2 pre-financing payments; see [Article 21](#)). The first reporting period corresponds to the preparation of the joint procurement; the second reporting period corresponds to the implementation and follow-up of the joint procurement.

Actions will exceptionally be divided into 3 reporting periods (with 3 pre-financing payments), if the Commission/Agency does not have sufficient payment credits to pay the entire amount as a second pre-financing payment.

The first (and, if there are 2 pre-financing payments, also the second) reporting period triggers a periodic report.

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the coordinator:

- a **first pre-financing** payment;
- a **second pre-financing** payment, on the basis of the request for a second pre-financing payment (see Article 20);
- *[a **third pre-financing** payment, on the basis of the request for a third pre-financing payment (see Article 20);]*
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20)

21.2 Pre-financing payments — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiaries with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest — make a first pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Article 48 applies.

From this amount, an amount of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, corresponding to 5 % of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the *[Commission][Agency]* and transferred into the ‘**Guarantee Fund**’.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a second pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]** except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

*[OPTION in case of three pre-financing payments: The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a third pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.]*

If the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment shows that less than 70 % of the previous payment has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70 % threshold and the amount used.

*[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: The parts of the pre-financing payments related to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) (**[insert amounts (insert amounts in words)]**) are not paid to the coordinator, but kept by the *[Commission][Agency]* for the JRC.]*

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

[...]

21.5 Notification of amounts due

When making payments, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify to the coordinator the amount due, specifying whether it concerns the second *[or third]* pre-financing payment or the payment of the balance.

For the payment of the balance, the notification will also specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 45.

[...]



1. Payments to be made — No interim payments

Normally, PCP/PPI actions are divided into 2 reporting periods (see [Article 20.2](#)) with 2 pre-financing payments (— unless the action is exceptionally divided into 3 reporting periods with 3 pre-financing payments because of insufficient payment credits).

There are NO interim payments, but the second pre-financing payment (after the end of the first reporting period, i.e. after the evaluation of the preparation stage of the PCP/PPI procurement) provides beneficiaries with the necessary funds to launch the PCP/PPI procurement.

The second (or third) pre-financing payment is NOT automatic but must be requested by the coordinator via a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment (see [Article 20](#)).

In this statement, the beneficiaries must simply declare how much of the previous pre-financing was used up, not how much costs were incurred (since PCP/PPI pre-financing is NOT linked to financial reporting).

The balance is paid when the action ends (after the submission of financial reports).


2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Pre-financing payment

How much? Typically (and depending on the availability of EU budget credits), the amounts of the pre-financing payments for PCP/PPI actions are as follows:

- for the first pre-financing payment: the percentage of the maximum grant amount foreseen for the additional networking and coordination activities related to the preparation of the call for tender
- for the second pre-financing payment: if needed, up to 100% of the remaining part of the grant (minus the amount of the first pre-financing payment).

If the GA provides for a third pre-financing payment, the amounts will be adapted.

 The second/third pre-financing payment will be **reduced**, if — according to the statement on use of the previous pre-financing instalment — the previous pre-financing was **not fully used**:

- if 70% or more of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing is paid in full
- if less than 70% of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing will be reduced by an amount equal to the difference between the percentage actually used and 70%.

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

22.1 Checks, reviews and audits by the *[the Agency and the]* Commission

[...]

22.1.2 Right to carry out reviews

The *[Agency or the]* Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out reviews on the proper implementation of the action (including assessment of deliverables and reports), compliance with the obligations under the Agreement and continued scientific or technological relevance of the action.

Reviews may be started **up to two years after the payment of the balance**. They will be formally notified to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned and will be considered to have started on the date of the formal notification.

If the review is carried out on a third party (see Articles 10 to 16), the beneficiary concerned must inform the third party.

The *[Agency or the]* Commission may carry out reviews directly (using its own staff) or indirectly (using external persons or bodies appointed to do so). It will inform the coordinator or beneficiary concerned of the identity of the external persons or bodies. They have the right to object to the appointment on grounds of commercial confidentiality.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned must provide — within the deadline requested — any information and data in addition to deliverables and reports already submitted (including information on the use of resources). The *[Agency or the]* Commission may request beneficiaries to provide such information to it directly.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned may be requested to participate in meetings, including with external experts.

For **on-the-spot** reviews, the beneficiaries must allow access to their sites and premises, including to external persons or bodies, and must ensure that information requested is readily available.

Information provided must be accurate, precise and complete and in the format requested, including electronic format.

On the basis of the review findings, a ‘**review report**’ will be drawn up.

The *[Agency or the]* Commission will formally notify the review report to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned, which has 30 days to formally notify observations (‘**contradictory review procedure**’).

Reviews (including review reports) are in the language of the Agreement.



1. Technical reviews

For PCP/PPI actions, the Commission/Agency may in particular carry out technical reviews at key milestone points during the action (*e.g. for PCP actions, at the transition from one PCP phase to the next*).

VII. EJP Cofund MGA

VII.1 Background information and approach

The EJP Cofund Model Grant Agreement is used for European Joint Programme Cofund actions only.

The EJP Cofund MGA follows the General MGA for numbering and content, except for the following:

The H2020 EJP Cofund MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 3 (duration of EJP Cofund actions: 60 months)
- Article 5.2 (EJP Cofund specific reimbursement rate)
- Article 16 (provision on access to research infrastructures not applicable)
- Article 19 (EJP Cofund specific deliverables)
- Article 20.2, 20.2a, 20.3 (EJP Cofund specific reporting provisions)
- Article 21.1, 21.2, 21.5 (EJP Cofund specific payment provisions)

- Annex 7 Annual work plan for the next year
- Annex 8 Model for the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that differ only in presentation (*e.g. Article 16, Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for EJP Cofund actions are added:

- Article 2 (EJP Cofund actions)
- Article 4.1 (EJP Cofund budget categories)
- Article 6 (EJP Cofund eligible and ineligible costs)
- Article 6.2.D.2 and F (Euratom Fusion EJP Cofund action durable equipment option and specific categories of costs)
- Article 8 (EJP Cofund rules on third party involvement).

VII.2 H2020 EJP Cofund MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a 'complementary grant' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):

- **[insert number]** **[insert acronym]**
- **[insert number]** **[insert acronym]**].]

*[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a 'jointly funded action' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called **[insert the name of the third country or international organisation action]**, as described in Annex 1.]*



1. EJP Cofund actions

What? EJP Cofund funds:

- a joint programme of activities by coordinated national research and innovation programmes (— ranging from research and innovation to coordination and networking activities and including training, dissemination and financial support to third parties).

EJP does NOT fund individual projects or coordination networks.

Normally, EJP Cofund grants are multi-beneficiary grants; exceptionally they can be *mono-beneficiary* grants for 'sole participants' (see [General Annex D to the H2020 Work Programme](#)).

EJP Cofund actions are funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020 and the Euratom Research and Training Programme (e.g. [NFRP7-2015](#); [Fusion programme Cofund action \(FEJP\) in section B.1 Euratom Work Programme 2014-2015](#)).

i For more information on EJP Cofund actions, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and [the H2020 grants fact sheets](#).

i For more information on the conditions for participation and funding, see [the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) or [the General Annexes to the H2020 Work Programme](#) and [the call and topics pages of the call](#).

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

The **duration of the action** will be **60 months** as of [*OPTION 1 by default: the first day of the month following the date the Agreement enters into force (see Article 58)*] [*OPTION 2 if needed for the action: [insert date]*]⁷ ('starting date of the action').

⁷ This date must be the first day of a month and it must be later than the date of entry into force of the agreement unless authorised otherwise by the authorising officer, if the applicant can demonstrate the need to start the action before the entry into force of the grant agreement or the need to start the action on another day than the first day of the month. In any case, the starting date should not be earlier than the date of the submission of the grant application, except in duly justified exceptional cases as provided for in the basic act and the work programme (Article 130 FR).



1. Action duration

For EJP Cofund actions, the action duration is normally **60 months**.

If implementation of the action is justifiably delayed, the consortium may request an extension (i.e. request an amendment extending the action duration; see *Article 55*). The duration can however NOT exceed 84 months.

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by beneficiary [(and linked third party)] and **budget category** (see Articles 5, 6, [and 14]). [OPTION to be used if Article 9 or 14a applies: It also shows the estimated costs of the beneficiaries not receiving EU funding (see Article 9)[and][international partners (see Article 14a).]

[...]



1. Budget categories

The EJP Cofund MGA uses the same budget categories as the General MGA.

Budget categories of the EJP Cofund MGA:

- direct personnel costs
 - costs for employees (or equivalent)
 - costs for natural persons working under a direct contract
 - costs of personnel seconded by a third party against payment
 - costs for SME owners without salary
 - costs for beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary
- direct costs of subcontracting
- direct costs of providing financial support to third parties (if option applies)
- other direct costs
 - travel costs and related subsistence allowances
 - equipment costs
 - costs of other goods and services
 - capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure
- indirect costs
- specific categories of costs (if option applies).

For the FEJP action, there are moreover 3 additional specific cost categories:

- costs for mobility of personnel
- costs for fellowships for researchers
- access costs for research infrastructure.

Thus, the EJP Cofund MGA does NOT have:

- personnel costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure.



The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORMS OF COSTS

[...]

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and forms of costs

The grant reimburses [...] %⁸ of the action's eligible costs (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared under the following forms ('**forms of costs**')

- (a) for **direct personnel costs** [(excluding direct personnel costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]⁹:
- as actually incurred costs ('**actual costs**') or
 - on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices ('**unit costs**').
- Personnel costs for SME owners or beneficiaries that are natural persons not receiving a salary (see Article 6.2, Points A.4 and A.5) must be declared on the basis of the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a (**unit costs**);
- (b) for **direct costs of subcontracting** [(excluding subcontracting costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹⁰: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (c) for **direct costs of providing financial support to third parties** [(excluding costs of financial support covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹¹: [**OPTION 1 to be used if Article 15 applies: as actually incurred costs (actual costs);**][**OPTION 2: not applicable;**]
- (d) for **other direct costs** [(excluding other direct costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹²:
- for costs of internally invoiced goods and services: on the basis of an amount per unit calculated by the beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices ('**unit costs**');
 - for all other costs: as actually incurred costs (**actual costs**);
- (e) for **indirect costs** [(excluding indirect costs covered by the unit cost under Point (f))]¹³: on the basis of a flat-rate applied as set out in Article 6.2, Point E ('**flat-rate costs**');
- (f) [**OPTION 1 for specific unit costs (if unit cost foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): for [insert name of specific cost category(ies)]¹⁴: on the basis of the amount(s) per unit set out in Annex 2a¹⁵ (unit costs).**]

[**OPTION 2: specific cost category(ies): not applicable.**]

[...]

⁸ This must be the percentage (which can reach a maximum of up to 70%) foreseen in the work programme.

⁹ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹⁰ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹¹ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹² To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

¹³ To be used only if option in Point (f) is used.

- ¹⁴ Insert precise name of the cost category (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/lump-sum). For example: 'access costs for providing transnational access to research infrastructures'; 'costs for clinical studies'; 'costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings', 'costs for mobility of personnel', 'costs for fellowships for researchers', 'access costs for research infrastructure'.
- ¹⁵ Annex 2 must clearly show, for each beneficiary (and linked third party) concerned, all the parameters for the unit cost (i.e. the unit(s), the amount(s) per unit, the research installation/infrastructure for which it is used, the clinical study for which it is used, etc.).



1. Reimbursement rate

How much? For EJP Cofund, the reimbursement rate is fixed in the work programme/call. It cannot exceed 70%¹³⁰.

2. Cost forms

For EJP Cofund actions, the **cost forms** are in principle the **same** as in the **General MGA** (i.e. actual costs, unit costs and flat rate costs; see [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For the FEJP action, there are however 3 specific unit costs for category F 'specific cost categories':

- costs for mobility of personnel: daily subsistence allowance, monthly subsistence allowance and monthly family allowance
- costs for fellowships for researchers: monthly living allowance, mobility allowance and family allowance
- access costs for research infrastructure.¹³¹

¹³⁰ See Article 28(5) of the Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013.

¹³¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_fusion_en.pdf.

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

[...]

6.2 Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Costs are eligible if they comply with the general conditions (see above) and the specific conditions set out below for each of the following budget categories:

- A. direct personnel costs;
- B. direct costs of subcontracting;
- C. *[OPTION 1 to be used if Article 15 applies: direct costs of providing financial support to third parties;] [OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- D. other direct costs;
- E. indirect costs;
- F. *[OPTION 1 for specific unit costs: [insert name(s) of specific cost category(ies)]¹⁶][OPTION 2: not applicable].*

‘Direct costs’ are costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly. They must not include any indirect costs (see Point E below).

‘Indirect costs’ are costs that are not directly linked to the action implementation and therefore cannot be attributed directly to it.

[...]

¹⁶ Insert precise name of the costs (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost/ lump-sum). For example: ‘access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure’; ‘costs for clinical studies’; ‘costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings’; ‘costs for mobility of personnel’; ‘costs for fellowships for researchers’; ‘access costs for research infrastructure’.



1. Specific conditions for costs to be eligible

Article 6.2 refers to specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category.

The EJP Cofund MGA uses in principle the **same budget categories** (covering the same **types of costs**) as the General MGA and the same **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules** apply (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, EJP grants have the following **specificities**:

- for category A ‘personnel costs’

Costs of students, PhDs and other researchers (under scholarship, internship or similar agreement) — Are eligible even if the agreement is training-oriented (or work-oriented but with a non-negligible training component), the costs fulfil the eligibility conditions set out in Article 6 and Annex 1 explicitly includes the training activities.

- for category D.2 ‘equipment costs’

There is a special cost category for the FEJP action: full purchase cost for JET equipment (see *below*).

- for category F ‘specific cost categories’

There are special cost categories for the FEJP action: specific cost categories (*see below*).

The annotations below will be limited to these special cost categories for the FEJP action (and summarise — for each of them — the information necessary to establish the eligible costs, i.e.

1. types of costs covered by the budget category
2. cost form under which the costs must be declared (i.e. actual costs, unit costs, flat rate)
3. eligibility conditions
4. how the costs must be calculated).

D. Other direct costs [(not covered by Point F)]

[...]

D.2 [OPTION 1 by default: The depreciation costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts are eligible, if they were purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1 and written off in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practices.

The costs of renting or leasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

*The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.*

The only portion of the costs that will be taken into account is that which corresponds to the duration of the action and rate of actual use for the purposes of the action.]

[OPTION 2 (alternative to option above) to be used if foreseen in the work programme¹⁸: The costs of purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) (as recorded in the beneficiary's accounts) are eligible if the equipment, infrastructure or other assets was purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1.

The costs of renting or leasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including related duties, taxes and charges such as non-deductible value added tax (VAT) paid by the beneficiary) are also eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets and do not include any financing fees.

*The costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment** are eligible, if they do not exceed the depreciation costs of similar equipment, infrastructure or assets, do not include any financing fees and if the conditions in Article 11.1 are met.]*

[OPTION (in addition to one of the two options above) to be used in accordance with the Euratom work programme 2014-2018: The costs of purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) to be included in the JET facilities are eligible, if:

- (a) *the equipment, infrastructure or other assets to be purchased and the beneficiary purchasing it are identified in Annex 1 and in the annual work plans approved by the Commission (see Article 19.1)*
- (b) *the costs of purchasing the equipment, infrastructure or other assets are capitalised or expensed by the beneficiary in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practices, and*
- (c) *the equipment, infrastructure or other assets was purchased in accordance with Article 10.1.1.]*

[...]

¹⁸ To be used as an exception, only if justified by the nature of the action and the context of the use of the equipment or assets, if provided for in the work programme.



1. Equipment costs (D.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

This budget category applies to all EJP grants.

An additional option for full purchase costs for equipment for JET facilities will be inserted into the GA for the Fusion programme EJP Cofund action (FEJP).

As in the General MGA, the beneficiaries may normally declare the following **types of equipment costs** under category D.2 'equipment costs' (see [Article 6.2.D.2 H2020 General MGA](#)):

one of the following:

- either **depreciation costs** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets
- or **purchase costs** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (if option applies)

AND:

- costs of **renting or leasing** of equipment, infrastructure or other assets
- costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets **contributed in-kind against payment**.

1.1 Equipment costs: Cost of purchasing equipment, infrastructure or other assets to be included in the JET facilities

1.1.1 What? This budget category **covers** the *full* purchase costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets to be included in JET facilities (not only the depreciation costs for the relevant periodic report).

1.1.2 Equipment costs must be **declared** as actual costs (see [Article 5.2\(d\)](#)).

1.1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for actual costs to be eligible (i.e. incurred during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, recorded in the beneficiary's accounts, etc.; see [Article 6.1\(a\)](#))
- concern equipment, infrastructure or other assets (new or second-hand) to be included in **JET facilities**

'JET' means Joint European Torus, i.e. the experimental device for studying fusion relevant plasmas in operation at Culham, UK.

'JET facilities' include, in particular, the JET device and auxiliary facilities (*such as the neutral beam test bed, the remote handling facility, and the active gas handling system*).

- be **identified in Annex 1** and in the **annual work plans** approved by the Commission (see [Article 19.1](#)).
- be **capitalised** or **expensed** by the beneficiary in accordance with international accounting standards and the beneficiary's usual accounting practice
- fulfil the **additional cost eligibility conditions** set out in Article 10.1.1.

Costs of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (including depreciation costs) that are declared under this option, may not also be declared by another beneficiary or under another budget category. They may also not be declared under another EU or Euratom grant or contract (see *also Article 6.5(b)*).

1.1.4. There is no specific **calculation** method. The costs must correspond to the eligible costs actually incurred (i.e. the amount paid by the beneficiary for the supply of the equipment).

F. [OPTION 1: [Insert name of specific cost category(ies)]] [OPTION 2 if no specific cost categories applicable to grant: Specific cost category(ies)]

[OPTION 1a for specific unit costs (if unit costs foreseen by Commission decision and applicable to the grant): [insert name of specific cost category²²] are eligible if they correspond to the amount per unit set out in Annex 2a multiplied by the actual number of actual units, [and if [insert additional eligibility conditions if any]].]

[same for each specific cost category]

[OPTION 2: not applicable]

[...]

²² Insert precise name of the costs (as in the Commission decision authorising the use of the unit cost). For example: ‘costs for clinical studies’; ‘costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings’, ‘costs for mobility of personnel’, ‘costs for fellowships for researchers’, ‘access costs for research infrastructure’.



1. Specific cost categories (F.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

For this budget category, in principle the same rules apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For the Fusion programme EJP Cofund action (FEJP), there are however 3 additional specific cost categories set out in [Decision C\(2013\) 8201](#)¹³² available:

- costs for mobility of personnel
- costs for fellowships for researchers
- access costs for research infrastructure.

⚠ Double funding risk — Costs that are declared as a specific unit may NOT be declared (a **second time**) under another budget category (for the costs that are covered, see below).

1.1 Specific cost category – costs for mobility of personnel (F.2)

1.1.1 What? This budget category **covers** direct costs for FEJP secondments of personnel (⚠ irrespective of their duration) to another research institution, in a location other than their normal place of employment, by providing for:

- a daily subsistence allowance
- a monthly subsistence allowance and
- a monthly family allowance.

¹³² Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_fusion_en.pdf.

It may be used for secondments of personnel NOT *employed* by the beneficiary/linked third party, but with a contract with full social security coverage.

It may also be used for secondments of personnel provided in-kind by a third party *free of charge*.

It may NOT be used for mobility costs for personnel contributed in-kind *against payment* (see below).

What not? This budget category does not cover the salary costs of the seconded personnel, other direct costs for the mobility (e.g. *extra costs incurred for the activities of the seconded persons*) or direct costs for managing the mobility. Such costs can be declared under another budget category (i.e. category A 'personnel costs' or D.3 'other goods and services'; see Article 6.2.A, 6.2.D.3).

It also does not cover indirect costs for managing the secondments. Such costs can be declared under another budget category (i.e. category E 'indirect costs'; Article 6.2.E).

- **1.1.2.1** Until 31 December 2018, the costs had to be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8201](#)¹³³ and set out in Annexes 2 and 2a of the GA.

This was:

- for the daily subsistence allowance: **EUR 127,65**, per individual per day

This amount is subject to a **country-specific correction coefficient** for the country (and in certain cases, the place) of the secondment (coefficient from 1 October 2013) (Belgium and Luxembourg = 100)¹³⁴:

Country/place	Coefficient (%)	Country/place	Coefficient (%)
Austria	106,4	Italy	104,2
Bulgaria	58,4	<i>Italy Varese</i>	93,4
Croatia	80,0	Latvia	77,6
Cyprus	84,1	Lithuania	71,5
Czech Rep.	80,6	Malta	83,3
Denmark	135,3	Netherlands	105,3
Estonia	77,6	Poland	74,2
Finland	122,1	Portugal	83,5
France	117,7	Romania	68,8
Germany	95,8	Slovakia	79,7
<i>Germany Bonn</i>	94,1	Slovenia	85,3
<i>Germany Karlsruhe</i>	93,8	Spain	97,1
<i>Germany Munich</i>	106,4	Sweden	131,9
Greece	90,5	<i>Switzerland Geneva</i>	124,6
Hungary	78,3	<i>Switzerland Berne</i>	123,3
Ireland	110,6	United Kingdom	147,8
		<i>UK Culham</i>	112,5

¹³³ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_fusion_en.pdf.

¹³⁴ As set out in Regulation (EU) No 423/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 adjusting with effect from 1 July 2012 the remuneration and pensions of officials and other servants of the European Union and the correction coefficients applied thereto (OJ L 129, 30.4.2014, p. 12).

To ensure purchasing power parity, revised coefficients (based on 1 October 2015 values) were applied as from 1 January 2016:

Country/place	Coefficient	Country/place	Coefficient
Austria	105,9 %	Italy	99,4 %
Bulgaria	52,1 %	<i>Italy Varese</i>	92,2 %
Croatia	74,6 %	Latvia	74,2 %
Cyprus	77,3 %	Lithuania	69,0 %
Czech Rep.	73,4 %	Malta	84,5 %
Denmark	131,8 %	Netherlands	107,8 %
Estonia	78,0 %	Poland	71,8 %
Finland	119,7 %	Portugal	79,2 %
France	114,6 %	Romania	64,8 %
Germany	96,6 %	Slovakia	76,4 %
<i>Germany Bonn</i>	93,4 %	Slovenia	81,2 %
<i>Germany Karlsruhe</i>	93,8 %	Spain	90,2 %
<i>Germany Munich</i>	106,0 %	Sweden	127,9 %
Greece	79,9 %	<i>Switzerland</i>	142,4 %
Hungary	69,0 %		
Ireland	116,6 %	United Kingdom	166,9 %
		<i>UK Culham</i>	127,7 %

- for the monthly subsistence allowance: between **EUR 0 and 720,27**, per individual per month — depending on the distance between the place where the individual was working before and the place of secondment

Distance between place of employment and place of secondment (km)	Monthly allowance (EUR)
0 – 150	0
> 150	82,05
> 300	145,86
> 500	237,05
> 800	382,92
> 1300	601,73
> 2000	720,27

This amount is subject to the country-specific correction coefficient (see above).

- for the family allowance: **EUR 252,81**, per dependent child per month).

The European Commission may update these unit costs if the joint index based on inflation in Belgium and Luxembourg deviates from the 2014 baseline inflation index by 10 % or more. In this case, the FEJP GA will be **amended** (and the Annotated MGA will be revised accordingly).

As mentioned above, mobility costs for personnel contributed in-kind against payment must be declared as actual costs (of the beneficiary) under another budget category (i.e. category D.1 'travel'; see *Article 6.2.D.1*).

Best practice: Beneficiaries and third parties should use the rates fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8201](#) for the mobility cost to be reimbursed to the third party and to pay this amount to the personnel (see *Article 11.1*).

- **1.1.2.2** From 1 January 2019 onward, the costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision 30 January 2019](#)¹³⁵ and set out in Annexes 2 and 2a of the GA.

This is:

- for the daily subsistence allowance: **EUR 138,15**, per individual per day

This amount is subject to a **country-specific correction coefficient** for the country (and in certain cases, the place) of the secondment (coefficient from 1 January 2019) (Belgium and Luxembourg = 100)¹³⁶:

Country/place	Coefficient (%)	Country/place	Coefficient (%)
Austria	106.3	Italy	97.3
Bulgaria	53.4	<i>Italy Varese</i>	90.9
Croatia	74.9	Latvia	74.9
Cyprus	74.4	Lithuania	74.3
Czech Rep.	78.3	Malta	86.5
Denmark	133.9	Netherlands	108.3
Estonia	80.3	Poland	70.6
Finland	119.9	Portugal	82.4
France	114.8	Romania	63.9
Germany	97.5	Slovakia	77.3
<i>Germany Bonn</i>	93.9	Slovenia	81.5
<i>Germany Karlsruhe</i>	94.6	Spain	88.7
<i>Germany Munich</i>	107.5	Sweden	127.9
Greece	79.9	<i>Switzerland</i>	127.8
Hungary	74.5		
Ireland	119.8	United Kingdom	133.5
		<i>UK Culham</i>	100.5

- for the monthly subsistence allowance: between **EUR 0 and 779,52**, per individual per month — depending on the distance between the place where the individual was working before and the place of secondment

¹³⁵ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_fusion19-20_en.pdf

¹³⁶ As set out in Regulation (EU) No 423/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 adjusting with effect from 1 July 2012 the remuneration and pensions of officials and other servants of the European Union and the correction coefficients applied thereto (OJ L 129, 30.4.2014, p. 12).

Distance between place of employment and place of secondment (km)	Monthly allowance (EUR)
0 – 150	0
> 150	88.80
> 300	157.86
> 500	256.55
> 800	414.42
> 1300	651.23
> 2000	779.52

- for the family allowance: **EUR 273,60**, per dependent child per month).

1.1.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; *see Article 6.1(b)*)
- be incurred for the secondment of personnel (employed by the beneficiary or made available as an in-kind contribution by a third party) to:
 - a location other than the seconded person's place of employment and
 - one of the following:
 - an organisation where the researcher takes part in experiments using a research infrastructure of that organisation
 - another beneficiary, the ITER Organization or the European Joint Undertaking for ITER and the Development of Fusion Energy (Fusion for Energy)¹³⁷, where the researcher carries out research activities described in Annex 1 or 7 or
 - a joint support unit created by the beneficiaries under the action
- have been **fully incurred for** the benefit of the **seconded personnel** (i.e. fully paid to the individuals, including during annual leave, special leave, sick leave and holidays)
- for the family allowance: be incurred for personnel accompanied during the secondment by dependent children (between 5 and 18 years), enrolled in regular full-time attendance at a primary or secondary school that charges tuition fees (registration and attendance fees).

1.1.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

- for the daily subsistence allowance:

amount per unit (\approx EUR 127,65 \times country coeff. corr.) \times number of actual days of secondment

'**Day of secondment**' means each day of secondment that lasts more than 12 hours and is calculated as follows:

¹³⁷ ITER and Fusion for Energy were added by decision of 06/12/2018

- the *base amount* is the time between the departure time and the return time of the main form of transport used for the secondment
- to this amount, add the following for each leg of the journey (outward and return):
 - for train travel: a maximum of 30 minutes for each leg
 - for air travel: a maximum of 2 hours for each leg.

NO extra time is added if the journey is by any means of transport other than train or plane.

Example:

The seconded person leaves her/his normal place of employment on a flight departing at 9:35 on Monday 3 April and returns on a flight that arrives at 10:35 on Wednesday 5 April.

*This amounts to **3 days of secondment**: Wednesday 5 April is also counted as exceeding 12 hours (00:00 until the flight arrival time of 10:35, plus 2 hours extra time = 12 hours 35 minutes).*

- for the monthly subsistence allowance:

amount per unit (see table above \times count. coef. corr.) \times number of actual months of secondment

- for the family allowance:

amount per unit (EUR 252,81) \times number of actual months of secondment \times number of dependent children

The family allowance is paid for each full month of secondment in which the dependent child is between 5 and 18 years.

'**Month of secondment**' means each *full month* of secondment (NO *pro-rata* payments for partial months of secondment).

A full month can be one of the following two:

- a **calendar month** (e.g. 1 to 31 July inclusive) or
- a month **from the date the secondment starts** until the same date the following month (excluding the last day, e.g. 3 July to 2 August).

If a secondment starts on 31 January (or on 30 or 31 January in a leap year), it is considered to have been completed at midnight on 28 February (since there is never a 30 February and a 29 February only in leap years).

Examples for calculating unit costs for mobility of personnel:

1. An employee with primary employment in Rome is seconded to the joint programme unit in Garching (Munich), Germany, from 1 January 2015 to 31 August 2016 (20 months). He is accompanied by one 6-year-old child, who is enrolled in a fee-paying primary school.

Daily subsistence allowance: 609 days * EUR 127,65 = EUR 77 738,85

Monthly subsistence allowance: 20 months * EUR 382,92 (800 < distance > 1 300) = EUR 7 658,40

Subtotal = EUR 85 697,25

* correction coefficient (2016) of 106.0% (Germany Munich) = EUR 90 839,09

Family allowance: 20 months * EUR 252,81 = EUR 5 056,20

Total declared unit costs: EUR 95 895,29

2. An employee with primary employment in Madrid is sent to a scientific project meeting at Culham, UK, for three days.

Daily subsistence allowance: 3 days * EUR 127,65 = EUR 382,95


* correction coefficient (2016) of 127,7% (Culham, UK) = EUR 489,03

Total declared unit costs: EUR 489,03

1.2 Specific cost category – costs for fellowships for researchers (F.2)

1.2.1 What? This budget category **covers** direct costs for FEJP researcher fellowships, by providing for

- a monthly living allowance, with full social security coverage and unit costs (including all compulsory deductions) in accordance with applicable national legislation (gross amount)
- a monthly mobility allowance to cover additional costs, *e.g. relating to travel and accommodation* and
- a monthly family allowance to reduce family-related obstacles to researcher mobility.

 The unit cost for fellowships for researchers was applicable **ONLY** until 31 December 2018. It has been discontinued since then.

What not? This budget category does not cover direct costs for managing the fellowships. Such costs can be declared under another budget category (i.e. category D.3 'other goods and services'; *see Article 6.2.D.3*).

It also does not cover indirect costs for managing the fellowships. Such costs can be declared under another budget category (i.e. category E 'indirect costs'; *see Article 6.2.E*).

1.2.2 The costs must be **declared as** the unit costs fixed by [Decision C\(2013\) 8201](#)¹³⁸ and set out in Annexes 2 and [2a](#) of the GA.

This is currently:

- for the living allowance: **EUR 3 110** for early-stage researchers and **EUR 4 650** for experienced researchers, per fellow per month

This amount is subject to a 'country-specific correction coefficient' (based on the seven-year average of the relevant coefficient used between 2007 and 2013 for the FP7 Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions)¹³⁹:

Country	Coefficient	Country	Coefficient
Austria	104,8%	Italy	106,7%
Belgium	100,0%	Lithuania	73,1%
Bulgaria	71,5%	Luxembourg	100,0%
Cyprus	91,8%	Latvia	75,9%
Czech Republic	83,8%	Malta	89,6%
Germany	98,8%	Netherlands	104,3%
Denmark	135,3%	Poland	76,4%
Estonia	78,3%	Portugal	89,1%
Greece	92,7%	Romania	68,3%
Spain	97,6%	Sweden	111,7%
Finland	116,6%	Slovenia	86,1%
France	111,0%	Slovakia	82,6%

¹³⁸ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit_costs_fusion_en.pdf.

¹³⁹ As set out in [H2020 Work Programme 2014-2015- 3. Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions \(MSCA\)](#) (p.53-54)

Croatia	97,5%	United Kingdom	120,3%
Hungary	76,2%	Switzerland	113,1%
Ireland	113,5%		

- for the mobility allowance: **EUR 600**, per fellow per month
- for the family allowance: **EUR 500**, per fellow per month).

1.2.3 The costs must comply with the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, etc.; see *Article 6.1(b)*)
- be incurred for fellowships for (early-stage or experienced) researchers that are recruited under an employment contract or equivalent contract with full social security coverage that complies with the applicable social security legislation¹⁴⁰

‘Early-stage researchers’ means researchers that are — at the time of recruitment by the host organisation — in the first four years (full-time-equivalent research experience) of their research careers and have not been awarded a doctoral degree.

‘Experienced researchers’ means researchers that have a doctoral degree or at least four years of full-time-equivalent research experience.

- have been **fully incurred for** the benefit of the **fellows** (i.e. fully paid to the individuals)
- for the family allowance: be incurred for fellows that have a family at the time of the recruitment

‘Family’ means persons linked to the researcher by marriage (or a relationship with equivalent status to a marriage recognised by the legislation of the country where the relationship was formalised) or dependent children who are being actually maintained by the researcher.

1.2.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

- for the living allowance:
amount per unit (\approx EUR 3 110/4 650 (\times count. coef. corr.) \times number of months actually spent by the fellows on the research training activities)
- for the mobility allowance:
amount per unit (EUR 600) \times number of months actually spent by the fellows on the research training activities
- for the family allowance:
amount per unit (EUR 500) \times number of months actually spent by the fellows on the research training activities

These are calculated per individual per complete month (NO *pro-rata* payments).

¹⁴⁰ Council Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 of 29 April 2004, as amended by Regulation (EC) No 988/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009, Commission Regulation (EU) No 1244/2010 of 9 December 2010 (OJ L 200, 7.6.2004, p. 1).

Example for calculating unit costs for researchers fellowships:

A Belgian early-stage researcher with no family is starting a fellowship in Finland for 18 months as part of a PhD programme.

Living allowance: 18 months * EUR 3 110 = EUR 55 980

* correction coefficient of 116.6 % (Finland) = EUR 65 272.68


Mobility allowance: 18 months * EUR 600 = EUR 10 800

No family allowance

Total declared unit costs: EUR 76 072.68

1.3 Specific cost category – access costs for research infrastructure (F.2)

1.3.1 What? This budget category **covers** direct and indirect access costs for providing FEJP access to research infrastructure (i.e. equipment and running costs of the installation and the costs for logistical, technological and scientific support to users' access, including costs for ad-hoc training needed by users to use the installation and for preparatory and closing activities needed to work on the installation).

 This specific budget category must be distinguished from the general budget category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure' (see [Article 6.2.D.4 H2020 General MGA](#)). FEJP beneficiaries that have obtained a positive ex-ante assessment may opt between declaring costs under:

- category D.4 'capitalised and operating costs of large research infrastructure'
- or
- category F.2 'access cost for research infrastructure'.

It may also be used for access to infrastructure provided by a third party *free of charge*.

It may NOT be used for infrastructure contributed in-kind *against payment* (see below).

What not? Travel and subsistence costs for users to get access are not included in the access costs. (These costs can be reimbursed separately, under category D.1 'travel'; see [Article 6.2.D.1](#)).

1.3.2 If they are declared under this budget category, these costs must be **declared as** the unit cost calculated in accordance with [Decision C\(2013\) 8201](#)¹⁴¹ and set out in Annexes 2 and 2a of the GA.

The precise unit cost is not pre-fixed by the Decision; it must be established for each access provider and installation — before signature of the FEJP GA.

The 'unit of access' to the installation must be identified (i.e. the unit used to measure the total quantity of access that the installation provides to its users)

The 'amount per unit of access' (EUR/unit of access) must be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Amount per unit} = \frac{\text{average annual total access cost (over past two years)}^{142}}{\text{average annual total quantity of access (over past two years)}^{143}}$$

The averages must be based on:

- the beneficiary's certified or auditable historical data
- costs allocated to the installation according to the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices and

¹⁴¹ Available at http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/legal/unit_costs/unit-costs_fusion_en.pdf.

¹⁴² In exceptional and duly justified cases, the Commission may agree to a different reference period.

¹⁴³ In exceptional and duly justified cases, the Commission may agree to a different reference period.

- a period excluding times when the installation was not usable (out of order, under repair or undergoing long-term maintenance).

'Total quantity of access' means the units of access annually provided by the installation for the purposes of the activities referred to in Annex 1 of the GA (for the reference period years N-1 and N-2).

'Total access costs' is calculated on the basis of the following categories of eligible costs:

- direct costs incurred by the access provider for the 'annual total quantity of access to the installation', as recorded in the certified or auditable profit-and-loss accounts of the reference period (years N-1 and N-2) for:
 - personnel costs of administrative, technical and scientific staff directly assigned to keep the installation functioning normally and to support the users
 - costs of contracts for maintenance and repair (including specific cleaning, calibrating and testing) specifically awarded to keep the installation functioning normally
 - costs of consumables specifically used for the installation and the users' research work
 - costs of contracts for installation management, including security fees, insurance costs, quality control and certification, upgrading to national and/or EU quality, safety or security standards specifically incurred for the functioning of the installation
 - costs of energy power and water supplied for the installation
 - costs of general services specifically included in the access services provided (*e.g. library costs, shipping costs*)
 - costs of software licences, internet connections or other electronic services for data management and computing where needed to provide access services
 - costs of specific scientific services included in the access provided or needed for the provision of access
 - depreciation costs of equipment, buildings and other assets (new or second-hand) that are part of the installation and were not purchased or built using EU/Euratom funds
 - renting or leasing the equipment, buildings and other assets that are part of the installation

Costs calculated in accordance with the beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices are acceptable for personnel (average personnel costs) and internally invoiced goods and services — if this is the normal practice of the beneficiary.
- indirect costs for providing access to the installation equal to 25% of the eligible direct costs, minus any costs of subcontracting (i.e. costs of contracts for maintenance and repair, installation management, scientific services and other electronic services)

and excluding:

- depreciation costs of infrastructure purchased or built using EU funds (Those costs are not eligible; *see also Article 6.5(b)*)
- travel and subsistence costs for users.

The unit cost can be changed only via an amendment to the agreement (*see Article 55*).

As already mentioned above, access costs for installations contributed in-kind must be declared as actual costs under another budget category (i.e. category D.3 'other goods and services'; *see Article 6.2.D.3*).

Before signature of the FEJP GA, the beneficiaries can still opt for declaring the costs not as unit cost, but as actual costs (see Article 6.2.A-E). (One cost form per installation and access provider.)

The use of unit costs for access activities is optional, i.e. each beneficiary/linked third party can decide independently whether to be reimbursed on the basis of unit costs or actual costs.

If a beneficiary has initially opted for actual costs, it may only change its choice to unit costs through an amendment to the agreement (see *Article 55*).

1.3.3 The costs must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- fulfil the **general conditions** for unit costs to be eligible (i.e. units used during the action duration, necessary, linked to the action, correct calculation, etc.; see *Article 6.1(b)*)
- be incurred for providing access to research infrastructure to other beneficiaries

1.3.4 They must be **calculated** as follows:

amount per unit (see *Annex 2a GA*) \times number of units of access actually provided to other beneficiaries

Example for calculating unit costs of access to research infrastructure:

An access provider considers that the unit of access to the installation is calendar days. It provided 200 days of access in year N-1 and 150 days in year N-2. The total cost of providing this access, calculated on the basis of the categories of costs indicated above and the beneficiary's usual cost-accounting practices, is EUR 50 000 000 for year N-1 and EUR 20 000 000 for year N-2.

Unit cost = $\frac{\text{EUR 35 000 000 (average annual total cost)}}{175 \text{ days (average annual total access)}}$ = EUR 200 000 per day

If the access provider makes the installation available for the Fusion programme EJP Cofund action for 50 days in year N, the total to be declared under unit costs for research infrastructure for year N will be EUR 10 000 000.

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment (see Article 11);
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge (see Article 12);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14a).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission]**[Agency]* and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

For EJP Cofund actions, the same rules on third party involvement apply as in the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

ARTICLE 19 — SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES

19.1 Obligation to submit deliverables

The coordinator must submit:

- 90 days before the end of each reporting period:
 - (a) the '**annual work plan for the next year**' (see Annex 7), for approval by the [Commission][Agency] and
 - (b) a **summary progress report** on the activities carried out during the on-going reporting period

This report must show how the activities proposed in the annual work plan for the next year ensure continuity with the work already carried out.

If the [Commission][Agency] considers that the annual work plan for the next year does not comply with Annex 1, the coordinator must submit a revised version within 30 days from receiving formal notification.

If the [Commission][Agency] considers that the revised annual work plan for the next year still does not comply with Annex 1, it may terminate the Agreement (see Article 50.3).

- the other '**deliverables**' identified in Annex 1, in accordance with the timing and conditions set out in it.

19.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If the coordinator breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the [Commission][Agency] may apply any of the measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Deliverable: Annual work plan

What & When? For EJP Cofund actions, the coordinator must submit to the Commission/Agency — for each twelve-month period of the EJP — an annual work plan.

This plan contains a detailed description of the activities for that period, in line with the objectives and description of work set out in Annex 1. Thus, while Annex 1 may include the targeted areas for research or other activities, it is the annual work plan that will define and specify the activities and the deliverables.

Example:

Annex 1 of a EJP contains a work package dedicated to a series of irradiation experiments in a large research facility. The annual work plan for the first reporting period provides a detailed description of the planned experiments on the related materials and the expected milestones.

As the programme develops, additional irradiation capacity becomes available in year 3. Alternatively, the validation of previous results requires further work or other materials become interesting. The consortium proposes an annual work plan with additional experiments commencing in that reporting period.

An EJP consortium therefore has a certain flexibility to develop activities and use the allocated budget (as long as the proposed activities remain in line with the EJP objectives and description of work (Annex 1) as initially evaluated)

The annual work plan must be drawn up using the template in Annex 7.

The periods of the annual work plans coincide with the reporting periods (see [Article 20](#)), but the work plans must be **submitted** 3 months (i.e. 90 days) in advance to allow for review by the Commission/Agency (— except for the annual work plan for the first reporting period, which must already be part of the proposal; see *the proposal templates*).

If the Commission/Agency considers that the proposed annual work plan does not comply with Annex 1, it will ask the coordinator to submit a **revised annual work plan** (— within 30 days from receiving the request).

How? The annual work plan must be uploaded directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

2. Deliverable: Summary progress report

What & When? The annual work plan must be accompanied by a summary progress report.

The summary progress report should focus on how the activities proposed in the annual work plan ensure continuity with the work already carried out.

It should NOT contain an analysis of *all* activities carried out during the reporting period (since that is the purpose of two other documents that must be submitted as part of the periodic reports, i.e. the explanation of the work carried out and the overview of the progress; see [Article 20.3](#)).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The coordinator must submit to the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52) the technical and financial reports set out in this Article. These reports include the requests for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘**reporting periods**’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month 12
- RP2: from month 13 to month 24
- RP3: from month 25 to month 36
- RP4: from month 37 to month 48
- RP5: from month 49 to month 60

20.2a Request for a second pre-financing payment

*[OPTION 1 in case of two pre-financing payments: The coordinator must submit — within 30 days following the end of the first reporting period — a **request for a second pre-financing payment**.*

*The request must be included in a ‘**statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment**’ (see Annex 8).]*

[OPTION 2: not applicable]

[...]



1. Reports

Like the General MGA, the EJP Cofund MGA foresees periodic reports for each reporting period (including the final one), with both a technical and a financial part (see [Article 20 H2020 General MGA](#)).

2. Reporting periods

EJP Cofund actions are divided in **5** reporting periods of **12 months** each.

Each reporting period triggers a periodic report.

3. Request for a second pre-financing payment — Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment

The GA may provide for this option for large EJPs that need a second pre-financing payment (at the beginning of the second reporting period; see [Article 21](#)).

Payment of further pre-financing payments is not automatic. It must be requested via a statement on the use of the first pre-financing payment (see [Annex 8](#)).

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the coordinator:

- a *[first]* **pre-financing payment**;
- *[a second pre-financing payment, on the basis of the request for a second pre-financing payment (see Article 20);]*
- one or more **interim payments**, on the basis of the request(s) for interim payment (see Article 20), and
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20).

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiaries with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest — make a *[first]* pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Article 48 applies.

From this amount, an amount of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, corresponding to *[OPTION 1 in case of a single pre-financing payment: 5%][OPTION 2 in case of two pre-financing payments: 2,5%]* of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the Commission and transferred into the ‘**Guarantee Fund**’.

[OPTION in case of a second pre-financing payment: The [Commission][Agency] will — within 30 days from the request for a second pre-financing payment (see Article 20.2a) — make a second pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)], except if Article 48 applies.

From this amount, an amount of EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)], corresponding to 2.5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), will be retained by the [Commission][Agency] and transferred into the Guarantee Fund.

If the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment shows that less than 70% of the previous payment has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70% threshold and the amount used.]

*[OPTION if the JRC is a beneficiary: The parts of the pre-financing payments related to the Joint Research Centre (JRC) (**[insert amounts (insert amounts in words)]**) are not paid to the coordinator, but kept by the [Commission][Agency] for the JRC.]*

[...]

21.5 Notification of amounts due

When making payments, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify to the coordinator the amount due, specifying whether it concerns a *[second pre-financing payment,]* interim payment or the payment of the balance.

For the payment of the balance, the notification will also specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 44.

[...]



1. Payments to be made

Normally, EJP Cofund actions are divided in 5 reporting periods (see [Article 20.2](#)), with one pre-financing payment and interim payments for each reporting period.

Large EJPs may exceptionally benefit from a second pre-financing payment (at the beginning of the second reporting period).

Example (two pre-financing payments):

An EJP receives a grant with a maximum grant amount of EUR 30 million. A total pre-financing of EUR 8 million is agreed but is split into two pre-financings of EUR 4 million each.

First pre-financing = EUR 4 000 000 with a Guarantee Fund retention of EUR 30 million x 2,5% = EUR 750 000 → net payment of EUR 3 250 000

Second pre-financing:

1a: The coordinator submits a statement showing that at least EUR 2 275 000 (70% of the EUR 3 250 000) has been used to cover the costs of the action → the second pre-financing will be EUR 4 000 000 with a Guarantee Fund retention of EUR 30 million x 2,5% = EUR 750 000 = net payment of EUR 3 250 000

1b: The coordinator submits a statement showing that EUR 1 950 000 (60% of the initial pre-financing) has been used to cover the costs of the action → the second pre-financing will be EUR 4 000 000 - (EUR 2 275 000 - EUR 1 950 000) = EUR 3 675 000 with a Guarantee Fund retention of EUR 30 million x 2,5% = EUR 750 000 → net payment of EUR 2 925 000

The second pre-financing payment is NOT automatic but must be requested by the coordinator via a 'statement on the use of the first pre-financing payment' (see [Article 20](#)).


The balance is paid when the action ends.

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Pre-financing payment

How much? The pre-financing for EJP Cofund actions is normally 20% of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1 (— unless it is exceptionally fixed higher or lower, to reflect the size and the needs of the EJP, the reimbursement rate in the work programme/call and/or the availability of EU budget credits)

If the GA foresees a second pre-financing payment, the Guarantee Fund retention (of 5% of the maximum grant amount) will be split between the two pre-financing payments.

 The second/third pre-financing payment will be **reduced**, if — according to the statement on use of the previous pre-financing instalment — the previous pre-financing was **not fully used**:

- if 70% or more of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing is paid in full
- if less than 70% of the first/second pre-financing has been used: the second/third pre-financing will be reduced by an amount equal to the difference between the percentage actually used and 70%.

VIII. Framework Partnerships and Specific Agreements

VIII.1 Background information and approach


The H2020 FPA and H2020 SGA are used for framework partnerships and specific grants (under such framework partnerships) ONLY.

'**Framework partnerships**' are long-term co-operations that:

- pursue a mutual interest and common general objectives (that coincide with EU policy)
- have an action plan with actions that were defined and agreed jointly on the basis of the common general objectives and
- have an ongoing formal arrangement to implement (potential) actions (i.e. the FPA and SGAs).

Currently, framework partnerships are only open to actions under the General MGA (i.e. all kinds of research and innovation actions (RIA), innovation actions (IA) and coordination and support actions (CSA)) and for PCP/PPI actions. Framework partnerships are currently not (yet) open to ERANET, EJP, ERC, MSCA or SME Instrument specific actions.

As from GA 4.0 FPA/SGAs are also possible for PCP/PPI actions. This does NOT apply to older grant agreements.

 The FPA/SGA therefore now covers both research and innovation actions or innovation actions with a PCP or PPI and PCP/PPI actions (separate type of action with PCP/PPI as *main* action task).

The FPA and SGA generally follow the General MGA for content, but with a different numbering:

<u>Table of correspondence</u>			
Title of Article	FPA Article	SGA Article	MGA Article
<u>CHAPTER 1 GENERAL</u>			
SUBJECT OF THE AGREEMENT	1	1	1
<u>CHAPTER 2 FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP</u>			
ACTION PLAN — AWARD OF SPECIFIC GRANTS — SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	2		
DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP	3		
RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP	4		
SUSPENSION OF FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP IMPLEMENTATION	5		
TERMINATION OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OR OF PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE PARTNERS	6		
<u>CHAPTER 3 SPECIFIC GRANTS</u>			
SECTION 1 SPECIFIC ACTIONS			
SPECIFIC ACTIONS TO BE IMPLEMENTED — COMPLEMENTARY GRANTS — JOINTLY FUNDED ACTIONS	7	2	2

<u>Table of correspondence</u>			
Title of Article	FPA Article	SGA Article	MGA Article
DURATION OF THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS	8	3	3
ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS	9		4
SECTION 2 SPECIFIC GRANTS			
GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS	10	4	5
ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS	11	5	6
SECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTIES UNDER THE SPECIFIC GRANTS			
<u>SUBSECTION 1 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTING THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS</u>			
GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS	12		7
RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS	13	6	8
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY BENEFICIARIES NOT RECEIVING EU FUNDING	14	7	9
PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES	15	8	10
USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES AGAINST PAYMENT	16	9	11
USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES FREE OF CHARGE	17	10	12
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS	18	11	13
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY LINKED THIRD PARTIES	19	12	14
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS	19a	12a	14a
FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES	20	13	15
PROVISION OF TRANS-NATIONAL OR VIRTUAL ACCESS TO RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURES	22	14	16
<u>SUBSECTION 2 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION</u>			
GENERAL OBLIGATION TO INFORM	23		17
KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	24		18
SUBMISSION OF DELIVERABLES	25	15	19
REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS	26	16	20
PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS	27	17	21
CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS	28		22
EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS	29		23
<u>SUBSECTION 3 RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO BACKGROUND AND RESULTS OF THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS</u>			

<u>Table of correspondence</u>			
Title of Article	FPA Article	SGA Article	MGA Article
MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	29a		23a
AGREEMENT ON BACKGROUND	30		24
ACCESS RIGHTS TO BACKGROUND	31	18	25
OWNERSHIP OF RESULTS	32	18	26
PROTECTION OF RESULTS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	33		27
EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS	34	18	28
DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS — OPEN ACCESS — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	35	18	29
TRANSFER AND LICENSING OF RESULTS	36	18	30
ACCESS RIGHTS TO RESULTS	37	18	31
<u>SUBSECTION 4 OTHER RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u>			
RECRUITMENT AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR RESEARCHERS	38		32
GENDER EQUALITY	39		33
ETHICS	40		34
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS	41		35
CONFIDENTIALITY	42		36
SECURITY-RELATED OBLIGATIONS	43		37
PROMOTING THE ACTION — VISIBILITY OF EU FUNDING	44		38
PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA	45		39
ASSIGNMENTS OF CLAIMS FOR PAYMENT AGAINST THE [COMMISSION][AGENCY]	46		40
SECTION 4 DIVISION OF PARTNERS' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES [— RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES] [— RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTICIPANTS OF A JOINT ACTION]			
DIVISION OF PARTNERS' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	47	19	41
SECTION 5 REJECTION OF COSTS — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT — RECOVERY — PENALTIES — DAMAGES — SUSPENSION — TERMINATION — FORCE MAJEURE			
REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS	48		42
REDUCTION OF THE GRANT	49		43
RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS	50		44
ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTIONS	51		45
LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES	52		46
SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE	53		47

<u>Table of correspondence</u>			
Title of Article	FPA Article	SGA Article	MGA Article
SUSPENSION OF PAYMENTS	54		48
SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION	55		49
TERMINATION OF THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENT OR OF PARTICIPATION FOR ONE OR MORE PARTNERS	56	20	50
FORCE MAJEURE	57		51
<u>CHAPTER 4 FINAL PROVISIONS</u>			
COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES	58		52
INTERPRETATION OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	59		53
CALCULATION OF PERIODS, DATES AND DEADLINES	60		54
AMENDMENTS TO THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	61		55
ACCESSION TO THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS	62		56
APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES	63		57
ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT	64	21	58


In addition, all references in the General MGA to 'beneficiary(ies)' must be read as referring to the 'partner(s)'.

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that differ only in numbering or presentation (*e.g. Chapters 3 and 4; Annexes*) will not be shown.

By contrast, provisions that do not deviate from the General MGA, but that require clarification or a specific interpretation for the FPA or SGA are added:

- [Article 9.1](#) (FPA-SGA budget categories)
- [Article 10](#) (FPA-SGA maximum grant amount, form of grant, reimbursement rate, forms of costs, final grant amount, revised final grant amount)
- [Article 11](#) (FPA-SGA eligible and ineligible costs)
- [Article 13](#) (FPA-SGA rules on third party involvement)
- [Article 63.2](#) (FPA/SGA rules on dispute settlement).

 For more guidance on framework partnerships, see the *Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual* and the *H2020 grants fact sheets*.

VIII.2 H2020 FPA: Annotations

FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This ‘**Framework Partnership Agreement**’ is between the following parties:

on the one part,

[OPTION 1: the European Union (‘the EU’, represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)²),]

[OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community (‘Euratom’), represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)³.]

[OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] (‘the Agency’), under the powers delegated by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)⁴.]

represented for the purposes of signature of this Framework Partnership Agreement by [[function, Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname]⁵,

and

on the other part,

1. ‘the **coordinator**’:

[full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full] *[OPTION for partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number],] [OPTION for coordinators not receiving EU funding: as ‘beneficiary not receiving EU funding (see Article 14),]* represented for the purposes of signing the Framework Partnership Agreement by [function, forename and surname]

and the following other **partners** if they have signed their ‘Accession Form’ (see Annex 3 and Article 62):

2. [full official name] (short name)], established in [official address in full], *[OPTION for partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number],]*

[OPTION for partners not receiving EU funding: [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number]], as ‘partner not receiving EU funding (see Article 14),]

[same for each partner]

[OPTION if the JRC is a partner: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the Administrative Arrangement (see Annex 3b)].

Unless otherwise specified, references to ‘partner’ or ‘partners’ include the coordinator *[OPTION if the JRC participates: and the Joint Research Centre (JRC)].*

The parties have agreed to enter into the framework partnership under the terms and conditions set out below.

The Framework Partnership Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1 Action plan⁶

Annex 2 Model Specific Agreement

Annex 1 Description of the specific action

Annex 2 Estimated budget for the specific action

Annex 3 Model for the financial statements

Annex 4 Model for the certificate on the financial statements

Annex 3 Accession Forms

[OPTION to be used if Article 19 applies and if joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: 3a Declaration on joint and several liability of linked third parties]

[OPTION if the JRC participates: 3b Administrative Arrangement]

Annex 4 Model for the certificate on the methodology

² Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

³ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ The person representing the *Commission/Agency* must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation) designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.

⁶ The action plan should include the common objectives of the parties and the types of activities covered under this framework partnership, that contribute to the achievement of those objectives.



1. Participants: Coordinator — Partners — Linked third parties — Third parties involved in the action

In FPAs and SGAs the beneficiaries are called '**partners**' (because technically speaking they become beneficiaries only once an SGA is signed).

⚠ Same partners at FPA and SGA level — Partners must generally be the same at FPA and SGA level. However, partners/linked third parties that do not want to actively participate in a specific action, may participate as **partners not carrying out action tasks** and will not have to comply with most of the obligations under that SGA (see [Preamble SGA](#)).

Arrangements for signing the FPA are as follows:

- the coordinator signs the FPA directly
- the other partners sign the Accession Form (see [Article 62 FPA](#) and [Annex 3](#)).

The documents must be signed directly in [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

Amendments to the FPA (and the SGAs) will be signed by the coordinator on behalf of the other partners.

Applicants who accept the partnership by signing the FPA become partners to the Agreement and are **bound by its terms and conditions**.

Other entities involved in the partnership which do not sign the FPA (including entities linked to the partners) are considered as **third parties involved in the partnership**.

They are not bound by the terms and conditions of the FPA and SGA; conversely, the Commission/Agency has no obligation towards third parties.

Only linked third parties are normally involved in the framework partnership itself. Other third parties are normally only involved in the specific actions (**third parties involved in the specific actions**).

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL

ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT MATTER OF THE AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 1 — SUBJECT MATTER OF THE AGREEMENT

This Agreement establishes a long term cooperation (**‘framework partnership’**) and sets out its terms and conditions and the general terms and conditions and rights and obligations applicable to the specific grants that may be awarded by the *[Commission][Agency]* for the specific actions under the framework partnership.

CHAPTER 2 FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION PLAN — AWARD OF SPECIFIC GRANTS — SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION PLAN — AWARD OF SPECIFIC GRANTS — SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS

2.1 Action plan

The objectives and activities under the **framework partnership** are set out in the ‘**action plan**’ in Annex 1.

2.2 Award of specific grants for specific actions — Specific Agreements

The [Commission][Agency] may award ‘**specific grants**’ for actions to be implemented under the framework partnership (‘**specific actions**’).

*[OPTION 1 by default: In order to obtain proposals for specific grants, the [Commission][Agency] will consult the partners on the basis of [a **call for proposals**][an **invitation to submit a proposal**]⁷ [a call for proposals or an invitation to submit a proposal] that sets out the [selection and]⁸ award criteria it will apply. [This call will be [open to all the partners for which this type of activity is included in an FPA action plan] [open to all applicants meeting the announced criteria].] The partners are not obliged to respond to such consultations and may choose not to submit any proposal.]*

*[OPTION 2 if foreseen in the work programme: The partners must **submit the proposals for specific grants** (consisting of [insert documents to be submitted with proposal]) [for [insert the name(s) of the activity]] by [the date(s) specified in the action plan][insert date].]*

The [Commission][Agency] will decide on the award of the specific grants following an evaluation of the proposal [and a competitive review across partners of different framework partnerships].

If the [Commission][Agency] decides to award a specific grant, it will propose the partners to conclude a ‘**Specific Agreement (SGA)**’ (see Annex 2).

By entering into the Specific Agreement [*OPTION if the JRC is a partner: or the Administrative Arrangement*], the partners accept the specific grant and agree to implement the specific action under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Framework Partnership Agreement and the Specific Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions they set out

Specific Agreements must be concluded before the end of the framework partnership (see Article 3).

After the end of the framework partnership or its termination, the Framework Partnership Agreement continues to apply to specific actions that are implemented under Specific Agreements which have entered into force before end of the duration.

⁷ The invitation to submit a proposal is an option reserved:

- for monopoly situations or partners designated in the basic act,
- for cases where work is carried out in a network with pre-determined partners under the conditions laid down in the basic acts or
- for actions with specific characteristics that require a particular type of body on account of its technical competence, its high degree of specialisation or its administrative power, on condition that the actions concerned do not fall within the scope of a call for proposals.

⁸ Use this option if you opt for an open call for proposals (‘a call for proposals open to all applicants meeting the announced criteria’).



1. Framework partnership — Action plan

What? Framework partnerships are long-term partnerships based on jointly agreed general objectives and a roadmap of actions (**action plan**).

The action plan should normally include at least the following:

- the objectives of the partnership (as set out in the work programme/call)
- the list of actions covered (including an indicative work plan)
- an explanation of how the actions will contribute to the achievement of the objectives
- the description of the partners
- key performance indicators
- information on the arrangements for intellectual property rights and open access (if relevant at FPA level)
- information on ethics and gender issues (if relevant).

The partnership is established in a FPA and implemented by SGAs for specific actions.

For this purpose, specific grants will be announced in work programmes/calls.

The FPA does NOT oblige the Commission/Agency to award specific grants.

Specific grants can be awarded only in line with the action plan.

The partners remain free to participate also in other calls for proposals organised by the Commission/Agency, in order to receive grants outside the action plan.

Framework partnerships can in principle be funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020.

4.0 ▶

Currently, they are however only open to actions under the General MGA (i.e. all kinds of research and innovation actions (RIA), innovation actions (IA) and coordination and support actions (CSA) (e.g. [H2020-FETFLAG-2014](#); [H2020-EINFRA-2014-2](#)) and PCP- PPI actions; Framework partnerships are currently NOT (yet) open to ERANET, EJP, ERC, MSCA or SME Instrument specific actions.

2. Award of specific grants

The specific grants are awarded to partners through one or more of the following procedures (depending on which options were inserted in the FPA):

- **with competition (calls for proposals):**
 - **open to all partners** for which this type of activity is included in the FPA action plan

Such calls are restricted to the consortia that have signed a FPA and compete for the specific grant.

Example: The Commission signs different FPAs. In the call for proposals for specific grants, it establishes that participation is restricted to applicants who have already signed an FPA. Consortia A and B have signed FPAs with the Commission – FPA-1 in the case of Consortium A and FPA-2 in the case of Consortium B. Following submission of the proposal and a positive evaluation, Consortium B is awarded the grant and signs an SGA with the Commission in the framework of FPA-2.
 - **open to all applicants** meeting the criteria

Such calls are open to any legal entity that satisfies the criteria set out in the call for proposals.

– **without competition:**

– **invitations to submit a proposal**

Such invitations can only be issued in one of the following cases:

- in monopoly situations or where partners are designated in the basic act
- if work is carried out in a network with pre-determined partners under conditions laid down in the basic acts
- for actions with specific characteristics that require a particular type of body on account of its technical competence, high degree of specialisation or administrative capacity, and do not fall within the scope of a call for proposals

– **submission of a proposal by a certain date**

This option can be included in the FPA only if it is provided for in the work programme/call.

The date must be set out in the action plan or in [Article 2 FPA](#).

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP

The Framework Partnership Agreement is concluded for a period of [insert number] of months ([...]years)⁹ as of its entry into force (see Article 64). This period cannot be extended.

⁹ Not more than four years, except in duly justified exceptional cases (for instance, to align it with the duration of the framework programme) (Article 178 Rules of Application Regulation No [1268/2012](#)).



1. Framework partnership starting date

The starting date of the framework partnership is fixed by the Commission/Agency in the FPA.

It is usually the first day of the month following the date on which the FPA enters into force. The FPA enters into force when the last party signs it (see *Article 64 FPA*).


Example: The FPA is signed by the coordinator on 30 December 2014 and by the Commission on 5 January 2015. The starting date of the partnership is 1 February 2015.

2. Framework partnership duration

The framework partnership duration may not exceed four years, except in duly justified exceptional cases (*e.g. to align it with the duration of the Horizon 2020 Programme*).

The FPA duration can NOT be extended (i.e. it is not possible to request an amendment extending the duration). The duration of an FPA only determines the moment up to which SGAs can be signed. The SGAs can still be implemented after the end of the framework partnership.

All SGAs must be signed before the end of the FPA.

 Certain provisions of the FPA will continue to apply for the on-going SGAs even if the FPA has ended.

ARTICLE 4 — RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP

ARTICLE 4 — RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP

4.1 Obligation to properly implement the framework partnership

The partners must respect the objectives of the framework partnership and implement it as described in Annex 1 and endeavour to achieve those objectives also in the specific actions.

The partners must maintain relations of mutual co-operation and regular and transparent exchanges of information with the *[Commission][Agency]* on:

- the implementation and follow-up of the action plan and the specific grants and
- other matters of common interest related to the Framework Partnership Agreement.

The partners must implement the framework partnership in compliance with Articles 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 52 — *mutatis mutandis*.

4.2 Consortium agreement

[OPTION 1 to be used, unless the work programme specifies that there is no need for a consortium agreement: The partners must have internal arrangements regarding their operation and co-ordination to ensure that the framework partnership and the specific actions are implemented properly. These internal arrangements must be set out in a written ‘consortium agreement’ between the partners, which may cover:

- *internal organisation of the consortium;*
- *management of access to the electronic exchange system;*
- *distribution of EU funding;*
- *additional rules on rights and obligations related to background and results (including whether access rights remain or not, if a partner is in breach of its obligations) (see Subsection 3 of Chapter 3);*
- *settlement of internal disputes;*
- *liability, indemnification and confidentiality arrangements between the partners.*


The consortium agreement must not contain any provision contrary to the Framework Partnership Agreement and the Specific Agreements.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Consortium agreement

As a general rule, the partners must conclude a FPA consortium agreement to ensure a smooth and successful implementation of the framework partnership and the specific actions (like for GAs; see [Article 41.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

 If necessary, the consortium may decide to have — in addition to the FPA consortium agreement — **specific consortium agreements for each SGA**. In this case, the consortium will need to ensure a mechanism to settle possible conflicts between the different consortium agreements.

ARTICLE 5 — SUSPENSION OF FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 5 — SUSPENSION OF FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP IMPLEMENTATION


The parties may **suspend the implementation of the framework partnership** on the grounds and according to the procedure — *mutatis mutandis* — set out in Article 55.

If the framework partnership implementation is suspended, all specific actions are also suspended (see Article 55) — from the date of suspension of the framework partnership.



1. Suspension of framework partnership implementation

The rules on suspension of framework partnership implementation are similar to GA suspension (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)).

 FPA suspension automatically suspends all on-going specific actions.

Amendments (to resume the action) after a suspension can NOT have the effect of extending the FPA duration set out in [Article 3 FPA](#).). The duration of an FPA only determines the moment up to which SGAs can be signed. An SGA can be implemented *after* the end of the framework partnership.

ARTICLE 6 — TERMINATION OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE PARTNERS

ARTICLE 6 — TERMINATION OF THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE PARTNERS

6.1 Termination of the Agreement

The parties may terminate the Framework Partnership Agreement at any time.

The party terminating the Framework Partnership Agreement must formally notify termination to the other party, stating the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

Termination of the Framework Partnership Agreement does not release the parties from their obligations under Specific Agreements which have entered into force before the date on which the termination takes effect, unless they have been terminated.

Neither party may claim damages due to termination by the other party.

6.2 Termination of the participation of one or more partners

The parties may terminate participation of one or more partners in the framework partnership on the grounds and according to the procedures — *mutatis mutandis* — set out in Article 56.2.1, 56.3.1 and 56.3.2.

The coordinator must submit a request for amendment (see Article 61) to adapt Annex 1 and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new partners (see Article 62).


If the request for amendment is rejected by the [Commission][Agency], the Framework Partnership Agreement may be terminated (see above).

Termination of participation in the framework partnership does not release the partner concerned from its obligations under Specific Agreements. It cannot however participate in specific actions awarded after the date on which the termination takes effect.



1. FPA termination

The FPA can be terminated at any time and on any grounds, without the need for a contradictory procedure between the parties.

 FPA termination has NO effect on ongoing SGAs (— unless they are also terminated; see Article 56 FPA and Article 20 SGA). Certain provisions of the FPA will continue to apply for the on-going SGAs even if the FPA is terminated.

2. Beneficiary termination

The parties may terminate the participation of a partner in the framework partnership on similar grounds (and according to similar procedures) as their termination in actions (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

 **Different partners at FPA and SGA level (exceptional)** — Beneficiary termination may lead to a different consortium composition at FPA and SGA level — unless participation is ended on both levels (and for ALL SGAs).

This is one of the very few cases of a different consortium composition. Normally, consortium composition is the same, but partners/linked third parties can participate as partners not carrying out action tasks for certain specific actions.

***Example:** A partner wants to terminate participation in the framework partnership because it no longer has interest in future SGAs (while it wants to finish the ongoing ones it has committed to).*

ARTICLE 9 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 9 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

9.1 Estimated budget

The estimated budget for the specific actions is set out in Annex 2 to the Specific Agreements.

It contains the estimated eligible costs and the forms of costs, broken down by partner *[and linked third party]* and **budget category** (Articles 4, 5, 6 SGA *[and Article 19 FPA]*) It also shows, if applicable, the estimated costs of the partners not receiving EU funding, if applicable (see Article 7 SGA) and of the international partners (see Article 12a SGA).

[...]



1. Budget categories

The **budget categories** of the SGA will depend on the type of SGA used.

Example: For RIA, IA and CSA specific actions, the SGA will use the **budget categories** of the General MGA:

- *direct personnel costs*
- *direct costs of subcontracting*
- *direct costs of providing financial support to third parties (if option applies)*
- *other direct costs*
- *indirect costs*
- *specific cost categories (if option applies) (see [Article 4 H2020 General MGA](#)).*

ARTICLE 10 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

ARTICLE 10 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

10.1 Maximum grant amount

The maximum grant amount for the specific grants is set out in the Specific Agreements (see Article 4 SGA).

10.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rates and form(s) of costs

The form of the grant, reimbursement rate(s), estimated eligible costs and the form(s) of costs of the specific grants are set out in the Specific Agreements (see Article 4 SGA).

10.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The final grant amount of a specific grant depends on the actual extent to which the specific action is implemented in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Framework Partnership Agreement and the Specific Agreement concerned.

This **amount** is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 17 SGA) — in the following steps:

Step 1 – Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs

Step 2 – Limit to the maximum grant amount

Step 3 – Reduction due to the no-profit rule

Step 4 – Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

10.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rates to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate(s) (see Article 4 SGA) are applied to the eligible costs (actual costs, unit costs, flat-rate costs and lump sum costs; see Article 5 SGA) declared by the partners *[and the linked third parties]* (see Article 16 SGA) and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 17 SGA).

10.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to the maximum grant amount

If the amount obtained following Step 1 is higher than the maximum grant amount (see Article 4 SGA), it will be limited to the latter.

10.3.3 Step 3 — Reduction due to the no-profit rule

The specific grant must not produce a profit.

‘**Profit**’ means the surplus of the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2 plus the specific action’s total receipts, over the specific action’s total eligible costs.

The ‘**specific action’s total eligible costs**’ are the consolidated total eligible costs approved by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The ‘**specific action’s total receipts**’ are the consolidated total receipts generated during its duration (see Article 3 SGA).

The following are considered **receipts**:

- (a) income generated by the specific action; if the income is generated from selling equipment or other assets purchased under the Specific Agreement, the receipt is up to the amount declared as eligible under the Specific Agreement;
- (b) financial contributions given by third parties to the partner [or to a linked third party] specifically to be used for the specific action, and
- (c) in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge specifically to be used for the specific action, if they have been declared as eligible costs.

The following are however not considered receipts:

- (a) income generated by exploiting the specific action's results (see Article 34);
- (b) financial contributions by third parties, if they may be used to cover costs other than the eligible costs (see Article 5 SGA);
- (c) financial contributions by third parties with no obligation to repay any amount unused at the end of the period set out in Article 3 of the Specific Agreement).

If there is a profit, it will be deducted from the amount obtained following Steps 1 and 2.

10.3.4 Step 4 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the specific grant is reduced (see Article 49), the [Commission][Agency] will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations in accordance with Article 49.2) from the maximum grant amount (see Article 4 SGA).

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Steps 1 to 3 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 4.

10.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 28) — the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs (see Article 48) or reduces the specific grant (see Article 49), it will calculate the '**revised final grant amount**' for the partner concerned by the findings.

This **amount** is calculated by the [Commission][Agency] on the basis of the findings, as follows:

- in case of **rejection of costs**: by applying the reimbursement rate to the revised eligible costs approved by the [Commission][Agency] for the partner concerned;
- in case of **reduction of the specific grant**: by calculating the concerned partner's share in the grant amount reduced in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 49.2).

In case of **rejection of costs and reduction of the specific grant**: the revised final grant amount for the partner concerned will be the lower of the two amounts above.



1. Form of grant — Reimbursement rates — Cost form(s)

The form of grant, reimbursement rates and cost form(s) of the SGA will depend on the type of SGA used.

***Example:** For RIA, IA and CSA actions, the SGA will use the form of grant, reimbursement rates and **forms of costs** of the General MGA (see Article 4 SGA and [Article 5 H2020 General MGA](#))*

2. Calculation of the final grant amount — Calculation of a revised final grant amount

Similarly, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount and a revised final grant amount will depend on the type of SGA used.

ARTICLE 11 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 11 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

11.1 Eligible Costs

The general and specific conditions for costs to be eligible under the specific grants are set out in the Specific Agreements (see Article 5 SGA).

11.2 Ineligible costs

The conditions under which costs are considered ineligible under the specific grants are set out in the Specific Agreements (see Article 5 SGA).

11.3 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 48).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Section 5.



1. Eligible costs

The specific eligibility conditions, applicable per budget category, are set out in Article 5 SGA.

The SGA will use the **budget categories** that belong to the type of specific action, with the same **types of costs**, **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

***Example:** For RIA, IA or CSA specific actions, the budget categories, types of costs, eligibility conditions and calculation rules of the General MGA will apply (see Article 5 SGA and [Article 6 H2020 General MGA](#)).*

ARTICLE 13 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS

ARTICLE 13 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS

The rules on the resources to implement the specific actions and involvement of third parties in the action are set out in the Specific Agreements (see Article 6 SGA).



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

The rules on third party involvement will depend on the type of SGA used.

Example: For RIA, IA and CSA specific actions, the SGA will use the rules on third party involvement of the General MGA (see [Article 8 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Linked third parties must already be identified in the FPA (see [Article 19 FPA](#)). Their specific activities must then be set out in the specific actions.

Examples:

1. Third parties making resources available against payment or free of charge (see [Articles 9 and 10 FPA](#)) must be identified in Annex I of the SGA.
2. Linked third parties carrying out specific action task must be identified in the FPA and also in Annex I of the relevant SGAs



Linked third parties — Linked third parties are allowed to *fully* participate in the framework partnership and specific actions, like the partner they are linked to.

Linked third parties can NOT however do more than their partners: If a linked third party wants to participate in a specific action, its partner must also be active in that action (i.e. NOT be partner not carrying out action tasks). If the partner does not want to, the linked third party could itself become a partner of the FPA and SGA (— after the consortium composition is changed).

ARTICLE 62 — ACCESSION TO THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS

ARTICLE 62 — ACCESSION TO THE FRAMEWORK PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT AND THE SPECIFIC AGREEMENTS

62.1 Accession of the partners mentioned in the preamble

The other partners must accede to the Framework Partnership Agreement by signing the Accession Form (see Annex 3) in the electronic exchange system (see Article 58) — within 30 days after its entry into force (see Article 64). *[OPTION if Article 19 applies and joint and several liability has been requested: and for partners for which the [Commission][Agency] has requested joint and several liability of a linked third party, by also submitting — at accession to the Framework Partnership Agreement — a declaration on joint and several liability (see Annex 3a) signed by the third party.]*

All partners having acceded to the Framework Partnership Agreement must be part of the Specific Agreements. The partners will accede to the Specific Agreements by signature of the coordinator (see mandate in Annex 3).

They will assume the rights and obligations under the Agreements with effect from the date of their entry into force (see Article 64 and Article 21 SGA).

If a partner does not accede to the Framework Partnership Agreement within the above deadline, the coordinator must — within 30 days — request an amendment to make any changes necessary to ensure proper implementation of the action plan. This does not affect the *[Commission's][Agency's]* right to terminate the agreements (see Articles 6 and 56).




1. Accession to the FPA and SGAs

While the coordinator signs the FPA, the other partners must accede to the FPA by means of the Accession Forms.

In doing so, they mandate the coordinator:

- to submit and sign in their name and on their behalf any amendments to the framework
- to sign in their name and on their behalf any SGA that may be awarded.

 The SGAs are signed **ONLY** by the coordinator. The other partners do **NOT** need to sign accession forms for the SGAs.

62.2 Addition of new partners

In justified cases, the partners may request the addition of a new partner.

For this purpose, the coordinator must submit a request for amendment of the Framework Partnership and the Specific Agreements in accordance with Article 61. It must include an Accession Form (see Annex 3) signed by the new partner in the electronic exchange system (see Article 58).

New partners must assume the rights and obligations under the Agreements with effect from the date of their accession specified in the Accession Form (see Annex 3).



1. Addition of a new partner

New partners can be added at any moment of the framework partnership.

⚠ Same partners at FPA and SGA level — Partners must generally be the same at FPA and SGA level. The new partner must therefore be added at both levels.

Before any SGA has been concluded, only the FPA must be amended.

Once an SGA has already been concluded, both the FPA and the SGA must be amended.

The coordinator must first submit a request for the FPA amendment (which will automatically include the change in the consortium composition for the SGAs — the new beneficiary is added (automatically) as 'inactive' to all signed SGAs under that FPA).

The coordinator must then request for each SGA a separate amendment to activate the new beneficiary (*e.g. to change Annex 1, Annex 2*).

The conditions for accepting new partners are the same as under the General MGA (see [Article 56.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 63 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

ARTICLE 63 — APPLICABLE LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

63.2 Dispute settlement

If a dispute concerning the interpretation, application or validity of the Framework Partnership Agreement or a Specific Agreement cannot be settled amicably, the General Court — or, on appeal, the Court of Justice of the European Union — has sole jurisdiction. Such actions must be brought under Article 272 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU).

[additional OPTION for non-EU partners (except partners established in an associated country with an association agreement to Horizon 2020 that stipulates sole jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice): As an exception, if such a dispute is between the [Commission][Agency] and [insert non-EU partner(s) name(s)], the competent Belgian courts have sole jurisdiction.]

[additional OPTION for international organisations and for partners not eligible for EU funding which according to their national law cannot be subject to the jurisdiction of the Belgian courts: As an exception, for the following partners:

- *[insert name of international organisation or partner not eligible for EU funding]*
 - *[insert name of international organisation or partner not eligible for EU funding]*
- [same for other partners that are international organisations or partner not eligible for EU funding]*

such disputes must — if they cannot be settled amicably — be referred to arbitration. Each party must formally notify to the other party its intention of resorting to arbitration and the identity of the arbitrator. The Permanent Court of Arbitration Optional Rules for Arbitration Involving International Organisations and States in force at the date of entry into force of the Framework Partnership Agreement will apply. The appointing authority will be the Secretary-General of the Permanent Court of Arbitration following a written request submitted by either party. The arbitration proceedings must take place in Brussels and the language used in the arbitral proceedings will be English. The arbitral award will be binding on all parties and will not be subject to appeal.]

If a dispute concerns administrative sanctions, offsetting or an enforceable decision under Article 299 TFEU (see Articles 50, 51 and 52), the partners must bring action before the General Court — or, on appeal, the Court of Justice of the European Union — under Article 263 TFEU. Actions against enforceable decisions must always be brought against the Commission (not against the Agency — even in Agency specific grants).



1. Dispute settlement

The rules on dispute settlement are similar to those of the General MGA (see [Article 57.2 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However for FPAs, the Commission/Agency will determine already at FPA-level which partners must participate as 'beneficiaries not receiving EU funding' — because of a general issue with the jurisdiction clause (i.e. Belgian courts). Those beneficiaries can NEVER receive EU funding.

All other partners' status will be determined at SGA-level. Thus, partners from eligible countries (EU Member State, H2020 associated country or third country listed in [General Annex A to the Main Work Programme](#)) may participate as normal partners or as 'partners not receiving EU funding'. Partners from non-eligible countries may participate as 'partners not receiving EU funding' or — exceptionally — be granted funding.

VIII.3 H2020 SGA: Annotations

SPECIFIC AGREEMENT

NUMBER [insert number] — [insert acronym]

This ‘Specific Agreement’ is between the following parties:

on the one part,

[*OPTION 1: the European Union (‘the EU’, represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)*².]

[*OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community (‘Euratom’), represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)*³.]

[*OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] (‘the Agency’), under the powers delegated by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)*⁴.]

represented for the purposes of signature of this Specific Agreement by [[function, [Directorate-General, Directorate, Unit] [Department]], [forename and surname],⁵

and

on the other part,

1. ‘the coordinator’:

[full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [*OPTION for partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number]*], [*OPTION for coordinators not receiving EU funding: as ‘partner not receiving EU funding’ (see Article 7),*] represented for the purposes of signing the Specific Agreement by [function, forename and surname],

and the following other **partners**, represented for the purposes of signing the Specific Agreement by the coordinator (see the mandate in Annex 3 FPA and Article 62 FPA):

2. [full official name] (short name)], established in [official address in full], [*OPTION FOR partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number]*],

[*OPTION for partners not carrying out action tasks under this SGA: X. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for partners with VAT: VAT number [insert number]]], as ‘partner not carrying out action tasks’.*]

[*OPTION for partners not receiving EU funding: X. [full official name (short name)], established in [official address in full], [OPTION for partners with VAT : VAT number [insert number]]], as ‘partner not receiving EU funding’ (see Article 7),*]

[same for each partner]

[*OPTION if the JRC is a partner: and X. the Joint Research Centre (JRC) established in [official address in full], if it signs the Administrative Arrangement (see Annex 5).*]

By entering into the Specific Agreement [*OPTION if the JRC is a partner: or the Administrative Arrangement*], the partners accept the grant and agree to implement the specific action, under their own responsibility and in accordance with the Framework Partnership Agreement and this Specific Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions they set out.

The Specific Agreement is composed of:

Terms and Conditions

Annex 1	Description of the action
Annex 2	Estimated budget for the action
Annex 2a	Additional information on the estimated budget
Annex 3	Model for the financial statements
Annex 4	Model for the certificate on the financial statements

[*OPTION if the JRC participates: Annex 5: Administrative Arrangement*]

² Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

³ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁴ Text in *italics* shows the options of the Model Grant Agreement that are applicable to this Agreement.

⁵ The person representing the Commission/Agency must be an authorising officer (by delegation or sub-delegation) designated in accordance with document 60008 of 22.02.2001 'Mise en place de la Charte des ordonnateurs'.



1. Participants: Partners — Partners not carrying out action tasks under the SGA — Linked third parties

The partners of a SGA are generally the **same as the partners of the FPA** (see [Article 62.1 FPA](#)).

⚠ Same partners at FPA and SGA level — Partners must generally be the same at FPA and SGA level. An entity that is not partner/linked third party in the FPA cannot become party in the SGA. Conversely, all partners/linked third parties to the FPA become parties to the SGA.

However, not all partners of a framework partnership must actively participate in all specific actions.

Partners (and linked third parties) who do NOT actively participate in a specific action are **partners not carrying out action tasks** under that Specific Agreement (and must be identified as such in the preamble to the SGA).

⚠ Partners not carrying out action tasks — They formally participate in the action as partners, but NOT with any action tasks or EU funding. In practice, most of the obligations therefore do not apply to them for that specific action.

Linked third parties — If a partner participates as not carrying out action tasks, its **linked third parties** get the same status. They can NOT actively participate in the specific action (— unless they themselves become partners of the FPA and SGA).

These partners are formally part of the consortium for the SGA (and are parties to this SGA, meaning that the coordinator signs also in their name).

They will be identified in Annex 1 as 'partners not carrying action tasks' (as they do not carry out any action tasks). Moreover, they will also be mentioned in Annex 2 with zero costs.

Formally, the rights and obligations under the FPA and SGA fully apply to them. In practice however, many of them (*e.g. implementation, deliverables, technical and financial reporting, keeping of supporting documents, financial or technical audits or reviews, IPR results, access to background and results of others, etc.*) are NOT applicable because these partners do not carry out action tasks and do not receive any EU funding. Other obligations remain relevant (*e.g. checks, information obligations, confidentiality*).

SGAs are **signed** by the coordinator on behalf of the other partners (see [Article 62.1 FPA](#)).

The documents must be signed directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#).

The other partners do NOT need to sign Accession Forms.

Other entities involved in a specific action which do not sign the SGA (including **linked third parties**) are considered as **third parties involved in the specific action**.

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

ARTICLE 3 — DURATION AND STARTING DATE OF THE ACTION

The duration of the specific action will be [insert number] months as of [OPTION 1 by default: the first day of the month following the date the Specific Agreement enters into force (see Article 21)] [OPTION 2 if needed for the action: insert date] ('starting date of the action').



1. Action starting date

For MSCA-IF, the rules on the action starting date are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 3 H2020 General MGA](#)). Normally, the SGA starting date should also be after the entry into force of the FPA (because one presumes the other). However, in justified cases, it is possible to exceptionally fix an SGA starting date before the entry into force of the FPA (i.e. retroactive).

If the consortium requests a starting date before the entry into force of the FPA, it assumes the risks implied by starting the action before the FPA and SGA are signed (in particular not being reimbursed for the costs incurred, e.g. if the proposal is not successful or that the FPA/SGA are not signed).

 The SGA action starting date can in any case **NEVER** be **before** the **submission** of the SGA proposal.

ARTICLE 17 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 17 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

[...]

17.7 Payments to the coordinator — Distribution to the partners

Payments will be made to the coordinator.

Payments to the coordinator will discharge the *[Commission][Agency]* from its payment obligation.

The coordinator must distribute the payments between the partners without unjustified delay.

Pre-financing may however be distributed only:

- a) if the **minimum number of partners** set out in the call for proposals has acceded to the Framework and Specific Agreement (see Article 62 FPA) and
- b) to partners that have entered into the Specific Agreement (see Article 62 FPA).

[...]



1. Minimum number of partners

The minimum number of partners generally depends on the type of specific action.

For SGAs, the minimum number of partners will be explicitly indicated in the call for proposals (or — if there is no call for proposals — in the work programme and the invitation to submit a proposal). The minimum numbers of partners refers to the partners implementing the specific action.

⚠ Same partners at FPA and SGA level — If a specific action requires more partners than are currently in the framework partnership, the FPA first needs to be enlarged.

IX. Lump Sum MGAs

IX.1 Background information and approach

The General Lump Sum Model Grant Agreement (H2020 General Lump Sum MGA) is used mainly for coordination and support actions (CSA) and, exceptionally, for research and innovation actions (RIA) and innovation actions (IA) — if the work programme/call provides for a lump sum grant.

Example: H2020-INNOSUP-2014-5

The Lump Sum Pilot Model Grant Agreement (H2020 Lump Sum Pilot MGA) is used in a limited number of cases provided for in the work programme/call.

Example: Specific call within the Nanotechnologies, Advance Materials, Biotechnology and Advance Manufacturing and Processing topic DT-NMBP-20-2018

The General Lump Sum MGA follows the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 General Lump Sum MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 4 (estimated budget of the action)
 - Article 5 (maximum grant amount, form of grant and reimbursement rate)
 - Article 6 (lump sum specific form of costs)
 - Article 7 (specific provision for lump sum)
 - Article 8 (specific provision for third parties)
 - Articles 9, 11, 12, 16 (not applicable)
 - Article 18 (specific provision for record-keeping)
 - Article 20 (specific reporting provisions)
 - Article 21 (specific payment provisions)
 - Article 42 (specific provision for lump-sum)
 - Article 44 (specific provisions for lump sum)
 - Article 49 (specific provision for suspension of action implementation)
 - Article 50 (specific provision for lump-sum)
-
- Annex 2 Model for the estimated budget for the action
 - Annex 3 Accession form for beneficiaries
 - Annex 4 Model for the financial statement
 - Annex 7 Model for the request for further pre-financing payment(s)

The MGA Lump Sum Pilot follows the General MGA for numbering and content, except for:

The H2020 Lump Sum Pilot MGA deviates from the General MGA as follows:

- Article 4 (estimated lump sum breakdown and transfers)
- Article 5 (grant amount and form of grant)
- Article 6 (not applicable)
- Article 8 (resources to implement the action)
- Articles 10, 11, 12 (not applicable)
- Article 13 (subcontracting)
- Article 15 (financial support to third parties)
- Article 18 (keeping records)

- Article 20 (specific reporting provisions)
- Article 21 (specific payment provisions)
- Article 22 (specific procedure for extension of audit findings)
- Article 42 (not applicable)
- Article 47 (specific provision for suspension of payment deadline)
- Article 49 (specific provision for suspension of the action)
- Article 50 (specific provisions for termination)

- Annex 2 Estimated lump sum breakdown
- Annex 4 Model for the financial statement

The main difference between the General Lump Sum MGA and the Lump Sum Pilot MGA lies in the grant implementation mechanisms: the General Lump Sum MGA works with eligible costs and pre-financing payments (instead of interim payments); the Lump Sum Pilot MGA works with lump sum shares per work package and beneficiary (instead of eligible costs) and interim payments based on accomplished work packages.

The annotations will concentrate on differences in substance and interpretation that require explanation.

Parts that do not apply (*Articles 6, 10, 11, 12, 42 Lump Sum Pilot MGA*) or differ only in presentation (*e.g. Articles 4.2, 9, 11, 12, 16, 20.5, 21.3, 25.5 General Lump Sum MGA, Article 43.3 Lump Sum Pilot MGA, Annexes*) will not be shown.

For the Lump Sum Pilot MGA, the Articles where the only difference is the removal of references to eligibility of costs (*e.g. Article 14, 14a, 17.1, 22.5, 41.2 – removal of CFS —*) or rejection of costs (*e.g. Articles 14.2, 15.3, 16.3, 18.2, 22.6*) will not be shown.

The annotations are based on the multi-beneficiary version, since it is the most common for lump sum actions. Where there are significant differences (i.e. Articles 21, 41, 44, 49 and 50), the mono-beneficiary version of the Article is shown.

IX.2 H2020 Lump Sum MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [~~— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT~~] [~~— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION~~]

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [~~— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT~~] [~~— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION~~]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled **[insert title of the action]** — **[insert acronym]** ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a 'complementary grant' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):

- **[insert number]** **[insert acronym]**
- **[insert number]** **[insert acronym]**].]

*[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a 'jointly funded action' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called **[insert the name of the third country or international organisation action]**, as described in Annex 1.]*



1. General Lump Sum actions

What? General Lump Sum grants fund (mainly) **coordination and support actions (CSA)** and (exceptionally) **research and innovation actions (RIA)** or **innovation actions (IA)** — if the work programme/call provides for it.

i For definitions and more information on CSA, RIA and IA actions, see *Article 2 of the H2020 General MGA, the Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual and the H2020 grants fact sheets.*

CSA, RIA and IA Lump Sum actions may be mono- or multi-beneficiary actions.

They can be funded in all Parts of Horizon 2020 (e.g. [H2020-INNOSUP-2014-5](#)).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED BUDGET AND BUDGET TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated budget

The ‘**estimated budget**’ for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the budget category, the estimated eligible costs and the form of costs (see Articles 5 and 6).

4.2 Budget transfers

Not applicable




1. Budget category

The General Lump Sum MGA does not use the budget categories of the General MGA.

Since these grants consist in a single lump sum, there is only one budget category.

Budget category of the General Lump Sum MGA:

- costs (direct and indirect costs)

 The budget categories are relevant for the estimated budget (Article 4 and Annex 2), forms of costs (Article 5), cost eligibility rules (Article 6.2) and the cost declarations (i.e. financial statements; Article 20 and Annex 4).

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATE AND FORM OF COSTS

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT, FORM OF GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND FORMS OF COSTS

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The '**maximum grant amount**' is EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

5.2 Form of grant, reimbursement rate and form of costs

The grant reimburses [*OPTION 1 for research and innovation actions (RIA) and coordination and support actions (CSA): 100 % of the action's eligible costs*] [*OPTION 2 for innovation actions (IA): 70% of the action's eligible costs*]⁸[*OPTION 3 for exceptional cases if foreseen in the work programme: [...%] of the action's eligible costs*] (see Article 6) ('**reimbursement of eligible costs grant**') (see Annex 2).

The estimated eligible costs of the action are EUR [**insert amount** (insert amount in words)].

Eligible costs (see Article 6) must be declared as the lump sum set out in Annex 2 (i.e. under the form of '**lump sum costs**').

⁸ For the definition, see Article 2.1 (6) of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in 'Horizon 2020 – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014 – 2020)' ('**Rules for Participation Regulation No 1290/2013**') (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013 p. 81): '**innovation action**' means an action primarily consisting of activities directly aiming at producing plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services. For this purpose they may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.



1. Maximum grant amount — Reimbursement rate — Cost forms

How much & which form? The General Lump Sum MGA does not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but is a **lump sum grant**.

The use of the lump sum will be set out in the work programme/call.

The lump sum will be set to give a round amount when the EU reimbursement rate is applied (— important if the reimbursement rate is not 100%). It is one amount (for the entire consortium).

The eligibility conditions are set out in [Article 6](#). If the action is correctly implemented, the beneficiaries are entitled to receive this fixed amount of EU funding (lump sum).

⚠ The lump sum is deemed to cover ALL (direct and indirect) costs that are incurred for the action. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The ‘**final grant amount**’ depends on the proper implementation of the action in accordance with the Agreement’s terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Application of the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs

The reimbursement rate (see Article 5.2) is applied to the eligible costs (lump sum costs; see Article 6) declared by the consortium and approved by the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 21).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations— Reduced maximum grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is reduced (see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced maximum grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

In this case, the final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained in Step 1 or
- the amount obtained in Step 2.



1. Final grant amount

For General Lump Sum grants, the rules on the calculation of the final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since they are lump sum grants (and the amount declared is pre-filled by the system), there is NO need to calculate the:

- limit to the maximum grant amount or
- reduction due to the no-profit rule.

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 22) — the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs (see Article 42) or reduces the grant (see Article 43), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’.

This **amount** is calculated by the [Commission][Agency] on the basis of the findings, as follows:

- in case of **rejection of costs**: by applying the reimbursement rate to the revised eligible costs approved by the [Commission][Agency];
- in case of **reduction of the grant**: in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 43.2).

In case of **rejection of costs and reduction of the grant**, the revised final grant amount will be the lower of the two amounts above.



1. Revised final grant amount

For General Lump Sum grants, the rules on the calculation of the revised final grant amount are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, for General Lump Sum grants, improper implementation of the action leads to *ineligibility* of the costs (NOT to the *reduction* of the grant). Therefore, the grant reduction mentioned in this provision does not relate to improper implementation of the action (but only to other breaches and substantial errors, irregularities and fraud; see [Article 43](#)).

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 6 — ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE COSTS

6.1 Eligible costs

Costs for the budget category:

A. Costs for the action (direct and indirect costs)

are eligible ('eligible costs'), if they correspond to the lump sum set out in Annex 2 and if the corresponding tasks or parts of the action have been properly implemented in accordance with Annex 1.

6.2 Ineligible costs

'Ineligible costs' are:

- (a) costs that do not comply with the conditions set out above (see Article 6.1) and
- (b) costs declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU or Euratom budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the [Commission][Agency] for the purpose of implementing the EU and Euratom budget.
- [(c) *OPTION for cost categories explicitly excluded in the work programme: [insert name of excluded cost category]*].

6.3 Consequences of declaration of ineligible costs

Declared costs that are ineligible will be rejected (see Article 42).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Eligible costs

The General Lump Sum MGA has its **own budget category**, with its own **types of costs**, **eligibility conditions** and **calculation rules**.

2. Costs for the action (A.): Types of costs — Form — Eligibility conditions — Calculation

2.1 What? The budget category A 'costs' **covers** the (direct and indirect) costs for the action.

⚠ The lump sum is deemed to **cover ALL** (direct and indirect) **costs** that are incurred for the action. **NO** other costs will be reimbursed.

2.2 These costs must be **declared as** the **amount** set out in the work programme/call.

In practice, the declaration of costs for General Lump Sum grants is completely automatized. The coordinator only needs to sign and submit the financial statement (pre-filled by the IT system).

2.3 They must fulfil the following **eligibility conditions**:

- the action tasks must have been carried out as described in Annex 1.

2.4 NO calculation is necessary (since it is a fixed global amount).

By signing the financial statement (for multi-beneficiary actions: one common financial statement for the consortium; see [Article 20](#)), the beneficiaries automatically declare the amount set out in the work programme/call as the total eligible costs of the action (the amount is fixed and pre-filled by the IT system).

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

ARTICLE 7 — GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE ACTION

7.1 General obligation to properly implement the action

The beneficiaries must implement the action as described in Annex 1 and in compliance with the provisions of the Agreement and all legal obligations under applicable EU, international and national law.

7.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary does not properly implement the action (or part of it), the corresponding costs will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

If a beneficiary breaches any other obligation, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

This may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Consequences of improper implementation

For General Lump Sum grants, 'improper implementation of the action not in accordance with Annex 1' does NOT lead to a *reduction* of the grant, but to the *ineligibility* of the costs (see [Article 6.1](#)).

 If the action is not carried out as described in Annex 1, costs will be **rejected** proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented (see [Article 42](#)).

By contrast, in case of other serious breaches the grant may be *reduced* (see [Article 43](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services (see Article 10);
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 13);
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14).
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1 (see Article 14a).

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission][Agency]* for implementing the action.




1. Participants: Appropriate own resources — Use of third party resources — Third parties involved in the action

The rules of the General MGA on third party involvement are only partly applicable to the General Lump Sum MGA.

The special rules from the General MGA on third party involvement are limited in General Lump Sum actions to purchases ([Article 10](#)), subcontracting ([Article 13](#)), linked third parties ([Article 14](#)) and international partners ([Article 14a](#)).

By contrast, there are NO special rules for in-kind contributions (Articles 11 and 12). Beneficiaries may use any in-kind contributions from third parties if they are needed to carry out the work of the action.

 For all types of third party involvement (purchases, subcontracts, linked third parties, in-kind contributions), the **costs** are **ALL covered** by the lump sum. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

ARTICLE 10 — PURCHASE OF GOODS, WORKS OR SERVICES

10.1 Rules for purchasing goods, works or services

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may purchase goods, works or services.

The beneficiaries must make such purchases ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, they must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

The beneficiaries must ensure that [*the Agency,*] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their contractors.

Beneficiaries that are ‘contracting authorities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC⁹ (or 2014/24/EU)¹⁰ or ‘contracting entities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC¹¹ (or 2014/25/EU)¹² must comply with the applicable national law on public procurement.

10.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

⁹ Directive 2014/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2014 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public work contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, 30.04.2014, p. 114).

¹⁰ Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p. 65).

¹¹ Directive 2004/17/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors (OJ L 134, 30.04.2004, p. 1).

¹² Directive 2014/25/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.03.2014, p. 243).



1. Purchase of goods, works or services

The rules on contracts for the purchase of goods, works or services for General Lump Sum actions are almost identical to the General MGA (see [Article 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The obligations in Article 10.1.1 are however NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but ‘*other obligations*’. In case of breach, the Commission/Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS**ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS****13.1 Rules for subcontracting action tasks**

If necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may award subcontracts covering the implementation of certain action tasks described in Annex 1.

Subcontracting may cover only a limited part of the action.

The beneficiaries must award the subcontracts ensuring the best value for money or, if appropriate, the lowest price. In doing so, they must avoid any conflict of interests (see Article 35).

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries must ensure that [*the Agency,*] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their subcontractors.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

Beneficiaries that are ‘contracting authorities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC (or 2014/24/EU) or ‘contracting entities’ within the meaning of Directive 2004/17/EC (or 2014/25/EU) must comply with the applicable national law on public procurement.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

**1. Subcontracting**

The rules on subcontracting for General Lump Sum actions are similar to the General MGA (see [Articles 8 and 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The General Lump Sum MGA has however the following **specificities**:

The estimated subcontracting costs do NOT have to be included in Annex 1 or shown in the table of estimated costs of Annex 2 (since they are covered by the lump sum).

The obligations in Article 13.1 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but ‘*other obligations*’. In case of breach, the Commission/Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiaries must — for a period of [*OPTION 1 by default: five*][*OPTION 2 for low value grants¹⁸: three*] years after the payment of the balance — keep adequate records and other supporting documentation to prove the proper implementation of the action and the costs they declare as eligible.

They must make them available upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see Article 22), the beneficiaries must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiaries must keep the original documents. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The [*Commission*][*Agency*] may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance.

18.1.1 Records and other supporting documentation on the scientific and technical implementation

The beneficiaries must keep records and other supporting documentation on scientific and technical implementation of the action in line with the accepted standards in the respective field.

18.1.2 Records and other documentation to support the costs declared

The beneficiaries must keep adequate records and documentation and other supporting documentation to prove that the corresponding tasks or part of the action as described in Annex 1 were implemented properly. The beneficiaries do not need to identify the actual eligible costs covered or provide supporting documentation (such as accounting statements) to prove the amount declared as a lump sum.

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, costs insufficiently substantiated will be ineligible (see Article 6) and will be rejected (see Article 42).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

¹⁸ For the definition, see Article 185 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (OJ L 362, 31.12.2012, p. 1) ('**Rules of Application Regulation No 1268/2012**): 'low value grants' are lower or equal to EUR 60 000.



1. Records and other supporting documentation

For General Lump Sum actions, beneficiaries do not need to keep full records on their actual costs; they only need to keep the evidence (documentation, records) that the actions tasks (as described in Annex 1) were properly carried out.

The records and supporting documentation must:


- refer to the technical implementation of the action in line with the accepted standards in the respective field (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)) and

- prove that the tasks or parts of the action allocated to the beneficiary in Annex 1 were implemented properly (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)).

By contrast, timesheets or invoices for costs incurred are NOT needed.

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available.

It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (three years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

 If the beneficiary cannot provide evidence of the proper implementation of the action, the grant may be **reduced** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

20.1 Obligation to submit reports

The coordinator must submit to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 52) the reports set out in this Article. These reports include the request for payment and must be drawn up using the forms and templates provided in the electronic exchange system (see Article 52).

20.2 Reporting periods

The action is divided into the following ‘reporting periods’:

- RP1: from month 1 to month [X]
- [- RP2: from month [X+1] to month [Y]*
- RP3: from month [Y+1] to month [Z]*
- [same for other RPs]*
- RPN: from month [N+1] to [the last month of the project].]*

20.2a Periodic reports — Requests for further pre-financing payments

The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of each reporting period.

The **periodic report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**periodic technical report**’ containing:
 - (i) an **explanation of the work carried out** by the beneficiaries;
 - (ii) an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including milestones and deliverables identified in Annex 1.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

The report must detail the exploitation and dissemination of the results and — if required in Annex 1 — an updated ‘**plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results**’.

The report must indicate the communication activities;

- (iii) a **summary** for publication by the *[Commission][Agency]*;
- (iv) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’, covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements;

- (b) a ‘**statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment**’, including the **request for a further pre-financing payment** (Annex 7).

20.3 Requests for interim payments

Not applicable.

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

The coordinator must submit to the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52) — within 60 days following the end of the reporting period — a final report, which includes the request for payment of the balance.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**final technical report**’ containing a **summary** with:
 - (iv) an overview of the results;
 - (v) the conclusions on the action;
 - (vi) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’, covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements.
- (b) a ‘**final financial report**’ containing:
 - (i) a ‘**financial statement**’ from the consortium (see Annex 4), which includes the **request for payment of the balance**.

The financial statement must detail the eligible costs (lump sum costs; see Article 6 and Annex 2).

Amounts which are not declared in the financial statement will not be taken into account by the [Commission][Agency].

The consortium must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
- the costs declared are eligible (i.e. that the action has been properly implemented; see Article 6);
- the costs (i.e. the proper implementation of the action) can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).

- (ii) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of the payment of the balance to be paid by the [Commission][Agency] to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2 : not applicable]*

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Not applicable

20.6 Currency for financial statements

The financial statement must be drafted in euro.

20.7 Language of reports

The reports must be submitted in the language of the Agreement.

20.8 Consequences of non-compliance

If the reports submitted do not comply with this Article, the [Commission][Agency] may suspend the payment deadline (see Article 47) and apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.

If the coordinator breaches its obligation to submit the reports and if it fails to comply with this obligation within 30 days following a written reminder, the [Commission][Agency] may terminate the Agreement (see Article 50) or apply any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Reports

What & When? For General Lump Sum actions, the coordinator must submit a **periodic report** after the end of each reporting period (including the final one).

Before the end of the action, these are only technical reports, with information on the technical implementation.

List of documents for the technical reports:

- explanation of the work carried out
- overview of the progress
- summary for publication
- questionnaire (i.e. the structured information requested)
- statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment

NO financial reporting is needed during the action (i.e. no financial statements before the final report). The coordinator only has to sign and submit a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment (which includes the request for further pre-financing payments; see [Article 7](#)).

How? Each periodic report must be **prepared** by the **coordinator** and the other **beneficiaries together**, directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

2. Reporting periods

General Lump Sum actions are normally divided into several reporting periods and each reporting period triggers a further pre-financing.

Each reporting period triggers a periodic report.

3. Final financial report: Financial statement

The financial statement (one common financial statement for the consortium) is automatically pre-filled by the system (with the amount set out in the work programme/call as final grant amount; see [Article 5](#)).

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

21.1 Payments to be made

The following payments will be made to the beneficiary:

- a *[first]* **pre-financing payment**;
- *[a second pre-financing payment, on the basis of the request for a second pre-financing payment (see Article 20);]*
- *[a third pre-financing payment, on the basis of the request for a third pre-financing payment (see Article 20);]*
- *[same for more pre-financing payments]*
- one **payment of the balance**, on the basis of the request for payment of the balance (see Article 20)

21.2 Pre-financing payment — Amount — Amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

[OPTION 1 by default: The aim of the pre-financing is to provide the beneficiary with a float.

It remains the property of the EU until the payment of the balance.

*The [Commission][Agency] will — within 30 days, either from the entry into force of the Agreement (see Article 58) or from 10 days before the starting date of the action (see Article 3), whichever is the latest — make a first pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Article 48 applies.*

*From this amount, an amount of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, corresponding to 5% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), is retained by the [Commission][Agency] and transferred into the 'Guarantee Fund'.*

*[OPTION in case of two pre-financing payments: The [Commission][Agency] will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a second pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.*

If the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment shows that less than 70% of the previous instalment paid has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70% threshold and the amount used.]

*[OPTION in case of three pre-financing payments: The [Commission][Agency] will — within 60 days after receiving the request (see Article 20) — make a third pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount (insert amount in words)]**, except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.*

If the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment shows that less than 70% of the previous instalment paid has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70% threshold and the amount used.]

[same for more pre-financing payments]]

*[OPTION 2a if JRC is the beneficiary: The [DG][Agency] will make a *[first]* pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR **[insert amount including the 5% to be paid to the Guarantee Fund (insert amount in words)]** within 30 days from the submission of a debit note from the JRC after the signature of the 'Agreement'.*

*The JRC agrees that the amount of EUR **[insert amount: 5% of the grant amount intended for the JRC (insert amount in words)]**, corresponding to its contribution to the Guarantee Fund (see Article 21.2), is transferred in its name by the [DG][Agency] to the Guarantee Fund.*

[OPTION if the JRC is the beneficiary and in case of two pre-financing payments: The [DG][Agency] will make a second pre-financing payment to the coordinator of EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)] within 30 days from the submission of a debit note from the JRC after the signature of the 'Agreement'.

If statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment shows that less than 70% of the previous instalment paid has been used to cover the costs of the action, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70% threshold and the amount used.]

[same for more pre-financing payments]]

The total amount of pre-financing payments must not exceed 90% of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Not applicable

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the eligible costs incurred by the beneficiary for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the [Commission][Agency] will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the [Commission][Agency] by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)} \\ \text{minus} \\ \text{pre-financing made} \end{array} \right\}.$$

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the beneficiary together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the beneficiary
 - is negative, it will be recovered from the beneficiary.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiary's consent — against any other amount owed by the beneficiary to the [Agency, the] Commission or an[other] executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

21.5 Notification of amounts due

The *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify to the beneficiary the amount due and specify the final grant amount.

In the case of reduction of the grant or recovery of undue amounts, the notification will be preceded by the contradictory procedure set out in Articles 43 and 44.

[...]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

[...]

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining part of the eligible costs incurred by the beneficiaries for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the final grant amount (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the *[Commission][Agency]* will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report. Its approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of its content.

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* by deducting the total amount of pre-financing already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)
minus
pre-financing made}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the coordinator together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the coordinator
 - is negative, it will be recovered from the coordinator.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the coordinator's consent — against any other amount owed by the coordinator to the *[Agency, the] Commission* or an *[other]* executive agency (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

[...]



1. Payments to be made — No interim payments

General Lump Sum actions are divided into several reporting periods with pre-financing payments (each reporting period triggering a pre-financing instead of an interim payment).

Only the first pre-financing payment is automatic. Further pre-financing payments must be requested by the coordinator via a statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment (see [Article 20](#)).

There are NO interim payments.

The balance is paid when the action ends.

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Pre-financing payment

How much? There is no standard amount (or percentage) for pre-financing payments; the amount is fixed in each GA.



The total amount of pre-financing can NOT exceed 90 % of the maximum grant amount (see [Article 5.1](#)).

The further (i.e. second/third/same for more) pre-financing payment(s) will be **reduced**, if — according to the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing payment — the previous pre-financing was **insufficiently used**:

- if 70% or more of the previous pre-financing has been used: the next pre-financing is paid in full
- if less than 70% of the previous pre-financing has been used: the next pre-financing will be reduced by an amount equal to the difference between the percentage actually used and 70%.

Example:

Consortium with a maximum grant amount of EUR 400 000 (100% reimbursement rate) and 3 reporting periods.

90% limit of the maximum grant amount: EUR 360 000.

3 Pre-financing payments: EUR 100 000; EUR 120 000 and EUR 140 000.

Statement on the use of previous pre-financing submitted by the Consortium: 70% of the 1st pre-financing and 60% of the 2nd pre-financing payment.

2nd pre-financing payment of EUR 120 000 is paid in full since the consortium used 70% of the 1st pre-financing; 3rd pre-financing to the coordinator must be reduced because the consortium used only 60% of the 2nd pre-financing;

*Pre-financing actually used: EUR 120 000 * 60% = EUR 72 000*

*70% of the 2nd pre-financing payment: EUR 120 000 * 70% = EUR 84 000*

Reduction due to 70% consumption rule: EUR 84 000 – EUR 72 000 = EUR 12 000

3rd pre-financing paid to the consortium: EUR 140 000 – EUR 12 000 = EUR 128 000.

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount of the payment of the balance depends on the overall financial situation at the end of the action, and in particular:

- the amount of pre-financing(s) already paid (see [Article 21 H2020 General MGA](#))
- cost rejections (improper implementation of the action; see [Article 42](#))
- grant reduction (other serious breaches or substantial errors, irregularities or fraud see [Article 43](#)).

ARTICLE 41 — BENEFICIARY'S ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 —BENEFICIARY' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

41.1 Role and responsibility towards the *[Commission]**[Agency]*

The beneficiary has full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiary is itself responsible for:

- (a) monitoring that the action is implemented properly (see Article 7);
- (b) informing the *[Commission]**[Agency]* immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see Article 17);
- (c) submitting the deliverables and reports to the *[Commission]**[Agency]* (see Articles 19 and 20);
- (d) submitting to the *[Commission]**[Agency]* in good time any documents or information required by it

and may not delegate or subcontract these tasks to any third party (including linked third parties).

41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities

Not applicable

[...]

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 41 — DIVISION OF BENEFICIARIES' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH COMPLEMENTARY BENEFICIARIES — RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTNERS OF A JOINT ACTION

41.1 Roles and responsibilities towards the *[Commission]**[Agency]*

The beneficiaries have full responsibility for implementing the action and complying with the Agreement.

The beneficiaries are jointly and severally liable for the **technical implementation** of the action as described in Annex 1. If a beneficiary fails to implement its part of the action, the other beneficiaries become responsible for implementing this part (without being entitled to any additional EU funding for doing so), unless the *[Commission]**[Agency]* expressly relieves them of this obligation.

The **financial responsibility** of each beneficiary is governed by Articles 44.

41.2 Internal division of roles and responsibilities

The internal roles and responsibilities of the beneficiaries are divided as follows:

- (a) Each **beneficiary** must:
 - (i) keep information stored in the Participant Portal Beneficiary Register (via the electronic exchange system) up to date (see Article 17);
 - (ii) inform the coordinator immediately of any events or circumstances likely to affect significantly or delay the implementation of the action (see Article 17);

(iii) submit to the coordinator in good time:

- the data needed to draw up the technical report (see Article 20);
- ethics committee opinions and notifications or authorisations for activities raising ethical issues (see Article 34);
- any other documents or information required by the [Agency or the] Commission under the Agreement, unless the Agreement requires the beneficiary to submit this information directly to the [Agency or the] Commission.

(b) The **coordinator** must:

- (i) monitor that the action is implemented properly (see Article 7);
- (ii) act as the intermediary for all communications between the beneficiaries and the [Commission][Agency] (in particular, providing the [Commission][Agency] with the information described in Article 17), unless the Agreement specifies otherwise;
- (iii) request and review any documents or information required by the [Commission][Agency] and verify their completeness and correctness before passing them on to the [Commission][Agency];
- (iv) submit the deliverables and the report to the [Commission][Agency] (see Articles 19 and 20);
- (v) ensure that all payments are made to the other beneficiaries without unjustified delay (see Article 21);
- (vi) inform the [Commission][Agency] of the amounts paid to each beneficiary, when required under the Agreement (see Articles 44 and 50) or requested by the [Agency][Commission].

The coordinator may not delegate or subcontract the above-mentioned tasks to any other beneficiary or third party (including linked third parties).

[OPTION to be used when the coordinator is a secondary or higher education establishment or public body and there is an ‘authorisation to administer’ given to a third party created, controlled or affiliated to the coordinator: As an exception, the coordinator delegates the tasks set out in Point 2(b)(v) and (vi) above to [insert name of third party with an authorisation to administer]. The coordinator retains sole responsibility for the EU contribution and for compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.]

[...]



1. Division of roles and responsibilities — Responsibilities towards the Commission/Agency

The rules on internal division of roles and responsibilities are similar to the General MGA (see [Article 41 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Since for General Lump Sum multi-beneficiary actions there is however only one (common) financial statement (see [Article 20](#)), there is NO obligation for the beneficiaries to submit their own individual financial statements to the coordinator.

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

ARTICLE 42 — REJECTION OF INELIGIBLE COSTS

42.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] will — **at the payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — reject any costs which are ineligible (i.e. if the action as described in Annex 1 is not properly implemented; see Article 6), in particular following checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

The rejection may also be based on the **extension of findings from other grants to this grant**, (see Article 22.5.2).

42.2 Ineligible costs to be rejected — Calculation — Procedure

Ineligible costs will be rejected proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented.

If the rejection of costs does not lead to a **recovery** (see Article 44), the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned of the rejection of costs, the amounts and the reasons why (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5). The coordinator or beneficiary concerned may — within 30 days of receiving notification — formally notify the [Commission][Agency] of its disagreement and the reasons why.

If the rejection of costs does not lead to a **recovery**, [Commission][Agency] will follow the contradictory procedure with ‘**pre-information letter**’ set out in Article 44.

42.3 Effects

If the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs **at the payment of the balance**, it will deduct them from the total eligible costs declared, for the action, in the financial statement (see Article 20.4). It will then calculate the payment of the balance as set out in Article 21.4.

If the [Commission][Agency] rejects costs **after the payment of the balance**, it will deduct the amount rejected from the total eligible costs declared, by the beneficiary, in the financial statement. It will then calculate the revised final grant amount as set out in Article 5.4.



1. Rejection of ineligible costs

The rules on rejection of ineligible costs are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 42 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for General Lump Sum grants the main cost eligibility condition refers to ‘proper implementation of the action in accordance with Annex 1’ (see [Article 6.1](#)), improper implementation leads to the *ineligibility* of the costs (NOT *reduction* of the grant; see also [Article 7](#)). If the action is not carried out as described in Annex 1, the costs will be considered ineligible and rejected proportionally to the tasks or parts of the action not implemented.

 ‘Rejection of costs’ will be made for **improper implementation** of the action (see [Article 7](#)).

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

ARTICLE 43 — REDUCTION OF THE GRANT

43.1 Conditions

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* may — **at the payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — reduce the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1), if:

- (a) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including submission of false information, failure to provide requested information, breach of ethical principles) or
- (b) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decision on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2).

Improper implementation of the action as described in Annex 1 will not lead to a reduction of the grant but to a rejection of costs (see Article 42).

43.2 Amount to be reduced — Calculation — Procedure

The amount of the reduction will be proportionate to the seriousness of the breach.

Before reduction of the grant, the *[Commission]**[Agency]* will formally notify a ‘**pre-information letter**’ to the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to reduce the grant, the amount it intends to reduce and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* does not receive any observations or decides to pursue reduction despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the reduction (if applicable, together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21).

43.3 Effects

If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* reduces the grant at the time of the **payment of the balance**, it will calculate the reduced grant amount for the action and then determine the amount due as payment of the balance (see Articles 5.3.4 and 21.4).


If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* reduces the grant **after the payment of the balance**, it will calculate the revised final grant amount for the beneficiary concerned (see Article 5.4). If the revised final grant amount for the beneficiary concerned is lower than its share of the final grant amount, the *[Commission]**[Agency]* will recover the difference (see Article 44).



1. Reduction of the grant

The rules on rejection of ineligible costs are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 42 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for General Lump Sum grants 'proper implementation of the action in accordance with Annex 1' is an *eligibility* rule and does NOT lead to the *reduction* of the grant (see also [Articles 6.1 and 7](#)), the grant can be reduced only in case of other serious breaches of obligations or substantial errors, irregularities or fraud; see [Article 43 H2020 General MGA](#)).

 **Grant reductions** will be made for **substantial errors, irregularities or fraud** and **serious breaches of obligation other than proper implementation**.

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The [Commission][Agency] will —at the payment of the balance or afterwards — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

Not applicable

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the [Commission][Agency] decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, [Agency or the] Commission] will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by the [Agency, the] Commission or an[other] executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the [Agency or the] Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The [Agency or the] Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) *[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by **holding the third party liable** up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the beneficiary, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or][OPTION 2 : not applicable;]*
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date *[the Agency or]* the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than the final grant amount, the beneficiary must repay the difference to the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **pre-information** letter to the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the beneficiary a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the *[Agency or the]* Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the beneficiary's consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary by *[the Agency,]* the Commission or an*[other]* executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the *[Agency or the]* Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The *[Agency or the]* Commission will formally notify the beneficiary the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:

- (i) *[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated, for the beneficiary, in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or] [OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) *[, Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty]* and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date *[the Agency or]* the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS**44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure**

The [Commission][Agency] will —**at the payment of the balance** or **afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary's participation

Not applicable

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify a '**pre-information letter**' to the coordinator:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, and
- inviting the coordinator to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the [Commission][Agency] decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If the coordinator does not repay the [Commission][Agency] by the date in the debit note, [the Agency or] the Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the coordinator's consent — against any amounts owed to the coordinator by the [Agency, the] Commission or an[other] executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the [Commission][Agency] may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The [Agency or the] Commission will formally notify the coordinator the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) **[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party to the coordinator has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated for the consortium in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or][OPTION 2: not applicable;]**
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date *[the Agency or]* the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the coordinator, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If the revised final grant amount (see Article 5.4) is lower than the final grant amount, the coordinator must repay the difference to the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a **pre-information letter** to the coordinator:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the due amount and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm** the amount to be recovered and formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the *[Commission][Agency]* will **recover** the amount:

- (a) by **offsetting** it — without the coordinator's consent — against any amounts owed to the coordinator by *[the Agency,]* the Commission or an*[other]* executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU's financial interests, the *[Commission][Agency]* may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (b) by **drawing on the Guarantee Fund**. The *[Agency or the]* Commission will formally notify the coordinator the debit note on behalf of the Guarantee Fund and recover the amount:
 - (i) ***[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party to the coordinator has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by holding the third party liable up to the maximum EU contribution indicated for the consortium in the estimated budget (see Annex 2) and/or] [OPTION 2: not applicable;]***
 - (ii) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [*, Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty]* and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the date for payment in the debit note, up to and including the date *[the Agency or]* the Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the coordinator, unless Directive 2007/64/EC applies.



1. Recovery of undue amounts

The rules on recovery of undue amounts are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 44 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The General Lump Sum multi-beneficiary MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Since the coordinator alone is financially responsible (for the entire grant), the Commission/Agency will recover ONLY from it.

Best practice: Beneficiaries should foresee internal arrangements (*e.g. in the consortium agreement*) to redistribute the financial responsibility internally in the consortium in a fair way.

There is therefore also NO need for a report on the distribution of payments between beneficiaries.

Specific cases:

Coordinator with linked third party with joint and several liability — If a linked third party to the coordinator has accepted joint and several liability (see [Article 14 H2020 General MGA](#)), the Commission/Agency may also recover the amount unduly paid by holding the third party liable — up to the maximum EU contribution indicated for the consortium in the estimated budget (Annex 2).

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

49.1 Suspension of the action implementation, by the beneficiaries

49.1.1 Conditions

The beneficiaries may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if exceptional circumstances — in particular *force majeure* (see Article 51) — make implementation impossible or excessively difficult.

49.1.2 Procedure

The coordinator must immediately formally notify to the *[Commission][Agency]* the suspension (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the expected date of resumption.

The suspension will **take effect** the day this notification is received by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Once circumstances allow for implementation to resume, the coordinator must immediately formally notify the *[Commission][Agency]* and request an **amendment** of the Agreement to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement or the participation of a beneficiary has been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be **lifted** with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment.

This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

49.2 Suspension of the action implementation, by the *[Commission][Agency]*

49.2.1 Conditions

The *[Commission][Agency]* may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if:

- (a) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed or is suspected of having committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide requested information, breach of ethical principles);
- (b) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2), or
- (c) the action is suspected of having lost its scientific or technological relevance.

49.2.2 Procedure

Before suspending implementation of the action, the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to suspend the implementation and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the suspension. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The suspension will **take effect** five days after confirmation notification is received (or on a later date specified in the notification).

It will be **lifted** if the conditions for resuming implementation of the action are met.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned will be formally notified of the lifting and the Agreement will be amended to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement has already been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be lifted with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment.

This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to suspension by the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 46).

Suspension of the action implementation does not affect the *[Commission's][Agency's]* right to terminate the Agreement or participation of a beneficiary (see Article 50), reduce the grant or recover amounts unduly paid (see Article 43 and Article 44).



1. GA suspension (consortium or EU)

The rules on GA suspension are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The General Lump Sum MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Suspension of action implementation has NO specific impact on the eligibility of costs. Costs are eligible, if they fulfil the conditions set out in Article 6 — i.e. the action tasks must have been carried out as described in Annex 1.

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

MONO-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiary

50.1.1 Conditions and procedure

The beneficiary may terminate the Agreement.

The beneficiary must formally notify termination to the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

If no reasons are given or if the *[Commission][Agency]* considers the reasons do not justify termination, the Agreement will be considered to have been ‘**terminated improperly**’.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification

50.1.2 Effects

The beneficiary must submit — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — the final report (see Article 20).

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will **calculate the final grant amount** (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

In case of **improper termination**, the grant will be reduced by 100% (see Article 43).

After termination, the beneficiary’s obligations (in particular Section 3 of Chapter 4, Articles 36, 37, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

Not applicable

50.3 Termination of the Agreement by the *[Commission][Agency]*

50.3.1 Conditions

The *[Commission][Agency]* may terminate the Agreement if:

- (a) not applicable
- (b) a change to the beneficiary's legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation *[(or those of its linked third parties)]* is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) not applicable

- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see Article 51) or suspended by the beneficiary (see Article 49.1) and either:
- (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) the beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;
- (f) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;
- (g) the beneficiary does not comply with the applicable national law on taxes and social security;
- (h) the action has lost scientific or technological relevance;
- (i) *[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see Article 2) has not started by the date specified in Annex 1][OPTION 2: not applicable];*
- (j) *[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see Article 2) is terminated or can no longer contribute to the action][OPTION 2: not applicable];*
- (k) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity;
- (l) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
- (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (m) the beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**‘extension of findings from other grants to this grant’**; see Article 22.5.2).
- (n) *[OPTION 1: despite a specific request by the [Commission][Agency], a beneficiary does not request— through the coordinator— an amendment to the Agreement to end the participation of one of its linked third parties or international partners that is in one of the situations under points (e), (f), (g), (k) and to reallocate its tasks][OPTION 2: not applicable].*

50.3.2 Procedure

Before terminating the Agreement, the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the beneficiary:

- informing it of its intention to terminate and the reasons why and
- inviting it, within 30 days of receiving notification, to submit observations and in case of Point (l.ii) above — to inform the [Commission][Agency] of the measures to ensure compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify to the beneficiary confirmation of the termination and the date it will take effect. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The termination will take effect:

- for terminations under Points (b), (c), (e), (g), (h), (j), (l.ii) and (n) above: on the day specified in the notification of the confirmation (see above);
- for terminations under Points (a), (d), (f), (i), (k), (l.i) and (m) above: on the day after the notification of the confirmation is received by the beneficiary.

50.3.3 Effects

The beneficiary must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect —, submit the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agreement is terminated for breach of the obligation to submit reports (see Articles 20.8 and 50.3.1(l)), the beneficiary may not submit any reports after termination.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed

The [Commission][Agency] will calculate the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

This does not affect the [Commission][Agency]'s right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative and sanctions (Article 53).

The beneficiary may not claim damages due to termination by the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 46).

After termination, the beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

MULTI-BENEFICIARY: ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

50.1 Termination of the Agreement, by the beneficiaries

50.1.1 Conditions and procedure

The beneficiaries may terminate the Agreement.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the date the termination will take effect. This date must be after the notification.

If no reasons are given or if the [Commission][Agency] considers the reasons do not justify termination, the Agreement will be considered to have been 'terminated improperly'.

The termination will take effect on the day specified in the notification.

50.1.2 Effects

The coordinator must submit — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — the final report (see Article 20).

If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* will **calculate the final grant amount** (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

In case of **improper termination**, the grant will be reduced by 100% (see Article 43).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Section 3 of Chapter 4, Articles 36, 37, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

50.2.1 Conditions and procedure

The participation of one or more beneficiaries may be terminated by the coordinator, on request of the beneficiary concerned or on behalf of the other beneficiaries.

The coordinator must formally notify termination to the *[Commission]**[Agency]* (see Article 52) and inform the beneficiary concerned.

If the coordinator's participation is terminated without its agreement, the formal notification must be done by another beneficiary (acting on behalf of the other beneficiaries).

The notification must include:

- the reasons why;
- the opinion of the beneficiary concerned (or proof that this opinion has been requested in writing);
- the date the termination takes effect. This date must be after the notification, and
- a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks (see Annex 1) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be included unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.
- a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks (see Annex 1) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination takes effect after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be included unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.

If this information is not given or if the *[Commission]**[Agency]* considers that the reasons do not justify termination, the participation will be considered to have been **terminated improperly**.

The termination will **take effect** on the day specified in the notification.

50.2.2 Effects

If the request for amendment is rejected by the *[Commission][Agency]* (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the *[Commission][Agency]*, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 55).

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see Article 43) or termination of the Agreement (see Article 50).

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.3 Termination of the Agreement or of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the *[Commission][Agency]*

50.3.1 Conditions

The *[Commission][Agency]* may terminate the Agreement or the participation of one or more beneficiaries, if:

- (a) one or more beneficiaries do not accede to the Agreement (see Article 56);
- (b) a change to their legal, financial, technical, organisational or ownership situation *[(or those of its linked third parties)]* is likely to substantially affect or delay the implementation of the action or calls into question the decision to award the grant;
- (c) following termination of participation for one or more beneficiaries (see above), the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants (see Article 55);
- (d) implementation of the action is prevented by force majeure (see Article 51) or suspended by the coordinator (see Article 49.1) and either:
 - (i) resumption is impossible, or
 - (ii) the necessary changes to the Agreement would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants;
- (e) a beneficiary is declared bankrupt, being wound up, having its affairs administered by the courts, has entered into an arrangement with creditors, has suspended business activities, or is subject to any other similar proceedings or procedures under national law;
- (f) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has been found guilty of professional misconduct, proven by any means;
- (g) a beneficiary does not comply with the applicable national law on taxes and social security;
- (h) the action has lost scientific or technological relevance;
- (i) ***[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see Article 2) has not started by the date specified in Annex 1][OPTION 2: not applicable];***
- (j) ***[OPTION 1 for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): the third country or international organisation action (see Article 2) is terminated or can no longer contribute to the action][OPTION 2: not applicable];***

- (k) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed fraud, corruption, or is involved in a criminal organisation, money laundering or any other illegal activity;
- (l) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (m) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**‘extension of findings from other grants to this grant’**; see Article 22.5.2);
- (n) *[OPTION 1: despite a specific request by the [Commission][Agency], a beneficiary does not request— through the coordinator— an amendment to the Agreement to end the participation of one of its linked third parties or international partners that is in one of the situations under points (e), (f), (g), (k) and to reallocate its tasks][OPTION 2: not applicable].*

50.3.2 Procedure

Before terminating the Agreement or participation of one or more beneficiaries, the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to terminate and the reasons why and
- inviting it, within 30 days of receiving notification, to submit observations and in case of Point (l.ii) above — to inform the [Commission][Agency] of the measures to ensure compliance with the obligations under the Agreement.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify to the coordinator or to beneficiary concerned **confirmation** of the termination and the date it will take effect. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The termination will **take effect**:

- for terminations under Points (b), (c), (e), (g), (h), (j), (l.ii) and (n) above: on the day specified in the notification of the confirmation (see above);
- for terminations under Points (a), (d), (f), (i), (k), (l.i) and (m) above: on the day after the notification of the confirmation is received.

50.3.3 Effects

(a) for **termination of the Agreement**:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect —, submit the final report (see Article 20).

If the Agreement is terminated for breach of the obligation to submit reports (see Articles 20.8 and 50.3.1(l)), the coordinator may not submit any reports after termination.

If the Agency does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The Agency will calculate the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), no costs will be reimbursed.

The [Commission][Agency] will calculate the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21), on the basis of the report submitted, the eligible costs and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement.

This does not affect the [Commission][Agency]'s right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative and sanctions (Article 53).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to termination by the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 46).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

(b) for termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks (see Annex 1) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination is notified after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be submitted unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator.

If the request for amendment is rejected by the [Commission][Agency] (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the [Commission][Agency], the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 56).

After termination, the concerned beneficiary's obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.



1. GA termination (consortium)

The rules on GA termination are the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

2. Beneficiary termination (consortium)

The rules on beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the General Lump Sum multi-beneficiary MGA has the following **specificities**:

The notification must include a reallocation of the tasks (Annex 1), but NO reallocation of the estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned.

Moreover, if the GA continues (i.e. it is amended), the termination of the beneficiary will NOT have any effect on the estimated budget (since there is a lump sum for the entire action, i.e. the consortium as a whole).

3. GA or beneficiary termination (EU)

The rules on GA and beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, the General Lump Sum multi-beneficiary MGA has the following **specificities**:

The request for amendment (which the coordinator must send 60 after termination) must include a reallocation of the tasks (Annex 1), but NO reallocation of the estimated budget of the beneficiary concerned.

If the GA continues (i.e. it is amended), the termination of the beneficiary will NOT have any effect on the estimated budget (since there is a lump sum for the entire action, i.e. the consortium as a whole).

IX.3 H2020 Lump Sum Pilot MGA: Annotations

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED

ARTICLE 2 — ACTION TO BE IMPLEMENTED [— COMPLEMENTARY GRANT] [— JOINTLY FUNDED ACTION]

The grant is awarded for the **action** entitled [insert title of the action] — [insert acronym] ('action'), as described in Annex 1.

[OPTION for complementary grants if foreseen in the work programme: The grant is a 'complementary grant' to [the grant agreement(s) under the call(s) for proposals [call identifier(s): H2020 — theme —]] [the following complementary grant agreement(s) No(s):

- [insert number] [insert acronym]
- [insert number] [insert acronym].]

[OPTION for joint actions (joint call with a third country or an international organisation): The action is a 'jointly funded action' which must be coordinated with the 'joint action' called [insert the name of the third country or international organisation action], as described in Annex 1.]



1. Lump Sum Pilot actions

What? Lump Sum Pilot grants are used in a limited number of cases provided for in the work programme/call. They can be research and **innovation actions (RIA)**, **innovation actions (IA)** or **coordination and support actions (CSA)**.

Examples: Specific call within the Nanotechnologies, Advance Materials, Biotechnology and Advance Manufacturing and Processing topic [DT-NMBP-20-2018](#), some R&I activities in the rail sector (e.g. topics [S2R-CFM-IP5-01-2018](#) and [S2R-CFM-CCA-01-2018](#)).

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

ARTICLE 4 — ESTIMATED LUMP SUM BREAKDOWN AND LUMP SUM SHARE TRANSFERS

4.1 Estimated lump sum breakdown

The 'estimated lump sum breakdown' for the action is set out in Annex 2.

It contains the lump sum shares, per work package and beneficiary [*and linked third party*].

The estimated lump sum breakdown does not prescribe how the EU contribution is to be used by the beneficiaries. It shows the amounts that the [*Commission*][*Agency*] commits to pay if the action is implemented properly, regardless of the costs actually incurred.

4.2 Lump sum share transfers

The estimated lump sum shares indicated in Annex 2 can be adjusted by transfers of amounts between beneficiaries and/or work packages, via an amendment (see Article 55).

Transfers between work packages are however possible only if:

- the work packages are not already completed (and declared in a financial statement);
- the transfers are justified by the technical and scientific implementation of the action and
- a review in accordance with Article 22.1.2 confirms that the amendment does not call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.



1. Estimated lump sum breakdown

Since Lump Sum Pilot grants do not use eligible costs, the Lump Sum Pilot MGA does not have any budget categories. Instead, it has a breakdown of the lump sum, per work package and beneficiary.

The breakdown is used to determine:

- the share of the lump sum to which each beneficiary is entitled for each work package

Example: Annex 2 sets out EUR 200 000 for work package 1 (WP1). The consortium completed WP1 in the first reporting period as described in Annex 1. Therefore, the consortium declares EUR 200 000 for WP1 in the periodic reports of period 1. If the Commission confirms that the WP1 was completed as described in Annex 1, it will include EUR 200 000 in the periodic payment.

- the maximum amount for which each beneficiary is liable (grant reduction) in case of improper implementation of a work package

Example: In the example above, the Commission carries out a review on the grant in the last reporting period. The review concludes that WP1 was not properly implemented because only part of the activities of that work package were actually carried out. The Commission will reduce the grant in proportion to the improper implementation of WP1 up to a maximum of EUR 200 000 (the share of the lump sum allocated to that work package).

- the maximum amount for which each beneficiary is liable in case of improper implementation of a work package.

Example: In the example above, the Commission carries out a technical audit on the grant after the payment of the balance. The audit concludes that WP2 (value also EUR 200 000) was not implemented as indicated in Annex 1. On those grounds, the Commission decides to reduce the grant. Beneficiaries A and B were together responsible for carrying out WP2 (according to Annex 2 beneficiary A for EUR 150 000 and beneficiary B for EUR 50 000). Therefore, each beneficiary will be liable for their proportion of the work package (e.g. in case of a 50% reduction, beneficiary A for EUR 75 000 and Beneficiary B for EUR 25 000).

2. Lump sum share transfers

Unlike in the General MGA, transfers of Lump Sum Pilot shares are only possible via a formal amendment.

The transfer may be:

- within the same work package (i.e. increasing the share of a beneficiary and decreasing the share of another)
- between work packages (i.e. increasing the share allocated to a work package and decreasing the share of another).

Transfers between work packages can only be accepted in exceptional circumstances, if duly justified and after a project review .

Transfers from or to a work package which the consortium has already declared as completed in a reporting period are NOT allowed.

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

ARTICLE 5 — GRANT AMOUNT AND FORM OF GRANT

5.1 Maximum grant amount

The 'maximum grant amount' is EUR [insert amount (insert amount in words)].

5.2 Form of grant

The grant takes the form of a lump sum contribution for the implementation of the action.



1. Grant amount and form of grant


How much & which form? The Lump Sum Pilot MGA does not use the **cost forms** of the General MGA, but is a **lump sum grant** (see *Decisions C(2017)7149 and C(2017)7151*).

The use of the lump sum will be set out in the work programme/call.

The lump sum will be fixed taking into account the H2020 funding rates. This means that the lump sum fixed in Article 5 does not have to be multiplied again by the funding rate; it is already the net maximum EU funding.

The lump sum is one amount for the entire consortium.

There are no cost eligibility conditions. If the action is correctly implemented (in line with the description in Annex 1), the beneficiaries are entitled to receive the fixed lump sum amount.

 The lump sum is deemed to **cover ALL** (direct and indirect) **costs** for the action and it can NOT be increased.

5.3 Final grant amount — Calculation

The '**final grant amount**' depends on the actual extent to which the action is implemented in accordance with the Agreement's terms and conditions.

This amount is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* — when the payment of the balance is made (see Article 21) — in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages and reduction of non-approved work packages

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

5.3.1 Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages and reduction of non-approved work packages

The amounts of the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) for the approved work packages declared by the beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* are added together.

The grant is reduced (see Article 43) for all other work packages (i.e. all work packages that have not been delivered or cannot be approved at the payment of the balance).

5.3.2 Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations — Reduced grant amount — Calculation

If the grant is further reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than improper implementation; see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced grant amount by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The final grant amount will be the lower of the following two:

- the amount obtained following Step 1 or
- the reduced grant amount following Step 2.



1. Final grant amount

For Lump Sum Pilot grants, the rules on the final grant amount are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.3 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

Moreover, since they are lump sum grants (and the amount declared cannot be higher than the amount fixed in Annex 2 anyways), there is NO need to calculate the:

- limit to the maximum grant amount or
- reduction due to the no-profit rule.

5.4 Revised final grant amount — Calculation

If — after the payment of the balance (in particular, after checks, reviews, audits or investigations; see Article 22) — the *[Commission][Agency]* reduces the grant (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations including improper implementation; see Article 43), it will calculate the ‘**revised final grant amount**’ for the beneficiary concerned by the findings.

This amount is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* by calculating the concerned beneficiary’s share in the grant amount reduced in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations (see Article 43.2).



1. Revised final grant amount

For Lump Sum Pilot grants, the rules on the revised final grant amount are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Article 5.4 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

ARTICLE 8 — RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT THE ACTION — THIRD PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ACTION

The beneficiaries must have the appropriate resources to implement the action.

If it is necessary to implement the action, the beneficiaries may:

- purchase goods, works and services;
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties against payment;
- use in-kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge;
- call upon subcontractors to implement action tasks described in Annex 1;
- call upon linked third parties to implement action tasks described in Annex 1;
- call upon international partners to implement action tasks described in Annex 1

in accordance with the specific rules set out in Article 10 to 14a.

In these cases, the beneficiaries retain sole responsibility towards the *[Commission][Agency]* and the other beneficiaries for implementing the action.



1. Participants: Appropriate own resources —Third parties involved in the action

The rules of the General MGA on third party involvement are only partly applicable to the Lump Sum Pilot MGA.

The General MGA rules on third party involvement are limited in Lump Sum Pilot actions to subcontracting ([Article 13](#)), linked third parties ([Article 14](#)) and international partners ([Article 14a](#)).

The articles for purchases ([Article 10](#)) and in-kind contributions ([Articles 11](#) and [12](#)) are not applicable to the Lump Sum Pilot MGA. Beneficiaries may make purchases and use any in-kind contributions from third parties following their internal practices but not subject to other rules (as long as they are needed to carry out the work of the action).

⚠ For all types of third party involvement (purchases, in-kind contributions, subcontracts, linked third parties and international partners), the **costs** are **ALL covered** by the lump sum. NO other costs will be reimbursed.

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

ARTICLE 13 — IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION TASKS BY SUBCONTRACTORS

13.1 Specific rules for subcontracting action tasks

Subcontracting may cover only a limited part of the action.

The tasks to be implemented for each subcontract must be set out in Annex 1. The [Commission][Agency] may however approve subcontracts not set out in Annex 1 without amendment (see Article 55), if:

- they are specifically justified in the periodic technical report and
- they do not entail changes to the Agreement which would call into question the decision awarding the grant or breach the principle of equal treatment of applicants.

[OPTION for classified information: Action tasks involving classified information may be subcontracted only after explicit approval (in writing) from the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 37).]

The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards their subcontractors.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the subcontractors.

13.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Subcontracting

The rules on subcontracting for Lump Sum Pilot actions are in principle the same as for the General MGA (see [Articles 8 and 13 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The Lump Sum Pilot MGA has however the following **specificities**:

The estimated subcontracting costs do NOT need to be included in Annex 1 or shown in the table of estimated costs in Annex 2 (there is no such table for the Lump Sum Pilot; Annex 2 is not the budget, but the estimated lump sum breakdown). By contrast, the tasks to be subcontracted must still be indicated in Annex 1, as it happens in the General MGA

The principles of best value for money and no conflict of interest are not explicitly mentioned in Article 13. Nonetheless, they must still be complied with and confirmed by the beneficiaries at proposal stage.

The obligations in Article 13.1 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but 'other obligations'. In case of breach, the Commission/Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

The grant may also be reduced for breach of obligations which occurred at proposal stage (see [Article 43 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES

ARTICLE 15 — FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES

15.1 Rules for providing financial support to third parties

[OPTION 1 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties receiving financial support.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the third parties receiving financial support.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

15.2 Financial support in the form of prizes

[OPTION 1 to be used if foreseen in the work programme: The beneficiaries must ensure that [the Agency,] the Commission, the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) can exercise their rights under Articles 22 and 23 also towards the third parties receiving a prize.

The beneficiaries must ensure that their obligations under Articles 35, 36, 38 and 46 also apply to the third parties receiving a prize.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]

15.3 Consequences of non-compliance

[OPTION 1 to be used if 15.1 and/or 15.2 are applicable: If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.]

[OPTION 2: Not applicable]



1. Financial support to third parties

The rules for financial support to third parties in Lump Sum Pilot actions are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 15 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Thus, although the minimum conditions for granting financial support are not explicitly listed in Article 15 of the Lump Sum Pilot MGA, they must still be complied with (and confirmed by the beneficiaries at proposal stage).

Moreover, like under General MGA, the proposal must fix the criteria that the beneficiaries intend to apply for their cascading grants (i.e. no more than EUR 60 000 maximum amount per recipient, the criteria for determining the exact amount of support, the types of activities, the categories of recipients and the conditions for giving support) and this description will also become part of Annex 1 of the GA.

The obligations in Article 15.1 and 15.2 are NOT considered to be additional cost eligibility conditions, but 'other obligations'. In case of breach, the Commission/Agency may therefore reduce the grant in proportion to the seriousness of the breach (instead of rejecting the costs).

The grant may also be reduced for breach of obligations at proposal stage (see [Article 43 H2020 General MGA](#)).

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ARTICLE 18 — KEEPING RECORDS — SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

18.1 Obligation to keep records and other supporting documentation

The beneficiaries must — for a period of *[OPTION 1 by default: five][OPTION 2 for low value grants: three]* years after the payment of the balance — keep adequate **records and other supporting documentation** to prove the proper implementation of the action.

They must make them available upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits or investigations (see Article 22).

If there are on-going checks, reviews, audits, investigations, litigation or other pursuits of claims under the Agreement (including the extension of findings; see Article 22), the beneficiaries must keep the records and other supporting documentation until the end of these procedures.

The beneficiaries must keep the original documents. Digital and digitalised documents are considered originals if they are authorised by the applicable national law. The *[Commission][Agency]* may accept non-original documents if it considers that they offer a comparable level of assurance

Records and other supporting documentation on the scientific and technical implementation of the action must be in line with the accepted standards in the respective field.

The beneficiaries do not need to keep record about the costs actually incurred for implementing the action.

18.2 Consequences of non-compliance

If a beneficiary breaches any of its obligations under this Article, the grant may be reduced (see Article 43).

Such breaches may also lead to any of the other measures described in Chapter 6.



1. Records and other supporting documentation

For Lump Sum Pilot actions, beneficiaries do not need to keep full records on their actual costs; they only need to keep appropriate and sufficient evidence (documentation, records) that the action tasks (as described in Annex 1) were properly carried out.

The evidence must be verifiable, auditable and available. It must be correctly archived — for at least 5 years after the balance is paid (three years for grants up to EUR 60 000) or longer if there are ongoing procedures (*such as audits, investigations or litigation*). In this case, the evidence must be kept until they end.

The records and supporting documentation must:

- refer to the technical implementation of the action, in line with the accepted standards in the respective field (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)) and
- prove that the tasks or part of the action allocated to the beneficiary in Annex 1 were implemented properly (see [Article 18 H2020 General MGA](#)).

By contrast, timesheets or invoices for costs actually incurred are NOT needed.

⚠ If the beneficiary cannot provide evidence of the proper implementation of the action, the grant may be **reduced** (and other measures described in Chapter 6 may be applied as well).

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

ARTICLE 20 — REPORTING — PAYMENT REQUESTS

[...]

20.3 Periodic reports — Requests for interim payments

The coordinator must submit a periodic report within 60 days following the end of each reporting period.

The **periodic report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**periodic technical report**’ containing:
- (i) an **explanation of the work carried out** by the beneficiaries;
 - (ii) an **overview of the progress** towards the objectives of the action, including work packages, milestones and deliverables identified in Annex 1.

This report must include explanations justifying the differences between work expected to be carried out in accordance with Annex 1 and that actually carried out.

The report must detail the exploitation and dissemination of the results and — if required in Annex 1 — an updated ‘**plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results**’;

The report must indicate the communication activities[.][;]

[OPTION for trans-national access to research infrastructure: The report must detail the access activity, indicating the members of the selection panel, the selection procedure, the exact amount of access provided to the user groups, the description of their work, and information on the users (including names, nationality and home institutions);] [OPTION for virtual access to research infrastructure: The reports must detail the access activity, with statistics on the virtual access provided in the period, including quantity, geographical distribution of users and, whenever possible, information/statistics on scientific outcomes (publications, patents, etc.) acknowledging the use of the infrastructure;]

- (iii) a **summary** for publication by the [Commission][Agency];
 - (iv) the answers to the ‘**questionnaire**’, covering issues related to the action implementation and the economic and societal impact, notably in the context of the Horizon 2020 key performance indicators and the Horizon 2020 monitoring requirements;
- (b) a ‘**periodic financial report**’ containing:
- (i) an ‘**individual financial statement**’ (see Annex 4) from each beneficiary *[and from each linked third party]*, for the reporting period concerned.

The individual financial statement must declare the lump sum shares indicated in Annex 2, for the **work packages** that were completed in the reporting period.

For the last reporting period, the beneficiaries may exceptionally also declare partial lump sum shares for work packages that were not completed (e.g. due to scientific or technical reasons), if agreed by the [Commission][Agency].

Lump sum shares which are not declared in the financial statement will not be taken into account by the [Commission][Agency].

Each beneficiary *[and each linked third party]* must **certify** that:

- the information provided is full, reliable and true;
 - the work packages have been completed and that the action in general has been properly implemented (see Article 7);
 - the proper implementation can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation (see Article 18) that will be produced upon request (see Article 17) or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Article 22).
- (ii) an **explanation of the use of resources**: not applicable;
- (iii) *[OPTION 1 if the JRC is a beneficiary: information on the amount of each interim payment and payment of the balance to be paid by the [Commission][Agency] to the Joint Research Centre (JRC);][OPTION 2: not applicable;]*
- (iv) a ‘**periodic summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the individual financial statements for the reporting period concerned and including — except for the last reporting period — the **request for interim payment**.

20.4 Final report — Request for payment of the balance

In addition to the periodic report for the last reporting period, the coordinator must submit the final report within 60 days following the end of the last reporting period.

The **final report** must include the following:

- (a) a ‘**final technical report**’ with a **summary** for publication containing:
- (i) an overview of the results and their exploitation and dissemination;
 - (ii) the conclusions on the action, and
 - (iii) the socio-economic impact of the action.
- (b) a ‘**final financial report**’ containing a ‘**final summary financial statement**’, created automatically by the electronic exchange system, consolidating the financial statements for all reporting periods and including the **request for payment of the balance**.

20.5 Information on cumulative expenditure incurred

Not applicable

20.6 Currency for financial statements

Financial statements must be drafted in euro.

[...]



1. Reports

What & When? For Lump Sum Pilot actions, the coordinator must submit a **periodic report** after the end of each reporting period (including the final one).

Each report is composed of a technical and a financial report (like in the General MGA). However, unlike in the General MGA, beneficiaries do not declare costs but their lump sum shares (as set out in Annex 2), for the work packages completed during that reporting period.

Work packages can be declared only once fully completed (as described in Annex 1). If a work package is carried out by several beneficiaries, they cannot declare it until all of them have completed it.

Example:

Grant for a consortium with a maximum grant amount of EUR 3 000 000 and the following breakdown per work package and beneficiary:

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8	Total
Beneficiary A	250.000			50.000	300.000	250.000		300.000	1.150.000
Beneficiary B		250.000	350.000	50.000			100.000	150.000	900.000
Beneficiary C	100.000	100.000		50.000		280.000			530.000
Beneficiary D		120.000		50.000			100.000	150.000	420.000
Total	350.000	470.000	350.000	200.000	300.000	530.000	200.000	600.000	3.000.000

Annex 1 of the grant agreement indicates that at the end of the first reporting period WP1, WP2 and WP3 should have been completed. WP4 and WP5 should have been initiated.

At the end of the first reporting period (RP1) the actual situation is as follows:

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5
Beneficiary A	Completed			Initiated	Initiated
Beneficiary B		Completed	Completed	Not initiated	
Beneficiary C	Completed	Initiated		Initiated	
Beneficiary D		Completed		Completed	

Since the beneficiaries can only declare completed work packages, they can only declare the amounts for WP1 and WP3. They cannot declare any amount for the WP2, WP4 or WP5, even if they are initiated, because they are not completed.

Partial work packages are allowed only in the following two situations:

- beneficiary termination — terminated beneficiaries may declare (in the termination report) shares for on-going work packages, provided that their part was completed in accordance with Annex 1 (see [Article 50](#)).
- last reporting period — at the end of the action, beneficiaries may exceptionally also declare partial shares for work packages that were not completed, for example due to technical reasons.

Best practice: In case of doubt, the coordinator should contact the Commission/Agency about partial work packages.



The Commission/Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares in the last reporting period if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment

***Example:** The consortium argues that they did not have enough time to complete the WP. The duration of the action could have been extended via an amendment for the consortium to have enough time.*

- non-implementation is linked to financial reasons

***Example:** The project resulted to be more expensive than initially expected and the consortium decided to stop the work in some WPs.*

How? Each periodic report must be **prepared** by the **coordinator** and the other **beneficiaries together**, directly in the [Funding & Tenders Portal](#)).

In practice, the following steps will apply for the financial part:

Step 1 — The coordinator will indicate in the Funding & Tenders Portal which work packages have been fully accomplished during the reporting period.

Step 2 — The beneficiaries will have to sign individual financial statements to confirm proper implementation of those work package(s) and submit them to the coordinator. All of them will be part of the financial report for the reporting period concerned.

Step 3 — The coordinator will submit both the technical and the financial report to the Commission/Agency.

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

ARTICLE 21 — PAYMENTS AND PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

[...]

21.3 Interim payments — Amount — Calculation

Interim payments pay the lump sum shares for work packages completed during the corresponding reporting periods.

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* will pay to the coordinator the amount due as interim payment within 90 days from receiving the periodic report (see Article 20.3), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the periodic report and the lump sum shares and work packages declared. Their approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of their content.

Work packages that have not been completed in time or cannot be approved at the time of an interim payment may be submitted (again) for the next reporting period (or any other future reporting period, after they have been completed).

The **amount due as interim payment** is calculated by the *[Commission]**[Agency]* in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages

Step 2 — Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount

21.3.1 Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages

The amounts of the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) for the approved work packages declared by the beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* for the concerned reporting period are added together.

21.3.2 Step 2 — Limit to 90% of the maximum grant amount

The total amount of pre-financing and interim payments must not exceed 90% of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1. The maximum amount for the interim payment will be calculated as follows:

{90% of the maximum grant amount (see Article 5.1)

minus

{pre-financing and previous interim payments}).

21.4 Payment of the balance — Amount — Calculation — Release of the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund

The payment of the balance reimburses the remaining lump sum shares for the implementation of the action.

If the total amount of earlier payments is greater than the **final grant amount** (see Article 5.3), the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 44).

If the total amount of earlier payments is lower than the final grant amount, the *[Commission]**[Agency]* will pay the balance within 90 days from receiving the final report (see Article 20.4), except if Articles 47 or 48 apply.

Payment is subject to the approval of the final report and the lump sum shares and work packages declared. Their approval does not imply recognition of the compliance, authenticity, completeness or correctness of their content.

Incomplete work packages and work packages that have not been delivered or cannot be approved at the payment of the balance will reduce the grant (see Articles 5 and 43).

The **amount due as the balance** is calculated by the *[Commission][Agency]* by deducting the total amount of pre-financing and interim payments (if any) already made, from the final grant amount determined in accordance with Article 5.3:

{final grant amount (see Article 5.3)
minus
{pre-financing and interim payments (if any) made}}.

At the payment of the balance, the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see above) will be released and:

- if the balance is positive: the amount released will be paid in full to the coordinator together with the amount due as the balance;
- if the balance is negative (payment of the balance taking the form of recovery): it will be deducted from the amount released (see Article 44.1.2). If the resulting amount:
 - is positive, it will be paid to the coordinator
 - is negative, it will be recovered.

The amount to be paid may however be offset — without the beneficiaries' consent — against any other amount owed by a beneficiary to the *[Agency, the] Commission* or an *[other] executive agency* (under the EU or Euratom budget), up to the maximum grant amount indicated, for that beneficiary, in the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annex 2).

[...]



1. Payments to be made

The rules on payments are in principle the same as in the General MGA (one pre-financing payment, interim payments at the end of each reporting period, and a final payment of the balance).

2. Payment calculations — Amounts due — Recoveries?

Interim payments

How much? The amount of the interim payments will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the financial statements and the payments already made.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Article 21.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs, but simply sums up the lump sum shares for the accepted work packages for this reporting period (the lump sum shares in Annex 2 already take into account the reimbursement rate; no need to apply it again). Partial work packages will NOT be accepted; the entire work package (i.e. work of all beneficiaries) needs to be done.

Beneficiary termination

How much? The amount due at beneficiary termination will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the termination report, the payments already made and the report on the distribution of payments.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 21.3, 44.1.1, 50.2.2 and 50.3.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs, but simply sums up all the lump sum shares for the beneficiary's accepted work packages. Partial work packages can be accepted (i.e. shares for work packages where the beneficiary's part is completed).

Exceptionally, the Commission/Agency may accept also *part* of a lump sum share for a work package in which the beneficiary has not carried out all its tasks.

Example: *The beneficiary requests terminating its participation in the action because a fire devastated its laboratory where most of the technical equipment and computers used for the action were located. Therefore, the beneficiary cannot complete its tasks in the on-going work packages due to force majeure (see [Article 51 H2020 General MGA](#)). On those grounds, the Commission decides to accept for that beneficiary a part of the lump sum shares for the on-going work packages proportionate to the tasks carried out by the beneficiary in those work packages.*

Not completed work packages (or not completed parts of them) can be finished and declared later on.

Example for calculating the amount due at beneficiary termination:

Grant with four beneficiaries (A, B, C, D).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per work package and beneficiary (Annex 2):

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8	Total
Beneficiary A	250.000			50.000	300.000	250.000		300.000	1.150.000
Beneficiary B		250.000	350.000	50.000			100.000	150.000	900.000
Beneficiary C	100.000	100.000		50.000		280.000			530.000
Beneficiary D		120.000		50.000			100.000	150.000	420.000
Total	350.000	470.000	350.000	200.000	300.000	530.000	200.000	600.000	3.000.000

The participation of beneficiary B is terminated before the end of the first reporting period. At the time when the termination takes effect beneficiary B had fully completed its tasks for WP2 and WP3 and initiated its tasks for WP4. The coordinator had transferred EUR 650 000 as pre-financing to beneficiary B.

Amount due:

Lump sum shares for accepted work packages: WP2 + WP3 = EUR 600 000.

Beneficiary B amount due: EUR 600 000.

Beneficiary balance:

Payments received by beneficiary B (according to the report on the distribution of payments): EUR 650 000.

Beneficiary B balance: EUR 600 000 (amount due) - EUR 650 000 (payments received) = EUR -50 000 (excess payments of EUR 50 000).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary B: EUR 50 000 (negative balance of EUR 50 000 must be returned to the consortium; otherwise Commission/Agency will start a recovery procedure).

Payment of the balance

How much? The amount to be paid (or recovered) at payment of the balance (final payment) will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the financial statements, the final grant amount, the payments already made and the report on the distribution of payments

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.3, 21.4 and 44.1.2 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply the reimbursement rate to the eligible costs but simply sums up all the lump sum shares for the accepted work packages. Partial work packages can exceptionally be accepted (i.e. shares for work packages that were not completed, for example due to technical reasons).



The Commission/Agency will **NOT** accept partial lump sum shares in the last reporting period if:

- the situation could have been solved via an amendment

***Example:** The consortium argues that they did not have enough time to complete the WP. The duration of the action could have been extended via an amendment for the consortium to have enough time.*

- non-implementation is linked to financial reasons

***Example:** The project resulted to be more expensive than initially expected and the consortium decided to stop the work in some WPs.*

Steps 2 (Limit to the maximum grant amount) and 3 (Calculation of receipts & deduction of profit) do not apply to Lump Sum Pilot grants.

Step 4 (Grant reductions) relates to grant reductions for breaches *other* than non-implementation (because non-implementation is already taken into account for Step 1).

All other steps follow the General MGA.

Example for calculating the payment of the balance:

Grant with four beneficiaries (A, B, C, D).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per work package and beneficiary (Annex 2):

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8	Total
Beneficiary A	250.000			50.000	300.000	250.000		300.000	1.150.000
Beneficiary B		250.000	350.000	50.000			100.000	150.000	900.000
Beneficiary C	100.000	100.000		50.000		280.000			530.000
Beneficiary D		120.000		50.000			100.000	150.000	420.000
Total	350.000	470.000	350.000	200.000	300.000	530.000	200.000	600.000	3.000.000

Case 1:

At the end of the action, WP7 was not completed as described in Annex 1. The Commission decides to reduce 50 % of WP7, corresponding to the proportion of the undelivered part of that work package. All other work packages were completed as described in Annex 1. In addition, the consortium breached an important confidentiality obligation under Article 36. The Commission decides to reduce the maximum grant amount by 10 % for that reason.

Final grant amount:

Lump sum shares for accepted work packages: $WP1 + WP2 + WP3 + WP4 + WP5 + WP6 + 50\% WP7 + WP8 = \text{EUR } 2\,900\,000$.

Amount resulting from Step 1: EUR 2 900 000.

Grant reduction for other breaches: 10% grant reduction for the action = maximum grant amount - 10 % (reduction) = $3\,000\,000 - 300\,000 = \text{EUR } 2\,700\,000$.

Amount resulting from Step 2: EUR 2 700 000.

Lower amount of the two: EUR 2 700 000.

Final grant amount: = EUR 2 700 000.

Case 2:

The consortium decides to terminate the GA due to technical difficulties that result in the action no longer being viable. The termination takes effect before the end of the first reporting period. At the moment of termination the state of play of each work package is as follows:

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6, WP7, WP8
Beneficiary A	Completed			Initiated	Initiated	Not initiated
Beneficiary B		Completed	Completed	Not initiated		Not initiated
Beneficiary C	Completed	Not initiated		Not initiated		Not initiated
Beneficiary D		Completed		Completed		Not initiated

In the reports, the consortium justifies that for WP2 the work of beneficiary B and beneficiary D were fully accomplished in accordance with Annex 1, that the work of beneficiary C in this work package was ancillary and that beneficiary C stopped in fact collaborating in the action. On those grounds, the Commission accepts exceptionally 370 000 EUR for WP2.

Final grant amount:

Lump sum shares for accepted work packages: $WP1 + WP2 \text{ (part)} + WP3 = 350\,000 + 370\,000 + 350\,000 = \text{EUR } 1\,070\,000$

No grant reductions for other breaches.

Final grant amount: EUR 1 070 000.

Case 3:

Work package 2 was not carried out (i.e. representing a total lump sum share of EUR 470 000) and therefore the Commission reduced the grant accordingly.

Final grant amount:

Lump sum shares for accepted work packages: $WP1 + WP3 + WP4 + WP5 + WP6 + WP7 + WP8 = \text{EUR } 2\,530\,000$.

No grant reductions for other breaches.

Final grant amount: EUR 2 530 000 (→ beneficiary shares: A = EUR 1 150 000; B = EUR 650 000; C = EUR 430 000; D = EUR 300 000).

Balance & Guarantee Fund release:

Payments made: EUR 2 700 000.

Balance: $\text{EUR } 2\,530\,000 \text{ (final grant amount)} - \text{EUR } 2\,700\,000 \text{ (payments made)} = \text{EUR } -170\,000 \text{ (excess payments of EUR } 170\,000)$.

Offsetting against Guarantee Fund release: $\text{EUR } 170\,000 - \text{EUR } 150\,000 = \text{EUR } 20\,000$

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from the consortium: EUR 20 000 (negative balance of EUR 20 000 must be paid back by coordinator, otherwise Commission will start a recovery procedure against the beneficiaries that received excess funding).

Recovery from coordinator:

The coordinator does not reimburse the Commission and sends the report on the distribution of the payments among beneficiaries (distribution: A= EUR 977 500; B= EUR 765 000; C = EUR 450 500; D= EUR 357 000).

Recovery from beneficiaries:

Lump sum pilot > Chapter 4 > Section 2 > Article 21

Identifying the beneficiaries with excess funding:

A: $\{(EUR\ 1\ 150\ 000 / (1\ 150\ 000 + 650\ 000 + 430\ 000 + 300\ 000)) * 2\ 530\ 000 = EUR\ 1\ 150\ 000$ (share in the final grant)} - EUR 977 500 (payments received) = EUR 172 500 (no payment in excess)

B: $\{(EUR\ 650\ 000 / (1\ 150\ 000 + 650\ 000 + 430\ 000 + 300\ 000)) * 2\ 530\ 000 = EUR\ 650\ 000$ (share in the final grant)} - EUR 765 000 (payments received) = EUR -115 000 (excess payment of EUR 115 000)

C: $\{(430\ 000 / (1\ 150\ 000 + 650\ 000 + 430\ 000 + 300\ 000)) * 2\ 530\ 000 = EUR\ 430\ 000$ (share in the final grant)} - EUR 450 500 (payments received) = EUR -20 500 (excess payment of 20 500)

D: $\{(300\ 000 / (1\ 150\ 000 + 650\ 000 + 430\ 000 + 300\ 000)) * 2\ 530\ 000 = EUR\ 300\ 000$ (share in the final grant)} - EUR 357 000 (payments received) = EUR -57 000 (excess payment of EUR 57 000).

Beneficiaries B, C and D received payments in excess of their EU contribution and will have to reimburse the Commission.

Beneficiary A will have to recover the money owed to it (if any) from the other beneficiaries in the consortium.

Division of the debt:

Relative share of total payments in excess:

Total payments made in excess = 192 500.

B: $115\ 000 / 192\ 500 = 59.74\%$.

C: $20\ 500 / 192\ 500 = 10.65\%$.

D: $57\ 000 / 192\ 500 = 29.61\%$.

Total to be recovered: EUR 20 000.

B: $59.74\% \times 20\ 000 = \underline{EUR\ 11\ 948}$

C: $10.65\% \times 20\ 000 = \underline{EUR\ 2\ 130}$

D: $29.61\% \times 20\ 000 = \underline{EUR\ 5\ 922}$

Audit implementation after payment of the balance — Revised final grant amount

The amount to be recovered (or paid) after the final payment will be calculated by the Commission/Agency on the basis of the final grant amount and the revised final grant amount.

The rules follow those of the General MGA (see [Articles 5.4 and 44.1.3 H2020 General MGA](#)), however with the following **specificities**:

Step 1 (Re-calculation of the accepted EU contribution) does not apply; only Step 2 (Grant reductions) applies.

All other steps follow the General MGA (see for the calculations [Article 21 H2020 General MGA](#)).

Example for calculating recoveries after payment of the balance:

Grant with four beneficiaries (A, B, C, D).

Maximum grant amount: EUR 3 000 000.

Lump sum share breakdown per work package and beneficiary (Annex 2):

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8	Total
Beneficiary A	250.000			50.000	300.000	250.000		300.000	1.150.000
Beneficiary B		250.000	350.000	50.000			100.000	150.000	900.000
Beneficiary C	100.000	100.000		50.000		280.000			530.000
Beneficiary D		120.000		50.000			100.000	150.000	420.000
Total	350.000	470.000	350.000	200.000	300.000	530.000	200.000	600.000	3.000.000

Case 1:**Final grant amount:**

Final grant amount: EUR 1 150 000 + EUR 900 000 + EUR 530 000 + EUR 420 000 = EUR 3 000 000.

The Commission carries out a technical audit on the action and finds out that beneficiary B did not carry out its activities under the work package 4 (lump sum share EUR 50 000). The Commission therefore reduces the grant by EUR 50 000.

Beneficiary revised final grant amount:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary B: EUR 900 000 (accepted lump sum shares) – EUR 50 000 (rejected lump sum shares) = EUR 850 000

Beneficiary B revised final grant amount: EUR 850 000.

The other beneficiaries final grant amounts (i.e. their final grant amount shares) remain unchanged.

Beneficiary balance:

The share of beneficiary B in the final grant amount = EUR 900 000 / 3 000 000 x 3 000 000 = EUR 900 000.

Balance: EUR 850 000 (revised final grant amount) – EUR 900 000 (final grant share) = EUR -50 000 (excess of EUR 50 000).

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary B: EUR 50 000 (negative balance of EUR 50 000 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

Case 2:

Same example as above, but in this case a reduction of the maximum grant amount had been performed at the time of the payment of the balance as follows: the consortium breached a confidentiality obligation under Article 36 and the Commission reduced the maximum grant amount by 10 % for that reason (i.e. EUR 3 000 000 – 10 % = EUR 2 700 000).

Final grant amount:

Final grant amount: = EUR 2 700 000.

Beneficiary revised final grant amount:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for beneficiary B: EUR 900 000 (accepted lump sum shares) – EUR 50 000 (rejected lump sum shares) = EUR 850 000.

Beneficiary B revised final grant amount: EUR 850 000.

Beneficiary balance:

The share of beneficiary B in the final grant amount = EUR 900 000 / EUR 3 000 000 x EUR 2 700 000 = EUR 810 000.

Balance: EUR 850 000 (revised final grant amount) – EUR 810 000 (final grant share) = EUR 40 000 (no excess).

Recovery:

No recovery.

Case 3:

Final grant amount:

Final grant amount: EUR 1 150 000 + EUR 900 000 + EUR 530 000 + EUR 420 000 = EUR 3 000 000.

The Commission carries out a technical audit on the action and finds out that work package 8 (EUR 600 000) has not been implemented as described in Annex 1 and decides to reject it.

Beneficiary revised final grant amount:

Re-calculation of accepted EU contribution for the consortium & revised final grant amount:

Beneficiary A: 1 150 000 – 300 000 = EUR 850 000.

Beneficiary B: 900 000 – 150 000 = EUR 750 000.

Beneficiary D: 420 000 – 150 000 = EUR 270 000.

The EU contributions of beneficiary C remain unchanged.

Beneficiary balance:

The share of beneficiary A in the final grant amount = EUR 1 150 000.

The share of beneficiary B in the final grant amount = EUR 900 000.

The share of beneficiary D in the final grant amount = EUR 420 000.

Balance:

A: EUR 850 000 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 1 150 000 (final grant share) = EUR -300 000.

B: EUR 750 000 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 900 000 (final grant share) = EUR -150 000.

D: EUR 270 000 (revised final grant amount) - EUR 420 000 (final grant share) = EUR -150 000.

Recovery:

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary A: EUR 300 000 (negative balance of EUR 300 000 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary B: EUR 150 000 (negative balance of EUR 150 000 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

Amount to be recovered from beneficiary D: EUR 150 000 (negative balance of EUR 150 000 must be paid back by the beneficiary).

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

ARTICLE 22 — CHECKS, REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INVESTIGATIONS — EXTENSION OF FINDINGS

22.1 Checks, reviews and audits by the [Agency and the] Commission

22.1.1 Right to carry out checks

The [Agency or the] Commission will — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — check the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement, including assessing deliverables and reports.

[...]

22.1.2 Right to carry out reviews

The [Agency or the] Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out reviews on the proper implementation of the action (including assessment of deliverables and reports), compliance with the obligations under the Agreement and continued scientific or technological relevance of the action.

[...]

22.1.3 Right to carry out audits

The [Agency or the] Commission may — during the implementation of the action or afterwards — carry out audits on the proper implementation of the action and compliance with the obligations under the Agreement. [...]



1. Checks, reviews and audits

The rules on checks, reviews and audits are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 22 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since Lump Sum Pilot grants do not use eligible costs, their scope will differ as follows:

- there will be no *financial* checks, reviews, or audits to check costs (since beneficiaries have no obligation to document the costs incurred for the action, even if they remain subject to the accounting rules under the applicable national law).
- checks, reviews, and audits will focus on the *technical* implementation of the action (in particular full accomplishment of the work packages as described in Annex 1 of the GA), or on other aspects of the grant agreement, *such as promoting the action, visibility of EU funding, ethics and research integrity, dissemination and exploitation of results, management of intellectual property, etc.*

Specific cases (audits):

Audits for periodical assessment of simplified cost forms — The Commission/Agency may also audit the accounting records of beneficiaries to obtain general information about real costs of cost items for which it has fixed unit costs, flat-rate or lump sums (for statistical purposes or to gather data to assess the adequacy of its unit cost, flat rate or lump sum). Such audits have no consequences for the beneficiaries that were audited.

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

ARTICLE 44 — RECOVERY OF UNDUE AMOUNTS

44.1 Amount to be recovered — Calculation — Procedure

The [Commission][Agency] will — **after termination of a beneficiary’s participation, at the payment of the balance or afterwards** — claim back any amount that was paid, but is not due under the Agreement.

Each beneficiary’s financial responsibility in case of recovery is limited to its own debt *[OPTION if Article 14 applies: (including undue amounts paid by the [Commission][Agency] for lump sum shares declared by its linked third parties)]*, except for the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund (see Article 21.4).

44.1.1 Recovery after termination of a beneficiary’s participation

If recovery takes place after termination of a beneficiary’s participation (including the coordinator), the [Commission][Agency] will claim back the undue amount from the beneficiary concerned, by formally notifying it a debit note (see Article 50.2 and 50.3). This note will specify the amount to be recovered, the terms and the date for payment.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the [Agency or the] Commission will **recover** the amount:

- (d) by ‘**offsetting**’ it — without the beneficiary’s consent — against any amounts owed to the beneficiary concerned by the [Agency, the] Commission or an[other] executive agency (from the EU or Euratom budget).

In exceptional circumstances, to safeguard the EU’s financial interests, the [Agency or the] Commission may offset before the payment date specified in the debit note;

- (e) *[OPTION 1 if Article 14 applies and joint and several liability has been requested by the [Commission][Agency]: if a linked third party has accepted joint and several liability (see Article 14), by **holding the third party liable** up to the maximum grant amount indicated, for the linked third party, in the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annex 2) and/or]* *[OPTION 2: not applicable;]*

- (f) by **taking legal action** (see Article 57) or by **adopting an enforceable decision** under Article 299 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) [, Article 106a of the Euratom Treaty] and Article 79(2) of the Financial Regulation No 966/2012.

If payment is not made by the date specified in the debit note, the amount to be recovered (see above) will be increased by **late-payment interest** at the rate set out in Article 21.11, from the day following the payment date in the debit note, up to and including the date the [Agency or the] Commission receives full payment of the amount.

Partial payments will be first credited against expenses, charges and late-payment interest and then against the principal.

Bank charges incurred in the recovery process will be borne by the beneficiary, unless Directive 2007/64/EC³⁴ applies.

44.1.2 Recovery at payment of the balance

If the payment of the balance takes the form of a recovery (see Article 21.4), the *[Commission][Agency]* will formally notify a ‘**pre-information letter**’ to the coordinator:

- informing it of its intention to recover, the amount due as the balance and the reasons why;
- specifying that it intends to deduct the amount to be recovered from the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund;
- requesting the coordinator to submit a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiaries within 30 days of receiving notification, and
- inviting the coordinator to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If no observations are submitted or the *[Commission][Agency]* decides to pursue recovery despite the observations it has received, it will **confirm recovery** (together with the notification of amounts due; see Article 21.5) and:

- pay the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is positive** or
- formally notify to the coordinator a **debit note** for the difference between the amount to be recovered and the amount retained for the Guarantee Fund, **if the difference is negative**. This note will also specify the terms and the date for payment.

If the coordinator does not repay the *[Commission][Agency]* by the date in the debit note and has not submitted the report on the distribution of payments: the *[Agency or the]* Commission will **recover** the amount set out in the debit note from the coordinator (see below).

If the coordinator does not repay the *[Commission][Agency]* by the date in the debit note, but has submitted the report on the distribution of payments, the *[Commission][Agency]* will:

(c) identify the beneficiaries for which the amount calculated as follows is negative:

{{ {beneficiary’s approved lump sum shares

[plus

its linked third parties’ approved lump sum shares]},

divided by

the total of all beneficiaries’ *[and linked third parties’]* lump sum shares for approved work packages calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3)},

minus

{pre-financing and interim payments received by the beneficiary}}.

(d) formally notify to each beneficiary identified according to point (a) a **debit note** specifying the terms and date for payment. The amount of the debit note is calculated as follows:

{ {amount calculated according to point (a) for the beneficiary concerned

divided by

the sum of the amounts calculated according to point (a) for all the beneficiaries identified according to point (a)}

multiplied by

the amount set out in the debit note formally notified to the coordinator}.

[...]

44.1.3 Recovery of amounts after payment of the balance

If, for a beneficiary, the revised final grant amount (see Article 5) is lower than its share of the final grant amount, it must repay the difference to the *[Commission][Agency]*.

The beneficiary's share of the final grant amount is calculated as follows:

{beneficiary's approved lump sum shares

[plus

its linked third parties' approved lump sum shares]}

divided by

the total of all beneficiaries' *[and linked third parties']* lump sum shares for approved work packages calculated according to Article 5.3.1 }

multiplied by

the final grant amount (see Article 5.3).

[...]

**1. Recovery of undue amounts**

The rules on recovery of undue amounts are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 44 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

ARTICLE 47 — SUSPENSION OF PAYMENT DEADLINE

47.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] may — at any moment — suspend the payment deadline (see Article 21.2 to 21.4) if a request for payment (see Article 20) cannot be approved because:

- (a) it does not comply with the provisions of the Agreement (see Article 20);
- (b) the technical or financial report has not been submitted or is not complete or additional information is needed, or
- (c) there is doubt about amounts requested for payment (including the need for a grant reduction) and additional checks, reviews, audits or investigations are necessary.

47.2 Procedure

The [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator of the suspension and the reasons why.

The suspension will take effect the day notification is sent by the [Commission][Agency] (see Article 52).

If the conditions for suspending the payment deadline are no longer met, the suspension will be lifted — and the remaining period will resume.

If the suspension exceeds two months, the coordinator may request the [Commission][Agency] if the suspension will continue.

If the payment deadline has been suspended due to the non-compliance of the technical or financial report (see Article 20) and the revised report or statement is not submitted or was submitted but is also rejected, the [Commission][Agency] may also terminate the Agreement or the participation of the beneficiary (see Article 50.3.1(l)).



1. Suspension of the payment deadline (EU)

The rules on the suspension of the payment deadline are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 47 H2020 General MGA](#)).

The Lump Sum Pilot MGA has, however, the following **specificities**:

Since there are no cost eligibility conditions about which there could be doubts, the suspension ground for Lump Sum Pilot grants targets more generally any kinds of doubts about the amounts requested for payment (including doubts linked to work package implementation).

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

ARTICLE 49 — SUSPENSION OF THE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

49.1 Suspension of the action implementation, by the beneficiaries

49.1.1 Conditions

The beneficiaries may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it if exceptional circumstances — in particular *force majeure* (see Article 51) — make implementation impossible or excessively difficult.

49.1.2 Procedure

The coordinator must immediately formally notify to the [Commission][Agency] the suspension (see Article 52), stating:

- the reasons why and
- the expected date of resumption.

The suspension will **take effect** the day this notification is received by the [Commission][Agency].

Once circumstances allow for implementation to resume, the coordinator must immediately formally notify the [Commission][Agency] and request an **amendment** of the Agreement to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement or the participation of a beneficiary has been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be **lifted** with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment. This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

During the suspension, no work may be done. Ongoing work packages must be interrupted and no new work packages may be started.

49.2 Suspension of the action implementation, by the [Commission][Agency]

49.2.1 Conditions

The [Commission][Agency] may suspend implementation of the action or any part of it, if:

- (a) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed or is suspected of having committed:
 - (i) substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or
 - (ii) serious breach of obligations under the Agreement or during the award procedure (including improper implementation of the action, submission of false information, failure to provide required information, breach of ethical principles);
- (b) a beneficiary (or a natural person who has the power to represent or take decisions on its behalf) has committed — in other EU or Euratom grants awarded to it under similar conditions — systemic or recurrent errors, irregularities, fraud or serious breach of obligations that have a material impact on this grant (**extension of findings from other grants to this grant**; see Article 22.5.2), or
- (c) the action is suspected of having lost its scientific or technological relevance.

49.2.2 Procedure

Before suspending implementation of the action, the [Commission][Agency] will formally notify the coordinator or beneficiary concerned:

- informing it of its intention to suspend the implementation and the reasons why and
- inviting it to submit observations within 30 days of receiving notification.

If the [Commission][Agency] does not receive observations or decides to pursue the procedure despite the observations it has received, it will formally notify **confirmation** of the suspension. Otherwise, it will formally notify that the procedure is not continued.

The suspension will **take effect** five days after confirmation notification is received (or on a later date specified in the notification).

It will be **lifted** if the conditions for resuming implementation of the action are met.

The coordinator or beneficiary concerned will be formally notified of the lifting and the Agreement will be **amended** to set the date on which the action will be resumed, extend the duration of the action and make other changes necessary to adapt the action to the new situation (see Article 55) — unless the Agreement has already been terminated (see Article 50).

The suspension will be lifted with effect from the resumption date set out in the amendment. This date may be before the date on which the amendment enters into force.

During the suspension, no work may be done. Ongoing work packages must be interrupted and no new work packages may be started.

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to suspension by the [Commission] [Agency] (see Article 46).



1. GA suspension (consortium or EU)

The rules on GA suspension are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 49 H2020 General MGA](#)).

For *full* GA suspension (action is entirely suspended), all on-going work packages must be interrupted and NO new work packages may be started.

For *partial* GA suspensions other work packages may be continued and new work packages may be started (except for those covered by the suspension).

However, partial suspensions may have an indirect effect on other work packages, in the sense that it might not be possible to fully complete them (and thus not be possible to declare them for the reporting period — unless it is already the end of the action; see [Articles 20 and 21](#)).

Example: A fire caused some damage to an equipment of a beneficiary which is used for a set of chemical 3D-analyses (large part of WP2) which are then needed for further experiments in WP4 and WP5. The consortium therefore requests that the part of the action that is affected (WP3) is suspended until the equipment is restored. The other beneficiaries may continue to work on the other work packages (WP4 and WP5). However, if these work packages cannot be fully completed at the end of the reporting period because the WP3 continues to be suspended, the lump sum shares for WP4 and WP5 cannot be declared by the beneficiaries.

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

ARTICLE 50 — TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF THE PARTICIPATION OF ONE OR MORE BENEFICIARIES

[...]

50.1.2 Effects

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a periodic report (for the open reporting period until termination; see Article 20.3) and
- (ii) the final report (see Article 20.4).

If the *[Commission]**[Agency]* does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), only lump sum shares included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* will **calculate the final grant amount** (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21.4), on the basis of the reports submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the *[Commission]**[Agency]*.

Improper termination may lead to a reduction of the grant (see Article 43).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

50.2 Termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the beneficiaries

[...]

50.2.2 Effects

The coordinator must — within 30 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned and
- (ii) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a **'termination report'** from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20.3 and 20.4).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the periodic report for the next reporting period (see Article 20.3).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the *[Commission]**[Agency]* (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the *[Commission]**[Agency]*, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 55).

The *[Commission]**[Agency]* will — on the basis of the periodic reports, the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — **calculate** the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the payments received by the beneficiary exceed this amount.

The **amount which is due** is calculated in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work packages

The grant amount for the beneficiary is calculated by adding the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) for the approved work packages declared by the beneficiary *[and its linked third parties]* in the termination report.

Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed by the beneficiary before termination will be approved — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

If the grant is reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than non-implementation of the action; see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

The amount due will be the lower of the two amounts.

[...]

50.3 Termination of the Agreement or of the participation of one or more beneficiaries, by the *[Commission][Agency]*

[...]

50.3.3 Effects

(b) for termination of the Agreement:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (i) a periodic report (for the last open reporting period until termination; see Article 20.3) and
- (ii) a final report (see Article 20.4).

If the Agreement is terminated for breach of the obligation to submit reports (see Articles 20.8 and 50.3.1(I)), the coordinator may not submit any reports after termination.

If the *[Commission][Agency]* does not receive the report within the deadline (see above), only lump sum shares included in an approved periodic report will be taken into account.

The *[Commission][Agency]* will **calculate** the final grant amount (see Article 5.3) and the balance (see Article 21.4), on the basis of the reports submitted and compliance with other obligations under the Agreement. Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed before termination will be accepted — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

This does not affect the *[Commission][Agency]*'s right to reduce the grant (see Article 43) or to impose administrative sanctions (Article 45).

The beneficiaries may not claim damages due to termination by the *[Commission][Agency]* (see Article 46).

After termination, the beneficiaries' obligations (in particular Articles 20, 22, 23, Section 3 of Chapter 4, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 44) continue to apply.

(c) for **termination of the participation of one or more beneficiaries**:

The coordinator must — within 60 days from when termination takes effect — submit:

- (iv) a report on the distribution of payments to the beneficiary concerned;
- (v) a request for amendment (see Article 55), with a proposal for reallocation of the tasks and the estimated lump sum breakdown (see Annexes 1 and 2) and, if necessary, the addition of one or more new beneficiaries (see Article 56). If termination is notified after the period set out in Article 3, no request for amendment must be submitted unless the beneficiary concerned is the coordinator. In this case, the request for amendment must propose a new coordinator, and
- (vi) if termination takes effect during the period set out in Article 3, a **termination report** from the beneficiary concerned, for the open reporting period until termination, containing an overview of the progress of the work and the individual financial statement (see Article 20).

The information in the termination report must also be included in the periodic report for the next reporting period (see Article 20.3).

If the request for amendment is rejected by the *[Commission][Agency]* (because it calls into question the decision awarding the grant or breaches the principle of equal treatment of applicants), the Agreement may be terminated according to Article 50.3.1(c).

If the request for amendment is accepted by the *[Commission][Agency]*, the Agreement is **amended** to introduce the necessary changes (see Article 56).

The *[Commission][Agency]* will — on the basis of the periodic reports, the termination report and the report on the distribution of payments — **calculate** the amount which is due to the beneficiary and if the (pre-financing and interim) payments received by the beneficiary exceed this amount.

The **amount which is due** is calculated in the following steps:

Step 1 — Calculation of the lump sum shares for the approved work

The grant amount for the beneficiary is calculated by adding the lump sum shares (see Annex 2) for the approved work packages declared by the beneficiary *[and its linked third parties]* in the termination report.

Only lump sum shares for work packages fully completed by the beneficiary before termination will be approved — unless exceptionally agreed otherwise by the *[Commission][Agency]*.

Step 2 — Reduction due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations

If the grant is reduced (due to substantial errors, irregularities or fraud or serious breach of obligations other than non-implementation of the action; see Article 43), the *[Commission][Agency]* will calculate the reduced grant amount for the beneficiary by deducting the amount of the reduction (calculated in proportion to the seriousness of the errors, irregularities or fraud or breach of obligations, in accordance with Article 43.2) from the grant amount for the beneficiary.

The amount due will be the lower of the two amounts.

[...]



1. GA termination (consortium or EU)

The rules on GA termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).

2. Beneficiary termination (consortium or EU)

The rules on beneficiary termination are in principle the same as in the General MGA (see [Article 50 H2020 General MGA](#)).

However, since for Lump Sum Pilot grants there is no reporting of costs, the calculations are different (see [Article 21](#)).